SPIRIT

OF

LAWS.

Translated from the FRENCH of

M. DE SECONDAT,
BARON DE MONTESQUIEU.

With Corrections and Additions communicated by the Author.

VOL. II.



LONDON:

Printed for J. Nourse, and P. Vaillant, in the Strand.

MDCCL.

TAGNODES NOW a June of the second distance of Prict Corres on Salt Militarian Sectional was the Total bus is such to the bush of 1.1001



THETROS

THE

CONTENTS.

	The Charles of the Control	the fall of the ball of the same		The second secon	
					-
66.66.666	8383866	3,88,88,88,88,8	<i>A858866666</i>	***	20.00
ALL ALL ALL ARE	407 407 407 40	2 402 402 402 402 4	2 40 40 40 40 40 4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	SE - SE
	process of the second			CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	

BOOK XX.

OF laws in relation to commerce, confidered in its nature and distinctions.

Chap. I. Of commerce,	Pag. t
Chap. II. Of the Spirit of commerce,	2
Chap. III. Of the poverty of the people,	3
Chap. IV. Of commerce in different governmen	NO. SECTION AND ADDRESS.
Chap. V. Of nations that have entered into as	
mical commerce,	6
Chap. VI. The spirit of England, with r	espett to
commerce,	7
Chap. VII. In what manner the acconomical	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLU
has been sometimes restrained,	ibid.
Chap. VIII. Of the probibition of commerce,	8
Chap. IX. An institution adapted to aconomic	STATE OF THE STATE
merce,	9
Chap. X. The same subject continued,	10
Chap. XI. Of the freedom of commerce,	11
Chap. XII. What it is that destroys this liberty	, ibid.
Chap. XIII. The laws of commerce concerning	the con-
fiscation of merchandises,	12
Chap. XIV. Of seizing the persons of merchan	ts, 13
Chap. XV. An excellent law,	14
Chap. XVI. Of the judges of commerce,	ibid.
a	Chap.

comple

1. 389 1.62 144

The CONTENTS. Chap. XVII. That a prince ought not to engage him-

felf in commerce,	Pag. 15
Chap. XVIII. The same subject continued,	ibid.
Chap. XIX. Of commerce in a monarchy,	16
	ibid.
Chap. XX. A fingular reflection,	
Chap. XXI. To what nations commerce	W
alicial,	18
BOOK XXI.	
Of laws relative to commerce, confidered	in the re-
volutions it has met with in the wo	
Cl. I C	
Chap. I. Some general confiderations,	21
Chap. II. Of the people of Africa,	22
Chap. III. That the wants of the people in	
are different from those of the North,	ibid.
Chap. IV. The principal difference between	the com-
merce of the ancients and the moderns,	23
Chap. V. Other differences,	24
Chap. VI. Of the commerce of the ancients,	
Chap. VII. Of the commerce of the Greeks,	and that
of Egypt, after the conquest of Alexander,	33
Chap. VIII. Of Carthage, and Marseilles,	43
Chap. IX. Of the genius of the Romans as	
time affairs,	49
Chap. X. Of the genius of the Romans with	
commerce,	ibid.
Chap. XI. Of the commerce of the Roman	s with the
Barbarians,	51
Chap. XII. Of the commerce of the Romans	
bia and the Indies,	52
Chap. XIII. Of commerce after the destruct	tion of the
Western empire,	54
Chap. XIV. A particular regulation,	55
Chap. XV. Of commerce after the decay of t	he Roman
power in the East,	ibid.
TOTAL TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE	Chap.
	-map4

Chap. XVI. How commerce broke through the bat	A SHILL SHOW AND ADDRESS OF
rism of Europe, Pag.	7.5-625 (1.3)
Chap. XVII. The discovery of two new worlds,	and
in what manner Europe is affected by it,	59
Chap. XVIII. Of the riches which Spain drew f	rom
America,	63
Chap. XIX. A problem,	68
воок ххп.	
Of laws in relation to the use of money.	
Chap. I. The reason of the use of money,	69
Chap. II. Of the nature of money,	70
Chap. III. Of ideal money,	73
Chap. IV. Of the quantity of gold and filver,	74
	bid.
Chap. VI. The reason why the price of usury was los	wer-
ed one balf after the conquest of the Indies,	75
Chap. VII. How the price of things is fixt in the	And the second
luation of the sign of riches,	76
Chap. VIII. The Same Subject continued,	77
Chap. IX. Of the relative scarcity of gold and fit	
	78
Chap. X. Of exchange,	79
Chap. XI. On the proceedings of the Romans with	
spect to money,	91
Chap. XII. The circumstances in which the Ron	
changed the value of their specie,	
Chap. XIII. Proceedings with respect to money in	93 the
time of the emperors,	94
Chap. XIV. How the exchange is a constraint on potic power,	
Chap. XV. The practice of some countries in Italy	96
Chap. XVI. The affistance a state may derive	from
bankers,	97
2 2	hap.

54 55 nan oid.

nap.

The CONTENTS.

Chap. XVII. Of public debts,	pag. 97
Chap. XVIII. Of the payment of public debt	5, 99
Chap. XIX. Of lending upon interest,	101
Chap. XX. Of maritime usury,	102
Chap. XXI. Of lending by contract, and the	e State of
usury amongst the Romans,	ibid.
Chap. XXII. The same subject continued,	103

BOOK XXIII.

Of laws in the relation they bear to the number of inhabitants.

Chap. I. Of men and animals with respect to the	e mul-
tiplication of their species,	108
Chap. II. Of marriage,	109
Chap. III. Of the condition of children,	110
Chap. IV. Of families,	ibid.
Chap. V. Of the several orders of lawful wives,	111
Chap. VI. Of laws in relation to bastards,	ibid.
Chap. VII. Of the fathers consent to marriage,	112
Chap. VIII. The same subject continued,	113
Chap. IX. Of young women,	114
Chap. X. What it is that determines to marriage,	THE REPORT OF
Chap. XI. Of the severity of government,	115
Chap. XII. Of the number of males and female	and the state of the
different countries,	116
Chap. XIII. Of fea-port towns,	ibid.
Chap. XIV. Of the productions of the earth while	ch re-
quire a greater or a less number of men,	117
Chap. XV. Of the number of inhabitants with	rela-
tion to the arts,	113
Chap. XVI. The concern of the legislator in the p	ropa-
gation of the species,	119
Chap. XVII. Of Greece, and the number of its	inha-
bitants, and the stand of the s	120
. 70	Chap.
The same of the sa	B7 B - W

Cuap.

The CONTENTS.

Chap. XVIII. Of the state and number of people befor	4
the Romans, pag. 12:	2
Chap. XIX. Of the depopulation of the universe, ibid	gr)
Chap. XX. That the Romans were under a necessity of	
making laws, to encourage the propagation of th	
species, 12	3
Chap. XXI. Of the laws of the Romans relating to th	e
propagation of the Species, ibid	
Chap. XXII. Of the exposing of children, 13:	5
Chap. XXIII. Of the state of the universe after th	700
destruction of the Romans, 13	
Chap. XXIV. The changes which happened in Europe	
with regard to the number of the inhabitants, 13	
Chap. XXV. The same subject continued, 13	
Chap. XXVI. Consequences, ibid	-
Chap. XXVII. Of the law made in France to encou	100
rage the propagation of the species, 140	
Chap. XXVIII. By what means we may remedy a de	4
population, ibid	
Chap. XXIX. Of bospitals,	I
BOOK XXIV.	
Of laws as relative to religion, confidered in itself	
and in its doctrines.	
Chap. I. Of religion in general,	4
Chap. II. A paradox of Mr. Bayle's,	
Chap. III. That a moderate government is most agree	-
able to the Christian religion, and a despotic govern	-
ment to the Mahometans, 14	7
Chap. IV. Consequences from the character of th	e
Christian religion, and that of the Mahometan, 141	
Chap. V. That the Catholic religion is most agreeable	
to a monarchy, and the Protestant to a republic, 14	
a 3 Char	

3 9 0 1. I 1. 2 3 4 b. 15 in 16 id. re- 17 la- 18 pa- 19 ba- 120 ap.

Chap. VI. Another of Mr. Bayle's paradoxes. p	ag. 150
Chap. VII. Of the laws of perfection in religion	
Chap. VIII. Of the connection between the mor	ral laws
and those of religion,	152
Chap. IX. Of the Essenes,	ibid.
Chap. X. Of the feet of stoics,	153
Chap. XI. Of contemplation,	154
Chap. XII. Of penance,	ibid.
Chap. XIII. Of inexpiable crimes,	155
Chap. XIV. In what manner religion has an	
on civil laws,	156
Chap. XV. How false religions are sometimes	corrected
by the civil laws,	158
Chap. XVI. How the laws of religion correct	
conveniencies of a political constitution,	159
Chap. XVII. The same subject continued,	160
Chap. XVIII. How the laws of religion have	the effect
of civil laws,	161
Chap. XIX. That it is not fo much the truth	or falfity
of a doctrine which renders it useful or p	
to men in civil government, as the use or abu	
is made of it,	ibid.
Chap. XX. The same subject continued,	163
Chap. XXI. Of the metempsychosis,	ibid.
Chap. XXII. That it is dangerous for religi	on to in-
spire an aversion for things in themselves ind	
The state of the s	164
Chap. XXIII. Of festivals,	165
Chap. XXIV. Of the local laws of religion,	166
Chap. XXV. The inconveniency of transplants	ing a re-
ligion from one country to another,	167
Chap. XXVI. The same subject continued,	168

BOOK XXV.

Of laws as relative to the establishment of religion and its external polity.

Chap. I. Of religious sentiments,	pag. 169
Chap. II. Of the motives of attachment to a	
ligions,	ibid.
Chap. III. Of temples,	172
Chap. IV. Of the ministers of religion,	174
Chap. V. Of the bounds which the laws oug	
scribe to the riches of the clergy,	176
Chap, VI. Of monasteries,	177
Chap. VII. Of the luxury of superstition,	178
Chap. VIII. Of the pontificate,	179
Chap. IX. Of toleration in point of religion,	180
Chap. X. The same subjett continued,	ibid.
Chap, XI. Of changing a religion,	181
Chap. XII. Of penal laws,	182
Chap. XIII. A most bumble remonstrance to	the inqui-
fitors of Spain and Portugal,	183
Chap. XIV. Why the Christian religion is so	odious in
Japan,	186
Chap. XV. Of the propagation of religion,	187
	100

BOOK XXVI.

Of laws as relative to the order of things on which they determine.

Chap. I. Idea of this book,	189
Chap. II. Of laws divine and buman,	190
Chap. III. Of civil laws contrary to the law	of na-
ture,	191
Chap. IV. The same subject continued,	193
Chito.	Chan

The CONTENTS.

Chap. V. Cases in which we may judge by	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ciples of the civil law in limiting the pri	
Chap. VI. That the order of succession or in	pag. 193
depends on the principles of political and	
and not on those of the law of nature,	
Chap. VII. That we ought not to decide by	ALCOHOLD TO THE REAL PROPERTY.
cepts of religion, what belongs only to the le	
Chan VIII That me aught not to negulate he	197
Chap. VIII. That we ought not to regulate by	
ciples of the canon law, things which	
regulated by those of the civil law,	
Chap. IX. That things which ought to be	
by the principles of civil law can seldom be	177 1
by those of religion,	199
Chap. X. In what case we ought to follow	
law which permits, and not the law of relig	
forbids,	201
Chap. XI. That buman courts of justice show	
regulated by the maxims of those tribunals	
late to the other life,	202
Chap. XII. The same subject continued,	ibid.
Chap. XIII. In what cases, with regard to m	
we ought to follow the laws of religion, and	
cases we should follow the civil laws,	203
Chap. XIV. In what instances marriages be	
lations should be regulated by the laws of nat	
in what instances by the civil laws,	205
Chap. XV. That we should not regulate by the	
ciples of political law those things which a	
the principles of civil law,	210
Chap. XVI. That we ought not to decide by	
of the civil law, when it is proper to decid	
of the law of politics,	212
	Chap.

Chap. XVII. The Same	subject contin	nued, p	ag. 213
Chap. XVIII. That it	is necessary to	enquire,	wbetber
the laws which seem	contradictory	are of th	be same
class,			214

Chap. XIX. That we ought not to decide those things by the civil law, which ought to be decided by domestic laws,

Chap. XX. That we ought not to decide by the principles of the civil laws, those things which belong to the law of nations,

Chap. XXI. That we should not decide by political laws, things which belong to the law of nations, 217 Chap. XXII. The unhappy state of Ynca Athualpa,

218

Chap. XXIII. That when by some circumstance the political law becomes destructive to the state, we ought to decide by such a political law as will preserve it, which sometimes becomes a law of nations, ibid.

Chap. XXIV. That the regulations of the police are of a different class from other civil laws, 220

Chap. XXV. That we should not follow the general dispositions of the civil law, in things which ought to be subject to particular rules drawn from their own nature,

BOOK XXVII.

Of the origin and revolutions of the Roman laws on fuccessions.

Chap. I. Of the Roman laws on successions, 222

BOOK

BOOK XXVIII.

Of the origin and revolutions of the civil laws among the French.

Chap. I. Of the different character of the l	aws of the
feveral people of Germany,	233
Chap. II. That the laws of the barbarian	
perfonal,	237
Chap. III. Capital difference between the	
and those of the Visigoths and Burgundian	
Chap. IV. In what manner the Roman law	
lost in the country of the domain of the	
and preserved in that of the domain of	
and Burgundians,	240
Chap. V. The same subject continued,	244
Chap. VI. How the Roman law kept its gro	
domain of the Lombards,	ibid.
Chap. VII. How the Roman law came to	
Spain,	245
Chap. VIII. A false capitulary,	247
Chap. IX. In what manner the codes of	
laws, and the capitularies came to be lost,	ibid.
Chap. X. The same subject continued,	252
Chap. XI. Other causes of the disuse of the	
Barbarian laws, as well as of the Roman	
of the capitularies,	251
Chap. XII. Of local customs. Revolution of	
of barbarous nations, as well as of the R	The state of the s
	252
Chap. XIII. Difference between the Salic la	
of the Salian Franks, and that of the	No. 1 . W. Committee of the committee of
Franks and other barbarous nations,	255
Chap. XIV. Another difference,	256
Chap. XV. A reflection,	258
Vimpi 12 1 v 21 represents	
2	Chap.

Chap. XVI. Of the ordeal or trial by boiling	100
	ag. 258
Chap. XVII. Particular notions of our ancesto	
Chap. XVIII. In what manner the custom of	
combats gained ground,	262
Chap. XIX. A new reason of the disuse of t	
and Roman laws, as also of the capitularies,	
Chap. XX. Origin of the point of honour,	270
Chap. XXI. A new reflection upon the point of	bonour
among the Germans,	272
Chap. XXII. Of the manners relative to judic bats,	ial com- 273
Chap. XXIII. Of the code of laws on judici	al com-
bats,	275
Chap. XXIV. Rules established in the judici bat,	ibid.
Chap. XXV. Of the bounds prescribed to the cifudicial combats,	ustom of 278
Chap. XXVI. Of the judiciary combat between	
the parties, and one of the witnesses,	280
Chap. XXVII. Of the judicial combat between	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	peal of
false judgment,	282
Chap. XXVIII. Of the appeal of default of	
	289
Chap. XXIX. Epoch of the reign of St. Lewis	
Chap. XXX. Observations on appeals,	297
Chap. XXXI. The same subject continued,	298
Chap. XXXII. The Same Subject continued,	299
Chap. XXXIII. The same subject continued,	300
Chap. XXXIV. In what manner the proceeds	nes at
law became secret,	301
Chap. XXXV. Of the costs,	302
Chap. XXXVI. Of the public profecutor,	304
and the state of t	3-4

Chap. XXXVII. In what manner the institutions of	f
S. Lewis fell into oblivion, pag. 30	7
Chap. XXXVIII. The same subject continued, 30	9
Chap. XXXIX. The same subject continued, 31	
Chap. XL. In what manner the judiciary forms wer	
borrowed from the decretals, 31	
Chap. XLI. Flux and reflux of the ecclefiastic and	-
temporal jurisdiction,	
Chap. XLII. The revival of the Roman law, and the	
result thereof. Change in the tribunals, 31	_
Chap. XLIII. The same subject continued, 32	
Chap. XLIV. Of the proof by witnesses, 32	
Chap. XLV. Of the customs of France, 32	
Chap. ALLY. Of the engloss of Trance,	3
BOOK XXIX.	
Of the manner of composing laws.	
Chap. I. Of the spirit of the legislator, 32	7
Chap. II. The same subject continued,	8
Chap. III. That the laws which feem to deviate from	72
the views of the legislator are frequently agreeable t	0
them, ibid	١,
Chap. IV. Of the laws that are contrary to the view	15
of the legislator,	
Chap. V. The same subject continued, ibid	-
Chap. VI. That laws which appear the same, have	e
not always the same effect,	
Chap. VII. The same subject continued. Necessity of	
composing laws in a proper manner, 33	
Chap. VIII. That laws which appear the same, wer	
not always made thro' the same motive, 33	
Chap. IX. That the Greek and Roman laws punishe	
fuicide, but not thro' the same motive, ibid	
Chap. X. That laws which feem contrary, proceed	
f	
Chap	١.

Chap.

Chap. XI. How we are to judge of the difference of laws, pag. 334
Chap. XII. That laws which appear the same, are
fometimes really different, 335
Chap. XIII. That we must not separate the laws from the end for which they were made: of the Roman
laws on theft,
Chap. XIV. That we must not separate the laws from
the circumstances in which they were made, 339
Chap. XV. That sometimes it is proper the law
should amend itself, Things to be observed in the same for of
Chap. XVI. Things to be observed in the composing of laws, ibid.
Chap. XVII. A bad method of giving laws, 346
Chap. XVIII. Of the ideas of uniformity, 347
Chap. XIX. Of legislators, 348
the state of the second of the
BOOK XXX.
Theory of the feudal laws among the French, in the relation they bear to the establishment of the monarchy.
Chap. I. Of feudal laws, 349
Chap. II. Of the source of feudal laws, 350
Chap. III. The origin of vaffalage, 351
Chap. IV. The same subject continued, 352
Chap. V. Of the conquest of the Franks, 353
Chap. VI. Of the Goths, Burgundians, and Franks,
354
Chap. VII. Different ways of dividing the lands, 355
Chap. VIII. The same subject continued, 356
Chap. IX. A just application of the law of the Burgun-
dians and of that of the Visigoths in relation to the
division of lands,

Chap. XI. The same subject continued, 360 Chap. XII. That the lands belonging to the division of the Barbarians paid no taxes, 363 Chap. XIII. Of taxes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in the monarchy of the Franks, 365 Chap. XIV. Of what they called Census, 368 Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, 370 Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XXII. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XXI. Of what was afterwards called the jurif-diction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXII. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXIII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400 Chap. XXV. Of the French nobility, 405		
Chap. XII. The same subject continued, 360 Chap. XII. That the lands belonging to the division of the Barbarians paid no taxes, 363 Chap. XIII. Of taxes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in the monarchy of the Franks, 365 Chap. XIV. Of what they called Census, 368 Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, 370 Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassuls, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XXI. Of what was afterwards called the jurisdiction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reflection on the main part of the system, 400	Chap. X. Of fervitudes,	pag. 358
Chap. XII. That the lands belonging to the division of the Barbarians paid no taxes, Chap. XIII. Of taxes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in the monarchy of the Franks, Chap. XIV. Of what they called Census, Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, Chap. XXI. Of what was afterwards called the jurisdiction of the lords, Chap. XXII. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, System on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reflection on the main part of the system,		
the Barbarians paid no taxes, Chap. XIII. Of taxes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in the monarchy of the Franks, Chap. XIV. Of what they called Cenfus, Chap. XV. That what they called Cenfus was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, Chap. XXI. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, Second race, Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Ochap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Restection on the main part of the system,		
Chap. XIII. Of takes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in the monarchy of the Franks, Chap. XIV. Of what they called Census, 368 Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, 370 Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XXI. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400	그리 아이트 등에 프라이어 얼마가 되었다면 이 얼마에 프랑이 어린 전에 보다 살아야 한다. 그 나니 아내는 네 나는 것 같	
in the monarchy of the Franks, Chap. XIV. Of what they called Census, Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, Chap. XXI. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, System on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reflection on the main part of the system,		
Chap. XIV. Of what they called Census, 368 Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, 370 Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurisdiction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Restection on the main part of the system, 400		The second second
Chap. XV. That what they called Census was raised only on the slaves, and not on the freemen, 370 Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400		
chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Restlection on the main part of the system, 400		12 100
Chap. XVI. Of the feudal lords or vassals, 374 Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400		
Chap. XVII. Of the military service of freemen, 376 Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, 379 Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, 382 Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurif-diction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reslection on the main part of the system, 400		
Chap. XVIII. Of the double service, Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, Some on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reservicing on the main part of the system,		The state of the s
Chap. XIX. Of compositions among the barbarous nations, Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurifdiction of the lords, Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reflection on the main part of the system,		
Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurif- diction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established be- fore the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400		
Chap. XX. Of what was afterwards called the jurif- diction of the lords, 388 Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established be- fore the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reslection on the main part of the system, 400	[10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10]	17.17
Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400	Chan XX Of subat mas aftermands called	the juris-
Chap. XXI. Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches, 393 Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established before the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400	disting of the lords	288
Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established be- fore the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reslection on the main part of the system, 400	Chan XXI Of the territorial invidition	of the
Chap. XXII. That the jurisdictions were established be- fore the end of the second race, 395 Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reslection on the main part of the system, 400	churches'	
fore the end of the second race, Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Resection on the main part of the system, 400		
Chap. XXIII. General idea of the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reslection on the main part of the system, 400		
on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 399 Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Restection on the main part of the system, 400		
Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reflection on the main part of the system, 400		
Chap. XXIV. The same subject continued. Reflection on the main part of the system, 400	on the establishment of the French monarchy	in Gaul,
on the main part of the system, 400	Chan VVIV 61. Can fill a minut	399
OI TITTE OCAL TO I THE		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
Chap. AAV. Of the French nobility, 405		11122230
	Chap. AAV. Of the French nobility,	405

BOOK XXXI.

Theory of the feudal laws among the Franks in the relation they bear to the revolutions of their monarchy.

Chap. I. Changes in the offices and in the fiefs. Of the mayors of the palace,

413

Chap.

Chap. II. How the civil government was r	eformed,
P	ag. 418
Chap. III. Authority of the mayors of the pala	
Chap. IV. Of the genius of the nation in regar	d to the
mayors,	425
Chap. V. In what manner the mayors obtained	
mand of the armies,	426
Chap. VI. Second epocha of the bumiliation	Charles and the second
kings of the first race,	428
Chap. VII. Of the great offices and fiefs, un	- F1
mayors of the palace,	429
Chap. VIII. In what manner the allodial estat	
changed into fiefs,	431
Chap. IX. How the church-lands were conver-	
fiefs,	434
Chap. X. Riches of the clergy,	436
Chap. XI. State of Europe at the time of	Charles
Martel,	438
Chap. XII. Establishment of the tithes,	441
Chap. XIII. Of the elections of bishops and abbe	ts, 445
Chap. XIV. Of the fiefs of Charles Martel,	446
Chap. XV. The same subject continued,	447
Chap. XVI. Confusion of the royalty and ma	
The second race,	ibid.
Chap. XVII. A particular thing in the election	of the
kings of the second race,	449
Chap. XVIII. Charlemain,	451
Chap. XIX. The same subject continued,	453
Chap. XX. The successors of Charlemain,	454
Chap. XXI. The same subject continued,	456
Chap. XXII. The same subject continued,	ibid.
Chap. XXIII. That the freemen were rendered	
of bolding fiefs,	460
Chap. XXIV. The principal cause of the hum	
of the second race. Changes in the allodia,	
,	Chap.

74

79 1a-82 1j-88

the

93 be-

95 ook ul,

99 ion

.05

the eir

the

13

ap.

Chap. XXVI. Another Change which bappened in the fiefs,

Chap. XXVII. Changes which happened in the great offices, and in the fiefs, 468

Chap. XXVIII. Of the nature of the fiefs after the reign of Charles the Bald, 470

Chap. XXIX. The same subject continued, 471

Chap. XXX. In what manner the empire was transferred from the family of Charlemain, 473

Chap. XXXI. In what manner the crown of France was transferred to the house of Hugh Capet, 474

Chap. XXXII. Some consequences of the perpetuity of fiefs, 475

Chap. XXXIII. The same subject continued, 482



THE



THE

SPIRIT

OF

LAWS.

BOOK XX.

Of Laws in relation to Commerce, confidered in its Nature and Distinctions.

CHAP. I.

Of Commerce.

HE following subjects deserve to be Booz XX.

treated in a more extensive manner, Chap. 1.

than the nature of this work will permit. Fain would I glide down a gentle river, but I am carried away by a torrent.

Commerce is a cure for the most destructive prejudices; for it is almost a general rule, that whereever we find agreeable manners, there commerce flourishes; and that where-ever there is commerce, there we meet with agreeable manners.

Vol. II.

B

Let

Book XX. Chap. 2. Let us not be aftonished then, if our manners are now less savage than formerly. Commerce has everywhere diffused a knowledge of the manners of all nations; these are compared one with another, and from this comparison arise the greatest advantages.

Commercial laws, it may be faid, improve manners, for the fame reason as they destroy them. They corrupt the purest morals ; this was the subject of Plato's complaints: and we every day see, that they polish and refine the most barbarous.

CHAP. II.

Of the Spirit of Commerce.

PEACE is the natural effect of trade. Two nations who traffick with each other become reciprocally dependent; for if one has an interest in buying, the other has an interest in selling; and thus their union is founded on their mutual necessities.

(2) Hol-

But if the spirit of commerce unites nations, it does not in the same manner unite individuals. We see, that in (a) countries where the people move only by the spirit of commerce, they make a traffick of all the humane, all the moral virtues; the most trifling things, those which humanity would demand, are there done, or there given, only for money.

The spirit of trade produces in the mind of man a certain sense of exact justice, opposite on the one hand to robbery, and on the other to those moral

virtues

^{*} Cæsar said of the Gauls, that they were spoilt by the neighbourhood and the commerce of Marseilles; insomuch that they who formerly always conquered the Germans, were now become inferior to them. War of the Gauls, Lib. vi.

re

yall

nd

m-

m.

b-

ce,

WO

re-

in

ius

it

Ve

nly

of

ost de-

for

ian

ral

ies

gh-

hey

virtues which forbid our always adhering rigidly to Book XX. the rules of private interest; and suffer us to neglect Chap. 3. this for the advantage of others.

The total privation of trade, on the contrary, produces robbery, which Aristotle ranks in the number of means of acquiring: yet it is not at all inconsistent with certain moral virtues. Hospitality, for instance, is most rare in trading countries, while it is found in the most admirable perfection amongst nations of vagabonds.

It is a facrilege, fays Tacitus, for a German to shut his door against any man whomsoever, whether known or unknown. He who has * behaved with hospitality to a stranger goes to shew him another house where this hospitality is also practised; and he is there received with the same humanity. But when the Germans had sounded kingdoms, hospitality was become burthensome. This appears by two laws of the (*) code of the Burgundians; one of (*) Tit.38. which inslicted a Penalty on every barbarian, who presumed to shew a stranger the house of a Roman; and the other, that whoever received a stranger should be indemnified by the inhabitants, every one being obliged to pay his proper proportion.

CHAP. III.

Of the Poverty of the People.

THERE are two forts of poor; those who are rendered such by the severity of the government; these are indeed incapable of performing almost any great action, because their indigence is a B₂ con-

^{*} Et qui modò hospes fuerat monstrator hospitii, De merib. Germ. Vid. Casar. de Bella Gal. Lib. vi.

4

Book consequence of their slavery. Others are poor, only XX. because they either despise, or know not the conveniencies of life; and these are capable of accomplishing great things, because their poverty constitutes a part of their liberty.

CHAP. IV.

Of Commerce in different Governments.

TRADE has some relation to forms of government. In a monarchy it is sounded on luxury, and the single view with which it is carried on, is to procure every thing that can contribute to the pride, the pleasure, and the capricious whimsies of the nation. In a republic, it is commonly sounded on oeconomy. Their merchants having an eye to all the nations of the earth, bring from one what is wanted by another. It is thus that the republics of Tyre, Carthage, Athens, Marseilles, Florence, Venice, and Holland, engaged in commerce.

This kind of traffic has a natural relation to a republican government; to monarchies it is only occasional. For as it is founded on the practice of gaining little, and even less than other nations, and of remedying this by gaining incessantly, it can hardly be carried on by a people swallowed up in luxury, who spend much, and see nothing but objects of grandeur.

Cicero was of this opinion, when he so justly said, "that he did not like that the same people fould be at once both the lords and factors of the universe."

Nolo eundem populum imperatorem & portitorem esse terrarum.

ly.

e-

4

d

0

es ly

ie

1-

of d

n

n

-

that every individual in the state, and the whole state Chap. 4. collectively, had their heads constantly filled with grand views, and at the same time with small ones, which is a contradiction.

Not but that the most noble enterprises are compleated in those states, which subsist by oeconomical commerce: they have even an intrepidity, not to be found in monarchies. And the reason is this,

One branch of commerce leads to another, the small to the moderate, the moderate to the great; while he who has gratified his desire of gaining a little, raises himself to a situation, in which he is not less desirous of gaining a great deal.

Besides, the grand enterprises of merchants are always necessarily connected with the affairs of the public. But, in monarchies, these public affairs give as much distrust to the merchants, as in free states they appear to give safety. Great enterprises therefore in commerce are not for monarchical, but for republican governments.

In short, an opinion of greater certainty, as to the possession of property in these states, makes them undertake every thing. They flatter themselves with the hopes of receiving great advantages from the smiles of fortune, and therefore boldly expose what they have already acquired, in order to acquire more; risking nothing but as the means of obtaining.

A GENERAL RULE. A nation in flavery labours more to preserve than acquire; a free nation, more to acquire than preserve.

CHAP. V.

Of Nations that have entered into an aconomical Commerce.

TARSEILLES, a necessary retreat in the BOOK XX. midst of a tempestuous sea; Marseilles, a Chap. 5. harbour which all the winds, the shelves of the sea, the disposition of the coasts, point out for a landingplace, became frequented by mariners; while the flerility of the adjacent country determined the citizens to an œconomical commerce. It was necessary that they should be laborious, to supply what nature had refused; that they should be just, in order to live among barbarous nations, from whom they were to derive their prosperity; that they should be moderate, that they might always enjoy the fweets of a tranquil government; in fine, that they should be frugal in their manners, that they might perpetually enjoy a trade the more certain, as it was less advan-

We every where see violence and oppression give birth to a commerce sounded on economy, while men are constrained to take refuge in marshes, in isles, in the shallows of the sea, and even on rocks themselves. Thus it was, that Tyre, Venice, and the cities of Holland, were sounded. Fugitives found there a place of safety. It was necessary that they should subsist; they drew therefore their sub-

fistance from the whole universe.

tageous.

CHAP. VI.

The Spirit of England, with respect to Commerce.

THE Tariff, or customs of England, are very Book unsettled, with respect to other nations; they XX. are changed, in some measure, with every parliament, either by taking off particular duties, or by imposing new ones. They endeavour by this means still to preserve their independence. Supremely jealous with respect to trade, they bind themselves but little by treaties, and depend only on their own laws.

Other nations have made the interests of commerce yield to those of politics; the English, on the contrary, have always made their political interests give way to those of commerce.

They know better than any other people upon earth, how to value at the same time these three great advantages, religion, commerce, and liberty.

CHAP. VII.

In what manmer the economical Commerce has been sometimes restrained.

IN feveral kingdoms laws have been made, extremely proper to humble the states that have entered into the economical commerce. They have forbid their importing any merchandises, except the product of their respective countries; and have permitted them to traffic only in vessels built in the kingdom to which they brought their manufactures. XX. Chap. 8.

It is necessary that the kingdom which imposes these laws should itself be able easily to engage in commerce, otherwise it will, at least, be an equal fufferer. It is much more advantageous to trade with a commercial nation, whose profits are moderate, and who are rendered in some fort dependent by the affairs of commerce; with a nation, whose larger views, and whose extended trade enables them to dispose of their superfluous merchandises; with a wealthy nation, who can take off many of their commodities, and make them a quicker return in specie; with a nation under a kind of necessity to be faithful, pacific from principle, and that feeks to gain, and not to conquer; it is much better, I fay, to trade with fuch a nation, than with others, their constant rivals, who will never grant fuch great advantages,

CHAP. VIII.

Of the Probibition of Commerce.

A True maxim it is, that one nation should never exclude another from trading with it, except for very great reasons. The Japanese trade only with two nations, the Chinese and the Dutch. The (*) Chinese gain a thousand per cent. upon sugars, and sometimes as much by the goods they take in exchange. The Dutch make nearly the same prosites. Every nation that acts upon Japanese principles must necessarily be deceived; for it is competition which sets a just value on merchandises, and establishes the relation between them.

(*) Du Halde, Vol. II. pag. 170.

Much less ought a state to lay itself under an obligation of selling its manufactures only to a single nation, under a pretence of their taking all at a cer-

tain

tain price. The Poles, in this manner, dispose of Book XX. their corn to the city of Dantzick; and several In-Chap. 9. dian princes have made a like contract for their spices, with the Dutch*. These agreements are proper only for a poor nation, whose inhabitants are satisfied to forego the hopes of inriching themselves, provided they can be secure of a certain subsistence; or to nations, whose slavery consists either in renouncing the use of those things which nature has given them, or in being obliged to submit to a disadvantageous commerce.

CHAP. IX.

An Institution adapted to aconomical Commerce.

In flates that carry on an economical commerce, they have luckily established banks, which, by their credit, have formed a new species of wealth; but it would be quite wrong to introduce them into governments whose commerce is founded only in luxury. The erecting of banks in countries governed by an absolute monarch supposes money on the one side, and on the other power; that is, on the one hand the means of procuring every thing, without any power; and on the other the power, without any means of procuring at all. In a government of this kind, none but the prince ever had, or can have a treasure; and where-ever there is one, it no sooner becomes great, than it becomes the treasure of the prince.

For the fame reason, all affociations of merchants, in order to carry on a particular commerce, are im-

proper

This was first established by the Portuguese. Fr. Pirard's Voyages, Chap. xv. Part 2.

Chap. 10. these companies is to give to the wealth of private persons the weight of public riches. But, in those governments, this weight can be found only in the prince. Nay, they are not even always proper in states engaged in economical commerce: for, if the trade be not so great as to surpass the management of particular persons, it is much better to leave it open, than, by exclusive privileges, to restrain the liberty of commerce.

CHAP. X.

The fame Subject continued.

A Free port may be established in the dominions of states whose commerce is economical. That economy in the government, which always attends the frugality of individuals, is, if I may so express myself, the soul of its economical commerce. The loss it sustains with respect to customs, it can repair by drawing from the wealth and industry of the republic. But in a monarchy, a step of this kind must be opposite to reason; for it could have no other effect, than to ease luxury of the weight of taxes. This would be depriving itself of the only advantage that luxury can procure, and of the only curb which, in a constitution like this, it is capable of receiving.

CHAP. XI.

Of the Freedom of Commerce.

THE freedom of commerce is not a power grant-Book and to the merchants to do what they please:

Chap. 11.

This would be more properly its flavery. The con-& 12.

Straint of the merchant is not the constraint of commerce. It is in the freest countries that the merchant finds innumerable obstacles; and he is never less crossed by laws, than in a country of slaves.

England prohibits the exportation of her wool; coals must be brought by sea to the capital; no horses, except geldings, are allowed to be exported; and the *vessels of her colonies, trading to Europe, must take in water in England. The English constrain the merchant, but it is in favour of commerce.

CHAP. XII. What it is that destroys this Liberty.

Where ever commerce fublists, customs are established. Commerce is the exportation and importation of merchandises, with a view to the advantage of the state: customs are a certain right over this same exportation and importation, sounded also on the advantage of the state. From hence it becomes necessary, that the state should be neuter between its customs and its commerce, that neither of these two interfere with each other, and then the inhabitants enjoy a free commerce.

The farming of the customs destroys commerce by its injustice, and vexations, as well as by the ex-

^{*} Act of Navigation, 1660. It is only in time of war, that the merchants of Boston and Philadelphia send their vessels directly to the Mediterranean.

Book cess of the imposts: but, independent of this, it de-XX. stroys it even more by the difficulties that arise from it, and by the formalities it exacts. In England, where the customs are managed by the king's officers, business is negotiated with a singular dexterity: one word of writing accomplishes the greatest affairs. The merchant need not lose an infinite deal of time; he has no occasion for a particular commissioner, either to obviate all the difficulties of the farmers, or to submit to them.

CHAP. XIII.

The Laws of Commerce concerning the Confiscation of Merchandises.

THE Magna Charta of England forbids the feizing and confiscating, in case of war, the effects of foreign merchants; except, by way of reprisals. It is very remarkable, that the English have

made this one of the articles of their liberty.

In the late war between Spain and England, the former made a *law which punished with death those who brought English merchandizes into the dominions of Spain; and the same penalty on those who carried Spanish merchandizes into England. An ordinance like this cannot, I believe, find a precedent in any laws but those of Japan. It equally shocks humanity, the spirit of commerce, and the harmony which ought to subsist in the proportion of penalties; it consounds all our ideas, making that a crime against the state which is only a violation of civil polity.

CHAP.

Published at Cadiz, in March 1740.

CHAP. XIV.

Of seizing the Persons of Merchants.

SOLON (*) made a law, that the Athenians Book XX.

This law he (b) received from Egypt. It had been (a) Plumade by Boccoris, and renewed by Sefostris.

This law is extremely good, with respect to civil his Trea-

*affairs; but there is sufficient reason for its not be leading ing observed in those of commerce. For, as mer-upon usury. chants are obliged to intrust large sums, frequently (b) Diodofor a very short time; and to give and receive cre-rus, B. I. dit; it becomes necessary, that the debtor should Part z. constantly sulfil his engagements at the time pre-fixed; and from hence arises the necessity of laying a constraint on his person.

In affairs relating to common civil contracts, the law ought not to permit the seizure of the person; because the liberty of one citizen is of greater importance to the public, than the ease or prosperity of another. But, in conventions derived from commerce, the law ought to consider the public prosperity, as of greater importance than the liberty of a citizen; which, however, does not hinder the restrictions and limitations that humanity and good policy demand.

CHAP.

The Greek legislators were to blame, in preventing the arms and plow of any man from being taken in pledge, and yet permitting the taking of the man himself. Diodorus, Book I. Part 2. Chap. 3.

CHAP. XV.

An excellent Law.

A Dmirable is that law of Geneva, which excludes XX. A from the magistracy, and even from the adch. 15. mittance into the great council, the children of those who have lived or died insolvent, except they have discharged their father's debts. It has this effect; it gives a considence in the merchants, in the magistrates, and in the city itself. There the credit of the individual has still all the weight of public credit.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the Judges of Commerce.

XENOPHON, in his book of Revenues, would have rewards given to those overseers of commerce, who dispatched the causes brought before them with the greatest expedition. He was sensible of the need of our modern jurisdiction of a conful. The Romans, in the lower empire (*), had this kind of tribunal for their mariners.

7. Cod. Theod. de

The affairs of commerce are but little susceptible of formalities. These are the actions of a day, and are every day followed by others of the same nature. Hence it becomes necessary, that every day they should be decided. It is otherwise with those actions of life which have a principal influence on suturity, but rarely happen. We seldom marry more than once: deeds and wills are not the work of every day: we are but once of age.

(b) On Plato (b) fays, that in a city where there is no laws, Book maritime commerce, there ought not to be above half the number of civil laws: this is very true.

Commerce

Commerce brings into the same country different Book XXX. kinds of people; it introduces also a great number Ch. 17. & of contracts, and of species of wealth, with various 18. ways of acquiring it.

Thus, in a trading city, there are fewer judges,

and more laws.

CHAP. XVII.

That a Prince ought not to engage himself in Commerce.

with merchandises for his wise Theodora, or-rus. dered it to be burnt. "I am emperor, said he, and "you make me the master of a galley: by what means "shall these poor men gain a livelihood, if we take their trade out of their bands?" He might have added, Who shall set bounds to us, if we monopolize all to ourselves? who shall oblige us to fulfil our engagements? our courtiers will follow our example; they will be more greedy, and more unjust than we: the people have some considence in our justice, they will have none in our opulence: all these numerous duties, which are the cause of their wants, are certain proofs of ours.

CHAP. XVIII.

The Same Subject continued.

WHEN the Portuguese and Castilians bore sway in the East-Indies, commerce had such opulent branches, that their princes did not fail to seize them. This ruined their settlements in those parts of the world.

The

(2) Leg.

Nobiliores, Cod.

vendit.

The viceroy of Goa granted exclusive privileges to particular persons. The people had no confidence Ch. 19. & in these men, and the commerce declined by the perpetual change of those to whom it was intrusted; no body took care to improve it, or to leave it entire to his successor. In short, the profit centered in a few hands, and was not fufficiently extended.

CHAP. XIX.

Of Commerce in a Monarchy.

N a monarchical government, it is contrary to the fpirit of commerce, that any of the nobility should be merchants. This, faid the emperors (1) Honorius and Theodofius, would be pernicious to cities; and would remove the facility of buying and felling de comm. & leg. ult. between the merchants and Plebeians.

de rescind. It is contrary to the spirit of monarchy, to admit the nobility into commerce. The custom of suffering the nobility of England to trade, is one of those things which has there greatly contributed to weaken the monarchical government.

CHAP. XX.

A singular Reflection.

Ersons struck with the practice of some states imagine, that in France they ought to make laws to engage the nobility to enter into commerce. But these laws would be the means of destroying the nobility, without being of any advantage to trade. The practice of this country is extremely wife; merchants are not nobles, though they may become so: they have the hopes of obtaining a degree of nobility, unattended with its actual inconve-

niencies.

hiencies. There is no furer way of being advanced Book. XX. above their profession, than to manage it well, or Chap. 20, with success; the consequence of which is generally an affluent fortune.

Laws, which oblige every one to continue in his profession, and to devolve it to his children, neither are nor can be of use in any but *despotic kingdoms; where no body either can, or ought to have emulation.

Let none fay, that every one will succeed better in his profession, when he cannot change it for another: I say, that a person will succeed best, when those

who have excell'd hope to arise to another.

The possibility of purchasing honour with gold, encourages many merchants to put themselves in circumstances by which they may attain it. I do not take upon me to examine the justice of thus bartering for money the price of virtue. There are governments, where this may be very useful.

In France, this dignity of the long robe, a station between the great nobility and the people, which, without having such shining honours as the former, has all their privileges; a dignity, which, while this body the depositary of the laws is encircled with glory, leaves the private members in a mediocrity of fortune; a dignity in which there is no other means of distinction, but by a superior capacity and virtue, but which still leaves in view one much more illustrious: This warlike nobility likewise, who conceive that whatever degree of wealth they are possessed of, they may still increase their fortunes; who are assamed of augmenting, if they begin not with dissipating their estates; who always serve their prince with their whole capital stock; and, when that is sunk,

This is actually very often the case in such governments.

Book make room for others who follow their example; who go to war, that they may never be reproached Chap. 21. with not having been there; who, when they can no longer hope for riches, live in expectation of honours; and when they have not obtained the latter, enjoy the confolation of having acquired glory: All these things together have necessarily contributed to augment the grandeur of this kingdom; and, if for two or three centuries it has been incessantly increasing in power, this must be attributed not to fortune, who was never famed for constancy, but to the goodness of its laws.

CHAP. XXI.

To what Nations Commerce is prejudicial.

TICHES confift either in lands, or moveable effects. The foil of every country is commonly poffesfed by its inhabitants. The laws of most states render foreigners unwilling to purchase their lands; and nothing but the presence of the owner gives them any value: this kind of riches therefore belongs to every state in particular. But moveable effects, as money, notes, bills of exchange, flocks in companies, veffels, and, in fine, all merchandifes, belong to the whole world in general; which, in this respect, is composed of but one single flate, of which all the focieties upon earth are members. The people who possess more of these moveable effects than any other in the universe, are the most rich. Some states have an immense quantity, acquired by their commodities, by the labour of their mechanics, by their industry, by their discoveries; and even fome by chance. The avarice of nations makes them quarrel for the moveables of the whole universe.

le

0

h

ha

CO

an

bu

an

universe. If we could find a state so unhappy, as Book to be deprived of the effects of other countries, and the same time of almost all their own, the proprietors of the lands would there be only planters to foreigners. This state, wanting all, could acquire nothing; wherefore it would be much better, for the inhabitants not to have the least commerce with any nation upon earth; for commerce, in these circumstances, must necessarily lead them to poverty.

A country that constantly exports fewer manufactures, or commodities, than it receives, will soon find the balance sinking; it will receive less and less, 'till falling into extreme poverty it will receive nothing at all.

In trading countries, the specie which suddenly vanishes quickly returns; because those nations that have received it, are its debtors: but it never returns into those states of which we have just been speaking, because those who have received it owe them nothing.

Poland will here ferve us for an example. It has fearcely any of those things which we have called the moveable effects of the universe, except corn which is the produce of its lands. Some of their lords possess intire provinces; they oppress the husbandman, in order to have greater quantities of corn, which they send to strangers, to procure the superstuous demands of luxury. If Poland had no foreign trade, its inhabitants would be more happy. The grandees, who would have only their corn, would give it to their peasants for subsistance; as their too extensive estates would become burthensome, they would therefore divide them amongst their peasants; every one would find skins

r

;

S

Book or wool in their herds or flocks, fo that they would Chap. 21. no longer be at an immense expence in providing clothes: the great, who are always fond of luxury, not being able to find it but in their own country, would encourage the labour of the poor. This nation, I affirm, would then become more flourishing, at least if it did not become barbarous; and this the

laws might eafily prevent.

Let us next confider Japan. The vast quantity of what they receive, is the cause of the vast quantity of merchandizes they fend abroad. Things are thus in as nice an equilibrium, as if the importation and exportation were but small. Besides, this kind of exuberance in the state is productive of a thousand advantages: there is a greater confumption, a greater quantity of those things on which the arts are exercifed; more men employed, and more numerous means of acquiring power; exigences may also happen, that may require a speedy affistance, which so opulent a ftate can better afford than any other. It is difficult for a country to avoid having superflui-But it is the nature of commerce to render the superfluous useful, and the useful necessary. The state will be therefore able to afford necessaries to a much greater number of fubjects.

Let us fay then, that it is not those nations who have need of nothing, that must lose by trade; it is those who have need of every thing. It is not such people as have a fufficiency within themselves, but those who are most in want, that will find an advantage in putting a ftop to all commercial inter-

courfe.

BOOK XXI.

Of Laws relative to Commerce, considered in the Revolutions it has met with in the World.

CHAP. I.

Some general Confiderations.

THO' commerce be subject to great revolutions, Book yet it is possible that certain physical causes, XXI. as the qualities of the soil, or the climate, may fix Chap. 1. its nature for ever.

We at present carry on the trade of the Indies merely by means of the silver which we send thither. The (*) Romans carried annually thither about sifty (*) Pliny thousand sesterces; and this silver, as ours is at pre-Lib. vi. sent, was exchanged for merchandises which were cap. 23. brought to the west. Every nation that ever traded to the Indies, has constantly carried bullion, and brought merchandises in return.

It is nature herself that produces this effect. The Indians have their arts adapted to their manner of living. Our luxury cannot be theirs; nor theirs our wants. Their climate neither demands nor permits hardly any thing which comes from ours. They go in a great measure naked; such clothes as they have, the country itself furnishes; and their religion, which is deeply rooted, gives them an aversion for those things that serve for our nourishment. They want therefore nothing but our bullion, to

Ç 3

ferve

Book ferve as the medium of value, and for which they XXI. give us merchandises in return, with which the fruchap. 2. & gality of the people, and the nature of the country, furnishes them in great abundance. Those ancient (b) See (b) authors who have mentioned the Indies, describe Pliny, them just as we now find them, as to their po-Book vi. Chap. 19. licy, customs, and manners. The Indies have and Strabo ever been the same Indies they are at present; and Book 15 in every period of time those who traded to that country, carried specie thither, and brought none in return.

CHAP. II. Of the People of Africa.

Africa are favages or barbarians. The principal reason, I believe, of this is, because the small countries capable of being inhabited, are separated from each other by large and almost uninhabitable tracts of land. They are without industry, or arts. They have gold in abundance, which they receive immediately from the hand of nature. Every civilized state is therefore in a condition to traffic with them to advantage; by raising their esteem for things of no value, and receiving a very high price in return.

CHAP. III.

That the Wants of the People in the South are different from those of the North.

IN Europe there is a kind of balance between the fouthern and northern nations. The first have every convenience of life, and few of its wants: the last have many wants, and few conveniences. To one,

one, nature has given much, and demands but Book little; to the others, she has given but little, and Chap. 4. demands a great deal. The equilibrium is maintained by the laziness of the southern nations, and by the industry and activity which she has given to those in the north. The latter are obliged to undergo excessive labour, without which they would want every thing, and degenerate into barbarians. This has naturalized flavery to the people of the fouth: as they can eafily difpense with riches, they can more eafily dispense with liberty. But the people of the north have need of liberty, which alone can procure them the means of fatisfying all those wants which they have received from nature. The people of the north, then, are in a forced state, if they are not either free or barbarians. Almost all the people of the fouth are in some measure in a state of violence, if they are not flaves, menerce is formulane

CHAP. IV.

The principal Difference between the Commerce of the Ancients and the Moderns.

THE world has found itself, from time to time, in different situations; by which the face of commerce has been altered. The trade of Europe is at present carried on principally from the north to the south; and the difference of climates is the cause that the several nations have great occasion for the merchandises of each other. For example, the liquors of the south, which are carried to the north, form a commerce little known to the ancients. Thus the burthen of vessels, which was formerly computed by measures of corn, is at present determined by tons of liquor.

The

Book XXI. Chap. 5. The ancient commerce, as far as it is known to us, was carried on from one port in the Mediterranean to another; and was almost wholly confined to the fouth. Now the people of the same climate, having nearly the same things of their own, have not the same need of trading amongst themselves as with those of a different climate. The commerce of Europe was therefore formerly less extended than at present.

This does not at all contradict what I have faid of our commerce to the Indies: for here the prodigious difference of climate destroys all relation be-

tween their wants and ours.

CHAP. V.

Other Differences,

Commerce is sometimes destroyed by conquerors, fometimes cramped by monarchs; it traverses the earth, slies from the place where it is oppressed, and stays where it has liberty to breathe: it reigns at present where nothing was formerly to be seen but desarts, seas, and rocks; and, where it once reigned, now there are only desarts.

To fee Colchis in its present Situation, which is no more than a vast forest, where the people are every day decreasing, and only defend their liberty to sell themselves by piece-meal to the Turks and Persians; one could never imagine, that this country had ever, in the time of the Romans, been full of cities, where commerce convened all the nations of the world. We find no monument of these facts in the country

(*) Lib, vi, itfelf; there are no traces of them, except in (*) Pliny
(*) Lib, ii, and (b) Strabo.

The

The history of commerce, is that of the commu-Book XXI. nication of people. Their numerous defeats, and Chap. 6. the certain flux and reflux of populations and deva-stations, here form the most extraordinary events.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Commerce of the Ancients.

THE immense treasures of Semiramis (*), which (*) Diodocould not be acquired in a day, give us reason rus, Lib.ii. to believe, that the Assyrians themselves had pillaged other rich nations, as other nations afterwards

pillaged them.

The effect of commerce is riches; the consequence of riches, luxury; and that of luxury, the perfection of arts. We find that the arts were carried to great perfection in the time of Semiramis (*); which is a (*) Ibid. sufficient indication, that a considerable commerce was then established.

In the empires of Asia there was a great commerce of luxury. The history of luxury would make a fine part of that of commerce. The luxury of the Persians was that of the Medes, as the luxury

of the Medes was that of the Affyrians.

Great revolutions have happened in Asia. The north-east part of Persia, Hyrcania, Margiana, Bactria, &c. were formerly full of flourishing cities (c), (c) Pliny, which are now no more; and the north of this Lib. vi. Cap. 16. &c (d) empire, that is, the isthmus which separates the Strabo, Caspian and the Euxine seas, was covered with cities Lib. ii. and nations, which are now destroyed.

Eratosthenes (°) and Aristobulus learnt from Pa-Lib. ii. troclus, that the merchandises of India passed by the (°) Strabo, Oxus into the sea of Pontus. Marcus Varro* tells

us,

^{*} See Pliny, Lib. vi. Cap. 17. See also Strabo, Lib. ii. upon the passage by which the merchandises were conveyed from the Phasis to the Cyrus.

Chap. 6.

Book us, that, at the time when Pompey commanded against Mithridates, they were informed, that they went in feven days from India to the country of the Bactrians, and to the river Icarus, which falls into the Oxus; that, by this means they were able to bring the merchandises of India across the Caspian sea, and to enter the mouth of the Cyrus; from whence it was only a five days passage to the Phasis, a river that discharges itself into the Euxine sea. There is no doubt but it was by the nations inhabiting these feveral countries, that the great empires of the Affyrians, Medes, and Persians, had a communication with the most distant parts of the east and west.

An entire stop is now put to this communication. All these countries have been laid waste by the *Tartars, and are still infested by this destructive nation. The Oxus no longer runs into the Caspian sea; the Tartars, for fome private + reasons, have changed its course, and it now loses itself in the barren fands.

The Jaxartes, which was formerly a barrier between the polite and barbarous nations, has had its course turned in the same manner by the Tartars, and it no longer empties itself into the sea.

(2) Claudius Cæ-Lib. vi. Cap. 11.

Seleucus-Nicator formed (*) the project of joining the Euxine to the Caspian sea. This project, which far, in Plin. would have greatly facilitated the commerce of those days, vanished at his | death. We are not certain

^{*} This is the reason why those who have described this country, fince it was in the possession of the Tartars, have entirely disfigured it. The chart made of the Caspian sea, by order of the late Czar Peter I. has discovered the egregious errors of our modern ones; and by this it appears, that this fea is conformable to the representations of the ancients. See Pliny, Lib. vi. Cap.

⁺ See an account of this in Jenkinson's Collection of Voyages to the North. Vol. IV.

He was flain by Ptolemy-Ceraunus,

it could have been executed in the ifthmus which Book XXI. feparates the two seas. This country is at present Chap. 6. very little known; it is depopulated, and full of forests; however water is not wanting, for an infinite number of rivers roll into it from Mount Caucasus; but as this mountain forms the north of the isthmus, and extends like two arms (*) towards the (*) See south, it would have been a grand obstacle to such Strabo, an enterprise, especially in those times when they had not the art of making sluices.

It may be imagined, that Seleucus would have joined the two feas in the very place where Peter I. has fince joined them; that is, in that neck of land where the Tanais approaches the Volga; but the north

of the Caspian sea was not then discovered.

While the empires of Asia enjoyed the commerce of luxury, the Tyrians had the commerce of economy, which they extended through the world. Bochard has employed the first book of his Canaan, in enumerating the colonies which they sent into all the countries bordering upon the sea: they passed the pillars of Hercules, and made establishments * on the coasts of the ocean.

In those times their pilots were obliged to follow the coasts, which were, if I may so express myself, their compass. Voyages were long, and painful. The laborious voyage of Ulysses has been the fruitful subject of the finest poem in the world, next to that which alone has the preserence.

The little knowledge the greatest part of the world had of those who were far distant from them, sayoured the nations engaged in the economical commerce. They managed trade with as much obscurity as they pleased: they had all the advan-

^{*} They founded Tartessus, and made a settlement at Cadiz.

Book tages which the most intelligent nations could take

over the most ignorant. Chap. 6.

The Egyptians, a people who by their religion and their manners were averse to all communication with strangers, had scarcely at that time any foreign trade. They enjoyed a fruitful foil, and great plenty. Their country was the Japan of those times; it posfeffed every thing within itself.

So little jealous were these people of commerce, that they left that of the Red-sea to all the petty nations that had any harbours in it. Here they fuffered the Idumeans, the Affyrians, and the Jews

(2) Chron. to have fleets. Solomon (4) employed in this navi-

ix. Para-lip. Lib.ii. gation the Affyrians, who knew these seas.

Josephus (b) fays, that his nation being entirely Chap. 8. (b) Against employed in agriculture, knew little of navigation: the Jews therefore traded only occasionally in the Appian. Red-fea. They took from the Idumeans Elath and Asiongeber, from whom they received this commerce; they loft these two cities, and with them loft this commerce.

> It was not fo with the Phenicians: theirs was not a commerce of luxury; nor was their trade owing to conquest: their frugality, their abilities, their induftry, their perils, and the hardships they suffered. rendered them necessary to all the nations of the world.

Before Alexander, the people bordering on the Red-sea traded only in this sea, and in that of Africa. The aftonishment which filled the universe at the discovery of the Indian sea, under that conqueror, is (c) Chap. I. of this a fufficient proof. I have observed (c), that bullion was always carried to the Indies, and never any brought from thence; now the Jewish fleets, which brought gold and filver by the way of the Red-

of this Book.

Red-sea, returned from Africa, and not from the Book XXI.

Indies.

Chap. 6.

Besides, this navigation was made on the eastern coast of Africa; for the state of navigation at that time is a convincing proof, that they did not sail to a very distant shore.

I am not ignorant, that the fleets of Solomon and Jehosaphat returned only every three years; but I do not see that the time taken up in the voyage is

any proof of the greatness of the distance.

Pliny and Strabo inform us, that the junks of India and the Red-sea were twenty days in performing a voyage, which a Grecian or Roman vessel would accomplish in (*) seven. In this proportion, a voy-(*) See Pliage of one year made by the sleets of Greece or Cap. 22. Rome would take very near three, when performed and Straby those of Solomon.

Two ships of unequal swiftness do not perform their voyage in a time proportionate to their swiftness. Slowness is frequently the cause of much greater slowness. When it becomes necessary to sollow the coasts, and to be incessantly in a different position, when they must wait for a fair wind to get out of a gulph, and another to proceed; a good sailor takes advantage of every savourable moment, while the other still continues in a difficult situation, and waits many days for another change.

This flowness of the Indian vessels, which, in an equal time could make but the third of the way of those of the Greeks and Romans, may be explained by what we every day see in our modern navigation. The Indian vessels, which were built with a kind of sea-rushes, drew less water than those of Greece and Rome, which were of wood, and joined with iron.

BOOK We may compare these Indian vessels to those at Chap. 6. present made use of in ports of little depth of water. Such are those of Venice, and even of all * Italy in general, of the Baltic, and of the province of † Holland. Their ships, which ought to be able to go in and out of port, are built round and broad at the bottom; while those of other nations, who have good harbours, are formed to fink deep into the water. This mechanism renders these last-mentioned veffels able to fail much nearer to the wind; while the first can hardly fail, except the wind be nearly in the poop. A ship that finks deep into the water fails towards the same side with almost every wind: this proceeds from the reliftance which the veffel, whilft driven by the wind, meets with from the water, from which it receives a strong support; and from the length of the veffel which prefents its fide to the wind, while from the form of the helm the prow is turned to the point proposed; fo that she can fail very near to the wind, or, in other words, very near to the point from whence the wind blows. But when the hull is round and broad at the bottom. and confequently draws little water, it no longer finds this fleady support; the wind drives the vessel, which is incapable of refistance, and can run then but with a finall variation from the point opposite to the wind. From whence it follows, that broad bottomed veffels are longer in performing voyages. 1. They lofe much time in waiting for the wind, especially if they are obliged frequently to change their course. 2. They fail much slower, because not having a proper support from a depth of water,

^{*} They are mostly shallow; but Sicily has excellent ports.

⁺ I say the province of Holland; for the ports of Zealand are deep enough.

f

they cannot carry fo much fail. If this be the case Book at a time when the arts are every where known, at a Chap. 6. time when art corrects the desects of nature, and even of art itself: If at this time, I say, we find this difference; how great must that have been in the navigation of the ancients?

I cannot yet leave this fubject. The Indian veffels were fmall, and those of the Greeks and Romans, if we except their machines built for ottentation, much less than ours. Now the smaller the vessel, the greater danger it encounters from foul weather. A tempest that would swallow up a small vessel, would only make a large one roll. The more one body is furpaffed by another in bigness, the more its furface is relatively small. From whence it follows, that in a small ship there is a less proportion, that is, a greater difference as to the furface of the veffel, and the weight or lading she can carry, than in a large one. We know that it is a pretty general practice, to make the weight of the lading equal to that of half the water the veffel is able to contain. Suppose a veffel will contain eight hundred tons, her lading then must be four hundred; and that of a vessel. which would hold but four hundred tons of water, would be two hundred tons. Thus the largeness of the first ship will be to the weight she carries, as 8 to 4; and that of the fecond, as 4 to 2. Let us fuppose then, that the surface of the greater is to the furface of the smaller, as 8 to 6: the surface of this will be to her weight as 6 to 2, while the furface of the former will be to her weight only as 8 to 4. Therefore, as the winds and waves act only upon the furface, the large vessel will by her weight resist their impetuofity much more than the small.

Book We find from history, that before the discovery XXI. of the mariners compass, four attempts were made to sail round the coast of Africa. The Phenicians sent (2) Pliny, by * Necho, and Eudoxus (2), slying from the wrath Lib. ii. of Ptolemy-Laturus, set out from the Red-sea, and Pomponi-succeeded. Satarpes (b) sent by Xerxes, and Hanno us Mela, by the Carthaginians, set out from the pillars of Her-Lib. iii.

cules, and failed in the attempt.

(b) Herodotus in Melpomene.

Cap. 9.

The capital point in furrounding Africa was, to discover and double the cape of Good-hope. Those who set out from the Red-sea sound this cape nearer by half, than it would have been in setting out from the Mediterranean. The shore from the Red-sea is not so shallow, as that from the cape † to Hercules's pillars. The discovery of the cape by Hercules's pillars was owing to the invention of the compass, which permitted them to leave the coast of Africa, and to launch out || into the vast ocean, in order to sail towards the island of St. Helena, or towards the coast of Brasil. It was therefore very possible for them to sail from the Red-sea into the Mediterranean, but not to set out from the Mediterranean to return by the Red-sea.

Thus, without making this grand circuit, after which they could hardly ever hope to return, it was most natural to trade to the east of Africa by the Red-sea, and to the western coast by Hercules's

pillars.

CHAP.

* He was defirous of conquering it. Herodotus, Lib, iv.

+ Add to this what I shall say in Chap. viii. of this Book, on

the navigation of Hanno.

In the months of October, November, December, and January, the wind in the Atlantic ocean is found to blow northeast; our ships therefore either cross the line, and to avoid the wind which is there generally at east, they direct their course to the fouth; or else they enter into the torrid zone, in those places where the wind is at west.

CHAP. VII.

Of the commerce of the Greeks, and that of Egypt, after the conquest of Alexander.

THE first Greeks were all pirates. Minos, Book who enjoyed the empire of the fea, was only Chap. 7. more fuccessful, perhaps, than others in piracy; for his maritime dominion extended no farther than round his own ifle. But when the Greeks became a great people, the Athenians obtained the real dominion of the fea; because this trading and victorious nation gave laws to the most potent monarch (a) of (a) The that time; and humbled the maritime powers of King of Syria, of the isle of Cyprus, and Phenicia.

But this Athenian lordship of the seas deserves to be more particularly mentioned. " Athens, fays Xe-

" nophon(8), rules the sea; but as the country of (b) On the Attica is joined to the continent, it is ravaged by Athenian enemies, while the Athenians are engaged in distant Republic.

" expeditions. Their leaders suffer their lands to be

" destroyed; and secure their wealth, by sending it

to some island. The populace, who are not pos-

" sessed of lands, bave no uneasiness. But if the " Athenians inhabited an island, and, besides this, en-

" joyed the empire of the fea, they would, as long as

" they were possessed of these advantages, be able to

" annoy others, and at the same time be out of all " danger of being annoyed." One would imagine

that Xenophon was speaking of England.

The Athenians, a people whose heads were filled with the most glorious projects; the Athenians, who augmented their jealoufy, instead of increasing their influence, who were more attentive VOL II.

to

XXI. Chap. 7. to extend their maritime empire than to enjoy it, whose political government was such that the common people distributed the public revenues amongst themselves, while the rich were in a state of oppression; the Athenians, I say, did not carry on so extensive a commerce as might be expected from the produce of their mines, from the multitude of their slaves, from the number of their seamen, from their influence over the cities of Greece, and, above all, from the excellent institutions of Solon. Their trade was almost wholly confined to Greece, and to the Euxine sea; from whence they drew their substitutions.

Corinth separated two seas, and opened and shut the Peloponnesus: it was the key of Greece, and a city, in fine, of the greatest importance, at a time when the people of Greece were a world, and the cities of Greece, nations. Its trade was very extensive, having a port to receive the merchandises of Asia; and another, those of Italy: for the great difficulties which attended the doubling Cape Malea, where the (*) meeting of opposite winds causes shipwrecks, induced every one to go to Corinth, and they could even convey their veffels over land from one fea to the other. Never was there a city, in which the works of art were carried to fo high a degree of perfection. But here religion finished the corruption, which their opulence began. They erected a temple to Venus, in which more than a thousand courtesans were confecrated to that deity; from this feminary came the greatest part of those celebrated beauties, whose history Athenæus has prefumed to commit to writing.

(*) See Strabo, Lib. viii.

> Four great events happened in the reign of Alexander, which entirely changed the face of commerce;

> > the

I

n

Lib. xv.

the taking of Tyre, the conquest of Egypt, that Book likewise of the Indies, and the discovery of the sea Chap. 7. That lies south of those countries. The Greeks of Egypt found themselves in an excellent situation for carrying on a prodigious commerce; they were masters of the ports of the Red-sea; Tyre, the rival of all the trading nations, was no more; they were not constrained by the ancient * superstitions of the country; Egypt was become the centre of the universe.

e

r

r

e

e

)-

it

n

of

7-

d

es

ne

n-

ld

he

ks

n.

eir

ıs,

n-

he

ofe

to

X-

e;

he

The empire of Persia extended to the Indus (a). (a) Strabo, Darius, long before Alexander, had sent (b) some vest Lib. xv. sels which sailed down this river, and passed even (b) Heroo into the Red-sea. How then were the Greeks the Melposirst who traded to the Indies by the South? Had mene. not the Persians done this before? Did they make no advantage of seas which were so near them; of the very seas that washed their coasts? Alexander, it is true, conquered the Indies; but was it necessary for him to conquer a country, in order to trade with it? This is what I shall now examine.

Ariana (°), which extended from the Persian Gulf (°) Strabo; as far as the Indus, and from the South-sea to the Lib. xv. mountains of Paropamisus, depended indeed in some measure on the empire of Persia: but in the southern part it was barren, scorched, rude, and uncultivated. Tradition(d) relates, that the armies of (d) Strabo; Semiramis and Cyrus perished in these desarts; and Lib. xv. Alexander, who caused his sleet to follow him, could not avoid losing in this place a great part of his army. The Persians lest the whole coast to the Icthyophagi (°), the Oritæ, and other barbarous (e) Pliny, nations. Besides, the Persians were no † great Lib. vi. Cap. 23: Strabo,

* Which inspired an aversion for strangers.

[†] They failed not upon the rivers, lest they should defile the elements.

Book XXI. Chap. 7. failors, and their very religion debarred them from entertaining any such notion as that of a maritime commerce. The voyage undertaken by Darius's direction upon the Indus, and the Indian sea, proceeded rather from the capriciousness of a prince vainly ambitious of shewing his power, than from any settled regular project. It was attended with no consequence, either to the advantage of commerce, or of navigation. They emerged from their ignorance, only to plunge into it again.

(a) Strabo, Lib. xv.

Besides, it was a received opinion (*) before the expedition of Alexander, that the southern parts of India were uninhabitable *. This proceeded from a tradition that (*) Semiramis had brought back from thence only twenty men, and Cyrus but seven.

(b) Ibid.

Alexander entered by the north. His defign was to march towards the east: but having found a part of the fouth full of great nations, cities, and rivers; he attempted to conquer it, and succeeded.

He then formed the design of uniting the Indies to the western nations by a maritime commerce, as he had already united them by the colonies he had established by land.

He ordered a fleet to be built on the Hydaspes, and then fell down that river, entered the Indus, and failed even to its mouth. The fleet followed the coast from the Indus along the banks of the country of the Oritæ, and the Icthyophagi, of Carmania and Persia. He built cities, and would not suffer the

they have no maritime commerce. Those who take to the sea, are treated by them as atheists.

Herodotus (in Melpomene) fays, that Darius conquered the Indies; this must be understood only to mean Ariana; and even this was only an ideal conquest.

the Icthyophagi (a) to live on fish, being desirous Book XXI. of having the borders of this sea inhabited by Chap. 7. civilized nations. Onesecritus and Nearchus, took (b) (a) Pliny, a journal of this voyage, which was performed in Book vi. ten months. They arrived at Susa, where they found Chap. 20. Alexander, who gave an entertainment to his whole (b) Ibid. army. He had left the fleet at Patala*, to go thither by land.

This conqueror had founded Alexandria, with a view of fecuring his conquest of Egypt; this was a key to open it in the very place where the kings his predecessors had a key to shut it; and he had not the least thought of a commerce, of which the discovery of the Indian sea could alone give him the

idea.

e

5

n

0

.,

ie

of

a

ħ

as

rt

;

es

as

s,

nd he

ry ia

er

he

lay

ea,

the

en

The kings of Syria left the commerce of the fouth to those of Egypt, and attached themselves only to the northern trade, which was carried on by means of the Oxus and the Caspian sea. They then imagined that this fea was a part of the (c) northern (c) Pliny, ocean. Seleucus and Antiochus applied themselves Lib. vi. to make discoveries in it, with a particular attention; & Strabo, and with this view they scoured it with their fleets (4), Lib. ii. That part which Seleucus furveyed, was called the Pag. 507. Seleucidian sea; that which Antiochus discovered, re- (d) Pliny, ceived the name of the sea of Antiochus. Atten- Cap. 67. tive to the projects they might have formed of attacking Europe from hence on the back of Gaul and Germany, they neglected the feas on the South; whether it was that the Ptolemys, by means of their fleets

* A city in the island of Patalena, at the mouth of the Indus.

[†] Alexandria was founded on a flat shore, called Racotis, where the former kings had kept a garrison to prevent all strangers, and more particularly the Greeks, from entering the country. Pliny, Lib. v. Cap. 10. Strabo, Lib. xvii.

XXI. Chap. 7.

Book fleets on the Red-sea, were already become the masters of it; or that they had discovered such an invincible aversion in the Persians against engaging in maritime affairs; or, in fine, that the general fubmission of all the people in the fouth, left no room for them to flatter themselves with the hopes of further conquests.

> I am furprised, I confess, at the obstinacy with which the ancients believed that the Caspian sea was a part of the ocean. The expeditions of Alexander, of the kings of Syria, of the Parthians and the Romans, could not make them change their fentiments; notwithstanding these nations described the Caspian sea with a wonderful exactness: but men are generally fo tenacious of their errors, that they acquiesce to truth as late as possible. When only the fouth of this fea was known, it was at first taken for the ocean; in proportion as they advanced along the banks of the northern coast, instead of imagining it a great lake, they still believed it to be the ocean, that here made a fort of a bay; when they had almost finished its circuit, and had quite surveyed the northern coaft, though their eyes were then opened, yet they shut them once more; and took the mouth of the Volga for a strait, or a prolongation of the ocean.

The land army of Alexander had been only on the east as far as the Hypanis, which is the last of those rivers that fall into the Indus: thus the first trade which the Greeks carried on to the Indies was confined to a very small part of the country. Seleucus-Nicator penetrated as far as the Ganges (a), and by that means discovered the sea into which this river falls, that is to fay, the bay of Bengal. The mo-

(a) Pliny, Lib. vi. Cap. 17.

derns

derns discover countries by voyages at sea; the an- Book cients-discovered seas by conquests at land.

he

an

in b-

m

r-

th

as

г,

he

ti-

he

en

ey

ly

en

g

g

n,

d

r-

re

d

0-

ie

se!

le

1-

S-

y

r

)-S other ports.

XXI. Chap. 7.

Strabo (a), notwithstanding the testimony of Apol- (a)Lib.xv. lodorus, seems to doubt whether the Grecian (b) kings of Bactria proceeded farther than Seleucus and lonius A-Alexander. I am apt to think they went no further drumatito the east, and that they did not pass the Ganges: nus in Strabo, but they went farther towards the fouth: they dif- Lib. ii. covered *Siger, and the ports in Guzarat and Malabar, which gave rife to the navigation I am going to mention.

Pliny (c) informs us, that the navigation of the In- (c) Lib. vi. dies was fuccessively carried on by three different Cap. 23. ways. At first, they failed from the cape of Siagre to the island of Patalena, which is at the mouth of the This we find was the course that Alexander's fleet steered to the Indies. They took afterwards (4) a shorter and more certain course, by failing (4) Pliny, from the same cape or promontory to Siger: this can Lib. vi. be no other but the kingdom of Siger, mentioned by Cap. 23. Strabo(e), and discovered by the Grecian kings of Bac- (e) Lib. xi. tria. Pliny, by faying that this way was shorter than Sigertidis the other, can mean only that the voyage was made regnum. in less time: for as Siger was discovered by the kings of Bactria, it must have been farther than the Indus: by this passage they must therefore have avoided the winding of certain coasts, and taken advantage of particular winds. The merchants at last took a third way; they failed to Canes, or Ocelis, ports fituated at the entrance of the Red-sea; from whence, by a west wind, they arrived at Muziris, the first staple town of the Indies, and from thence to the

Plain

The Macedonians of Bactria, India, and Ariana, having feparated themselves from Syria, formed a great state.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 7.

Here we see, that instead of failing to the mouth of the Red-fea as far as Siagre by coasting Arabia-Felix to the north-east, they steered directly from west to east, from one side to the other, by means of the trade-winds, whose regular course they discovered by failing in these latitudes. The ancients never lost fight of the coasts, but when they took advantage of these winds, which were to them a kind of compass.

(*) Lib. vi.

Pliny (2) fays, that they fet fail for the Indies in Cap. 23. the middle of fummer, and returned towards the end of December, or in the beginning of January. This is intirely conformable to our naval journals. In that part of the Indian sea which is between the peninfula of Africa, and that on the other fide the Ganges, there are two monfoons; the first, during which the winds blow from west to east, begins in the month of August or September; and the second, during which the wind is in the east, begins in January. Thus we set sail from Africa for Malabar, at the feason of the year that Ptolemy's fleet used to set out from thence; and we return too at the same time as thev.

Alexander's fleet was feven months in failing from · Patala to Sufa. It fet fail in the month of July, that is, at a feafon when no ship dare now put to fea to return from the Indies. Between these two monfoons there is an interval of time, during which the winds vary; when a north-wind, meeting with the common winds, raifes, especially near the coasts, the most terrible tempests. These continue during the months of June, July, and August. Alexander's fleet, therefore, fetting fail from Patala in the month of July, must have been exposed to many storms,

and

and the voyage must have been long, because they Book

failed against the trade-wind.

1

Chap. 7.

Pliny fays, that they fet out for the Indies at the end of fummer; thus they spent the time which ought to have been employed in taking advantage of the trade-wind, in their paffage from Alexandria to the Red-sea.

Observe here, I pray, how navigation has by little and little arrived at perfection. Darius's fleet was two years and a half (a) in falling down the Indus, (a) Heroand going to the Red fea. Afterwards the fleet of dotus in Alexander (b), descending the Indus, arrived at Susa mene. in ten months, having failed three months on the (b) Pliny, Indus, and feven on the Indian fea: at last, the pas- Lib. vi. fage from the coast of Malabar to the Red-sea was Cap. 23. made in forty days (c). (c) Ibid.

Strabo (d), who accounts for their ignorance of the (d) Lib.xv. countries between the Hypanis and the Ganges, fays, that there were very few of those who failed from Egypt to the Indies, that ever proceeded fo far as the Ganges. Their fleets, in fact, never went thither: they failed with the western trade-winds from the mouth of the Red-sea to the coast of Malabar. They cast anchor in the ports along that coast, and never attempted to get round the peninfula on this fide the Ganges by Cape Comorin and the coast of Coromandel. The plan of navigation laid down by the kings of Egypt and the Romans was, to fet out and return the fame year (°).

Thus it is demonstrable, that the commerce of the Lib. vi. Greeks and Romans to the Indies was much less exten- Cap. 23. five than ours. We know immense countries, which to them were entirely unknown; we traffic with all the Indian nations; we even manage their trade, and in our bottoms carry on their commerce.

But

(e) Pliny.

Book XXI. Chap. 7-

But this commerce of the ancients was carried on with far greater facility than ours. And if the moderns were to trade only to the coast of Guzarat and Malabar, and, without feeking for the fouthern ifles, were fatisfied with what these islanders brought them. they would certainly prefer the way of Egypt to that

(a) Lib.xv. of the cape of Good-hope. (a) Strabo informs us. that they traded thus with the people of Taprobane. I shall finish this chapter with a reflection. Pro-

Lib. viii. rean fea.

(b) Lib. iv. lemy the (b) geographer extends the eastern part of Cap.vii. & known Africa to Cape Prasum, and Arrian (c) bounds it by Cape Raptum. Our best maps place Cape Pra-(f) See the fum at Mosambique, in 14 degrees and a half fouth the Eryth-latitude, and Cape Raptum, at about 10 degrees of the fame latitude. But as the country extending from the kingdom of Ajan (a kingdom that indeed produces no merchandise), becomes richer in proportion as it stretches towards the fouth, as far as the country of Sofata, where lies the fource of riches; it appears at first view astonishing, that they should have thus retrograded towards the north, instead of advancing to the fouth.

> In proportion as their knowledge increased, navigation and trade deferted the coast of Africa for that of India. A rich and easy commerce made them neglect one less lucrative, and more full of difficulties. The eaftern coast of Africa was less known, than in the time of Solomon; and though Ptolemy speaks of Cape Prasum, it is rather as of a place that had been formerly known, than of one known at that time. Arrian * bounds the known country at Cape Raptum, because at that time they went no further. And though + Marcian of Ha-

* Ptolemy and Arrian were nearly contemporaries.

⁺ His work is to be found in a collection of the small pieces of the Grecian geographers, printed at Oxford in 1698, Vol. I pag. 10.

raclea extends it to Cape Prasum, his authority is of Book XXI. no weight: for he himself confesses , that he copies Chap. 8. from Artemidorus, and Artemidorus from Ptolemy.

CHAP VIII Of Carthage, and Marseilles.

ARTHAGE increased her power by her riches, and afterwards her riches by her power. Being mistress of the coasts of Africa, which are washed by the Mediterranean, she extended herself along the ocean. Hanno, by order of the senate of Carthage, distributed thirty thousand Carthaginians from Hercules's Pillars as far as Cerne. This place, he fays, is as far from Hercules's Pillars, as the latter from Carthage. This fituation is extremely remarkable. It lets us fee, that Hanno limited his fettlements to the 25th degree of north latitude, that is, to two or three degrees fouth of the Canaries.

Hanno being at Cerne undertook another voyage. with a view of making further discoveries towards the fouth. He took but little notice of the continent. He followed the coast for twenty-fix days. when he was obliged to return for want of provifions. The Carthaginians, it feems, made no use of this fecond enterprife. Scylax (a) fays, that the fea (a) See his is not (b) navigable beyond Cerne, because it is shal- Periplus, under the low, full of mud and fea-weeds: and, in fact, there article of are many of these in those + latitudes. The Cartha- Carthage. ginian merchants mentioned by Scylax might find (b) See

* Ibid. pag. 1, 2.

obstacles, Herodotus in Melpomene.

⁺ See the charts and narrations in the first volume of Voyages on the obthat contributed to the establishment of an East-India company, stacles part I. pag. 201. This weed covers the surface of the sea in which Safuch a manner that it can scarcely be perceived, and vessels can ontaspe encountered. ly pass through it with a stiff gale.

Book XXI. Chap. 8. obstacles, which Hanno, who had fixty vessels of fifty oars each, had surmounted. Difficulties are at most but relative; besides, we ought not to confound an enterprise, in which bravery and resolution must be exerted, with things that require no extraordinary conduct.

The relation of Hanno's voyage is a fine fragment of antiquity. It was written by the very man that performed it. His recital is not mingled with oftentation. Great commanders write their actions with simplicity; because they receive more glory from facts, than from words. The stile is agreeable to the subject: He deals not in the marvellous. All he says of the climate, of the soil, the behaviour, the manners of the inhabitants, correspond with what is every day seen on this coast of Africa; one would imagine it the journal of a modern sailor.

He observed from his fleet, that in the day-time there was a prodigious silence on the continent, that in the night he heard the sound of various musical instruments, and that fires might then be everywhere seen, some larger than others. Our relations are conformable to this; it has been discovered, that in the day the savages retire into the forests to avoid the heat of the sun, that they light up great fires in the night to disperse the beasts of prey, and that they are passionately fond of music and dancing.

The same writer describes a volcano with all the phenomena of Vesuvius; and relates, that he took two hairy women, who chose to die rather than follow the Carthaginians, and whose skins he carried to Carthage. This has been found not void of pro-

bability.

This narration is fo much the more valuable as it is a monument of Punic antiquity; and from hence alone it has been regarded as fabulous. For the Romans

Romans retained their hatred to the Carthaginians, Book even after they had destroyed them. But it was vic-Chap. 8. sory alone that decided whether we ought to say the Punic, or the Roman faith.

The moderns * have imbibed these prejudices. What is become, fay they, of the cities described by Hanno, of which even in Pliny's time there remained no veftiges? Wonderful would it have been indeed had they continued. Was it a Corinth or Athens that Hanno built on these coasts? He left Carthaginian families in fuch places as were most commodious for trade, and fecured them as well as his hurry could permit against savages and wild beafts. The calamities of the Carthaginians put a period to the Navigation of Africa; these families must necesfarily then either perish or become savages. Besides, were the ruins of these cities even still in being, who is it that would venture into the woods and marshes to make the discovery? We find however, in Scylax and Polybius, that the Carthaginians had confiderable fettlements on these coasts. These are the vefliges of the cities of Hanno; there are no other, from the same reason that there are no other of Carthage itself.

The Carthaginians were in the high road to wealth; and had they gone so far as sour degrees of north latitude, and sifteen of longitude, they would have discovered the gold coast. They would then have had a trade of much greater importance than that which is carried on at present on that coast, at a time when America seems to have degraded the riches of all other countries. They would there have sound treasures, of which they could never have been deprived by the Romans.

Very

Mr. Dodwell. See his Differtation on the Periplus of Hanno.

Very furprifing things have been faid of the riches

BOOK XXI. Chap. 8.

(*) Of wonderful things.

of Spain. If we may believe Aristotle (*), the Phenicians who arrived at Tartessus, found so much filver there, that their ships could not hold it all; and they made of this metal their meanest utensils. The () Lib.vi. Carthaginians, according to Diodorus (), found fo much gold and filver in the Pyrenean mountains, that they adorned the anchors of their ships with it. But no foundation can be built on fuch popular reports. Let us therefore examine into the facts themfelves.

We find in a fragment of Polybius cited by (e) Lib. iii. Strabo (c), that the filver mines at the fource of the river Bætis, in which forty thousand men were employed, produced to the Romans twenty-five thousand drachmas a day, that is, about five millions of livres a year, at fifty livres to the mark. The mountains that contained these mines were called the (d) Silver Mountains: which shews they were the (d) Mons Potofi of those times. At present the mines of Ha-Argenta-

at their abundance.

rius.

In the war that broke out for the fuccession of Spain, a man called the marquis of Rhodes, of whom it was faid, that he was ruined in golden mines, and inriched in hospitals*, proposed to the court of France to open the Pyrenean mines. He alledged the example of the Tyrians, the Carthaginians, and the Romans. He was permitted to fearch, but fought in vain; he still alledged, and found nothing.

nover do not employ a fourth part of the workmen,

and yet they yield more. But as the Romans had

not many copper-mines, and but few of filver; and

as the Greeks knew none but the Attic mines, which

were of little value, they might well be aftonished

The

k

tl th

w th

pl

th

W

the

an

tha

in

cer

no caf

íam wer

Mr.

ciall

Diff

^{*} He had some share in their management,

The Carthaginians being mafters of the gold and Book filver trade, were willing to be fo of the lead and Chap. 8. pewter. These metals were carried by land from the ports of Gaul upon the ocean to those of the Mediterranean. The Carthaginians were defirous of receiving them at the first hand; they fent Himilco* to make (a) a fettlement in the isles called Cassiterides, (a) See which are imagined to be those of Scilly.

Feftus Avienus.

These voyages from Bætica into England have made fome persons imagine, that the Carthaginians knew the compass: but is very certain, that they followed the coasts. There needs no other proof than Himilco's being four months in failing from the mouth of the Bætis to England: besides the famous piece of history of the Carthaginian (b) pilot, (b) Strabe. who being followed by a Roman vessel, ran a-ground Lib. iii. that he might not + shew her the way to England, the end. plainly intimates that these vessels were very near the shore, when they fell in with each other.

The ancients might have performed voyages, that would make one imagine they had the compass, though they had not. If a pilate was far from land, and during his voyage had fuch ferene weather. that in the night he could always fee a polar star, and in the day the rifing and fetting of the fun, it is certain he might regulate his course as well as we do now by the compass: but this must be a fortuitous case, and not a regular method of navigation.

We

^{*} It appears from Pliny, that this Himilco was fent at the fame time with Hanno; and as in the time of Agathocles there were a Hanno and an Himilco, both chiefs of the Carthaginians, Mr. Dodwel conjectures that these were the same; more especially, as the republic was then in its flourishing state. See his Differtation on the Periplus of Hanno.

⁺ He was rewarded by the fenate of Carthage.

Book XXI. Chap. 8.

(a) Frenihemius's Supplement to Livy, 2d Decad. Lib. vi. We see in the treaty which put an end to the first Punic war, that Carthage was principally attentive to preserve the empire of the sea, and Rome that of the land. Hanno (*), in his negociation with the Romans, declared that they should not be suffered even to wash their hands in the sea of Sicily; they were not permitted to sail beyond the promontorium pulcbrum; they were forbid to trade in Sicily, Sardinia, and Africa, except at Carthage: an exception that lets us see there was no design to savour them in their trade with that city.

In early times there had been very great wars between Carthage and Marfeilles + on the fubject of After the peace they entered jointly into the œconomical commerce. Marfeilles at length grew jealous, especially as being equal to her rival in industry, she was become inferior to her in power. This is the motive of her great fidelity to the Romans. The war between the latter and the Carthaginians in Spain was a fource of riches to Marfeilles, which was now become their magazine. The ruin of Carthage and Corinth still increased the glory of Marseilles, and had it not been for the civil wars, in which this republic ought on no account to have engaged, she would have been happy under the protection of the Romans, who had not the least jealoufy of her commerce.

CHAP.

· In the parts subject to the Carthaginians.

[†] Carthaginiensium quoque exercitus cum bellum captis piscatorum navibus ortum esset, sæpe suderant, pacemque vistis dederunt. Justin, lib. xliii, cap. 5.

CHAP. IX.

Of the genius of the Romans as to maritime affairs.

HE Romans laid no stress on any thing but Book their land forces, who were disciplined to stand XXI. Chap. 9. always firm, to fight on one spot, and there bravely & 10. to die. They could not like the practice of feamen, who first offer to fight, then flie, then return, constantly avoid danger, often make use of stratagems, and feldom of force. This was not fuitable to the genius of the *Greeks, much less to that of the Romans.

They destined therefore to the sea only those citizens who were not (*) confiderable enough to have (a) Polybia place in their legions. Their marines were com- us, Lib. v. monly freed-men.

At this time we have neither the fame efteem for land-forces, nor the same contempt for those of the fea. In the first, (b) art is decreased; in the (c) fe- (b) See the cond, it is augmented: now things are generally Confideraefteemed in proportion to the degree of ability requitions on the causes fite to discharge them.

CHAP. X.

Of the genius of the Romans with respect to deur. commerce.

of the rife and declenfion of the Roman gran-

(c) Ibid.

THE Romans were never diffinguished by a jealoufy for trade. They attacked Carthage as a rival, not as a trading nation. They favoured trading cities that were not subject to them. Thus they increased the power of Marseilles by the cession VOL. II.

As Plato has observed, lib. iv. of Laws.

Chap. 10.

Book of a large territory. They were vaftly afraid of barbarians; but had not the least apprehension from a trading people. Their genius, their glory, their military education, and the very form of their government, estranged them from commerce.

> In the city they were employed only about war, elections, factions, and law-fuits; in the country, about agriculture; and, as for the provinces, a severe and tyrannical government, which there prevailed,

was incompatible with commerce.

But their political conftitution was not more oppolite to trade, than their law of nations. (a) Leg. v. " people, fays (a) Pomponius the civilian, with whom ff. de Cap- " we have neither friendship nor hospitality, nor alli-

ance, are not our enemies; bowever, if any thing beco longing to us falls into their bands, they are the

or proprietors of it: freemen become their flaves, and " they are upon the same terms with respect to us."

Their civil law was not less oppressive. The law of Constantine, after having stigmatised as bastards the children of persons of a mean rank, that had been married to those of a superior station, confounds women who keep a *fhop for vending merchandizes, with flaves, with women who keep taverns, with players, with the daughters of those who keep public flews, or who had been condemned to fight in the amphitheatre: This had its original in the ancient institutions of the Romans.

I am not ignorant that men prepoffessed with these two ideas, that commerce is of the greatest service to a state, and that the Romans had the best policied government in the world, have believed that they greatly honoured and encouraged commerce; but the truth is, they feldom troubled their heads about it.

CHAP.

th

th

tic

in

led

la

66

66

66

66

..

tai

do

ba

ga

m

eff

B

m

Quæ mercimoniis publice prafuit. Leg. 5. cod. natural. liberis.

CHAP. XI.

of m

)-

7,

e

d

Of the commerce of the Romans with the Barbarians.

THE Romans having erected a vast empire in Book Europe, Asia, and Africa; the weakness of Chap. 11. the people and the tyranny of their laws united all the parts of this immense body. The Roman policy was then to avoid all communication with those nations whom they had not fubdued: the fear of carrying to them the art of conquering, made them neg- (a) Leg. lect the art of inriching themselves. They made ricumcod. laws to hinder all commerce with barbarians. " Let quæ res " nobody, faid (a) Valens and Gratian, fend wine, exportari " oil, or other liquors to the barbarians, though it beant. " be only for them to tafte. Let no one carry gold (b) Leg. 2 " to them (b), adds Gratian, Valentinian, and Theo-commerc. " dofius; rather, if they have any, let our subjects & merca-" deprive them of it by ftratagem." The expor-(c) Leg. 2. tation (c) of iron was prohibited on pain of death. quæ res Domitian, a prince of great timidity, ordered the exportari

(d) vines in Gaul to be pulled up; from a fear, no beant, and doubt, left their wines should draw thither the bar- Procopius, barians. Probus and Julian, who had no fuch fears, near of the Perfians, gave orders for their being planted again. Book I.

I am fenfible that upon the declention of the Ro- (d) See the man empire, the barbarians obliged the Romans to Chronicles of Eufeestablish staple-towns (e), and to trade with them. bius and But even this is a proof that the minds of the Ro- Cedrenus. mans were averse to commerce.

(e) See the

Confiderations on the causes

theRoman grandeur.

of the rife CHAP. and declenfion of

CHAP. XII.

Of the commerce of the Romans with Arabia, and the Indies.

Book XXI. Chap. 12.

HE trade to Arabia-Felix, and that to the Indies, were the two branches, and almost the only ones of their foreign commerce. The Arabs were formerly what they are at this day, equally addicted to trade and robbery. Their immense defarts on the one hand, and the riches which strangers went thither in fearch of, produced these two effects. These riches the Arabs found in their seas and forests; and as they fold much and purchased little, they drew to (a) themselves the gold and silver of the Romans. The Europeans trade with them still in the fame manner; the caravans of Aleppo, and the royal vessel of Suez, carry thither immense sums *.

(a) Pliny, Lib. vi. Chap. 28.

Pag. 81. of the edi ed 1587. Cap. 23.

Their commerce to the Indies was very confider-() Lib. ii. able. Strabo (b) had been informed in Egypt, that they employed in this navigation one hundred and tion print- twenty vessels: this commerce was carried on entirely with bullion. They fent thither annually fifty (c) Lib.vi. millions of festerces. Pliny (c) says, that the merchandifes brought from thence were fold at Rome at Cent. per Cent. profit. He speaks, I believe, too generally; if this trade had been so vastly profitable, every body would have been willing to engage in it, and then it would have been at an end.

It will admit of a question, whether the trade to Arabia and the Indies, was of any advantage to the Romans?

^{*} The caravans of Aleppo and Suez carry thither annually to the value of about two millions of livres, and as much more clandestinely; the royal vessel of Suez carries thither also two millions.

Romans? They were obliged to export their bullion Book thither, though they had not, like us, the refource of Chap. 12. America, which supplies what we fend away. perfuaded that one of the reasons of their increasing the value of their species by establishing base coin, was the fcarcity of filver, owing to the continual exportation of it to the Indies: and though the commodities of this country were fold at Rome at the rate of cent. per cent. this profit of the Romans, being obtained from the Romans themselves, could not inrich the empire.

It may be alledged, on the other hand, that this commerce increased the Roman fleet, and of course their power; that new merchandises augmented their inland trade, gave encouragement to the arts, and employment to the industrious; that the number of subjects multiplied in proportion to the new means of support; that this new commerce was productive of luxury, which I have proved to be as favourable to a monarchical government, as fatal to a commonwealth; that this establishment was of the fame date as the fall of their republic; that the luxury of Rome was become necessary; and that it was extremely proper, that a city which had accumulated all the wealth of the universe, should refund it by its luxury.

We shall say but one word on their inland trade. Its principal branch was the corn brought to Rome for the subfistance of the people: but this was rather a political affair, than a point of commerce. account the failors were favoured with fome privi- (a) Suet. in leges (a), because the safety of the empire depended Claudio, on their vigilance.

Theodof.

CHAP. XIII.

Of commerce after the destruction of the Western Empire.

Book XXI. Chap. 13.

Ommerce was yet more undervalued after the invasion of the Roman empire. The barbarous nations at first regarded it only as an opportunity for robbery; and when they had fubdued the Romans, they honoured it no more than agriculture, and the other professions of a conquered people.

Soon was the commerce of Europe almost intirely loft. The nobility, who had every where the direc-

tion of affairs, were in no pain about it.

(2) Lib. viii. 1'it. 4. 1. 9.

The laws of the (a) Visigoths permitted private people to occupy half the beds of great rivers, provided the other half remained free for nets and boats. There must have been very little trade in countries conquered by these barbarians.

In these times were established the ridiculous rights of escheatage and shipwrecks. These men thought, that as ftrangers were not united to them by any civil law, they owed them on the one hand no kind of justice, and on the other no fort of

pity.

In the narrow bounds which nature had originally prescribed to the people of the north, all were strangers to them; and in their poverty they regarded all only as contributing to their riches. Being established before their conquests on the coasts of a sea of very little breadth and full of rocks, from these very rocks they drew their subsistance. & cod. de

But the Romans, who made laws for all the universe, had established the most (b) humane ones with regard to shipwrecks. They suppressed the rapine

cus, & leg. 3. ff. ad leg. Cornel. de hearis.

(b) Toto

titulo ff. de incend.

ruin. &

naufrag.

naufra-

of

of those who inhabited the coasts; and what was Book XXI. more still, the rapaciousness of their treasuries (*).

Chap. 14. & 15.

CHAP. XIV.

A particular regulation.

(a) Leg. 1. cod. de Naufragiis.

HE (b) law of the Vifigoths made however (b) Lib. ii. one regulation in favour of commerce. It Tit.3.9.2. ordained, that foreign merchants should be judged, in the differences that arose amongst themselves, by the laws and by judges of their own nation. This was founded on an established custom among all mixed people, that every man should live under his own law: A custom of which I shall speak more at large in another place.

CHAP. XV.

Of commerce after the decay of the Roman power in the East.

THE Mahometans appeared, conquered, extended, and dispersed themselves. Egypt had particular fovereigns; these carried on the commerce of India, and being possessed of the merchandises of this country, drew to themselves the riches of all other nations. The fultans of Egypt were the most powerful princes of those times. History informs us with what a constant and well regulated force they stopped the ardor, the fire, and the impetuosity of the crusadoes

CHAP. XVI.

How commerce broke through the barbarism of Europe.

Book XXI. Chap. 16.

(*) See Aristot. polit. Lib. i. Cap. 9. & 10.

RISTOTLE's philosophy being carried to the west, pleased the subtil Geniuses, who were the virtuoli of those times of ignorance. The schoolmen were infatuated with it, and derived from hence (*) their doctrine on lending upon interest; this they confounded with usury, and condemned. Hence commerce, which was the profession only of mean persons, became that of knaves: for whenever a thing is forbidden, which nature permits or necessity requires, those who do it are looked upon as dishonest.

Commerce was transferred to a nation covered with infamy; and was foon rank'd with the most fhameful ufury, with monopolies, with the levying of fubfidies, and with all the dishonest means of acquiring wealth.

The Jews *, inriched by their exactions, were pillaged by the tyranny of princes; which pleafed in-

deed, but did not ease the people.

What passed in England may serve to give us an idea of what was done in other countries. King (b) Stowe's (b) John having imprisoned the Jews, in order to obtain their wealth; there were few who had not at least one of their eyes plucked out. Thus did that king influence his court of justice. A certain Jew who had a tooth pulled out every day for feven days fucceflively, gave ten thousand marks of filver for

> * See in Marca Hispanica the constitutions of Arragon of the years 1228, and 1233; and in Bruffel, the agreement of the year 1206, between the king, the counters of Champagne, and Guy of Dampierre.

Survey of London, Book iii. Pag. 54.

the eighth. Henry III. extorted from Aaron a Jew, Boos at York, fourteen thousand marks of silver, and ten Chap, 16 thousand for the queen. In those times they did by violence, what is now done in Poland with fome femblance of moderation. As princes could not dive into the purses of their subjects, because of their privileges, they put the Jews to the torture, who were not confidered as citizens.

At last a custom was introduced of confiscating the effects of those Jews who embraced christianity. This ridiculous custom is known only by the (a) law, (a) The Bwhich suppressed it. The most vain and trisling readict passed fons were given in justification of that proceeding; it April 4. was alledged, that it was proper to try them, in or- 1392. der to be certain that they had entirely shook off the flavery of the devil. But it is evident, that this confiscation was a species of the right of * amortisation, to recompence the prince, or the lords, for the taxes levied on the Jews, which ceased on their embracing christianity. In those times men, like lands. were regarded as property. I cannot help remarking by the way how this nation has been sported with from one age to another; at one time, their effects were confiscated when they were willing to become christians; and at another, if they refused to turn christians, they were ordered to be burnt.

In the mean time, commerce was feen to arise from the bosom of vexation and despair. The Jews, proscribed by turns from every country, found out the way of faving their effects. By this means they rendered their retreats for ever fixed; for though princes

In France the Jews were flaves in mortmain, and the lords their fuccessors. Mr. Brussel mentions an agreement made in the year 1206, between the king and Thibaut count of Champaign. by which it was agreed, that the Jews of the one should not lend in the lands of the other.

Book might have been willing to get rid of their persons, XXI. yet they did not chuse to get rid of their money.

The * Jews invented letters of exchange; commerce, by this means became capable of eluding violence, and of maintaining every where its ground; the richest merchant having none but invisible effects, which he could convey imperceptibly where-ever he pleased.

The theologians were obliged to limit their principles; and commerce, which they had before connected by main force with knavery, re-entered, if I may so express myself, the bosom of probity.

Thus we owe to the speculations of the schoolmen all the misfortunes which accompanied the destruction of commerce; and to the avarice of princes, the establishment of a practice which puts it in some measure out of their power.

From this time it became necessary, that princes should govern with more prudence, than they themselves could ever have imagined: for great exertions of authority were, in the event, found to be impolitic; and, from experience it is manifest, that nothing but the goodness and lenity of a government can make it flourish.

We begin to be cured of Machiavelism, and recover from it every day. More moderation is become necessary in the councils of princes. What would formerly have been called a master-stroke in politics, would be now, independent of the horror it might occasion, the greatest imprudence.

Happy

^{*} It is known, that under Philip-Augustus and Philip the Long, the Jews who were chased from France took refuge in Lombardy, and that there they gave to foreign merchants and travellers, secret letters drawn upon those to whom they had intrusted their effects in France, which were accepted.

Happy is it for men that they are in a situation, in which, though their passions prompt them to be Chap. 17. wicked, it is however for their interest to be humane and virtuous.

CHAP. XVII.

The discovery of two new worlds, and in what manner Europe is affected by it.

THE compass opened, if I may so express myfelf, the universe. Asia and Africa were found, of which only fome borders were known; and Ame-

rica, of which we knew nothing.

The Portuguese, failing on the Atlantic ocean, discovered the most southern point of Africa; they faw a vast sea, which carried them to the East-Indies. Their dangers upon this sea, the discovery of Mozambique, Melinda, and Calicut, have been fung by Camoens, whose poem makes us feel fomething of the charms of the Odyssee, and the magnificence of the Æneid.

The Venetians had hitherto carried on the trade of the Indies through the Turkish dominions, and purfued it in the midst of oppressions and discouragements. By the discovery of the Cape of Good-hope, and those which were made some time after, Italy was no longer the centre of the trading world; it was, if I may be permitted the expression, only a corner of the universe, and is so still. The commerce even of the Levant depending now on that of the great trading nations to both the Indies, Italy can be no more than an accessory.

The Portuguese traded to the Indies in right of (1) See the conquest. The (a) constraining laws, which the Dutch of Fr. Piat present impose on the commerce of the little In-rard, Part dian 15.

Boos dian princes, had been established before by the Por-

Chap. 17. tuguefe,

The fortune of the house of Austria was prodigious. Charles V. succeeded to the possession of Burgundy, Castile, and Aragon; he arrived afterwards at the imperial dignity; and, to procure him a new kind of grandeur, the universe extended itself, and there was seen a new world paying him obeisance.

Christopher Columbus discovered America; and though Spain sent thither only a force so small that the least prince in Europe could have sent the same; yet it subdued two vast empires, and other great

states.

While the Spaniards discovered and conquered the west, the Portuguese pushed their conquests and discoveries in the east. These two nations met each other; they had recourse to pope Alexander VI. who made the celebrated line of partition, and adjudged the great process.

But the other nations of Europe would not fuffer them quietly to enjoy their shares. The Dutch chased the Portuguese from almost all their settlements in the East-Indies; and several other nations

planted colonies in America.

The Spaniards confidered these new-discovered countries, as the subject of conquest; while others, more refined in their views, sound them to be the proper subjects of commerce, and upon this principle directed their proceedings. Hence several nations have conducted themselves with so much wisdom that they have given a kind of sovereignty to companies of merchants, who governing these far distant countries only with a view to trade, have made a great accessary power, without embarrassing the principal state.

The colonies they have formed are under a kind Book of dependance, of which there is scarcely an instance Chap. 17. in all the colonies of the ancients; whether we confider them as holding of the state itself, or of some trading company established in the state.

The defign of these colonies is to trade on more advantageous conditions than could otherwise be done with the neighbouring people, with whom all advantages are reciprocal. It has been established, that the *metropolis alone shall trade in the colonies, and that from very good reason; because the defign of the fettlement was the extension of commerce, not the foundation of a city, or a new empire.

Thus it is still a fundamental law of Europe, that all commerce with a foreign colony shall be regarded as a mere monopoly, punishable by the laws of the country; and in this case we are not to be directed by the laws and precedents of the + ancients, which are not at all applicable.

It is likewife acknowledged, that a commerce established between the mother countries does not include a permission to trade in the colonies; for these always continue in a state of prohibition.

The disadvantage of a colony that loses the liberty

of commerce, is visibly compensated by the protection of the mother country, who defends it by her arms, or supports it by her laws.

From hence follows a third law of Europe, that when a foreign commerce with a colony is prohibited, it is not lawful to trade in their feas, except in fuch cases as are excepted by treaty.

Nations

* This, in the language of the ancients, is the flate which founded the colony.

+ Except the Carthaginians, as we fee by the treaty which put an end to the first Punic war.

Book Chap. 17.

Nations who are with respect to the whole universe, what individuals are in a state, like these are governed by the law of nature, and by particular laws of their own making. One nation may refign to another the sea, as well as the land. The Car-(a) Polybi- thaginians forbad (a) the Romans to fail beyond cerus, Lib.iii. tain limits, as the Greeks had obliged the king of Persia to keep as far distant from the sea-coast * as a

horse could gallop.

The great distance of our colonies is not an inconvenience that affects their fafety; for if the mother country, on whom they depend for their defence, is far diffant, no less diffant are those nations by

whom they may be afraid of being conquered.

Besides, this distance is the cause that those who are established there cannot conform to the manner of living in a climate so different from their own; they are obliged therefore to draw from the mother-country all the conveniencies of life. The (b) Carthaginians. tle on won- to render the Sardinians and Corficans more dependent, forbad their planting, fowing, or doing any Livy, Lib. thing of the like kind under pain of death; fo that vii. Dec. 2. they supplied them with necessaries from Africa. Our colonies in the Caribbee islands are under an admirable regulation in this respect; the subject of their commerce is what we neither have, nor can produce; they want what is the fubject of ours.

> A consequence of the discovery of America was the connecting Asia and Africa with Europe; it furnished materials for a trade with that vast part of Asia, known by the name of the East-Indies. Silver: that metal fo useful as the medium of commerce, became now as a merchandife, the basis of the great-

(b) Ariftoderful things.

The king of Persia obliged himself by treaty, not to fail with any vessel of war beyond the Scyanean Rocks, and the Chelidonean Isles. Plutarch's Life of Cymon.

est commerce in the world. In fine, the navigation Book to Africa became necessary, in order to furnish us Chap. 18. with men to labour in the mines, and to cultivate the lands of America.

ıni-

are

alar

ign

ar-

er-

of

s a

in-

10-

ce,

by

ho

of

ey

ry 15,

nny

at a.

d-

of

ın

as

7

Æ

st

il

e

Europe is arrived to fo high a degree of power, that nothing in history can be compared to it. Whether we confider the immensity of its expences, the grandeur of its engagements, the number of its troops, and the regular payment even of those that are least serviceable, and which are kept only for oftentation.

Father Duhalde fays (2), that the interior trade of (2) Tome China is much greater than that of all Europe. That II. Pag. might be, if our foreign trade did not augment our 170. inland commerce. Europe carries on the trade and navigation of the other three parts of the world; as France, England, and Holland, do nearly that of Europe.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the riches which Spain drew from America.

F Europe * has derived fo many advantages from the American trade, it feems natural to imagine, that Spain must have derived much greater. drew from the newly-discovered world so prodigious a quantity of gold and filver, that all we had before could not be compared to it.

But (what one could never have expected) this great kingdom was every where baffled by its miffortunes. Philip II. who fucceeded Charles V. was obliged to make the celebrated bankruptcy known

^{*} This has been already shewn in a small treatise, published by the author about twenty years ago; which has been almost intirely incorporated in the present work.

XXI. Chap. 13.

to all the world. There never was a prince who fuffered more from the murmurs, the infolence, and the revolt of troops constantly ill paid.

From this time the monarchy of Spain has been incessantly declining. This has been owing to an interior and physical defect in the nature of these riches, which renders them vain; a defect which in-

creases every day.

Gold and filver are either a fictitious, or a reprefentative wealth. The representative figns of wealth are extremely durable, and, in their own nature, but little subject to decay. But the more they are multiplied, the more they lose their value, because the

fewer are the things which they represent.

The Spaniards, after the conquest of Mexico and Peru, abandoned their natural riches, in pursuit of a representative wealth which daily degraded itself. Gold and filver was extremely fcarce in Europe; and Spain becoming all of a fudden mistress of a prodigious quantity of these metals, conceived hopes to which they never before aspired. The wealth they found in the conquered countries, great as it was, did not however equal those of their mines. The Indians concealed part of them, and besides these people, who made no other use of gold and filver than to give magnificence to the temples of their gods, and to the palaces of their kings, fought not for it with an avarice like ours. In short, they had not the fecret of drawing these metals from every mine; but only from those in which the separation might be made with fire: They were strangers to the manner of making use of mercury, and perhaps to mercury itself.

However, it was not long before the species of Eutope was doubled; this appeared from the price of commodities which every where was doubled.

The

The Spaniards raked into the mines, scooped out Book mountains, invented machines to draw out water, to Chap. 18. break the ore and separate it; and, as they sported with the lives of the Indians, they forced them to labour without mercy. As the specie of Europe soon doubled. the profit of Spain diminished in the same proportion, and they had every year the fame quantity of metal, which was become by one half less precious.

In double the time the specie still doubled, and

the profit still diminished another half.

It diminished even more than half: let us see in what manner.

To extract the gold from the mines, to give it the requisite preparations, and to import it into Europe, must be attended with some certain expence; I will suppose this to be as 1 to 64. When the specie was once doubled, and confequently became by one half lefs precious, the expence was as 2 to 64. Thus the galloons which brought to Spain the same quantity of gold, brought a thing which really was of less value by one half, though the expences attending it had been one half higher.

If we proceed doubling and doubling, we shall find in this progression the cause of the impotency

of the wealth of Spain.

It is about two hundred years fince they have worked their Indian mines. I suppose the quantity of specie at present in the trading world is to that before the discovery of the Indies, as 32 is to 1; that is, it has been doubled five times: in two hundred years more the same quantity will be to that before the discovery, as 64 is to 1; that is, it will be doubled once more. Now at prefent, fifty (a)quintals of ore yield four, five, and fix ounces (a) See of gold; and when it yields only two, the miner re-Frezier's ceives no more from it than his expences. In two Voyages.

Vot. II. hundred

Book hundred years, when the miner will extract only four, Chap. 18. this too will only defray his charges. There will then be but little profit to be drawn from the gold mines. The fame reasoning will hold good of filver, except that the working of the filver mines is a little more advantageous than those of gold.

But if mines should be discovered so fruitful as to give a much greater profit, the more fruitful they

will be, the fooner the profit will ceafe.

The Portuguese in Brasil have found mines of gold fo rich, that they must necessarily very foon make a confiderable diminution in the profits of

those of Spain, as well as in their own.

I have frequently heard people deplore the blindness of Francis the first's council, who repulsed Christopher Columbus, when he made the proposal of discovering the Indies. Indeed they did, tho' perhaps without defign, an act of the greatest wisdom. Spain has behaved like the foolish king, who defired that every thing he touched might be converted into gold; and who was obliged to beg of the gods to put an end to his mifery.

The companies and banks established in many nations, have put a finishing stroke to the lowering of gold and filver, as a fign or representation of riches; for by new fictions they have multiplied in fuch a manner the figns of wealth, that gold and filver having this office only in part, are become lefs precious.

Thus public credit ferves inflead of mines, and diminishes the profit, which the Spaniards draw from theirs.

True it is, that the Dutch trade to the East-Indies has increased, in some measure, the value of the Spanish merchandise; for, as they carry bullion, and give it in exchange for the merchandises of the east, they ease the Spaniards of part of a commodity, which, in Europe, abounds too much.

And

۲,

11

d

r,

le

as

éy

of

on

of

d-

ri-

if-

h-

oe-

ng

vas

y.

na-

of

es ;

h a

av-

13.

mi-

rs.

In-

of

on,

the

no-

Ind

And this trade, which may indirectly be regarded Book XXI. as that of Spain, is as advantageous to that nation, as to Chap. 18, those who are directly employed in carrying it on.

From what has been faid, we may form a judgment of the last order of the council of Spain, which prohibits the making use of gold and silver in gildings and other superfluities: a decree as ridiculous as it would be for the states of Holland to prohibit the consumption of spices.

My reasoning does not hold good against all mines; those of Germany and Hungary, which produce little more than the expence of working them, are extremely useful. They are found in the principal state; they employ many thousand men, who there consume their superstuous commodities; they are properly a manufacture of the country.

The mines of Germany and Hungary promote the culture of land; the working of those of Mexico and Peru destroys it.

The Indies and Spain, are two powers under the fame mafter; but the Indies are the principal, while Spain is only an acceffory. 'Tis in vain for politics to attempt to bring back the principal to the acceffory the Indies will always draw Spain to themselves.

Of the merchandiles to the value of about fifty millions of livres annually fent to the Indies, Spain furnishes only two millions and a half: the Indies trade for fifty millions, the Spaniards for two and a half.

That must be a bad kind of riches which depends on accident, and not on the industry of a nation, on the number of its inhabitants, and on the cultivation of its lands. The king of Spain, who receives great sums from his custom-house at Cadiz, is in this respect only a rich individual in a state extremely poor. Every thing passes between strangers and F₂ himself.

Book himself, while his subjects have scarcely any share in XXI.

Chap. 19. it: this commerce is independent both of the good

and bad fortune of his kingdom.

Were some provinces of Castile able to give him a sum equal to that of the custom-house of Cadiz, his power would be much greater: his riches would be the effect of the wealth of the country: these provinces would animate all the others, and they would be all together more capable of supporting their respective charges: instead of a great treasury, he would have a great people.

CHAP. XIX.

A problem.

T is not for me to decide the question, whether if Spain be not herfelf able to carry on the trade of the Indies, it would not be better to leave it open to ftrangers. I will only fay, that it is for their advantage to load this commerce with as few obstacles as politics will permit. When the merchandises, which feveral nations fend to the Indies, are very dear, the inhabitants of that country give a great deal of their commodities which is gold and filver, for very little of those of foreigners: the contrary to this happens when they are at a low price. It would perhaps be of use, that these nations should underfell each other, that by this means the merchandifes carried to the Indies might be always cheap. These are principles which deserve to be examined, without separating them however from other considerations; the fafety of the Indies, the advantages of one only custom-house, the danger of making great alterations, and the foreseen inconveniences, which are often less dangerous than those which cannot be foreknown.



BOOK XXII.

Of laws in relation to the use of money.

CHAP. I.

The reason of the use of money.

PEOPLE who have few merchandises as savages, and among civilized nations, those who have only two or three species, trade by exchange. Thus the ca- Chap. 1. ravans of Moors who go to Tambactu, in the heart of Africa, have no need of money, for they exchange their falt for gold. The Moor puts his falt in a heap, and the Negro his dust in another; if there is not gold enough, the Moor takes away fome of his falt, or the Negro adds more gold, till both parties are agreed.

But when a nation traffics with a great variety of merchandises, money becomes necessary; because a metal easily carried from place to place, saves the great expences which people would be obliged to be at, if they always proceeded by exchange.

All nations having reciprocal wants, it frequently happens that one is defirous of a large quantity of the others merchandises, when the latter will have very little of theirs, though with respect to another nation the case is directly opposite. when nations have money, and proceed by buying and felling, those who take most merchandises pay

F 3

the

ne aen

in ood

im iz,

uld efe

ney ing

ea-

if of

en id-

les ès,

ry

eat

er,

ry

It ıld

m-

p.

d,

2-

.

K

Chap. 2.

Book the balance in specie. And there is this difference, that in the case of buying, the trade carried on is in proportion to the wants of the nation that has the greatest demands; whilst in bartering, the trade is only according to the wants of the nation, whose demands are the fewest: without which this last would be under an impossibility of balancing its accounts.

CHAP. II. Of the nature of money.

Money is a fign which represents the value of all merchandises. Metal is taken for this fign as being durable *, because it confumes but little by use; and because, without being destroyed, it is capable of many divisions. A precious metal has been chosen as a fign, as being most portable. is most proper for a common measure, because it can be easily reduced to the same standard. Every state fixes upon it a particular impression, to the end that the form may correspond with the standard and the weight, and that both may be known by infpection only.

The Athenians, not having the use of metals, made use of oxen +, and the Romans of sheep: but one ox is not the same as another ox, in the manner that one piece of metal may be the same as another.

As

The falt made use of for this purpose in Abyssinia has this defect, that it is continually wasting away.

⁺ Herodotus, in Clio, tells us, that the Lydians found out the art of coining money; the Greeks learnt it from them; the Athenian coin had the impression of their ancient ox. I have seen one of these pieces in the earl of Pembroke's cabinet.

nce,

s in

the

e is

de-

ould

ts.

of

his

ttle

een

etal

an

ate

nat

he

on

de

ne

at

S

is

ie

As specie is the sign of the value of merchandises, Book paper is the fign of the value of specie; and when Chap. 2. it is of the right fort, it represents this value in such a manner, that as to the effects produced by it, there is not the leaft difference.

In the same manner, as money is the sign and representative of a thing, every thing is the fign and representative of money; and the state is in a profperous condition, when, on the one hand, money perfectly reprefents all things; and, on the other, all things perfectly represent money, and are reciprocally the fign of each other; that is, they have fuch a relative value, that we may have the one as foon as we have the other. This never happens in any other than a moderate government, nor does it always happen there: for example, if the laws favour the dishonest debtor, his effects are no longer a representative or fign of money. With regard to a despotic government, it would be a prodigy, did things there represent their fign. Tyranny and diftrust make every one bury * their specie: things are not there then the representative of money.

Legislators have sometimes had the art, not only to make things, in their own nature, the representative of specie, but to convert them even into specie, like the current coin. Cæfar, when he was (a) dicta- (a) Cæfar tor, permitted debtors to give their lands in payment on the Cito their creditors, at the price they were worth before Book iii. the civil war. (b) Tiberius ordered, that those who (b) Tacidefired specie should have it from the public trea- tus, Lib.vi. fury, on binding over their land to double the value. Under Cæsar, the lands were the money which pay-

^{*} It is an ancient custom in Algiers, for the father of a family to have a treasure concealed in the earth. Hift. of the Kingdom of Algiers, by Logier de Tassis.

Book XXII. Chap. 2.

ed all debts: under Tiberius, ten thousand sesterces in land became as current money, equal to five thoufand festerces in filver.

The Magna Charta of England provides against the feifing the lands or revenues of a debtor, when his real or personal goods are sufficient to pay, and he is willing to give them up to his creditors: by this means all the goods of an Englishman repre-

fented money.

The laws of the Germans conftituted money a fatisfaction for the injuries that were committed, and for the fufferings due to guilt. But as there was but very little specie in the country, they again constituted this money to be paid in goods or chattels. This we find appointed in a Saxon law: with certain regulations suitable to the ease and convenience of the feveral ranks of people. At first (*) the law declared the value of a fou in cattle; the fou of two Chap. 18. tremefes answered to an ox of twelve months, or an ewe with her lamb; that of three tremises, was worth an ox of fixteen months. With these people money became cattle, goods, and merchandife; and thefe again became money.

> Money is not only a fign of things; it is also a fign and representative of money, as we shall fee in

the chapter on exchange,

(2) The

CHAP. III.

rces

ou-

inft

hen

and

by

re-

a

nd

out ti-

els.

ain

of

le-

VO

an

th

ey

ſe-

n

Of ideal money.

THERE is both real and ideal money. Civi- Book lized nations generally make use of ideal mo- XXII. ney only, because they have converted their real money into ideal. At first their real money was fome metal of a certain weight and flandard: but foon dishonesty or want made them retrench a part of the metal from every piece of money, to which they left the same name; for example, from a livre at a pound weight they took half the filver, and still continued to call it a livre; the piece which was the twentieth part of a pound of filver, they continued to call a fou, though it was no more the twentieth part of this pound of filver. By this means the livre is an ideal livre, and the fou an ideal fou. Thus, of the other subdivisions; and so far may this be carried, that what we call a livre may be only a small part of the original livre or pound, which renders it still more ideal. It may even happen, that we may have no piece of money of the precise value of a livre, nor any piece exactly worth a fou: then the livre and the fou will be purely ideal. They may give to any piece of money the denomination of as many livres and as many fous as they please; the variation may be continual; because it is as easy to give another name to a thing, as it is difficult to change the thing itself.

To take away the fource of this abuse, it would be an excellent law for all countries, who are defirous of making commerce flourish, to ordain, that none but real money should be current; and, to

prevent

74

XXII. Chap. 4. prevent any methods from being taken, to render it ideal.

Nothing ought to be so exempt from variation, as that which is the common measure of all.

Trade is in its own nature extremely uncertain: and it is a great evil to add a new uncertainty to that which is founded on the nature of the thing.

CHAP. IV.

Of the quantity of gold and filver:

WHILE civilized nations are the mistresses of the world, gold and silver, whether they draw it from amongst themselves, or setch it from the mines, must increase every day. On the contrary, it diminishes when barbarous nations prevail. We know how great was the scarcity of these metals, when the Goths and Vandals on the one side, and on the other the Saracens and Tartars, broke in like a torrent on the civilized world.

CHAP. V. The same subject continued.

THE bullion drawn from the American mines, imported into Europe, and from thence sent to the east, has greatly promoted the navigation of the European nations; for it is a merchandise which Europe receives in exchange from America, and which she sends in exchange to the Indies. A prodigious quantity of gold and silver is therefore an advantage, when we consider these metals as a merchandise: but, 'tis otherwise when we consider them as a sign; because their abundance gives an allay to

their

cr

n,

1:

at

es

y

m

nil.

S.

d

ce

it

of

h

d

-

n n

0

their quality as a fign, which is chiefly founded on Book XXII.

Chap. 6.

Before the first Punic war, copper was to filver as (*) 960 to 1 *: it is at present nearly as 73 and a (*) See half to 1. When the proportion shall be as it was Chap. 12. formerly, filver will better perform its office as a Book. sign.

CHAP. VI.

The reason why the price of usury was lowered one half after the conquest of the Indies.

Lynca Garcilasso informs us (b), that in Spain after (b) Hist. of the conquest of the Indies, the interest which was the Civil Wars of at ten per cent. fell to sive. This was a necessary confequence. A great quantity of specie being all of a ards in the sudden brought into Europe, much sewer persons had need of money. The price of all things increased, while the value of money diminished; the proportion was then broken, and all the old debts were discharged. We may recollect the time of the system; when every thing was at a high price except specie. Those who had money after the conquest of the Indies, were obliged to lower the price or hire of their merchandise; that is, in other words, their interest.

From this time they were unable to bring usury to its ancient standard, because the quantity of species brought to Europe has been annually increasing. Besides, as the public sunds of some states, sounded on riches procured by commerce, gave but a very small interest, it became necessary for the contracts of individuals to be regulated by these. In short,

Supposing a mark or eight ounces of filver to be worth forty nine livres, and copper twenty fols per pound.

⁴ In France, Mr. Law's project was called by this name.

76

BOOK XXII. Chap. 7. the course of exchange having rendered the conveying of specie from one country to another remarkably easy, money cannot be scarce in a place where it pours in on all sides, from those who are plentifully stocked with it.

CHAP. VII.

How the price of things is fixt in the variation of the sign of riches.

Money is the price of merchandises or manufactures. But, how shall we fix this price? or in other words, by what piece of money is every

thing to be represented?

If we compare the mass of gold and silver in the whole world, with the quantity of merchandifes therein contained, it is certain that every commodity or merchandise in particular, may be compared to a certain portion of the intire mass of gold and filver. As the total of the one is to the total of the other, fo part of the one will be to part of the Let us suppose, that there is only one commodity or merchandise in the world, or only one to be purchased, and that this is divisible like money: a part of this merchandise will answer to a part of the mass of gold and silver; the half of the total of the one, to the half of the total of the other; the tenth, the hundredth, the thousandth part of the one, to the tenth, the hundredth, the thousandth part of the other. But as that which constitutes property amongst mankind is not all at once in trade; and as the metals or money, which are the fign of property, are not all in trade at the fame time; the price is fixed in a compound ratio of the total of things with the total of figns, and that of the total of things in trade

ev-

k-

ti-

a-

IC-

or

ry

he

es 0-

d

nd

ne

1e

1-

0

. .

of

f

C

.,

f

3

,

trade with the total of figns in trade also: And as Book the things which are not in trade to-day may be in trade to-morrow, and the figns not now in trade may enter into trade at the fame time, the establishment of the price of things always fundamentally depends on the proportion of the total of things to the total of figns.

Thus the prince or the magistrate can no more ascertain the value of merchandises, than he can establish by a decree that the relation one has to ten, is equal to that of one to twenty. Julian's (*) (*) History lowering the price of provisions at Antioch, was the Church, cause of a most terrible famine.

CHAP. VIII.

The same subject continued.

THE negroes on the coast of Africa have a fign of value without money. It is a fign merely ideal, founded on the degree of esteem which they fix in their minds for every merchandise, in proportion to the need they have of it. A certain commodity or merchandise is worth three macoutes; another, six macoutes; another, ten macoutes; that is, as if they said simply three, six, and ten. The price is formed by a comparison of all merchandises with each other. They have therefore no particular money; but each kind of merchandise is money to the other.

Let us for a moment transfer to ourselves this manner of valuing things, and join it with ours: all the merchandises and goods in the world, or else all the merchandises or manufactures of a state, particularly considered as separate from all others, would be worth a certain number of ma-

coutes;

78

Book XXII. Chap. 9. coutes; and, dividing the money of this state into as many parts as there are macoutes, one part of this division of money will be the sign of a macoute.

If we suppose the quantity of specie in a state doubled, it will be necessary to double the specie in the macoute; but if, in doubling the specie, you double also the macoute, the proportion will remain

the same as before the doubling of either.

If fince the discovery of the Indies, gold and filver have increased in Europe in the proportion of one to twenty, the price of provisions and merchandises must have been inhanced in proportion of one to twenty. But if, on the other hand, the number of merchandises has increased as one to two, it necessarily follows, that the price of these merchandises and provisions having been been raised in proportion of one to twenty, and fallen in proportion of one to two, it necessarily follows, I say, that the proportion is only as one to ten.

The quantity of goods and merchandises increases by an augmentation of commerce, the augmentation of commerce by an augmentation of the specie which successively arrives, and by new communications with fresh discovered countries and seas, which surnish us with new commodities and new mer-

chandifes.

CHAP. IX.

Of the relative scarcity of gold and silver.

Besides the positive plenty and scarcity of gold and silver, there is still a relative abundance, and a relative scarcity of one of these metals compared to the other.

The avaricious hoard up their gold and filver, be- Book cause as they do not care to spend, they are fond of Chap. 10, figns that are not subject to decay. They prefer gold to filver, because as they are always afraid of lofing, they can best conceal that which takes up the Gold therefore disappears when there least room. is plenty of filver, because every one has some to conceal; it appears again when filver is fcarce, because they are obliged to draw it from its confinement.

It is then a rule: that gold is common when filver is scarce, and gold is scarce when silver is common. This lets us fee the difference between their relative, and their real abundance and fcarcity: of which I shall presently speak more at large.

CHAP. X. Who to the wind of

Of exchange. This post gradio and

THE relative abundance and fcarcity of specie in different countries forms what is called the course of exchange.

Exchange is a fixing of the actual and momen-

tary value of money.

15

13

te

n

u

n

er

lt

7.

1-

1-

-

e ,

S

9

n

3

C

Silver, as a metal, has a value like all other merchandifes, and an additional value as it is capable of becoming the fign of other merchandifes. If it was no more than a mere merchandise, it would, no doubt, lose much of its value.

Silver, as money, has a value, which the prince in some respects can fix, and in others he cannot.

The prince establishes a proportion between a quantity of filver as metal, and the fame quantity as money. 2. He fixes the proportion between the

Chap. 10.

Book several metals made use of as money. 2. He establishes the weight and standard of every piece of money. In fine, 4. he gives to every piece that ideal value, of which I have spoken. I shall call the value of money in these four respects its positive value, because it may be fixed by law.

The coin of every state has, besides this, a relative value, as it is compared with the money of other countries. This relative value is established by the exchange; and greatly depends on its positive value. It is fixed by the general opinion of the merchants, never by the decrees of the prince; because it is fubject to incessant variations, and depends on a thousand accidents.

The feveral nations in fixing this relative value, are chiefly guided by that which has the greatest quantity of specie. If she has as much specie as all the others together, it is then most proper for the others to regulate theirs by her standard; and this regulation between all the others will pretty nearly agree with the regulation made with this principal nation.

In the actual state of the universe, * Holland is the nation we are speaking of. Let us examine the course of exchange with relation to her.

They have in Holland a piece of money called a florin, worth twenty fous, or forty half-fous or gros. But, to render our ideas as fimple as possible, let us imagine that they have not any fuch piece of money in Holland as a florin, and that they have no other but the gros: a man who should have a thousand florins.

^{*} The Dutch regulate the exchange for almost all Europe, by a kind of determination amongst themselves; in a manner most agreeable to their own interests.

florins, would have forty thousand gros; and so of the rest. Now the exchange with Holland is determined by our knowing how many gros every piece of money in other countries is worth; and as we in France commonly reckon by a crown of three livres, the exchange makes it necessary for us to know how many gros are contained in a crown of three livres. If the course of exchange is at fifty-four, a crown of three livres will be worth sixty gros; if it is at sixty, it will be worth sixty gros. If silver is scarce in France, a crown of three livres will be worth more gros; if plentiful, it will be worth less.

This scarcity or plenty, from whence results the mutability of the course of exchange, is not the real, but a relative scarcity or plenty. For example; when France has greater occasion for funds in Holland, than the Dutch of having funds in France, specie is said to be common in France, and scarce in

Holland; and vice verfa.

fta.

of

hat

call

ive

tive

her

ex-

uc.

nts.

is

1 a

ue

teft

all

the

this

rly

pal

the

the

da

os.

us

ney

her

ind

ns,

by

noft

Let us suppose that the course of exchange with Holland is at fifty-four. If France and Holland composed only one city, they would act as we do when we give change for a crown: The Frenchman would take three livres out of his pocket, and the Dutchman fifty-four gros from his. But as there is some distance between Paris and Amsterdam, it is necessary that he who for my crown of three livres, gives me fifty-four gros which he has in Holland, should give me a bill of exchange for fifty-four gros payable in Holland. The fifty-four gross is not the thing in question; but a bill for that sum. Thus, in order to judge of the *scarcity or plenty of specie, we must Vol. II.

^{*} There is much specie in a place, when there is more specie han paper; there is little, when there is more paper than specie.

BOOK

know if there are in France more bills of fifty-four Chap. 10. gros drawn upon Holland, than there are crowns drawn upon France. If there are more bills, from Holland, than there are from France, specie is scarce in France, and common in Holland; it then becomes necessary that the exchange should rife, and that they give for my crown more than fifty-four gros; otherwise I will not part with it, and vice versa.

Thus the various turns in the course of exchange form an account of debtor and creditor, which must be frequently fettled, and which the state in debt can no more discharge by exchange, than an individual can pay a debt by giving change for a piece of filver.

We will suppose that there are but three states in the world, France, Spain, and Holland; that feveral

individuals in Spain are indebted to France to the value of one hundred thousand (a) marks of filver; (a) A mark is a weight and that feveral individuals of France owe in Spain of eight one hundred and ten thousand marks: now, if some ounces. circumstance both in Spain and France should cause each fuddenly to withdraw his specie, what will then be the course of exchange? These two nations

> will reciprocally acquit each other of an hundred thousand marks: but France will still owe ten thoufand marks in Spain, and the Spaniards will still have bills upon France to the value of ten thousand marks; while France will have none at all upon Spain.

> But if Holland was in a contrary fituation with respect to France, and in order to balance their account must pay her ten thousand marks, the French would have two ways of paying the Spaniards;

either

our

wns

om

beand

four

vice

inge

nust can

dual

e of

s in

eral

the

ver;

pain

ome

ause

will

ions

dred

iou-

ftill

fand

pon

with

ac-

rds;

ther

either by giving their creditors in Spain bills for ten Book XXII. thousand marks upon their debtors in Holland, or Chap. 10. else by sending specie to the value of ten thousand marks to Spain.

From hence it follows, that when a state has occafion to remit a sum of money into another country, it is indifferent in the nature of the thing, whether specie be conveyed thither, or they take bills of exchange. The advantage or disadvantage of these two methods solely depends on actual circumstances. We must enquire which will yield most gros in Holland, money carried thither in specie, or a bill upon Holland for the like sum *.

When money of the same standard and weight in France yields money of the same standard and weight in Holland, we say that the exchange is at par. In the actual state of specie (*) the par is nearly at fifty- (*) In sour gros to the crown. When the exchange is 1744-above sifty-four gros, we say it is high: when beneath, we say it is low.

In order to know the loss and gain of a state, in a particular situation of exchange, it must be considered as debtor and creditor, as buyer and seller. When the exchange is below par, it loses as debtor, and gains as creditor; it loses as buyer, and gains as seller. 'Tis obvious, it loses as debtor: suppose, for example, France owes Holland a certain number of gros, the sewer gros there are in a crown, the more crowns she has to pay. On the contrary, if France is creditor, for a certain number of gros, the less number of gros there are in a crown, the more crowns she will receive. The state loses also as G 2 buyer;

* With the expence of carriage and infurance deducted.

Chap. 10.

Book buyer; for there must be the same number of gros, to buy the same quantity of merchandises; and while the change is low, every French crown is worth fewer gros. For the same reason the state gains as a feller: I fell my merchandise in Holland for a certain number of gros; I receive then more crowns in France, when for every fifty gros I receive a crown, than I should do if I received only the same crown for ever fifty-four. The contrary to this takes place in the other state. If the Dutch are indebted a certain number of crowns to France, they will gain; if they are owing to them, they will lofe; if they fell they lofe, and if they buy they gain.

It is proper to purfue this fomething farther. When the exchange is below par; for example, if it is at fifty instead of fifty four, it should follow that France on fending bills of exchange to Holland for fifty-four thousand crowns, could buy merchandises only to the value of fifty thousand; and that on the other hand, the Dutch fending the value of fifty thousand to France, might buy fifty. four thousand, which makes a difference of s; that is, a loss to France of more than ; fo that France would be obliged to fend to Holland + more in specie or merchandise, than she would do was the exchange at par. And as the mischief must constantly increase, because a debt of this kind would bring the exchange still lower, France would in the end be ruined. It feems, I fay, as if this should certainly follow; and yet it does not, because of the principle which I have (a) elsewhere established, which is, that ftates constantly lean towards a balance, in order to preserve their independency. Thus they borrow only in proportion to their ability to pay, and measure

(') See Book xx. Chap. 21.

their

cor, and orth s as cerwns re a ame this inthey

ofe; ther. e, if fole to buy ind; the ifty. that ance speex-

ring d be ainly ciple that

ant-

er to OR-

fure heir

their buying by what they fell: and taking the Book example from above, if the exchange falls in France Chap. 10. from fifty-four to fifty, the Dutch who buy merchandifes in France to the value of a thousand crowns, for which they used to pay fifty-four thousand gros, would now pay only fifty thousand, if the French would confent to it. But the merchandise of France will rise infenfibly, and the profit will be shared between the French and the Dutch; for when a merchant can gain, he eafily shares his profit: there then arises a communication of profit between the French and the Dutch. In the fame manner the French, who bought merchandises of Holland for fifty-four thousand gros, and who when the exchange was at fifty-four paid for them a thousand crowns, will be obliged to add more in French crowns to buy the fame merchandifes. But the French merchant being fensible of the lofs he fuffers, will take up lefs of the merchandife of Holland. The French and the Dutch merchant will then be both losers, the state will insensibly fall into a balance, and the lowering of the exchange will not be attended with those inconveniencies which we had reason to fear.

A merchant may fend his stock into a foreign country when the exchange is below par, without injuring his fortune; because when it returns, he recovers what he had loft; but a prince, who fends only specie into a foreign country, which never can return, is always a lofer.

When the merchants have great dealings in any country, the exchange there infallibly rifes. This proceeds from their entering into many engagements, buying great quantities of merchandises, and drawing upon foreign countries to pay for them.

Book

A prince may amass great wealth in his dominions, Chap. 10. and yet specie may be really scarce and relatively common; for inftance, this state is indebted for many merchandifes to a foreign country, the exchange

will be low tho' specie be scarce.

The exchange of all places constantly tends to a certain proportion, and that in the very nature of things. If the course of exchange from Ireland to England is below par, and that of England to Holland is also under par, that of Ireland to Holland will be still lower; that is, in a compound ratio of that of Ireland to England, and that of England to Holland: for a Dutch merchant who can have his specie indirectly from Ireland, by the way of England, will not chuse to pay dearer by having it the direct way. This, I fay, ought naturally to be the case: but however it is not exactly so; there are always circumstances which vary these things; and the different profit of drawing by one place, or of drawing by another, constitutes the particular art and dexterity of the bankers, which does not belong to the present subject.

When a state raises its specie; for instance, when it gives the name of fix livres or two crowns, to what was before called three livres or one crown, this new denomination, which adds nothing real to the crown, ought not to procure a fingle gros more by the exchange. We ought only to have for the two new crowns, the same number of gros which we before received for the old one. If this does not happen, it must not be imputed as an effect of the regulation itself; but to the novelty and suddenness of the affair. The exchange adheres to what is already established, and is not altered till after a certain

time.

ions, ively maange

to a re of d to Hollland io of d to e his Eng-t the e are and

en it what this the by two be-

r of

art

hep

re-

is of

eady

tain

When a state, iustead of only raising the specie by Book a law, calls it in, in order to diminish its fize, it fre- Chap. 10. quently happens that during the time taken up in its paffing again through the mint, there are two kinds of money; the large, which is the old, and the fmall, which is the new; and as the large is cried down, as not to be received as money, and bills of exchange must consequently be paid in the new, one would imagine then that the exchange should be regulated by the new. If, for example, in France the ancient crown of three livres, being worth in Holland fixty gros, was reduced one half, the new crown ought to be valued only at thirty. On the other hand, it feems as if the exchange ought to be regulated by the old coin; because the banker who has specie, and receives bills, is obliged to carry the old coin to the mint, in order to change it for the new; by which he must be a loser. The exchange then ought to be fixed between the value of the old coin, and that of the new. The value of the old is decreased, if we may call it so, both because there is already some of the new in trade, and because the bankers cannot keep up to the rigour of the law; having an interest in letting loose the old coin from their chefts, and being even fometimes forced to make payments with it. Again, the value of the new specie must rise; because the banker having this, finds himfelf in a fituation, in which, as we shall immediately prove, he will reap great advantage by procuring the old. The exchange should then be fixed, as I have already faid, between the new and the old coin. For then the bankers find it for their interest, to send the old out of the kingdom; because by this means they procure the same advantage as they could receive from a regular ex-G 4 change

Book change of the old specie, that is a great many gros XXII. in Holland, and in return a regular exchange a little lower, between the old and the new specie, which would bring many crowns to France.

Suppose that three livres of the old coin yield by the actual exchange forty-five gros, and that by sending this same crown to Holland they receive sixty: but with a bill of forty-five gros, they procure a crown of three livres in France, which being sent in the old specie to Holland, still yields sixty gros: Thus all the old specie would be sent out of the kingdom, and the bankers would run away with the whole profit.

To remedy this, new measures must be taken. The government, which coined the new specie, would itself be obliged to send great quantities of the old to the nation which regulates the exchange, and by thus gaining credit there raise the exchange pretty nearly to as many gros for a crown of three livres, as they could receive for sending the old crown of three livres out of the country. I say, to nearly the same; for while the profits are small, the bankers will not be tempted to send it abroad, because of the expense of carriage, and the danger of confiscation.

It is fit that we should give a very clear idea of this. Mr. Bernard, or any other banker employed by the state, proposes bills upon Holland, and gives them at one, two, or three gros higher than the actual exchange; he has made a provision in a foreign country by means of the old specie which he has continually been sending thither; and thus he has raised the exchange to the point we have just mentioned. In the mean time, by disposing of his bills, he seizes on all the new specie, and obliges the other bankers

bankers who have payments to make, to carry their Book old specie to the mint; and, as he insensibly obtains Chap. 10. all the specie, he obliges the other bankers to give him bills of exchange at a very high price. By this means, his profit in the end compensates in a great measure for the loss he suffered at the beginning.

It is evident, that during these transactions, the ftate must be in a dangerous crisis. Specie must become extremely scarce, 1. because much the greatest part is cried down: 2. because a part will be sent into foreign countries: 3. because every one will lay it up, as not being willing to give that profit to the prince, which he hopes to receive himself. It is dangerous to do it flowly; and dangerous also, to do it in too much hafte. If the supposed gain be immoderate, the inconveniences increase in proportion.

We see, from what has been already said, that when the exchange is lower than the specie, a profit may be made by fending it abroad; for the fame reason, when it is higher than the specie, there is a profit in caufing it to return.

But there is a case in which profit may be made by fending the specie out of the kingdom, when the exchange is at par; that is, by fending it into a foreign country to be coined over again. When it returns, an advantage may be made of it, whether it be circulated in the country, or paid for foreign bills.

Suppose a company has been erected in a state with a prodigious stock, and this stock has in a few months been raifed twenty or twenty-five times above the original purchase: suppose again, the same state established a bank, whose bills were to perform the office of specie, while the numerary value of these bills was prodigious in order to answer to the numerary va-

lue

gros ittle nich

by ndty: e a t in os: the

en. cie, the and tty es,

vith

the ers the fif-

of

of ed res he gn

nas as nls,

er

rs

Chap. 10.

Book lue of the stocks, (this is Mr. Law's system) it would follow from the nature of things, that thefe stocks and these bills would vanish in the same manner as they arose. Stocks cannot suddenly be raised twenty or twenty-five times above their original value, without giving a number of people the means of procuring immense riches in paper: every one would feek to fecure his fortune, and as the exchange offers the most easy way of removing it from home, or conveying it whither one pleases, people would inceffantly remit a part of their effects to the nation that regulates the exchange. A project for making continual remittances into a foreign country, must lower the exchange. Let us suppose, that at the time of the fystem, in proportion to the standard and weight of the filver coin the exchange was fixed at forty-four gros to the crown; when a vast quantity of paper became money, they were unwilling to give more than thirty-nine gros for a crown, and afterwards thirty-eight, thirty-seven, &c. This proceeded fo far, that after a while they would give but eight gros, and at last there was no exchange at all.

The exchange ought in this case to have regulated the proportion between the specie and the paper of France. I suppose that by the weight and standard of the filver, the crown of three livres in filver was worth forty gros, and that the exchange being made in paper, the crown of three livres in paper was worth only eight gros, the difference was four fifths. The crown of three livres in paper was then worth four fifths less than the crown of three livres in filver.

of coppers The repu CHAP. XI.

On the proceedings of the Romans with respect to money.

TOW great soever the exertion of authority H has been in our times, with respect to the specie of France during the administration of two fucceffive ministers, still it was vastly exceeded by the Romans; not at the time when corruption had crept into this republic, nor when it was in a state of anarchy; but when the Romans were as much by their wifdom as their courage, in the full vigour of the constitution, after having conquered the cities of Italy, and at the very time that they disputed the empire with the Carthaginians.

And very glad I am, that I can now dive a little into this matter, that no example may be taken

from what can never justly be called one.

In the first Punic war the (2) As, which ought to (2) Pliny's be twelve ounces of copper, weighed only two, and Natural in the fecond it was no more than one. This re- L. xxxiii. trenchment answers to what we now call the raising Art. 13. of coin. To take half the filver from a crown of fix livres, in order to make two crowns, or to raife it to the value of twelve livres, is precifely the same thing.

They have left us no monument of the manner in which the Romans conducted this affair in the first Punic war; but what they did in the fecond, is a proof of the most consummate wisdom. public found herself under an impossibility of paying her debts; the As weighed two ounces of copper, and the Denarius valued at ten Afes, weighed twenty ounces

BOOK XXII. Chap. 11.

ited of ard was

n) it

thefe

man-

aifed l va-

as of

ould

ffers

, or

in-

tion

king

nust

ime

and

d at

ian-

ling

and

oro-

but

at

ade was hs.

rth in

P.

BOOK XXII. Chap. 11. (a) Pliny, ibid.

of copper. The republic being willing to gain half on her creditors, made the As (*) of an ounce of copper, and by this means paid the value of a Denarius with ten ounces. This proceeding must give a great shock to the state; they were obliged therefore to break the force of it, as well as they could. It was in itself unjust, and it was necessary to render it as little fo as possible. They had in view the deliverance of the republic, with respect to the citizens; they were not therefore obliged to direct their view to the deliverance of the citizens, with respect to each other. This made a fecond flep necessary. It was ordained, that the denarius which hitherto contained but ten ases, should contain sixteen. The refult of this double operation was, that while the creditors of the republic loft one half *, those of individuals loft only a fifth +; the price of merchandises was increased only a fifth; the real change of the money was only a fifth. The other confequences are obvious.

The Romans then, conducted themselves with greater prudence than we, who in our transactions involved both the public treasures, and the fortunes of individuals. But this is not all; their affair was carried on amidst more favourable circumstances than ours.

* They received ten ounces of copper for twenty.

⁺ They received fixteen ounces of copper for twenty.

HAP. XII.

fon

per,

vith reat

to

was

t as

vens;

iew to

It

on-

re-

redi-

ifes

the

ces

ith

ons

nes

vas

ces

P.

The circumstances in which the Romans changed the value of their specie.

HERE was formerly very little gold and Book filver in Italy. This country has few or no XXII. mines of gold or filver. When Rome was taken by Chap. 12. the Gauls, they found only a thousand (a) weight of (a) Pliny, gold. And yet the Romans had facked many L. xxxiii. powerful cities, and brought home their wealth. For a long time they made use of none but copper money; and it was not till after the peace with Pyrrhus, that they had filver enough to make (b) money: they made denarii of this metal of the (b) Freinvalue of ten ases *, or ten pounds 'of copper. that time the proportion of filver was to that of cop- Lib. v. of per, as 1 to 960. For as the Roman denarius was Decad. valued at ten ales, or ten pounds of copper, it was worth one hundred and twenty ounces of copper; and the fame denarius was valued at only one eighth of an ounce of filver +: this produced the above proportion.

When Rome became miftress of that part of Italy which is nearest to Greece and Sicily, by degrees she found herself between two rich nations, the Greeks and the Carthaginians. Silver increased at Rome: and as the proportion of 1 to 960 between filver and copper could be no longer supported, she made several regulations with respect to money, which to us

At shemius,

Freinshemius, lib. v. Decad. 2. They struck also, says the fame author, half-denarii called quinarii, and quarters called fe-

⁺ An eighth according to Budeus; according to other authors, a feventh.

Book are unknown. However, at the beginning of the XXII. fecond Punic war, the (*) Roman denarius was worth Chap. 13. no more than twenty ounces of copper; and thus (a) Pliny's the proportion between filver and copper was no Nat. Hift. L. xxxiii. longer but as 1 to 160. The reduction was very Art. 13. confiderable, fince the republic gained five fixths upon all copper money. But she did only what was necessary in the nature of things, by establishing the proportion between the metals made use of as

> The peace which terminated the first Punic war, left the Romans mafters of Sicily. They foon entered Sardinia; afterwards they began to know Spain; and thus the quantity of filver increased at Rome. They took measures to reduce the (b) denarius from twenty ounces of filver to fixteen, which had the effect of putting a nearer proportion between the filver and copper; by this means the proportion which was before as I to 160, was now made as I to 128.

CHAP. XIII.

Proceedings with respect to money in the time of the emperors.

TN the changes made in the specie during the time 1 of the republic, they proceeded by diminishing it: the state reposed in the people the knowledge of its wants, and did not pretend to deceive them, Under the emperors they proceeded by way of allay. These princes reduced to despair, even by their liberalities, found themselves obliged to degrade the specie; an indirect method, which diminished the evil, without feeming to touch it. They withheld a part of the gift, and yet concealed the hand that

(b) Ibid.

money.

Paris edit.

of 1739.

did it; and, without speaking of the diminution of Book of the worth nished. d thus was no

the pay, or of the gratuity, it was found dimi- Chap. 13. We even still see (a) in cabinets a kind of medals, (a) See

which are called plated; and are only pieces of cop-Father Joubert's per covered with a thin plate of filver. This money Science of is mentioned in a fragment of the 77th book of Medals, Dion (b).

Didius-Julian first began to debase it. We find prg. 59. that the coin of * Caracalla had an allay of more than (b) Extract half; that of Alexander Severus (c), of two thirds: of Virtues the debasing still increased, till under Gallienus (4), nothing was to be feen but copper filver'd over.

It is evident, that fuch violent proceedings could not take place in the present age; a prince might (d) Ibid. deceive himself, but he could deceive nobody else. The exchange has taught the banker, to draw a comparison between all the money in the world, and to establish its just value. The standard of money can no longer be a fecret. Were the prince to begin to allay his filver, every body else would continue it and do it for him; the specie of the true standard would go abroad first, and nothing would be fent back but base metal. If, like the Roman emperors, he debased the filver, without debasing the gold, the gold would fuddenly disappear, and he would be reduced to his bad filver. The exchange, as I have faid in the preceding book (e), has deprived princes (e) Ch. 16. of the opportunity of shewing great exertions of authority, or at least has render'd them ineffectual.

CHAP.

rar, left entered n; and

as very

fixths

what

lifhing

of as

They twenty fect of er and ch was 128.

ime of

ie time nifhing dge of them. fallay. eir lide the ed the thheld d that

did

See Savotte, part 2. chap. 12. and Le Journal des Scavans of the 28th of July, 1681. on a discovery of fifty thousand medals.

CHAP. XIV.

How the exchange is a constraint on despotic power.

BOOK XXII. MUSCOVY would have descended from its XXII. Mespecial power, but could not. The establishment of commerce depended on that of the exchange, and the transactions of exchange were inconsistent with all its laws.

In 1745, the Czarina made a law to expel the Jews, because they remitted into foreign countries the specie of those who were banished into Siberia, as well as that of the foreigners entertained in her service. As all the subjects of the empire are slaves, they can neither go abroad themselves, nor send away their effects without permission. The exchange which gives them the means of remitting their specie from one country to another, is therefore entirely incompatible with the laws of Muscovy.

Commerce itself is inconsistent with the Russian laws. The people are only composed of slaves employed in agriculture, and of slaves called ecclesiastics, or gentlemen, because they are the lords of those slaves: there is then nobody left for the third estate, which ought to be composed of mechanics and merchants.

CHAP. XV.

The practice of some countries in Italy.

THEY have made laws in some parts of Italy to prevent subjects from selling their lands, in order to remove their specie into foreign countries. These laws may be good, when the riches of a state

are so connected with the country itself, that there would be great difficulty in transferring them to an-Chap. 16. other. But since, by the course of exchange, riches & 17. are in some degree independent on any particular state, and since they may with so much ease be conveyed from one country to another; that must be a bad law which will not permit persons for their own interest to dispose of their lands, while they can dispose of their money. It is a bad law, because it gives an advantage to moveable effects, in prejudice to the land; because it deters strangers from settling in the country, and, in short, because it may be eluded.

CHAP. XVI:

The affiftance a state may derive from bankers.

THE bankers business is to change, not to lend money. If the prince makes use of them to exchange his specie, as he never does it but in great affairs, the least profit he can give for the remittance, becomes considerable; and if they demand large profits, we may be certain that there is a fault in the administration. On the contrary, when they are employed to advance specie, their art consists in procuring the greatest profit for the use of it, without being liable to be charged with usury.

CHAP. XVII.

Of public debts.

SOME have imagined that it was for the advantage of a state to be indebted to itself: they thought that this multiplied riches by increasing the circulation.

Vol. II.

H

Those

taly , in ies.

are

botic

1 its

esta-

e ex-

con-

the

tries

eria, fer-

ves,

way

heir

en-

Mian

em-

cle-

s of

hird

nics

Book XXII. Chap. 17.

Those who are of this opinion have, I believe, confounded a circulating paper which represents money, or a circulating paper which is the sign of the profits that a company has, or will make by commerce, with a paper which represents a debt. The two first are extremely advantageous to the state: the last can never be so; and all that we can expect from it is, that individuals have a good security for their payment. But let us see the inconveniencies which result from it.

- a debt, they annually draw out of the nation a considerable sum for interest.
- 2. A nation that is thus perpetually in debt, ought to have the exchange very low.
- 3. The taxes raised for the payment of the interest of the debt, are a hurt to the manufactures, by raising the price of the artificers labour.
- 4. It takes the true revenue of the state from those who have activity and industry, to convey it to the indolent, that is, it gives the materials for labour to those who do not labour, and clogs with difficulties the industrious artist.

These are its inconveniencies: I know of no advantages. Ten persons have each a yearly income of a thousand crowns, either in land or trade; this raises to the nation at five per cent. a capital of two hundred thousand crowns. If these ten persons employed the half of their income, that is five thousand crowns, in paying the interest of an hundred thousand crowns which they had borrowed of others, that would be only to the state as two hundred thousand crowns; that is, in the language of the Algebraists,

200,000

C

200,000 crowns - 100,000 crowns + 100,000 Book crowns = 200,000 crowns.

Chap. 18.

People are thrown perhaps into this error, by reflecting that the paper which represents the debt of a nation is the fign of riches; for none but a rich state can support such paper without falling into decay. And if it does not fall, it is a proof that the state has other riches besides. They say, that it is not an evil, because there are resources against it, and that it is an advantage, because these resources furpass the evil.

CHAP. XVIII. Of the payment of public debts:

T is necessary, that there should be a proportion between the state as creditor, and the state as debtor. The state may be a creditor to infinity, but it can only be a debtor to a certain degree, and when it surpasses that degree the title of creditor vanishes.

If the credit of the flate has never received the least blemish, it may do what has been so happily practifed in one of the kingdoms (a) of Europe; (a) Engthat is, it may acquire a great quantity of specie, land. and offer to reimburse every individual, at least if they will not reduce their interest. When the state borrows, the individuals fix the interest; when it pays, the interest for the future is fixed by the state.

S

0

1-

nd

u-

at

nd ts.

00

It is not sufficient to reduce the interest: it is neceffary to erect a finking fund from the advantage of the reduction, in order to pay every year a part of the capital: a proceeding so happy, that its success increases every day.

H 2

Book XXII. Chap. 18.

When the credit of the state is not intire, there is a new reason for endeavouring to form a sinking fund, because this fund being once established, will soon procure the public considence.

If the state is a republic, the government of which is in its own nature consistent with its entering into projects of a long duration, the capital of the sinking fund may be very inconsiderable: but it is necessary in a monarchy for the capital to be much greater.

2. The regulations ought to be fo ordered, that all the subjects of the state may support the weight of the establishment of these funds, because they have all the weight of the establishment of the debt; thus the creditor of the state by the sums he contributes,

pays himself.

3. There are four classes of men, who pay the debts of the state: the proprietors of the land, those engaged in trade, the labourers and artificers, and in fine, the annuitants either of the state or of private people. Of these four classes the last, in a case of necessity one would imagine, ought least to be spared: because it is a class intirely passive, while the state is supported by the active vigour of the other three. But as it cannot be higher taxed without destroying the public confidence, of which the state in general and these three classes in particular, have the utmost need; as a breach in the public faith cannot be made on a certain number of fubjects, without feeming to be made on all; as the class of creditors is always the most exposed to the projects of ministers, and always in their eye, and under their immediate inspection, the state is obliged to give them a singular protection, that the part which is indebted may never have the least advantage over that which is the creditor.

CHAP.

BOOK

XXII. Chap. 19.

on the earlier commiss, the present of the opin of the opin of C H A P. XIX.

Of lending upon interest.

SPecie is the fign of value. It is evident, that he who has occasion for this fign ought to pay for the use of it, as well as for every thing else that he has occasion for. All the difference is, that other things may be either hired or bought; whilst money, which is the price of things, can only be hired, and not bought *.

To lend money without interest, is certainly an action laudable and extremely good; but 'tis obvious, that it is only a counsel of religion, and not a civil law.

In order that trade may be successfully carried on, it is necessary that a price be fixed on the use of specie; but this price should be very inconsiderable. If it be too high, the merchant who sees that it will cost him more in interest than he can gain by commerce, will undertake nothing: if there is no consideration to be paid for the use of specie, no body will send it; and here too the merchant will undertake nothing.

I am mistaken, when I say that no body will lend: the affairs of society must ever make it necessary. Usury will be established; but with all the disorders with which it has been constantly attended.

The laws of Mahomet confound usury with lending upon interest. Usury increases in Mahometan countries, in proportion to the severity of the prohibition. The lender indemnisses himself for the danger he undergoes of suffering the penalty.

I 3

^{*} We fpeak not here of gold and filver confidered as a merchandife.

XXII. & 21.

Book In those eastern countries, the greatest part of the Chap. 20. people are secure of nothing; there is hardly any proportion between the actual possession of a sum, and the hope of receiving it again after having lent it: usury then must be raised in proportion to the danger of infolvency.

CHAP. XX.

Of maritime usury.

HE greatness of maritime usury is founded on two things: the danger of the fea, which makes it proper that those who expose their specie, should not do it, without considerable advantage; and the ease with which the borrower by the means of commerce, speedily accomplishes a variety of great affairs. But usury, with respect to land-men, not being founded on either of these two reasons, is either prohibited by the legislators, or, what is more rational, reduced to proper bounds.

CHAP. XXI.

Of lending by contract, and the state of usury amongst the Romans.

Efides the loans made for the advantage of commerce, there is still a kind of lending by a civil contract, from whence refults interest or usury.

As the people of Rome increased every day in power, the magistrates sought to infinuate themselves into their favour by enacting fuch laws as were most agreeable to them. They retrenched capitals; first lowered, and at length prohibited interest; and took away the power of confining the debtor's body: in fine, the abolition of debts was contended for, whenever a tribune was disposed to render himself popular.

Thefe

These continual changes, whether made by the Book XXII. laws, or by the Plebiscita, naturalised usury at Rome: Chap. 22. for the creditors seeing the people their debtor, their legislator, and their judge, had no longer any considence in their agreements; the people, like a debtor who has lost his credit, could only tempt them to lend by allowing an exorbitant interest; for if the laws did not from time to time remedy the evil, the complaints of the people became continual, and constantly intimidated the creditors. This was the cause that all honest means of borrowing and lending were abolished at Rome, and that the most monstrous usury established (2) itself in that city, (2) Tacit. notwithstanding the strict prohibition and severity of Annal. Lib. vi.

Cicero tells us, that in his time interest at Rome was at thirty-four per cent. and in the (b) provinces (b) Letters at forty-eight. This evil was a consequence of the to Atticus, severity of the laws against usury. Laws excessive-Let. 21. ly good are the source of excessive evil. The borrower found himself under a necessity of paying for the interest of the money, and for the danger the creditor underwent of suffering the penalty of the law.

CHAP. XXII.

The Same Subject continued.

THE first Romans had not any laws to regulate the rate of usury *. In the contests which arose on this subject between the Plebeians and the Patricians, even in the † sedition on the Mons sacer, H 4 nothing

^{*} Usury and interest amongst the Romans fignished the same thing.

[†] See Dionyfius Halic. who has described it so well.

Book nothing was alledged on the one hand but justice, and XXII.

Chap. 22. On the other the severity of contracts.

They then only followed private agreements, which I believe were most commonly at twelve per cent. per annum. My reason is, that in the * ancient language of the Romans, interest at six per cent. was called half usury, and interest at three per cent. quarter usury. Total usury must therefore have

been interest at twelve per cent.

But if it be asked, how such great interest could be established amongst a people almost without commerce? I answer, that this people, being very often obliged to go to war without pay, were under a frequent necessity of borrowing; and as they incessantly made happy expeditions, they were commonly very able to pay: and this is visible from the recital of the contests which arose on this subject; they did not then disagree concerning the avarice of creditors, but said that those who complained might have been able to pay, had they lived in a more † regular manner.

They then made laws, which had only an influence on the present situation of affairs: they ordained, for instance, that those who enrolled themselves for the war they were engaged in, should not be molested by their creditors; that those who were in prison, should be set at liberty; that the most indigent, should be sent into the colonies; and sometimes they opened the public treasury. The people, being eased of their present burthens, became appealed; and as they required nothing for the suture, the senate were far from providing against it.

At

+ See Appius's speech on this subject in Dionysius Halicar-

nasseus.

^{*} Usura semisses, trientes, quadrantes. See the several titles of the digests and codes on usury, and especially the 17th law, with the note ff. de Usuris.

XXII.

At the time when the senate maintained the cause Book of usury with fo much constancy, the Romans were Chap. 22. diftinguished by an extreme love of frugality, poverty, and moderation: but the constitution was such, that the principal citizens alone supported all the expences of government, while the common people payed nothing. What an expedient, to deprive the former of the liberty of pursuing their debtors, to oblige them to execute their offices, and to support the republic amidft its most pressing necessities!

Tacitus fays, that the law of the twelve tables fixed the interest at one per cent. It is evident that he was mistaken, and that he took another law, of which I am going to speak, for the law of the twelve tables. If this had been regulated in the law of the twelve tables, why did they not make use of its authority in the disputes which afterwards arose between the creditors and debtors? We find not any veftige of this law upon lending at interest; and let us have but ever so little knowledge of the history of Rome. we shall see that a law like this could never be the work of the decemvirs.

The Licinian law, made (a) eighty-five years after (a) In the the law of the twelve tables, was one of those tem- year of Rome388. porary laws of which we have spoken. It ordained, Tit. Liv. that what had been paid for interest should be de- Lib. vi. ducted from the principal, and the rest discharged by three equal payments.

In the year of Rome 398, the tribunes Duellius and Menenius caused a law to be passed, which reduced the interest to (b) one per cent. per annum. (b) Uncia-'Tis this law which Tacitus (c) confounds with the ria usura. Tit. Liv. law of the twelve tables, and this was the first ever Lib. vii. made by the Romans to fix the rate of interest. Ten () Annal. years Lib. vi.

years after *, this usury was reduced one half (*): BOOK XXII. and in the end intirely abolished (b); and if we may Chap. 22. believe fome authors whom Livy had read, this was (2) Semiunder the confulate of + C. Martius Rutilius, and unciaria ufura.

P. Servilius, in the year of Rome 412.

(b) As Tacitus fays, Annal. Lib. vi.

663.

It fared with this law as with all those in which the legislator carries things to excess; an infinite number of ways were found to elude it. They enacted therefore many others to confirm, correct, and temper it. Sometimes they quitted || the laws to follow the common practice, at others the common practice to follow the laws; but in this case, custom eafily prevailed. When a man wanted to borrow, he found an obstacle in the very law made in his fayour; this law must be evaded by the person it was made to fuccour, and by the person it condemned. Sempronius Afellus, the prætor, having permitted the ** debtors to act in conformity to the laws, was (e) In the (c) flain by the creditors for attempting to revive the memory of a feverity that could no longer be

year of Rome

fupported.

Under Sylla, I. Valerius Flaccus made a law, which fuffered interest to be at three per cent. per annum. This law the most moderate, the most equitable ever made on this account by the Romans, is disapproved by Paterculus ++. But if this law

* Under the confulate of L. Marilius Torquatus, and C. Plautius, according to T. Liv. Lib. vii. This is the law mentioned by Tacitus, Annal. Lib. vi.

was

+ This law was passed at the instance of M. Genucius, tribune

of the people. Tit. Liv. Lib. vii. towards the end.

Il Veteri jam more fænus receptum erat. Appian, on the Civil War, Lib. i.

** Permifit eos legibus agere. Appian, on the Civil War, Lib.i.

and the Epitome of Livy, Lib. lxxiv.

++ Turpissimæ legis autor qua creditoribus quadrantem folvi jufferat. Lib. ii. Some authors have interpreted this passage, as was necessary for the advantage of the republic, if Book it was of service to every individual, if it formed Chap. 22. an easy communication between the debtor and the creditor, it could not be unjust.

He pays least, says Ulpian (a), who pays latest. (a) Leg. This decides the question whether interest be law-xii. If de werb. significant ful; that is, whether the creditor can sell time, and nif.

the debtor buy it.

if the law of Flaccus had ordained, that they should only pay a fourth of the principal; but, in my opinion, this was not the language of the Latin authors. When the question was in relation to the reducing of debts, they made use of the words quadrans, triens, &c. to signify the usury; and tertia pars, and quarta pars, to point out the capital. 2. They made the consul Valerius the author of a law, which would scarcely have been made by a seditious tribune. 3. This was in the heat of a civil war, at a time when it was necessary to maintain the public credit, not to destroy it; a civil war, in short, that had no relation to the abolition of debts.

BOOK



BOOK XXIII.

Of laws in the relation they bear to the number of inhabitants.

CHAP. I.

Of men and animals with respect to the multiplication of their species.

Book XXIII. Chap. 1.

(a) Tranflation of by Creech, Book I.

IND Venus (*) glory of the best abodes

When first the gentle spring begins t' inspire Melting thoughts, foft wishes, gay defire, Lucretius, And warm Favonius fans the amorous fire; First thro' the birds the active slame doth move, Who, with their mates, fit down, and fing, and love; They gratefully their tuneful voice employ, At thy approach the author of their joy. Each beaft forgets his rage, and entertains A fofter fury thro' the flow'ry plains; Thro' rapid streams, thro' woods and filent groves, With wanton play they run to meet their loves. Whole nature yields unto your charms: the ways You lead, she follows, and eagerly obeys. Acted by those kind principles you infuse, Each bird and beaft endeavours to produce His kind, and the decaying world renews.

> The females of brutes have an almost constant fecundity. But in the human species, the manner of thinking, the character, the passions, the humour,

the

the caprice, the idea of preferving beauty, the pain Book of child-bearing, and the fatigue of a too numerous XXIII. family, obstruct propagation a thousand different ways.

CHAP. II. Of marriage.

THE natural obligation of the father to provide for his children has established marriage, which makes known the person who ought to fulfil this obligation. The people (a) mentioned by Pomponius (a) The Mela (b) had no other way of discovering him but Garamanby refemblance.

Among regular policied nations the father * is that (b) Lib. i. person on whom the laws, by the ceremony of Cap. 8. marriage, have fixed this duty; because they find in him the man they want.

Amongst brutes this is an obligation which the mother can generally perform; but it is much more extensive amongst men. Their children indeed have reason; but it comes only by slow degrees. It is not fufficient to nourish them; we must also direct them: they can already live; but they cannot govern themselves.

Illicit conjunctions contribute but little to the propagation of the species. The father who is under a natural obligation to nourish and educate his children, is not then fixed; and the mother, with whom the obligation remains, finds a thousand obstacles from shame, remorfe, the constraint of her sex, and the rigour of laws; and befides, she generally wants the means.

Women who have submitted to a public profitution, cannot have the conveniency of educating their

^{*} Pater eft quem nuptiæ demonstrant.

Book XXIII, Chap. 3. & 4. children: the trouble of education is incompatible with their station; and they are so corrupt, that they can have no protection from the law.

It follows from all this, that public continence is naturally connected with the propagation of the species.

CHAP. III.

Of the condition of children.

IT is a dictate of reason, that when there is a marriage, children should follow the station or condition of the father; and that when there is not, they can belong to the mother only *

Of families.

IT is almost every where a custom for the wise to pass into the family of the husband. The contrary is without any inconveniency established at Formosa (*), where the husband enters into the family of the wise.

(*) Du Halde, Tom. I. Pag. 165.

This law, which fixes the family in a succession of persons of the same sex, greatly contributes, independently of the first motives, to the propagation of the human species. The family is a kind of property: a man who has children of a sex which does not perpetuate it, is never satisfied if he has not those who can render it perpetual.

Names, which give men an idea of a thing, which one would imagine ought not to perish, are extremely proper to inspire every family with a desire of extending its duration. There are people, amongst whom names distinguish families: there are others, where they only distinguish persons: these last have not the same advantage as the former.

CHAP.

For this reason among nations that have slaves, the child almost always follows the station or condition of the mother.

CHAP. V.

Of the several orders of lawful wives.

AWS and religion fometimes establish many Book kinds of civil conjunctions: and this is the case XXIII. amongst the Mahometans, where there are feveral Chap. 5orders of wives, the children of whom are acknowledged by being born in the house, by civil contracts, or even by the flavery of the mother, and

the fubsequent gratitude of the father.

It would be contrary to reason, that the law should stigmatize the children for what it approved in the father. All these children ought therefore to succeed, at least if some particular reason does not oppose it, as in Japan; where none succeed but the children of the wife given by the emperor. Their policy demands that the gifts of the emperor should not be too much divided, because they subject them to a kind of fervice, like that of our ancient fiefs.

CHAP. VI.

Of laws in relation to bastards.

IN republics, where it is necessary that there should L be the purest morals, bastards ought to be more

degraded than in monarchies.

The laws made against them at Rome were perhaps too fevere. But as the ancient institutions laid all the citizens under a necessity of marrying; and as marriages were also softened by the permission to repudiate, or make a divorce; nothing but an extreme corruption of manners could lead them to concubinage.

'Tis

Book XXIII. Chap. 7.

'Tis observable, that as the quality of a citizen was a very confiderable thing in a democratic government, where it carried with it the fovereign power, they frequently made laws in respect to the state of bastards, which had less relation to the thing itself, and to the honesty of marriage, than to the particular conflitution of the republic. Thus the people have fometimes admitted baftards into the (a) Aristo- number of (a) citizens, in order to increase their power in opposition to the great. Thus the Athenians excluded baftards from the privilege of being citizens, that they might possess a greater share of the corn fent them by the king of Egypt. In fine, Aristotle informs us (b), that in many cities where there was not a fufficient number of citizens, their bastards fucceeded to their possessions; and that when there was an adequate number, they did not fucceed.

tle's Politics, Lib. vi. Cap.4.

(b) Ibid. Lib. iii. Cap. 3.

CHAP. VII.

Of the father's consent to marriage.

THE consent of fathers is founded on their authority, that is, on their right of property. It is also founded on their love, on their reason, and on the uncertainty of that of their children, whom youth confines in a state of ignorance, and passion in a state of ebriety.

In the fmall republics or fingular inflitutions already mentioned, they might have laws which gave to magistrates that right of inspection over the marriages of the children of citizens, which nature had already given to fathers. The love of the public might there equal or furpass all other love. Thus Plato would have marriages regulated by the magistrates: this the Lacedemonian magistrates performed.

But

But in common inflitutions, fathers have the difpofal of their children in marriage: their prudence Chap. 8. in this respect must always be superior to the prudence of a stranger. Nature gives to sathers a desire of procuring successors to those children who scarcely think for themselves. In the several degrees of progeniture, they see themselves insensibly advancing to a kind of immortality. But what must be done if oppression and avarice arise to such a height as to usurp all the authority of fathers? Let us hear what Thomas Gage (*) says in regard to the conduct of (*) The Adven-

"In order to increase the number of men who pay T. Gage, tribute, they obliged all the Indians of fifteen years pag. 171.

" of age to marry; they even regulated the time when,

"the Indians should marry, to fourteen for the males, and fifteen for the females. They ground this upon

" a canon, which fays, that a forward understanding may supply the immaturity of age." He saw a list of these taken. It was, says he, a most shameful affair. Thus in an action which ought to be the

most free, the Indians are the greatest flaves.

CHAP. VIII.

The same subject continued.

IN England, the law is frequently abused by the daughters marrying according to their own fancy, without consulting their parents. This custom is, I am apt to imagine, more tolerated there than any where else, from a consideration, that as the laws have not established a monastic celibacy, the daughters have no other state to chuse but that of marriage, and this they cannot refuse. In France, on the contrary, young women have always the resource of celibacy; and therefore the law which ordains that Vol. II.

XXIII. Clap. 9. & 10.

Book they shall wait for the consent of their fathers, may be more agreeable. In this light the custom of Italy and Spain must be less rational; convents are there established, and yet they may marry, without the confent of their fathers.

CHAP. IX.

Of young women.

TOUNG women who are conducted by marriage I alone to liberty and pleasure; who have a mind which dares not think, a heart which dares not feel, eyes which dare not fee, ears which dare not hear, who appear only to shew themselves filly, condemn'd without intermission to trifles and precepts, have fufficient inducements to lead them on to marriage: it is the young men that want to be encouraged.

CHAP. X.

What it is that determines to marriage.

T THerever a place is found in which two perfons can live commodiously, there they enter into marriage. Nature has a fufficient propenfity to it, when unrestrained by the difficulty of fubfiftence.

A rifing people increase and multiply extremely. This is, because with them it would be a great inconveniency to live in celibacy; and none to have many children. The contrary of which is the case when a nation is formed.

> CHAP. ticily, young women have always the relation

fi

tl

tl

li

fi

a

cl

fe. ar

tu

gr

roi

wi

to

ab.

de

mo

fuc def

W

bea

CHAP. XI.

Of the severity of government.

TEN who have absolutely nothing, such as Book beggars, have many children. This proceeds XXIII. from their being in the case of a rising people: it Chap. 11. costs the father nothing to give his art to his offfpring, who even in their infancy are the instruments These people multiply in a rich or of this art. fuperstitious country, because they do not support the burthen of fociety; but are themselves the burthen. But men who are poor, only because they live under a fevere government; who regard their fields less as the source of their subsistence, than as a cause of vexation; these men, I say, have sew children: they have not even subsistence for themfelves, how then can they think of dividing it? they are unable to take care of themselves, when they are fick, how then can they attend to the wants of creatures whose infancy is a continual sickness?

Some through a fluency of speech and an incapacity of examining, have pretended to fay, that the greater the poverty of the subjects, the more numerous are their families; that the more they are loaded with taxes, the more industriously they endeavour to put themselves in a station in which they will be able to pay them: two fophisms, which have always destroyed, and will for ever be the destruction of monarchies.

The feverity of government may be carried to fuch an extreme, as to make the natural fenfations destructive of the natural fensations themselves. (a) The Would the women of (a) America have refused to Advenbear children, had their masters been less cruel?

e

T. Gage, C H A P. pag. 58.

CHAP. XII.

Of the number of males and females in different countries.

I Have already observed (a), that there are born in BOOK XXIII. Europe rather more boys than girls. It has been Chap. 12. remarked that in * Japan there are born rather more & 13. girls than boys: all things compared, there must be (a) Book xvi. Chap. more fruitful women in Japan than in Europe, and confequently it must be more populous.

(b) Collection of Voyages that conthe eftablishment of the company. Vol. I.

Pag. 347.

We are informed (b), that at Bantam there are ten girls to one boy. A disproportion like this must cause the number of families there, to be to the tributed to number of those of other climates, as I to 51/2; which is a prodigious difference. Their families may be much larger indeed; but there must be few men in circum-East-India stances sufficient to provide for so large a family.

CHAP. XIII.

Of sea-port towns.

IN sea-port towns, where men expose themselves to a thousand dangers, and go abroad to live or die in distant climates, there are fewer men than women: and yet we fee more children there than This proceeds from the great in other places. eafe with which they procure the means of subfistence. Perhaps even the oily parts of fish are more proper to furnish that matter which contributes to generation. This may be one of the causes of the infinite number of people in + Japan and China ||, where

^{*} See Kempher, who gives a computation of the people of Meaco.

[†] Japan is composed of a number of isles, where there are many banks, and the fea is there extremely full of fish.

[|] China abounds in rivers.

they live almost wholly on (a) fish. If this be the case, certain monastic rules, which oblige the monks Chap. 14. to live on fish, must be contrary to the spirit of the legislator himself.

BOOK.

(a) See Duhalde, Tom. ii. P. 139-

CHAP. XIV.

Of the productions of the earth which require a greater or a less number of men.

Afture-lands are but little peopled, because they find employment only for a few. Corn-lands employ a great many men, and vineyards infinitely more.

It has been a frequent complaint in England *, that the increase of pasture-land diminished the inhabitants; and it has been observed in France, that the prodigious number of vineyards is one of the great causes of the multitude of people.

Those countries where coal-pits furnish a proper fubstance for fuel, have this advantage over others, that not having the fame occasion for forests, the lands may be cultivated.

In countries productive of rice, they are at great pains in watering the land; a great number of men must therefore be employed. Besides, there is less land required to furnish sublistance for a family, than in those which produce other kinds of grain. In fine, the land which is elfewhere employed in raifing cattle, serves immediately for the subsistance of man;

The greatest number of the proprietors of land, says Bishop Burnet, finding more profit in selling their wool than their corn, inclosed their estates: the commons ready to perish with hunger, rose up in arms; they insisted on a division of the lands: the young king even wrote on this subject. And proclamations were made against those who inclosed their lands. Abridg. of the Hift. of the Reformation.

Book the labour, which in other places is performed by Chap. 15. cattle, is there performed by men; fo that the culture of the foil, becomes to man an immense manufacture.

CHAP. XV.

Of the number of inhabitants with relation to the arts.

THEN there is an Agrarian law, and the lands are equally divided, the country may be extremely well peopled, though there are but few arts; because every citizen receives from the cultivation of his land whatever is necessary for his subfiftence, and all the citizens together consume all the fruits of the

earth. Thus it was in some republics.

In our present fituation, in which lands are fo unequally distributed, they produce much more than those who cultivate them can consume; if the arts therefore should be neglected, and nothing minded but agriculture, the country could not be peopled. Those who cultivate, having corn to spare, nothing would engage them to work the following year; the fruits of the earth would not be confumed by the indolent; for these would have nothing with which they could purchase them. It is necessary then that the arts should be established, in order that the produce of the land may be confumed by the labourer and the artificer. In a word, it is now proper that many should cultivate much more than is necessary for their own use. For this purpose, they must have a defire of enjoying superfluities; and these they can receive only from the artificer.

Those machines which are designed to abridge art, are not always useful. If a piece of workmanthip is of a moderate price, fuch as is equally agree-

able

able to the maker and the buyer, those machines which would render the manufacture more fimple, or Chap. 16. in other words, diminish the number of workmen, would be pernicious. And if water-mills were not every where established, I should not have believed them fo useful as is pretended, because they have deprived an infinite multitude of their employment, a vast number of persons of the use of water, and

BOOK

CHAP. XVI.

great part of the land of its fertility.

The concern of the legislator in the propagation of the species.

D Egulations on the number of citizens depend R greatly on circumstances. There are countries, in which nature does all: the legislator then can do nothing. What need is there of inducing men by laws to propagation, when a fruitful climate yields a fufficient number of inhabitants? Sometimes the climate is more favourable than the foil; the people piere's multiply, and are destroyed by famine: this is the Voyages, Vol. II. case of China. Hence a father sells his daughters, Pag. 41. and exposes his children. In Tonquin (*), the same (b) Ibid. causes produce the same effects; so we need not, Pag. 167. like the Arabian travellers mentioned by Renaudot, (e) See the fearch for the origin of this in their fentiments (b) Collection on the Metempsychosis.

For the same reason, the religion of the isle of For-contributmosa (e) does not suffer the women to bring their ed to the establishchildren into the world, till they are thirty-five years ment of of age: the priestess before this age, by bruising the East-

the belly, procures abortion.

of Voyacompany, Vol. I.

CHAP. part 1. pag. 182, & 188.

CHAP. XVII.

Of Greece, and the number of its inhabitants.

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 17.

THAT effect which in certain countries of the east springs from physical causes, was produced in Greece by the nature of the government. The Greeks were a great nation, composed of cities, each of which had a diffinct government and separate They had no more the spirit of conquest and ambition, than those of Swifferland, Holland, and Germany, have at this day. In every republic the legislator had in view the happiness of the citizens at home, and their power abroad, left it should prove inferior * to that of the neighbouring cities. Thus, with the enjoyment of a small territory and great happiness, it was easy for the number of the citizens to increase to such a degree as to become burthensome. This obliged them incessantly to send out colonies; and as the Swifs do now, to let their men out to war, Nothing was neglected that could hinder the too great multiplication of children.

They had amongst them republics, whose constitution was very remarkable. The nations they had subdued, were obliged to provide subsistence for the citizens. The Lacedemonians were sed by the Helotes, the Cretans by the Periecians, and the Thessalians by the Penestes. They were obliged to have only a certain number of freemen, that their slaves might be able to furnish them with subsistence. They areceived maxim in our days, that it is necessary to limit the number of regular troops: now the Lacedemonians were an army, maintained by the peasants: it was proper therefore that this army should

* In valour, discipline, and military exercises.

should be limited; without this the freemen, who Book had all the advantages of fociety, would increase be- Chap. 17. yond number, and the labourers be overloaded.

The politics of the Greeks were particularly employed in regulating the number of citizens. Plato in his republic fixes them at five thousand and forty, and he would have them ftop or encourage propagation, as was most convenient, by honours, shame, and the advice of the old men; he would even (a) (a) Repubregulate the number of marriages, in fuch a manner, that the republic might be recruited without being overcharged.

If the laws of a country, fays Aristotle (b), forbid (b) Polit. the exposing of children, the number of those brought Cap. 16. forth ought to be limited. If they have more than the number prescribed by law, he advises (e) to make (e) Ibid.

the women miscarry before the scetus be formed.

The fame author mentions the infamous means made use of by the Cretans, to prevent their having too great a number of children; a proceeding too indecent to repeat.

There are places, fays Aristotle (d) again, where (d) Polit. the laws give bastards the privilege of being citizens; Lib. iii. but as foon as they have a fufficient number of peo- Cap. 3. ple, this privilege ceases. The savages of Canada burn their prisoners: but when they have empty cottages to give them, they receive them into their nation.

Sir William Petty, in his calculations, supposes that a man in England is worth what he would fell for at Algiers *. This can be true only with respect to England. There are countries where a man is worth nothing, there are others where he is worth less than nothing.

CHAP.

^{*} Sixty pounds Sterling.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the state and number of people before the Romans.

Book XXIII. Chap. 18. TALY, Sicily, Afia Minor, Gaul and Germany, were nearly in the same state as Greece: full of small nations that abounded with inhabitants; they had no need of laws to increase their number.

CHAP. XIX.

Of the depopulation of the universe.

A LL these little republics were swallowed up in a large one, and the universe insensibly became depopulated: in order to be convinced of this we need only consider the state of Italy and Greece, before and after the victories of the Romans.

(2) Lib.vi.

- "You will ask me, says Livy (2), where the Volsci could find soldiers to support the war, after having
- " been so often defeated. There must have been for-
- " merly an infinite number of people in those countries,
- " which at present would be little better than a desart,
- " were it not for a few soldiers and Roman slaves."
- " The oracles bave ceased, says Plutarch, because
- the places where they spoke are destroyed. At pre-
- " sent we can scarcely find in Greece three thousand
- " men fit to bear arms."

(b) Lib.vii. Pag. 496.

- " I shall not describe, says Strabo (b), Epirus, and the adjacent places; because these countries are in-
- " tirely deserted. This depopulation, which began long
- " ago, still continues; so that the Roman soldiers en-
- " camp in the houses they have abandoned." We find the cause of this in Polybius, who says, that Paulus

Æmilius,

Æmilius, after his victory, destroyed threescore and Book ten cities of Epirus, and carried away an hundred and Chap. 20. fifty thousand flaves.

& 21.

CHAP. XX.

That the Romans were under a necessity of making laws, to encourage the propagation of the Species.

THE Romans, by destroying others, were themfelves destroyed: incessantly in action, in the heat of battle, and in the most violent attempts, they wore out like a weapon kept conftantly in use.

I shall not here speak of the attention with which they applied themselves to procure *citizens in the room of those they loft, of the affociations they entered into, the privileges they bestowed, and of that immense nursery of citizens their flaves. mention what they did to recruit the number, not of their citizens, but of their men; and as these were the people in the world, who knew best how to adapt their laws to their projects, an examination of what they did in this respect, cannot be a matter of indifference.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the laws of the Romans relating to the propagation of the species.

THE ancient laws of Rome endeavoured greatly to incite the citizens to marriage. The fenate and the people made frequent regulations on this fubject,

A modern author has treated of this in his Confiderations an the causes of the rife and declinsion of the Roman grandeur.

Book subject, as Augustus says in his speech related by Chap. 21. Dion (2). XXIII.

(2) Lib. lvi.

Aulus

Gellius,

lib. i. cap. 6.

Dionysius Halicarnasseus (b) cannot believe, that after the death of three hundred and five of the Fabii, extirminated by the Veii, there remained no more of this family but one fingle child; because the ancient law which obliged every citizen to marry, and to educate all his children, was * still in force.

Independently of the laws, the cenfors had a particular eye upon marriages, and according to the exigencies of the republic engaged them to it by +

shame, and by punishments.

The corruption of manners which began to take place, contributed vaftly to difgust the citizens against marriage, which was painful to those who had no tafte for the pleafures of innocence. This is the (e) It is in purport of that speech (c) which Metellus Numidicus when he was cenfor made to the people. " was possible for us to do without wives, we should deliver ourselves from this evil: but as nature ee bas ordained that we cannot live very bappily with them, nor subsist without them, we ought to have more e regard to our own preservation, than to transient

> The corruption of manners destroyed the censorship, which was itself established to destroy the corruption of manners: for when this corruption be-

came general, the cenfor loft his power (d).

(d) See Civil discords, triumvirates, and proscriptions, what I have faid in Book v. weakened Rome more than any war she had hither-Chap. 19. to engaged in. They left but few citizens, and the greatest

* In the year of Rome 277.

" gratifications."

⁺ See what was done in this respect in T. Livy, lib. xlv. The Epitome of T. Livy, lib. lix. Aulus Gellius, lib. i. cap. 6. Valerius Maximus, lib. ii. cap. 19.

greatest part of them unmarried. To remedy this Book last evil, Cæsar and Augustus re-established the cen- Chap. 21. forship, and would even be (a) censors themselves. (a) See Cæfar gave (b) rewards to those who had many chil- Dion, Lib. dren. All (c) women under forty-five years of age, xliii. and who had neither husband nor children, were forbid in August. to wear jewels, or to ride in litters; an excellent (b) Dion, method, thus to attack celibacy by the power of Lib. xliii. vanity. The laws of Augustus (d) were more pref- Suetonifing: he imposed (e) new penalties on those who were of Cæsar, not married, and increased the rewards both of those Chap. xx. who were married, and of those who had children. Apian, Tacitus calls these Julian laws (f); to all appearance the Civil they were founded on the ancient regulations made War. by the fenate, the people, and the cenfors. (c) Eusebi-

The law of Augustus met with innumerable ob- Chronicle. flacles, and thirty years (8) after it had been made, (d) Dion. the Roman knights infifted on its being abolished. Lib. liv. He placed on one fide those who were married, and (e) In the on the other those who were not: these last appeared year of by far the greatest number; upon which the citizens Rome 736. were astonished and confounded. Augustus, with the (f) Jalias gravity of the ancient censors, addressed them in this rogationes, manner *.

"While sickness and war snatch away so many Lib. iii. citizens, what must become of the city if marriages (1) In the " are no longer contracted? the city does not confift of Rome

bouses, of porticos, of public places; men alone 762. Dio. constitute a city. You do not see men, like those

" mentioned in fable, arifing out of the earth to take

care of your affairs. Your celibacy is not owing to the

" defire of living alone: every one of you bave both

stable and bed companions. You only feek to enjoy cc your

* I have abridged this speech, which is of a tedious length: it is to be found in Dion, lib. lvi.

Annal.

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

" your irregularities in peace. Do you here cite the example of the vestal virgins? if you preserve not the laws of chastity, you ought to be punished like them. You are equally bad citizens, whether your example has an influence on the rest of the world, " or whether it be difregarded. My only view is the ce perpetuity of the republic. I have increased the er penalties of those who have disobeyed; and with re-" spett to rewards, they are such, as I do not know whether virtue has ever received greater. For less es will a thousand men expose life itself, and yet will so not these engage you to take a wife, and provide for " children ?"

Papius Matilus, and Q. Poppæus Sabinus. Dio. Lib.

lvi. (b) Ibid.

He made a law, which was called after his name Julia, and Papia Poppæa from the names of the (4) Marcus confuls (4) for part of that year. The greatness of the evil appeared, even in their being elected : Dion (b) tells us, that they were not married, and that they had no children.

This law of Augustus was properly a code of laws, and a fystematic body of all the regulations that could be made on this subject. The Julian * laws were incorporated into it; and received a greater strength. It was so extensive in its use, and had an influence on fo many things, that it formed the finest part of the civil law of the Romans,

We find + parts of it dispersed in the precious fragments of Ulpian, in the laws of the Digeft, collected from authors who wrote on the Papian laws, in the historians and others who have cited them, in the Theodosian code which abolished them, in the works of the fathers who have cenfured them,

ir

1

al

ft

no

gr

rie

law

† James Godfrey has made a collection of these.

^{*} The 14th title of the fragments of Ulpian distinguishes very rightly between the Julian and the Papian law.

without doubt from a laudable zeal for the things Book of the other life, but with very little knowledge of XXIII. the affairs of this.

These laws had many heads *, of which we know thirty-five. But, to return to my subject as speedily as possible, I shall begin with that head, which Aulus Gellius (a) informs us was the seventh, and (a) Lib. ii. which relates to the honours and rewards granted by Cap. 15. that law.

The Romans, who for the most part sprung from the cities of the Latins, which were Lacedemonian (b) (b) Dionel. colonies, and who had received a part of their laws Halicareven from those cities+, had, like the Lacedemonians, fuch a veneration for old age, as to give it all honour and precedency. When the republic wanted citizens, they granted to marriage, and to a number of children, the prerogatives which had been given to age (c). They granted fome to marriage alone, (c) Aulus independently of the children which might fpring Gellius, Lib. ii. from it: this was called the right of husbands. Cap. 15. They gave others to those who had any children, and larger still to those who had three children. These three things must not be confounded. These last had those privileges which married men constantly enjoyed, as for example, a particular place in the theatre (d); they had those which could only (d) Suetobe enjoyed by men who had children; and which nius in none could deprive them of but those who had a Augusto, Chap. 44. greater number.

These privileges were very extensive. The married men who had the most children, were always preferred

П

,

,

ıt

y

. The 35th is cited in the 19th law ff. de ritu nuptiarum.

[†] The deputies of Rome, who were fent to fearch into the laws of Greece, went to Athens, and to the cities of Italy.

preferred *, whether in the pursuit, or in the exercise BOOK XXIII. of honours. The conful, who had the most nume-Chap. 21. rous offspring, was the (a) first who received the (a) Aulus fasces; he had his choice of the (b) provinces: the Gellius, fenator who had most children, had his name wrote Lib. ii. Cap. 15. first in the catalogue of fenators, and was the first in (b) Tacitus giving his opinion (c) in the fenate. They might Annal. even stand sooner than ordinary for an office, be-Lib xv. cause every child gave a dispensation of a year (d). (c) See Law 6. §. If an inhabitant of Rome had three children, he was 5. de Deexempted from all troublesome offices (°). The freecurion. born women who had three childreen, and the freed-(d) See women who had four, paffed (f) out of that perpe-Law 2. ff. tual tutelage, in which they had been held (2) by the de Minorib. ancient laws of Rome.

(c) Law Ift de vacatinerum.

As they had rewards, fo they had also penalties +. and 2d, ff. Those who were not married could receive no advanone & ex- tage from the will of any person that was not a near cusat. mu- relation | ; and those who being married, had no children, could receive only half **. The Romans, fays (')Frag. of Plutarch ++, marry to be heirs, and not to bave them.

The advantages which a man and his wife might

Ulpian, tit.29.5.3.

receive | by will, were limited by law. If they had (8) Pluchildren of each other, they might receive the whole; tarch if not they could receive only a tenth part of the fuc-Life of Numa. cession on the account of marriage; and if they had

iı

all

lif

yes

Th

fof

pol

de 1

Tacitus, lib. ii. Ut numerus liberorum in candidatis præpolleret, quod lex jubebat.

† See the fragments of Ulpian, tit. 14, 15, 16, 17, & 18. which compose one of the finest pieces of the ancient civil law of the Romans.

| Sozom. lib. i. cap. q. they could receive from their relations. Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 16. §. 1.

** Sozom. lib. i. cap. 9. & leg. unic. cod. Theod. de infirm. panis calib. & orbit.

++ Moral works, of the love of fathers towards their children. See a more particular account of this in the Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 15, & 16.

children by a former venter, as many tenths as they Book XXIII. had children.

If a hufband absented himself (*) from his wife on any other cause than the affairs of the republic, he Ulpian, tit. 16. 9.1. could not inherit.

The law gave to a furviving hufband or wife two years* to marry again, and a year and a half in case of a divorce. The fathers who would not fuffer their children to marry, or refused to give their daughters a portion, were obliged to do it by the magistrates +.

They were not allowed to betroth, when the marriage was to be deferred for more than two years (b); (b) See Dio and as they could not marry a girl till fhe was twelve lib. liv. anno 736. years old, they could not be betrothed to her till she Suetonius was ten. The law would not fuffer them to trifle (c) inOctavio, to no purpose; and, under a pretence of being being betrothed, to sport with the privileges of mar- (c) Dio. L. liv. and ried men.

It was contrary to law, for a man of fixty to (d) Dio the fpeech o marry a woman of fifty. As they had given great Augustus, privileges to married men, the law would not fuffer lib. lvi. them to enter into useless marriages. For the same (d) Fragm. reason, the Calvisian decree of the senate declared of Ulpian, the tit. 16. the the marriage of a woman of above fifty, with a 27th law, man less than fixty, to be (e) unequal: so that a wo- Cod. de man of fifty years of age could not marry, without (e) Fragm. incurring the penalties of these laws. Tiberius ad- of Ulpian,

in the fame

ded tit. 16. \$.3.

† This was the 35th head of the Papian law. Leg. 19. ff. de ritu nuptiarum.

VOL. II.

s.

n.

11-

^{*} Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 14. It feems the first Julian laws allowed three years. Speech of Augustus in Dio, lib. lvi. Suetonius life of Augustus, cap. 34. Other Julian laws granted but one year: the Papian law gave two. Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 14. These laws were not agreeable to the people, Augustus therefore softened or strengthened them, as they were more or less difposed to comply with them.

Book ded (*) to the rigour of the Papian law, and pro-XXIII. hibited men of fixty, from marrying women under (*) See fifty; so that a man of fixty could not marry in any Suctomius case whatsoever, without incurring the penalty. But in Claudius abrogated (b) this law made under Tidio, cap. Claudius abrogated (b) this law made under Ti-23.

(b) See All these regulations were more conformable to Suetonius, life of the climate of Italy, than to that of the North, where Claudius, a man of sixty years of age has still a considerable cap. 23. degree of strength; and where women of sisty, are and the

Fragm. of not always past child-bearing.

That they might not be unnecessarily limited in Ulpian, tit.16.5.3. the choice they were to make, Augustus permitted all the free-born citizens who were not fenators (°), (t) Dio. L. liv. The Papian (e) law to marry freed-women (4). Fragm. of forbad the fenators marrying freed-women, or those Ulpian, who had been brought up to the Stage; and from tit. 13. the time of (1) Ulpian, free-born persons were forbid (d) Auguftus's to marry women who had led a diforderly life, who fpeech in had played in the theatre, or who had been con-Dio, lib. demned by a public fentence. This must have been Ivi. (e) Frag. of established by a decree of the senate. During the Ulpian, time of the republic they had never made laws like chap. 13. thefe, because the censors corrected these kind of and the 44th law, disorders as soon as they arose, or else prevented ff. de ritu nupriarum, their rifing.

Constantine (*) made a law, in which he compre-Ulpian, hended in the prohibition of the Papian law, not tit. 13. and only the senators, but even those who had a consi-16. detable rank in the state, without mentioning per-Law I. of sons in an inserior station: this constituted the law Cod. de of those times. These marriages were therefore no natur. libe longer forbidden, but to the free-born comprehended (h) Novel. in the law of Constantine. Justinian (h) however ab-

A

Vot. II.

E

F

I

1

b

tl

d

C

fo

O

Ia

Se

th

all

...

and

iii.

cap

and

law,

wha

of the

Gelli

37. ff. de

forts of persons to contract these marriages: and by Book XXIII. this means we have acquired fo fatal a liberty. Chap. 214

It is evident, that the penalties inflicted on those who married contrary to the prohibition of the law. were the same as those inflicted on persons who did not marry. These marriages did not give them any civil advantage (1), for the dowry (b) was confif- (1) Law cated (°) after the death of the wife.

Augustus having adjudged the succession and le-bertorum. gacies of those whom these laws had declared inca- 5.7. Frag. pable to the public treasury *, they had the ap- of Ulpian, tit. 16.5.2. pearance rather of fiscal, than of political and civil laws. The difgust they had already conceived at a of Ulpian, burthen which appeared too heavy, was increased by tit. 16.5.3. their feeing themselves a continual prey to the avi- (e) See dity of the treasury. On this account, it became ne- Bookxxvi. ceffary under Tiberius, that + these laws should be Chap. 13. foftened, that Nero should lessen the rewards given out of the treasury to the | informers, that Traian (4) should put a stop to their plundering, that (4) See Pli-Severus & should also moderate these laws, and that ny's panethe civilians should consider them as odious, and in all their decisions deviate from the literal rigour.

Ó

n

ie de

df

ed

12

ė-

ot

fi-

er-

aw

no

led

ab-

all

orts

Besides, the emperors enervated ** these laws, by an idea of nerrol

Except in certain cases. See the Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 18. and the only law of Code de Caduc, tollend.

† Relatum de moderanda Papia Poppaa. Tacit. Annal. lib. iii. pag. 117.

" | He reduced them to the fourth part. Suetonius in Nerone, cap. 10.

Severus extended even to twenty-five years for the males, and to twenty for the females, the time fixed by the Papian law, as we fee by comparing the Fragment of Ulpian, tit. 16. with what Tertullian fays, Apol. cap. 4.

** P. Scipio, the cenfor, complains in his speech to the people of the abuses which were already introduced, that they received the same privileges for adopted as for natural children. Aulus Gellius, lib. v. cap. 19.

rum.

Book the privileges they gave, of the rights of husbands, Chap. 21. of children, and of three children. More than this, they gave (a) particular persons a dispensation from (4) See the the penalties of these laws. But the regulations 31st Law, established for the public utility, seemed incapable of admitting an alleviation. nuptia-

It was highly reasonable, that they should grant the rights of children to the veftals*, whom religion retained in a necessary virginity: they gave in the fame manner the privilege of + married men to foldiers, because they could not marry. It was customary to exempt the emperors from the conftraint of certain civil laws. Thus Augustus was freed from the constraint of the law which limited the power of (b) enfranchifing, and of that which fet apud eum, bounds to the right of (°) bequeathing by testament. These were only particular cases: but at last dispenfations were given without discretion, and the rule itself became no more than an exception.

mib. 5. 1. (c) Dio lib, lv.

(b) Leg.

ff. de ma-

numifio-

The fects of philosophers had already introduced. in the empire a disposition that estranged them from business; a disposition which could not gain ground in the time of the || republic, when every body was employed in the arts of war and peace. From hence arose an idea of perfection, as connected with a life of speculation; from hence an estrangement from the cares and embarrassments of a family. Christian religion coming after this philosophy, fixed,

n

th

di

56

† This was granted them by Claudius. Dio lib. lx. See in Cicero's Offices, his fentiments on this spirit of speculation.

^{*} Augustus in the Papian law, gave them the privilege of mothers. See Dio lib. lvi. Numa had given them the ancient privilege of women who had three children, that is, of having no guardian. Plutarch, life of Numa.

if I may make use of the expression, the ideas which Book that had only prepared.

XXIII. Chap. 21.

Christianity stamped its character on jurisprudence; for empire has always a connexion with the priesthood. This is visible from the Theodosian code. which is only a collection of the decrees of the Chriftian emperors.

A panegyrift (*) of Conftantine fays to that em- (a) Naza-"Your laws were made only to correct vice, rius in Paand to regulate manners: you have stripped the ancient Constanet laws of that artifice, which feemed to have no tini, anno other aim than to lay fnares for simplicity."

It is certain, that the alterations made by Conftantine took their rise, either from sentiments re- (b) See lating to the establishment of Christianity, or from Law, 1,2, ideas conceived of its perfection. From the first, 3. in the proceeded those laws which gave such authority to sian code, bishops, and which have been the foundation of the de bonis ecclesiastical jurisdiction: from hence those laws maternis, which weakened paternal authority (b), by depriving generis, the father of his property in the possessions of his &c. and children. To extend a new religion, they were law of the obliged to take away the dependance of children, code, de who are always least attached to what is already esta- filis fablished.

9

e

n ie

d,

if

of

ent

no

pe-

The laws made with a view to Christian perfection, were more particularly those by which the (c) penalties of the Papian laws were abolished; those unic. cod. who were not married were equally exempt from them, with those who being married had no chil- pan. cadren.

"These laws were established, says an ecclesiastic

" (d) historian, as if the multiplication of the human (4) Soza-" species was an effect of our care, instead of being menus, " sensible pag. 27.

the only

(c) Leg. Theod. de infirm. lib. & or-

mil. acquiruntur.

Theod. de

jur. liber.

(b) Leg.

cod. de

mupfiis.

demonft.

3. 4. de

tit.4.5.15.

Book " sensible that the number is increased or diminished, " according to the order of providence." Chap. 21.

Principles of religion have had an extraordinary influence on the propagation of the human species. Sometimes they have promoted it as amongst the Jews, the Mahometans, the Gebres, the Chinese; at others, they have put a damp to it, as was the case of the Romans upon their conversion to Christianity.

They every where inceffantly preached up continency; a virtue the more perfect, because in its own nature it can be practifed but by very few.

Constantine had not taken away the decimal laws, which granted to the husband and wife a greater share in the donations made to them, in proportion to the number of their children: Theodosius the

(1) Leg. z. younger (1) abrogated even these laws. & 3. cod.

Justinian declared all those marriages (b) valid, which had been prohibited by the Papian laws. These laws required people to marry again: Justi-Sancimus nian granted (c) privileges to those who did not marry again.

By the ancient laws, the natural right which every (c) Novell. 127. cap. one had to marry, and beget children, could not be 3. Novell. 118. ca.5. taken away. Thus when they received a (4) legacy on condition of not marrying, when a patron made (d) Leg. 54. ff. de his (°) freed-man fwear, that he would neither marry condit. & nor beget children, the Papian law annulled both (e) Leg. 5. the (f) condition and the oath. The articles on continuing in widowbood established amongst us, contrajure patrodict the ancient law, and descend from the constitu-(f) Paul in tions of the emperors, founded on ideas of perbis fenten- fection.

I

d

a

There

There is no law that contains an express abroga- Book tion of the privileges and honours which the Ro- Chap. 22. mans had granted to marriages, and to a number of children. But where celibacy had the pre-eminence, marriage could not be held in honour; and fince they could oblige the officers of the public revenue to renounce fo many advantages by the abolition of the penalties, it is easy to perceive that with yet greater ease they might put a stop to the rewards.

The fame spiritual reason which had permitted celibacy, foon imposed it even as necessary. God forbid that I should here speak against celibacy, as adopted by religion: but who can be filent, when this is built on libertinism; when the two fexes corrupting each other, even by the natural fensations themselves, fly from an union which ought to make them better, to live in that which always renders them worse?

e

œ y

le

h

7-

2-

u-

1-

re

It is a rule drawn from nature, that the more the number of marriages is diminished, the more corrupt are those who have entered into that state; the fewer married men, the less fidelity is there in marriage; as when there are more thieves, there are more thefts.

CHAP. XXII.

Of the exposing of children.

THE Roman policy was very good, in respect to the exposing of children. Romulus, fays Dionyfius Halicarnasseus (a), laid all the citizens un- (a) Antider an obligation to educate all their male children, quities of and the eldest of their daughters. If the infants were Rome, lib. ii. deformed and monstrous, he permitted the exposing

K 4

Book them, after having shewn them to five of their XXIII.

nearest neighbours. Chap. 22.

(a) Antiquities of Rome, lib. ii.

Romulus did not fuffer (a) them to kill any infant under three years old: by this means he reconciled the law which gave to fathers the right over their children of life and death, with that which prohibit-

ed their being exposed.

preceding institutions.

We find also in Dionysius Halicarnasseus (b), that (b) Lib. ix. the law which obliged the citizens to marry, and to educate all their children, was in force in the 277th year of Rome: we see that custom had restrained the law of Romulus, which permitted them to expose their younger daughters.

We have no knowledge of what the law of the twelve tables (made in the year of Rome 301), appointed with respect to the exposing of children, ex-(e) Lib.iii. cept from a paffage of Cicero (e), who speaking of the office of tribune of the people, fays, that foon after its birth, like the monftrous infant of the law of the twelve tables, it was stifled: the infant that was not monstrous was therefore preserved, and the law of the twelve tables made no alteration in the

(d) De morib. German.

de legib.

" The Germans, fays Tacitus (d), never expose their children; among ft them the best manners have more " force, than in other places the best laws." The Romans had therefore laws against this custom, and yet they did not follow them. We find not any *Roman law, that permitted the exposing of children: this was, without doubt, an abuse introduced towards the decline of the republic, when luxury robbed them of their freedom, when wealth divided was

* There is not any title on this subject in the Digest; the title of the code fays nothing of it, no more than the Novels.

was called poverty, when the father believed that all Book was lost which was given to his family, and when Chap. 23. this family was distinct from his property.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of the state of the universe after the destruction of the Romans.

THE regulations made by the Romans to increase the number of their citizens, had their effect, while the republic, in the full vigour of its conftitution, had nothing to repair but the loffes they fustained by their courage, by their intrepidity, their firmness, their love of glory, and of virtue. But foon the wifest laws could not re-establish what a dying republic, what a general anarchy, what a military government, what a rigid empire, what a proud despotic power, what a feeble monarchy, what a stupid weak and superstitious court had succesfively pulled down. It might indeed be faid, that they conquered the world only to weaken it, and to deliver it up defenceless to barbarians. The Gothic nations, the Getes, the Saracens, and Tartars, by turns haraffed them: foon the barbarians had none to destroy but barbarians. Thus, in fabulous times, after the inundations and the deluge, there arose out of the earth armed men, who exterminated one another.

e

f

V

e

ir

e

ie

d

y

l-d d

ed as

he

ter a mulitude center as, in tolo

7 version

medw how CoH A P. XXXIV. abidw Hol and

is called poverty, when the life

The changes which happened in Europe, with regard to the number of the inhabitants.

Book. XXIII. Chap. 24.

chap. 5.

IN the state Europe was in, one would not imagine it possible for it to be retrieved; especially when under Charlemain it formed only one vast empire. But by the nature of government at that time, it became divided into an infinite number of perty fovereignties; and as the lord or fovereign who refided in his village, or city, was neither great, rich, powerful, nor even fafe, but by the number of his fubjects; every one employed himself with a singular attention to make his little country flourish. This fucceeded in fuch a manner, that notwithstanding the irregularities of government, the want of that knowledge which has fince been acquired in commerce, and the numerous wars and diforders incessantly arising, most countries of Europe were better peopled in those days, than they are even at present. to barbarians.

I have not time to treat fully of this subject. But I shall cite the prodigious armies engaged in the crufadoes, composed of men of all countries. Puffen-(*) Hift. of dorff (*) fays, that in the reign of Charles IX. there

were in France twenty millions of men. the univerfe.

'Tis the perpetual re-union of many little states of France. that has produced this diminution. Formerly every village of France was a capital; there is at present only one large one: every part of the state was a center of power, at present all has a relation to one center; and this center is, in some measure, the state itself.

CHAP. XXV.

The Same Subject continued.

DUROPE, it is true, has for these two ages past greatly increased its navigation: This has that the past greatly increased its navigation: This has the land sends every year a great number of mariners to the Indies; of whom not above two thirds return: the rest either perish or settle in the Indies. The same thing must happen to every other nation engaged in that trade.

We must not judge of Europe, as of a particular state engaged alone in an extensive navigation. This state would increase in people, because all the neighbouring nations would endeavour to have a share in this commerce; and mariners would arrive from all parts. Europe separated from the rest of the world by religion*, by vast seas, and desarts, could not be repaired in this manner.

CHAP. XXVI.

Consequences.

FROM all this we may conclude, that Europe is at present in a condition to require laws to be made in favour of the propagation of the human species. The politics of the ancient Greeks incessantly complain of the inconveniences that attend a republic from the excessive number of citizens; but the politics of this age call upon us to take proper means to increase ours.

CHAP.

^{*} Mahometan countries furround it almost on every side.

C H A P. XXVII.

Of the law made in France to encourage the propagation of the species.

T EWIS XIV. appointed (2) particular pensions XXIII. to those who had ten children, and much larger Chap. 27. to those who had twelve. But it is not sufficient to (*) The E- reward prodigies. In order to communicate a general fpirit which leads to the propagation of favour of the species, it is necessary for us to establish, like the marriages. Romans, general rewards, or general penalties.

CHAP. XXVIII.

By what means we may remedy a depopulation.

T7 HEN a state is depopulated by particular accidents, by wars, pestilence, or famine, there are ftill resources left. The men who remain may preserve the spirit of industry; they may seek to repair their misfortunes, and calamity itself may make them become more industrious. The evil is almost incurable, when the depopulation is prepared before-hand by interior vice and a bad government. When this is the case, men perish with an insensible and habitual fickness: born in misery and languishing weakness, in violence or under the influence of a wicked administration, they see themselves deftroyed, and frequently without perceiving the cause of their destruction. Of this we have a melancholy proof, in the countries defolated by defpotic power, or by the excessive advantages of the clergy over the laity.

In vain shall we wait for the succour of children Book yet unborn, to re-establish a state thus depopulated. XXIII. There is not time for this; men in their folitude are without courage or industry. With land sufficient to nourish a people, they have scarcely enough to nourish a family. The common people have not even a property in the miseries of the country; that is, in the fallows with which it abounds. The clergy, the prince, the cities, the great men, and fome of the principal citizens, infenfibly become proprietors of all the land, which lies uncultivated: the families who are ruined have left their fields; and the labouring man is destitute.

In this fituation they should take the same meafures throughout the whole extent of the empire, which the Romans took in a part of theirs: they should practife in their distress, what these observed in the midst of plenty; that is, they should distribute land to all the families who are in want, and procure them the materials for clearing and cultivat-This distribution ought to be continued as long as there is a man to receive it; and in such a manner, that not a moment for labour be loft.

CHAP. XXIX.

Of hospitals.

Man is not poor because he has nothing, but A because he does not work. The man who without any degree of wealth has an employment, is as much at his ease as he who without labour has an income of an hundred crowns a year. He who has no fubstance, and yet has a trade, is not poorer than he who possessing ten acres of land is obliged to cultivate it for his subsistence. The mechanic who

gives

XXIII. Chap. 29.

gives his art as an inheritance to his children, has left them a fortune which is multiplied in proportion to their number. It is not fo with him, who having ten acres of land, divides it amongst his children.

In trading countries, where many men have no other subsistence but from the arts, the state is frequently obliged to supply the necessities of the aged, the fick, and the orphan. A regular policied government draws this support from the arts themselves. It gives to some such employment as they are capable of performing; others are taught to work, and this teaching of itself becomes an employment.

Those alms which are given to a naked man in the street do not fulfil the obligations of the state, which owes to every citizen a certain sublistence, a proper nourishment, convenient cloathing, and a kind of life not incompatible with health.

(a) See Sir ohn Chardin's Travels fia, Vol. 8.

Aurengzebe (a) being asked, why he did not build hospitals, said, " I will make my empire fo rich, " that there shall be no need of bospitals." He ought thro' Per- to have faid, I will begin by rendering my empire rich, and then I will build hospitals.

> The riches of a state suppose great industry. Amidst the numerous branches of trade, it is imposfible but some must suffer; and consequently the mechanics must be in a momentary necessity.

> When this is the case, the state is obliged to lend them a ready affiftance; whether it be to prevent the fufferings of the people, or to avoid a rebellion. In this case hospitals, or some equivalent regulations, are necessary to prevent this misery.

> But when the nation is poor, private poverty forings from the general calamity; and is, if I may so express myself, the general calamity itself. All

the

Jij

701

the hospitals in the world cannot cure this private Book poverty: on the contrary, the spirit of indolence Chap. 29. which it constantly inspires, increases the general, and

confequently the private mifery.

Henry VIII. (*) resolving to reform the church of (*) See England, ruined the monks, of themselves a lazy Burnet's fet of people that encouraged laziness in others; the Reforbecause, as they practifed hospitality, an infinite num- mation. ber of idle persons, gentlemen and citizens, spent their lives in running from convent to convent. He demolished even the hospitals in which the lower people found subfiftence, as the gentlemen did theirs in the monasteries. Since these changes, the spirit of trade and industry has been established in England.

At Rome, the hospitals place every one at his ease, except those who labour, except those who are induftrious, except those who have land, except those who

are engaged in trade.

c

e

d

it

٦, S,

ty

y

Ш he

I have observed, that wealthy nations have need of hospitals, because fortune subjects them to a thoufand accidents: but 'tis plain that transient affiftances are much better than perpetual foundations. The evil is momentary; it is necessary therefore, that the fuccour should be of the same nature, and that it be applied to particular accidents root in heaven, or of those which spring from the

As in this work I am not a divine, but a political writer, I may here advance things which are no otherwife true, than as they correspond with a word-

layon to truths of a more fublime mature. A person of the least degree of inspartiality must fee that I have never pretended to make the interclis of a livion hibrait to those of a political nature, but rather

the holoicals in the world camer, curs this



BOOK XXIV.

Of laws as relative to religion, confidered in itself, and in its doctrines.

CHAP. I. Of religion in general.

Book XXIV. Chap. 1.

A Samidst several degrees of darkness we may form a judgment of those which are the least thick, and among precipices, which are the least deep; so we may search among false religions for those that are most conformable to the welfare of society; for those, which, though they have not the effect of leading men to the felicity of another life, may contribute most to their happiness in this.

I shall examine therefore the several religions of the world in relation only to the good they produce in civil society; whether I speak of that which has its root in heaven, or of those which spring from the earth.

As in this work I am not a divine, but a political writer, I may here advance things which are no otherwise true, than as they correspond with a wordty manner of thinking, not as confidered in their relation to truths of a more sublime nature.

A person of the least degree of impartiality must see that I have never pretended to make the interests of religion submit to those of a political nature, but rather rather to unite them: now, in order to unite, it is Book necessary that we should know them.

Chap. 2.

The Christian religion, which ordains that men should love each other, would without doubt have every nation bleft with the best civil, the best political laws; because these, next to this religion, are the greatest good that men can give and receive.

CHAP. II.

A paradox of Mr. Bayle's.

R. (a) Bayle has pretended to prove, that it is (better to be an atheist than an idolater; that Thoughts on the Cois, in other words, that it is less dangerous to have met. no religion at all, than a bad one. "I bad rather, " faid he, it should be said of me, that I had no ex-" istence, than that I am a villain." This is only a fophism, founded on this, that it is of no importance to the human race to believe that a certain man exifts, whereas it is extremely useful for them to believe the existence of a God. From the idea of his non-existence, immediately follows that of our independence; but if we cannot conceive this idea, that of disobedience. To fay that religion is not a restraining motive, because it does not always restrain, is equally abfurd as to fay that the civil laws are not a restraining motive. It is a false way of reafoning against religion, to collect in a large work a long detail of the evils it has produced, if we do not give at the same time an enumeration of the advantages which have flowed from it. Were I to relate all the evils that have arisen in the world from civil laws, from monarchy, and from republican government, I might tell of frightful things. Was it of VOL. II. DO

ft

OT

of

he

e,

he

in its

he

ti-

no d-

re-

uft

fts

out her Book XXIV. Chap. 2. no advantage for subjects to have religion, it would still be of some if princes had it, and if they whitened with soam the only rein which can restrain those who sear not human laws. A prince who loves and sears religion is a lion, who stoops to the hand that strokes, or the voice that appeales him. He who sears and hates religion, is like the savage beast that growls and bites the chain, which prevents his slying on the passenger. He who has no religion at all, is that terrible animal, who perceives his liberty only when he tears in pieces, and when he devours.

The question is not to know, whether it would be better that a certain man, or a certain people had no religion, than to abuse what they have; but to know which is the least evil, that religion be sometimes abused, or that there be no such restraint as re-

ligion on mankind.

To diminish the horror of atheism, they lay too much to the charge of idolatry. It is far from being true, that when the ancients raised altars to a particular vice, they intended to shew that they loved the vice; this signified, on the contrary, that they hated it. When the Lacedemonians erected a temple to Fear, it was not to shew that this war-like nation defired that he would in the midst of battle possess the hearts of the Lacedemonians. They had deities to whom they prayed not to inspire them with guilt; and others, whom they besought to shield them from it.

CHAP.

1

ie

W

ci

th

ter

in the

cip lov feer

CHAP. III.

That a moderate government is most agreeable to the Christian religion, and a despotic government to the Mahometans.

t

o

y

oe

id to

e-

e-

00

e-

2

hat

da

ike

tle

nad

em

to

P.

THE Christian religion is a stranger to mere Book XXIV. despotic power. The mildness so frequently Chap. 3. recommended in the gospel, is incompatible with the despotic rage with which a prince punishes his subjects, and exercises himself in cruelty.

As this religion forbids the plurality of wives, its princes are less confined, less concealed from their subjects, and confequently have more humanity: they are more disposed to be directed by laws, and more capable of perceiving that they cannot do whatever they please.

While the Mahometan princes inceffantly give or receive death, the religion of the Christians renders their princes less timid, and consequently less cruel. The prince consides in his subjects, and the subjects in the prince. How admirable the religion, which, while it seems only to have in view the felicity of the other life, constitutes the happiness of this!

'Tis the Christian religion, that in spite of the extent of the empire, and the influence of the climate, has hindered despotic power from being established in Æthiopia, and has carried into the heart of Africa the manners and laws of Europe.

The heir to the empire of Æthiopia enjoys a principality, and gives to other subjects an example of love and obedience. Not far from thence may be seen the Mahometan shutting up the children of the L 2

Book XXIV. Chap. 4. king (*) of Sennao; at whose death the council fends to murder them, in favour of the prince who mounts the throne.

(a) Description pia, by M. Collection Letters.

Let us fet before our eyes on the one hand the of Æthio- continual massacres of the kings and generals of the Poncetthe Greeks and Romans; and on the other, the destrucphysician tion of people and cities by the commanders Thimur of edifying and Gengiskan, who ravaged Asia; and we shall see that we owe to christianity, in government a certain political law, and in war a certain law of nations, benefits which human nature can never fufficiently acknowledge.

It is owing to this law of nations, that amongst us victory leaves these great advantages to the conquered, life, liberty, laws, wealth, and always religion when the conqueror is not blind to his own interest.

We may truly fay, that the people of Europe are not at present more disunited than the people and the armies, or even the armies amongst themselves, were under the Roman empire, when it was become a defpotic and military government. On the one hand, the armies engaged in war against each other; and, on the other, they pillaged the cities, and divided or confiscated the lands.

CHAP. IV.

Consequences from the character of the Christian religion, and that of the Mahometan.

ROM the characters of the Christian and Mahometan religions we ought, without any further examination, to embrace the one, and reject the other: for it is much easier to prove that religion ought to humanize the manners of men, than that any particular religion is true.

It

0

0

b

ca th

th

an

per

and is I

tha

It is a misfortune to human nature, when religion Book XXIV. is given by a conqueror. The Mahometan religion, Chap. 5. which speaks only by the sword, acts still upon men with that destructive spirit with which it was founded.

The history of Sabbaco (a), one of the pastoral (a) See kings of Egypt, is very extraordinary. The god Diodorus, of Thebes appearing to him in a dream, ordered him to put to death all the priests of Egypt. He judged that the gods were displeased at his being on the throne, since they ordered him to commit an action contrary to their ordinary pleasure, and therefore retired into Æthiopia.

CHAP. V.

That the Catholic religion is most agreeable to a monarchy, and the Protestant to a republic.

HEN a religion is introduced and fixed in a ftate, it is commonly fuch as is most fuitable to the plan of government there established: for those who receive it, and those who are the cause of its being received, have scarcely any other idea of policy than that of the state in which they were born.

When the Christian religion two centuries ago, became unhappily divided into Catholic and Protestant, the people of the north embraced the Protestant; and those of the south adhered still to the Catholic.

The reason is plain: the people of the north have, and will for ever have, a spirit of liberty and independence, which the people of the south have not; and therefore a religion, which has no visible head, is more agreeable to the independency of the climate than that which has one.

L 3

In

lian

a

y

ıs r-

on

he

ere

ef-

nd,

nd,

lor

Mafurt the gion that

It

150

Book XXIV. Chap. 6. In the countries themselves where the Protestant religion became established, the revolutions were made pursuant to the several plans of political government. Luther having great princes on his side, would never have been able to make them relish an ecclesiastic authority that had no exterior pre-eminence; while Calvin, having to do with people who lived under republican governments, or with obscure citizens in monarchies, might very well avoid establishing dignities and pre-eminence.

Each of these two religions were believed to be the most perfect; the Calvinist judging his most conformable to what Christ had said, and the Lu-

theran to what the Apostles had practifed.

CHAP. VI.

Another of Mr. Bayle's paradoxes.

R.Bayle, after having abused all religions, endeavours to fully Christianity: he boldly afferts, that true Christians cannot form a government of any duration. Why not? citizens of this profession being infinitely enlightened with respect to the various duties of life, and having the warmest zeal to sulfil them, must be perfectly sensible of the rights of natural defence. The more they believe themselves indebted to religion, the more they would think due to their country. The principles of christianity deeply engraved on the heart, would be infinitely more powerful than the false honour of monarchies, than the humane virtues of republics, or the service fear of despotic states.

It is aftonishing, that this great man should not be able to distinguish between the orders for the establishment of Christianity, and Christianity itself;

and that he should be liable to be charged with not Book knowing the fpirit of his own religion. When the Chap. 7. legislator, instead of laws has given counsels, this is because he knew, that if these counsels were ordained as laws, they would be contrary to the spirit of the laws themselves.

CHAP. VII.

Of the laws of perfection in religion.

TUman laws made to direct the will, ought to I give precepts, and not counfels; those of religion made to influence the heart, ought to give

many counsels and few precepts.

When, for instance, it gives rules not for what is good, but for what is better; not to direct to what is right, but to what is perfect; it is expedient, that these should be counsels, and not laws: for perfection can have no relation to the universality of men, or things. Besides, if these were laws, there would be a necessity for an infinite number of others to make people observe the first. Celibacy was advised by Christianity: when they made it a law in respect to a certain order of men, it became necessary to make new (1) ones every day, in order to oblige those men (1) Dupin's to observe it. The legislator wearied himself, he Ecclesiaswearied fociety, to make men execute by precept, tical Liwhat those who love perfection would have executed the 6th as counsel.

Century, Vol. 5.

CHAP. VIII.

Of the connection between the moral laws and those of religion.

Book XXIV. Chap. 8. & g.

Pag. 63.

IN a country fo unfortunate as to have a religion I that God has not revealed, it is always necessary for it to be agreeable to morality; because even a false religion is the best security we can have of the

probity of men.

The principal points of religion of the inhabitants (4) Collec- of Pegu (4), are not to commit murder, not to fteal, tion of to avoid uncleanness, not to give the least uneafiness Voyages to their neighbour, but to do him, on the contrary, that contributed to all the good in their power. With these rules they the eftabliffment think they should be faved in any religion whatsoever. From hence it proceeds, that these people, though of the East-India poor and proud, behave with gentleness and comcompany, passion to the unhappy. Vol. iii. Part 1.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Essenes.

(b) Hift. of THE Effenes (b) made a vow to observe justice the Jews, to mankind, to do no ill to any person, upon by Priwhatfoever account; to keep faith with all the deaux. world, to hate injustice, to command with modesty, always to fide with truth, and to fly from all unlawful gain.

CHAP.

CHAP. X. Of the feet of stoics.

THE several sects of philosophy amongst the Book ancients, were a species of religion. Never XXIV. were any principles more worthy of human nature, and more proper to form the good man, than those of the stoics; and if I could for a moment cease to think that I am a Christian, I should not be able to hinder myself from ranking the destruction of the sect of Zeno among the missortunes that have befallen the human race.

It carried to excess only those things in which there is true greatness, the contempt of pleasure and of pain.

It was this fect alone that made citizens; this alone that made great men; this alone great emperors.

Laying afide for a moment revealed truths, let us fearch through all nature, and we shall not find a nobler object than the Antoninus's; even Julian himself, Julian: (a commendation thus wrested from me, will not render me an accomplice of his apostacy) no, there has not been a prince since his reign more worthy to govern mankind.

While the stoics looked upon riches, human grandeur, grief, disquietudes, and pleasure, as vanity; they were entirely employed in labouring for the happiness of mankind; and in exercising the duties of society. It seems as if they regarded that sacred spirit, which they believed to dwell within them, as a kind of savourable providence watchful over the human race.

Born

154

Book XXIV. Chap. 11. Born for fociety, they all believed that it was their destiny to labour for it; with so much the less fatigue, as their rewards were all within themselves. Happy by their philosophy alone, it seemed as if only the happiness of others could increase theirs.

C H A P. XI.

Of contemplation.

MEN being made to preserve, to nourish, to clothe themselves, and to do all the actions of society, religion ought not to give them too con-

(*) This is templative a life (a).

the inconvenience of the doctrine of Foe and Laochium.

The Mahometans become speculative by habit; they pray five times a day, and each time they are obliged to cast behind them every thing which has any concern with this world: this forms them for speculation. Add to this that indifference for all things which is inspired by the doctrine of unalterable sate.

If other causes besides these concur to disengage their affections, for instance, if the severity of the government, if the laws concerning the property of land, give them a precarious spirit; all is lost.

The religion of the Gebres formerly rendered Persia a flourishing kingdom; it corrected the bad effects of despotic power. The same empire is now destroyed by the Mahometan religion.

CHAP. XII.

Of penance.

PEnances ought to be joined with the idea of labour, not with that of idleness; with the idea of good, not with that of super-eminent; with the idea of frugality, not with that of avarice.

CHAP.

CHAP. XIII.

Of inexpiable crimes.

T appears from a paffage of the books of the pontiffs, quoted by Cicero (a), that they had amongst XXIV. the Romans * inexpiable crimes; and it is on this, that Zozimus founds the narration fo proper to (a) Lib. ii. blacken the motives of Constantine's conversion; and Julian that bitter raillery on this conversion in his Cæfars.

The Pagan religion indeed, which prohibited only fome of the groffer crimes; which stopped the hand, but meddled not with the heart, might have crimes that were inexpiable: but a religion which bridles all the passions; which is not more jealous of actions, than of thoughts and defires; which holds us not by a few chains, but by an infinite number of threads: which, leaving human justice aside, establishes another kind of juffice; which is fo ordered, as to lead us continually from repentance to love, and from love to repentance; which puts between the judge and the criminal a great mediator; between the just and the mediator, a great judge; a religion like this ought not to have crimes in themselves inexpiable. But, though it gives fear and hope to all, it makes us fufficiently fensible, that there is no crime in its own nature inexpiable, though a whole criminal life may be fo; that it is extremely dangerous to affront mercy, by new crimes and new expiations; that an uneafiness on account of ancient debts, from which we are never free, ought to make us afraid of

^{*} Sacrum commissum quod neque expiari poterit impiè commissum est, quod expiari poterit, publici sacerdotes expianto.

Book contracting new ones, of filling up the measure, XXIV. and going even to that point where paternal goodness is limited.

CHAP. XIV.

In what manner religion has an influence on civil laws.

A S both religion and the civil laws ought to have a peculiar tendency to render men good citizens, it is evident that when one of these deviates from this end, the tendency of the other ought to be strengthened. The less severity there is in religion, the more there ought to be in the civil laws.

Thus the reigning religion of Japan having few doctrines, and proposing neither future rewards nor punishments, the laws to supply these defects have been made with the spirit of severity, and are exe-

cuted with an extraordinary punctuality.

When the doctrine of necessity is established by religion, the penalties of the laws ought to be more severe, and the magistrate more vigilant; to the end that men, who would otherwise become abandoned, might be determined by these motives: but it is quite otherwise, where religion has established the doctrine of liberty.

From the inactivity of foul springs the Mahometan doctrine of predestination, and from this doctrine of predestination springs the inactivity of soul. This, they say, is in the decrees of God; they must therefore indulge their repose. In a case like this, the magistrate ought to awaken by the laws, those who are lulled assep by religion.

When

When religion condemns things which the civil Book laws ought to permit, there is danger left the civil Chap. 14. laws, on the other hand, should permit what religion ought to condemn. Either of these is a conflant proof of a want of true ideas of that harmony and proportion, which ought to fublift between both.

Thus the Tartars (a) of Gengiskan, amongst whom (a) See the it was a fin and even a capital crime to put a knife relation in the fire, to lean against a whip, to strike a horse written by with his bridle, to break one bone with another; plan Cardid not believe it to be any fin to break their word, pin, fent to feize upon another man's goods, to do an injury tary, by to a person, or to commit murder. In a word, laws Pope Inwhich render that necessary which is only indifferent, nocentIV. have this inconveniency, that they make those things 1246. indifferent which are absolutely necessary.

The people of Formosa (b) believe, that there is a (b) Colleckind of hell; but it is to punish those who at cer-tion of tain seasons have not gone naked; who have dressed that conin calicoe, and not in filk; who have prefumed to tributed to look for oysters, who have undertaken any business the estabwithout confulting the fong of birds: whilft drunk- of the enness and debauchery are not regarded as crimes. East-India They believe, even that the debauches of their chil-vol. v. dren are agreeable to their gods.

Pag. 192,

When religion absolves the mind by a thing merely accidental, it lofes its greatest influence on mankind. The people of India believe, that the waters of the Ganges have a fanctifying virtue (e). Those (e) Edifywho die on its banks are imagined to be exempted ing Letfrom the torments of the other life, and to be entitled ters, Colto dwell in a region full of delights; and for this reason the ashes of the dead are sent from the most distant places to be thrown into this river. Little

then

Book then does it fignify whether they have lived vir-XXIV. tuously or not, so they be but thrown into the Chap. 15. Ganges.

The idea of a place of rewards has a necessary connection with the idea of the abodes of mifery; and when they hope for the first without fearing the latter, the civil laws have no longer any influence. Men who believe that they are fure of the rewards of the other life, are above the power of the legislator; they look upon death with too much contempt: by what methods shall the man be restrained by laws, who believes that the greatest pain the magistrate can inflict will end in a moment, to begin his happiness?

CHAP. XV.

How false religions are sometimes corrected by the civil laws.

CImplicity, fuperstition, or a respect for antiquity, have fometimes established mysteries or ceremonies shocking to modesty: of this the world has furnished numerous examples. Aristotle (*) says, that in this case the law permits the fathers of families to repair to the temple to celebrate these mysteries for their wives and children. How admirable the civil law, which in spite of religion preserves the manners untainted!

() Suetogufto, cap. 31.

(a) Polit. Lib. vii.

Cap. 17.

Augustus (b) excluded the youth of either fex from niusin Au- affifting at any nocturnal ceremony, unless accompanied by a more aged relation; and when he revived the lupercalia, he would not allow the young men to run naked.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVI.

e

e

Is

1-

in

0-

r-

at

to

or

vil

rs

m

ne-

ng

P.

How the laws of religion correct the inconveniencies of a political constitution.

N the other hand, religion may support a state, Book when the laws themselves are incapable of do- XXIV. ing it.

Thus when a kingdom is frequently agitated by civil wars, religion may do much by obliging one part of the state to remain always quiet. Among the Greeks, the Eleans, as priefts of Apollo, enjoyed a perpetual peace. In Japan (a), the city of Meaco (a) Colenjoys a constant peace, as being a holy city: reli-lection of gion supports this regulation, and that empire which made to feems to be the only one upon earth, that neither establish has nor will have any dependence on foreigners, has company, always in its own bosom a trade which war cannot Vol. iv. ruin.

Pag. 127.

In kingdoms where wars are not entered upon by a general confent, and where the laws have not pointed out any means either of terminating or preventing them, religion establishes times of peace, or ceffation of hostilities, that the people may be able to fow their corn, and perform those other labours which are absolutely necessary for the subsistence of the state.

Every year all hostility ceases between the (b) Ara- (b) See bian tribes for four months; the least disturbance Pridewould then be an impiety. In former times, when aux's Life of Mahoevery lord in France declared war or peace, religion met, Pag. granted a truce, which was to take place at certain 64. feafons.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVII.

The same subject continued.

Book .VIXX Chap. 17.

TX7HEN a state has many causes for hatred, religion ought to produce many ways of reconciliation. The Arabs, a people addicted to robbery, are frequently guilty of doing injury and injuflice. Mahomet (a) enacted this law: " If any one

(a) Alco-I. Chap. of the cow.

" forgives * the blood of his brother, he may pursue ran, Book " the malefactor for damages and interest: but be who shall injure the wicked, after having received

" fatisfaction, shall, in the day of judgment, suffer

" the most grievous torments."

The Germans inherited the hatred and enmity of their near relations: but these were not eternal. Homicide was expiated by giving a certain number of cattle, and all the family received fatisfaction. A (b) De mo- thing extremely useful, fays Tacitus (b), because enmities are most dangerous amongst a free people. I believe indeed, that their ministers of religion who were held by them in fo much credit, were concerned in these reconciliations.

> Amongst the Malais (c) where no form of reconciliation is established, he who has committed murder, certain of being affaffinated by the relations or friends of the deceased, abandons himself to fury,

wounds and kills all he meets.

tion of Voyages that contributed to the eftablifhment of the East-India company, Vol. vii. Pag. 303. See also Memoirs of the C. de Forbin,

and what

he fays of the Macaffars.

ribus Ger-

manorum.

(c) Collec-

* On renouncing the law of retaliation.

CHAP.

Ħ fe

fa

W

PI

CHAP. XVIII.

How the laws of religion have the effect of civil laws.

dispersed, pirates at sea, unjust at land, with-Chap. 18. out government and without laws. The mighty & 19. actions of Hercules and Theseus let us see the state of that rising people. What could religion do more than it did to inspire them with horror against murder? It declared that the man who had been (a) murdered was enraged against the assassin, that he would possess his mind with terror and trouble, and oblige him to yield to him the places he had frequented when alive. They could not touch the criminal nor converse with him (b), without being defiled: (b) Tragethe murderer was to be expelled the city, and an dy of expiation made for the crime (c).

(c) Plato of Laws, lib.

CHAP. XIX.

That it is not so much the truth or falsity of a doctrine which renders it useful or pernicious to men in civil government, as the use or abuse which is made of it.

THE most true and holy doctrines may be attended with the very worst consequences, when they are not connected with the principles of society; and, on the contrary, doctrines the most false may be attended with excellent consequences, when contrived so as to be connected with these principles.

Vol. II.

I

0

1-

1-

ror

у,

P.

M

The

BOOK XXIV. Chap. 19.

The religion of Confucius * difowns the immortality of the foul, and the fect of Zeno did not believe it. These two fects have drawn from their bad principles consequences, not just indeed, but the most admirable as to their influence on fociety. Those of the religion of Tao, and of Foe, believe the immortality of the foul; but from this facred doctrine they draw the most frightful consequences.

The doctrine of the immortality of the foul falfely understood, has, almost throughout the whole world, and in every age, engaged women, flaves, fubjects, friends, to murder themselves, that they might go and ferve in the other world the object of their respect or love in this. Thus it was in the West-Indies; thus it was amongst the Danes (a); thus it is at present in Japan (b), at Macassar (c), and many other places.

(2) See Tho. Bartholin's Antiq. of the Danes.

(b) An Account of Japan, in the Collection of Voyages that contributed to eftablifh an East-India company.

(c) Formoirs.

These customs do not so directly proceed from the doctrine of the immortality of the foul, as from that of the refurrection of the body, from whence they have drawn this consequence, that after death the fame individual will have the fame wants, the fame fentiments, the fame passions. In this point of view the doctrine of the immortality of the foul has a prodigious effect on mankind; because the idea of only

1

.

66

to

ju

h

bi

an

the

In

* A Chinese philosopher reasons thus against the doctrine of Foe. " It is faid in a book of that feet, that the body is our dwellingbin's Me- " place, and the foul the immortal guest which lodges there: " but if the bodies of our relations are only a lodging, it is na-" tural to regard them with the same contempt we should feel " for a structure of earth and dirt. Is not this endeavouring to " tear from the heart the virtue of love to one's own parents? " This leads us even to neglect the care of the body, and to re-" fuse it the compassion and affection so necessary for its preser-" vation: hence the disciples of Foe kill themselves by thousands." Work of an ancient Chinese philosopher, in the Collection of Du. balde, Vol. III. pag. 52.

only a simple change of habitation is more within Book XXIV. the reach of the human understanding, and more Chap. 20. adapted to flatter the heart, then the idea of a new & 21. modification.

It is not enough for religion to establish a doctrine, it must also direct its influence. This the Christian religion performs in the most admirable manner, particularly with regard to the doctrines of which we have been speaking. It makes us hope for a state which is the object of our belief; not for a state which we have already experienced, or known: thus every article, even the resurrection of the body, leads us to spiritual ideas.

CHAP. XX.

5,

et ne

);

nd

m

m

nce

ath

the

of

has

a of only

Foe.

lingnere:

s na-

feel

ents?

to re-

referands."

f Du-

The same subject continued.

THE facred books (a) of the ancient Persians (a) Mr. fay, "If you would be boly, instruct your chil-Hyde." dren, because all the good actions which they per"form, will be imputed to you." They advise them to marry betimes, because children at the day of judgment will be as a bridge, over which those who have none cannot pass. These doctrines were false, but extremely useful.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the metempsychosis.

THE doctrine of the immortality of the foul is divided into three branches, that of pure immortality, that of a fimple change of habitation, and that of a metempsychosis: that is, the system of the Christians, that of the Scythians, and that of the Indians. We have just been speaking of the two M 2 first,

XXIV. Chap. 22.

Book first, and I shall fay of the last, that as it has been well or ill explained, it has had good or bad effects. As it inspires men with a certain horror against bloodshed, very few murders are committed in the Indies; and though they feldom punish with death, yet they enjoy a perfect tranquillity.

On the other hand, women burn themselves at the death of their husbands; it is only the innocent who

fuffer a violent death.

CHAP. XXII.

That it is dangerous for religion to inspire an aversion for things in themselves indifferent.

Kind of honour established in the Indies by the prejudices of religion, has made the feveral tribes conceive an aversion against each other. honour is founded entirely on religion; these family distinctions form no civil distinctions; there are Indians who would think themselves dishonoured by eating with their king.

These forts of distinctions are connected with a certain aversion for other men, very different from those fentiments which ought to proceed from difference of rank; which, amongst us, comprehend a

love for inferiors.

The laws of religion should never inspire an aversion to any thing but vice, and above all they should never estrange man from a love and tenderness for his own species.

The Mahometan and Indian religions embrace an infinite number of people: the Indians hate the Mahometans, because they eat cows; the Mahometans

detest the Indians, because they eat hogs.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of festivals,

THEN religion appoints a ceffation from la- Book bour, it ought to have a greater regard to Chap. 23. the necessities of mankind, than to the grandeur of the being it defigns to honour.

Athens(a) was subject to great inconveniencies (a) Xenofrom the excessive number of its festivals. These phon on the repubpowerful people, to whose decision all the cities of lic of A-Greece came to fubmit their quarrels, could not but thens.

fuffer in their affairs.

n

in

1-

ns

P.

When Constantine ordained that the people should rest on the sabbath, he made this decree for the cities, and not for the inhabitants of the open country; he was fenfible, that labour in the cities was useful, and in the fields necessary.

For the same reason, in a country supported by commerce the number of festivals ought to be relative to this very commerce. Protestant and Catholic countries are fituated + in fuch a manner that there is more need of labour in the former, than in the latter; the suppression of festivals is therefore more fuitable to Protestant than to Catholic countries.

Dampierre (b) observes, that the diversions of dif- (b) Damferent nations vary greatly according to the climate. pierre's Voyages, As hot climates produce a quantity of delicate fruits, Voi 11. the barbarians, who easily find necessaries, spend much time in diversions. The Indians of colder. countries have not fo much leifure, being obliged M 3 to

^{*} Leg. 3. Cod, de Feriis. This law was doubtless made only for the Pagans.

⁺ The Catholics lie more towards the fouth, and the Proteftants towards the north.

Book XXIV. Chap. 24.

to fish and hunt continually; wherefore they have less music, dancing, and festivals. If a new religion should be established amongst these people, it ought to have regard to this in the institution of festivals.

CHAP. XXIV.

Of the local laws of religion.

THERE are many local laws in various religions; and when Montesuma with so much obstinacy insisted that the religion of the Spaniards was good for their country, and his for Mexico, he did not affert an abfurdity; because, in fact, legistators could never help having a regard to what nature had established before them.

The opinion of the metempsychosis is adapted to the climate of the Indies. An excessive heat burns (a) up all the country; they can breed but very few cattle; they are always in danger of wanting them for tillage; their black cattle multiply but indiffe-Pag. 137. rently (b); they are subject to many distempers: a (b) Edify- law of religion which preferves them, is therefore ing Let-ters, Coll. most suitable to the policy of the country.

While the meadows are fcorched up, rice and pulse by the affistance of water are brought to perfection: a law of religion which permits only this kind of nourishment, must therefore be extremely uleful to men in these climates.

The flesh of beasts in that country is insipid, but the milk and butter which they receive from them ferves for a part of their subsistence: therefore the law which prohibits the eating and killing of cows, is in the Indies not unreasonable.

(2) See Bernier's Travels, XII. Pag.

95.

Athens

Athens contained a prodigious multitude of people, but its territory was barren. It was therefore a reli- Chap. 25. gious maxim with this people, that those who offered fome fmall prefents to the gods (a), honoured them (a) Euripimore than those who facrificed an ox.

Book XXIV.

des in Athenæus. lib. ii.

CHAP. XXV.

The inconveniency of transplanting a religion from one country to another.

T follows from hence, that there are frequently I many inconveniencies attending the transplanting a religion from one country to another.

" The bog, fays Mr. de Boulainvilliers (b), must (b) Life of " be very scarce in Arabia, where there are almost no Mahomet.

woods, and hardly any thing fit for the nourishment

of these animals; besides, the saltness of the water

" and food renders the people most susceptible of cuta-

" neous disorders." This local law could not be good in other * countries, where the hog is almost an universal, and in some fort a necessary nourishment.

I shall here make a reflection. Sanctorius has obferved that pork transpires but little ('), and that (c) Medithis kind of meat greatly hinders the transpiration of cina Staother food; he has found that this diminution a- 3. Aphor. mounts to a third (d). Besides it is known, that the 22. want of transpiration forms or increases the disorders (4) Ibid. of the skin. The feeding on pork ought therefore to be prohibited, in climates where the people are fubject to these disorders, as in Palestine, Arabia, Ægypt, and Lybia.

M 4

CHAP.

^{*} As in China.

er's Tra-

C H A P. XXVI.

The same subject continued.

Chap. 26. SIR John Chardin (a) fays, that there is not a na-Chap. 26. Signature in Persia, except the Kur, which is at the extremity of the empire. The ancient law into Perof the Gebres which prohibited sailing on rivers, sa, Vol. II. was not therefore attended with any inconvenience in this country, though it would have ruined the trade of another.

Frequent bathings are extremely useful in hot climates. On this account they are ordained in the Mahometan law, and in the Indian religion. In the Indies it is a most meritorious act to pray to (b) God in the running stream: but how could these things be performed in other climates?

vels, Vol. be performed in other climates?

When a religion adapted to the climate of one country clashes too much with the climate of another, it cannot be there established; and whenever it has been introduced, it has been afterwards discarded. It seems to all human appearance, as if the climate had prescribed the bounds of the Christian and the Mahometan religions.

It follows from hence, that it is almost always proper for a religion to have particular doctrines, and a general worship. In laws concerning the practice of religious worship, there ought to be but few particulars: for instance, they should command mortification in general, and not a certain kind of mortification. Christianity is full of good sense: abstinence is of divine institution; but a particular kind of abstinence is ordained by a political law, and therefore may be changed.

BOOK



BOOK XXV.

Of laws as relative to the establishment of religion and its external polity.

CHAP. I.

Of religious sentiments.

THE pious man and the atheist always talk of Book XXV. religion; the one speaks of what he loves, Chap. 1. and the other of what he fears.

CHAP. II.

Of the motives of attachment to different religions.

THE different religions of the world do not give to those who profess them equal motives of attachment: this depends greatly on the manner in which they agree with the turn of thought and perceptions of mankind.

We are extremely addicted to idolatry, and yet have no great inclination for the religion of idolaters: we are not very fond of spiritual ideas, and yet are most attached to those religions which teach us to adore a spiritual being. This proceeds from the satisfaction we find in ourselves at having been so intelligent as to chuse a religion, which raises the deity from that baseness in which he had been placed by others.

Book XXV. Chap, 2.

others. We look upon idolatry as the religion of an ignorant people; and the religion which has a spiritual being for its object, as that of the most en-

lightened nations.

When with a doctrine that gives us the idea of a spiritual supreme being, we can still join those of a fensible nature, and admit them into our worship, we contract a greater attachment to religion; because those motives which we have just mentioned, are added to our natural inclination for the objects of fense. Thus the Catholics, who have more of this kind of worship than the Protestants, are more attached to their * religion, than the Protestants are to theirs.

(a) St. Cyril's Let-

When the (a) people of Ephefus were informed that the fathers of the council had declared they might call the holy virgin the mother of God, they were transported with joy, they kiffed the hands of the bishops, they embraced their knees, the whole city refounded with acclamations.

When an intellectual religion fuperadds a choice made by the deity, and a preference of those who profess it to those who do not, this greatly attaches us to religion. The Mahometans would not be fuch good Musfulmans, if on the one hand there were not idolatrous nations who make them imagine themfelves the champions of the unity of God; and on the other Christians, to make them believe that they are the objects of his preference.

A religion burthened with many † ceremonies, attaches us to it more strongly than that which has a fewer

They are more zealous for its propagation.

⁺ This does not contradict what I have faid in the last chapter of the preceding book: I here speak of the motives of attachment

fewer number. We have an extreme propenfity to Book XXV. things in which we are continually employed: witchap. 2. nefs the obstinate prejudices of the * Mahometans and the Jews; and the readiness with which those barbarous and savage nations change their religion, who, as they are employed entirely in hunting, or war, have but few religious ceremonies.

Men are extremely inclined to the passions of hope and fear; a religion therefore, that had neither a heaven nor a hell, could hardly please them. This is proved by the ease with which foreign religions have been established in Japan, and the zeal and fondness with which they were received †.

In order to raise an attachment to religion, it is necessary that it should inculcate pure morals. Men who are knaves by retail, are extremely honest in the gross, they love morality. And were I not treating of so grave a subject, I should say that this appears remarkably evident in our theatres: we are sure of pleasing the people by sentiments avowed by morality; we are sure of shocking them by those it disapproves.

d

e

0

2S

h

ot

1-

n

y

t-

er

er

it-

nt

When external worship is attended with great magnificence, it flatters our minds, and strongly attaches us to religion. The riches of temples, and those of the clergy, greatly affect us. Thus even the misery of the people, is a motive that renders them fond

tachment to religion, and there of the means of rendering it more general.

* This has been remarked by the whole world. See as to the Turks, the missions of the Levant; the Collection of Voyages that contributed to the establishment of an East-India company, Vol. iii. pag. 201. On the Moors of Batavia, and Father Labat on the Mahometan negroes, &c.

† The Christian and the Indian religions; these have a hell and a paradise, which the religion of Sintes has not.

Book fond of that religion, which has ferved as a pretext XXV.

Chap. 3. to those who were the cause of their misery.

CHAP. III. Of temples.

A Lmost all civilized nations dwell in houses: from hence naturally arose the idea of building a house for God, in which they might adore and seek him amidst all their hopes and fears.

In fact, nothing is more comfortable to mankind, than a place in which they may find the deity peculiarly present, and where they may affemble together to confess their weakness and tell their griefs.

But this natural idea never occurred to any but fuch as cultivated the land; those who had no houses for themselves were never known to build temples.

This was the cause that made Gengiskan discover such a prodigious contempt for mosques*. This prince † examined the Mahometans, he approved of all their doctrines, except that of the necessity of going to Mecca: he could not comprehend why God might not every where be adored. As the Tartars did not dwell in houses, they could have no idea of temples.

Those people who have no temples, have but a small attachment to their own religion. This is the reason why the Tartars have in all times given so great a toleration ||; why the barbarous nations who conquered the Roman empire, did not hesitate a moment to embrace Christianity; why the savages of

Americ

^{*} Entering the Mosque of Buchara, he took the Alcoran, and threw it under his horse's feet. Hist. of the Tartars, pag. 273.

[†] Ibid. pag. 342.

|| This disposition of mind has been communicated to the Japanese, who, as is easily proved, derive their original from the Tartars.

America have so little fondness for their own reli- Book gion; why fince our missionaries have built churches Chap. 3. in Paraguay, the natives of that country are become fo zealous for ours.

As the deity is the refuge of the unhappy, and none are more unhappy than criminals, men have been naturally led to think temples an afylum for those wretches. This idea appeared still more natural to the Greeks, where murderers, chased from their city and the presence of men, seemed to have no houses but the temples, nor other protectors but the

At first these were only designed for involuntary homicides; but when the people made them a fanctuary for great criminals, they fell into a gross contradiction. If they had offended men, they had much greater reason to believe that they had offended

the gods.

ct

1-

d

er

it

es

er

is

of)-

d

d

S.

a

10

Q

0

)-

of

ca nd

a-

ie

These asylums multiplied in Greece. The temples, fays Tacitus (a), were filled with infolvent (a) Annal. debtors, and wicked flaves; the magistrate found it lib, ii. difficult to exercise his office, the people protected the crimes of men as the ceremonies of the gods; at length the fenate was obliged to retrench a great number of them.

The laws of Moses were perfectly wife. The man who involuntarily killed another, was innocent; but he was obliged to be taken away from before the eyes of the relations of the deceased: Moses therefore appointed an afylum (b) for fuch unfortunate people. Great criminals deserved not a place of safe- (b) Numb. ty, and they had none (c): the Jews had only a portable tabernacle, which continually changed its place: (c) Ibid. this excluded the idea of a fanctuary. It is true that

they

BOOK XXV. Chap. 4.

they had afterwards a temple; but the criminals who would refort thither from all parts, might diffurb the divine fervice. If persons who had committed manslaughter, had been driven out of the country, as was customary amongst the Greeks, they had reafon to fear that they would worship strange gods. All these considerations made them establish cities of fafety, where they might stay till the death of the high-prieft.

CHAP. IV.

Of the ministers of religion.

THE first men, fays Pophiry, facrificed only vegetables. In a worship so simple, every one

might be prieft in his own family.

The natural defire of pleafing the deity, multiplied ceremonies. From hence it followed, that men employed in agriculture became incapable of obferving them all, and of filling up the number.

Particular places were confecrated to the gods; it then became necessary that they should have ministers to take care of them; in the fame manner as every citizen took care of his house and domestic affairs. Hence the people who have no priefts, are commonly barbarians: fuch were formerly the Pedalians (a), Giraldus, fuch are still the Wolgusky *.

(a) Lilius pag. 726.

Men confecrated to the deity ought to be honoured, especially amongst people who have formed an idea of a personal purity necessary to approach the places most agreeable to the gods, and for the performance of particular ceremonies.

A people of Siberia. See the account given by Mr. Everard Ybrant-Ides, in the Collection of Travels to the North, Vol. viii.

The worship of the gods requiring a continual application, most nations were led to consider the Chap. 4. clergy as a separate body. Thus amongst the Egyptians, the Jews, and the Persians (a), they consecrated (a) See to the deity certain families who performed and per- Mr. Hyde. petuated the fervice. There have been even religions, which have not only eftranged ecclefiaftics from business, but have also taken away the embarassments of a family; and this is the practice of the principal branch of Christianity.

I shall not here treat of the consequences of the law of celibacy: it is evident, that it may become hurtful, in proportion as the body of the clergy may be too numerous; and, in consequence of this, that of the laity too small.

By the nature of the human understanding, we love in religion every thing which carries the idea of difficulty; as in point of morality we have a speculative fondness for every thing which bears the character of feverity. Celibacy has been most agreeable to those nations to whom it seemed least adapted, and with whom it might be attended with the most fatal consequences. In the fouthern countries of Europe, where, by the nature of the climate, the law of celibacy is more difficult to observe, it has been retained; in those of the north, where the pasfions are less lively, it has been banished. Moreover, in countries where there are but few inhabitants, it has been admitted; in those that are vastly populous, it has been rejected. 'Tis obvious, that these reflections relate only to the too great extension of celibacy, and not to celibacy itself.

CHAP.

nly one

vho

urb

ted

ry,

ea-

ds.

of

the

nen ob-; it ters

ılti-

ery irs. on-(2),

ned ach the

ho-

The rard viii.

CHAP. V.

Of the bounds which the laws ought to prescribe to the riches of the clergy.

Book XXV. Chap. 5. A S particular families may be extinct, their wealth cannot be a perpetual inheritance. The clergy is a family which cannot be extinct; wealth is therefore fixed to it for ever, and cannot go out of it.

Particular families may increase, it is necessary then that their wealth should also increase. The clergy is a family, which ought not to increase;

their wealth ought then to be limited.

We have retained the regulations of the Levitical laws as to the possessions of the clergy, except those relating to the bounds of these possessions: indeed, amongst us we must ever be ignorant of the bound, beyond which any religious community can no longer be permitted to acquire.

These endless acquisitions appear to the people so unreasonable, that he who should speak in their de-

fence, would be regarded as an idiot.

The civil laws find fometimes many difficulties in altering established abuses; because they are connected with things worthy of respect: in this case an indirect proceeding would be a greater proof of the wisdom of the legislator, than another which struck directly at the thing itself. Instead of prohibiting the acquisitions of the clergy, we should seek to give them a distaste for them; to leave them the right, and to take away the deed.

In some countries of Europe, a respect for the privileges of the nobility has established in their favour a right of indemnity over immoveable goods acquired in mortmain. The interest of the prince has in the

fame

fame case made him exact a right of amortization. In Book XXV. Castile, where there is no such right, the clergy have Chap. 6. seized upon every thing. In Arragon, where there is some right of amortization, they have obtained less: in France, where this right and that of indemnity are established, they have acquired less still; and it may be said, that the prosperity of this kingdom is partly owing to the exercise of these two rights. If possible then, increase these rights, and put a stop to the mortmain.

Render the ancient and necessary patrimony of the clergy facred and inviolable; let it be fixt and eternal like that body itself: but let new inheri-

tances be out of their power.

,

Permit them to break the rule, when the rule is become an abuse; suffer the abuse when it enters into the rule.

They still remember at Rome, a certain memorial sent thither on some disputes with the clergy, in which was this maxim: "The clergy ought to con"tribute to the expences of the state, let the Old
"Testament say what it will." They concluded from this passage, that the author of this memorial was better versed in the language of the tax-gatherers, than in that of religion.

CHAP. VI.

Of monasteries.

THE least degree of common-sense will let us see, that bodies designed for a perpetual continuance, should not be allowed to sell their funds for life, nor to borrow for life; unless we want them to be heirs to all those who have no relations, and to those who do Vol. II.

Book not chuse to have any. These men play against the XXV. Chap. 7. people, but they hold the bank themselves.

CHAP. VII.

Of the luxury of superstition.

(a) Of Laws, Book X "THOSE are guilty of impiety towards the gods, fays Plato (a), who deny their existence, or who, while they believe it, maintain that they do not interfere with what is done below; or, in short, who think that they can easily appease them by sacrifices: three opinions equally pernicious." Plato has here faid all that the clearest light of nature has ever been able to say, in point of religion.

The magnificence of external worship has a principal connection with the constitution of the state. In good republics, they have curbed not only the luxury of vanity, but even that of superstition. They have introduced frugal laws into religion. Of this number are many of the laws of Solon, many of those of Plato on sunerals adopted by Cicero; and, in sine, some of the laws of Numa* on sacrifices.

Birds, fays Cicero, and paintings begun and finished in a day, are gifts the most divine. We offer common things, says a Spartan, that we may always have it in our power to honour the gods.

The defire of man to pay his worship to the deity, is very different from the magnificence of this worship. Let us not offer our treasures to him, if we are not proud of shewing that we esteem what he would have us despise.

"What must the gods think of the gifts of the im-

would blush to receive presents from a villain?"

Religion

a

fo

ch

ke

to th:

T

de

all

thi

reli

der

nie

inft

Th

but

emp

are

him

atte

[·] Rogum vino ne respergito. Law of the twelve Tables.

Religion ought not under the pretence of gifts to Book XXV. draw from the people, what the necessities of the Chap. 8. state have left them: but, as Plato (*) says, "The (*) On chaste and the pious ought to offer gifts, which re-Laws, if semble themselves."

Nor is it proper for religion to encourage expenfive funerals. What is there more natural, than to take away the difference of fortune in a circumstance, and in the very moment, which equals all fortunes?

60

re

en

n-

te.

he

n.

Of

of

id,

fi-

Ne

nay

the

this if

hat

im-

man

gion

CHAP. VIII.

Of the pontificate.

THEN religion has many ministers, it is natural for them to have a chief, and for a fovereign pontiff to be established. In monarchies, where the feveral orders of the state cannot be kept too diffinct, and where all powers ought not to be lodged in the same person; it is proper that the pontificate be distinct from the empire. The fame necessity is not to be met with in a despotic government, the nature of which is to unite all the different powers in the same person. But in this case it may happen, that the prince may regard religion as he does the laws themfelves, as dependent on his own will. To prevent this inconveniency, there ought to be monuments of religion, for inftance, facred books, which fix and establish it. The king of Persia is the chief of the religion; but this religion is regulated by the alcoran. The emperor of China is the fovereign pontiff; but there are books in the hands of every body, to which he himself must conform. In vain a certain emperor attempted to abolish them; they triumphed over tyranny.

N 2

CHAP.

Chap.

d lo C H A P. IX.

Of toleration in point of religion.

Book XXV. Chap. 9. WE are here politicians, and not divines: but the divines themselves must allow that there is a great difference between tolerating and approving a religion.

When the legislator has believed it a duty to permit the exercise of many religions, it is necessary hat it should inforce also a toleration amongst these eligions themselves. It is a principle that every reigion which is persecuted, becomes itself persecuting: for as soon as by some accidental turn it arises from persecution, it attacks the religion which persecuted it; not as a religion, but as a tyranny.

It is necessary then that the laws require from the several religions, not only that they shall not embroil the state, but that they shall not raise disturbances amongst themselves. A citizen does not suffil the laws, by not disturbing the government; it is requisite, that he should not trouble any citizen whomsoever.

CHAP. X.

The same subject continued.

As there are scarce any but persecuting religions that have an extraordinary zeal for being established in other places (because a religion that can tolerate others seldom thinks of its own propagation), it must therefore be a very good civil law, when the state is already satisfied with the established religion, not to suffer the establishment of another.

This

This is then a fundamental principle of the poli- Book tical laws of religion: That when the state is at li-Chap. 11. berty to receive or to reject a new religion, it ought to be rejected; when it is received, it ought to be tolerated.

CHAP. XI.

ut

re V-

rry

efe

e-

g:

m

ed

he

oil

ces

the

ui-

m-

ons

ing

hat

paivil staan-

his

Of changing a religion.

Prince who undertakes to destroy or to change The established religion of his kingdom, must greatly expose himself. If his government is despotic, he runs the risk of seeing a revolution, which from fome kind of tyranny or other is never a new thing in fuch states. The cause of this revolution is, that a state cannot change its religion, manners, and customs in an instant, and with the same rapidity as the prince publishes the ordinance which establishes a new religion.

Besides, the ancient religion is connected with the constitution of the kingdom, and the new one is not: the former agrees with the climate, and very often the new one is opposite to it. Moreover, the citizens, difgusted with their laws, look upon the government already established with contempt; they conceive a jealoufy against the two religions, instead of a firm belief in one; and in a word, these revolutions give the state, at least for some time, both bad citizens, and bad believers.

CHAP. XII.

Of penal laws.

Enal laws ought to be avoided, in respect to religion; they imprint fear, it is true; but as re-Chap. 12. ligion has also penal laws which inspire fear, the one is effaced by the other: and between these two different kinds of fear, the mind becomes hardened.

> The threatenings of religion are so terrible, and its promifes fo great, that when they actuate the mind, whatever efforts the magistrates may use to oblige us to renounce it, they feem to leave us nothing when they deprive us of the exercise of our religion; and to bereave us of nothing, when we are

freely allowed to profess it.

It is not therefore in filling the foul with this great object, in hastening its approach to that important moment in which it ought to be of the highest importance, that they can succeed in detaching the foul from it. A more certain way is to attack religion by favours, by the conveniencies of life, by hopes of fortune; not by that which revives, but by that which extinguishes, the sense of its duty; not by that which shocks it, but by that which throws it into indifference, at the time when other passions actuate our minds, and those which religion inspires are hushed into filence. A general rule in changing a religion; the invitations should be much stronger than the penalties.

The temper of the human mind has appeared even in the nature of the punishments they have emed to the ployed. If we take a survey of the persecutions in Japan (a), we shall find that they were more shocked at cruel torments than at long fufferings, which rather weary than affright; which are the more difficompany, cult to furmount from their appearing less difficult. Vcl. 5.

(a) In the Collection of Voyages that contributestablishment of an East-India

In

In a word, hiftory fufficiently informs us, that Book XXV. penal laws have never had any other effect but to Chap. 13. destroy.

CHAP. XIII.

A most bumble remonstrance to the inquisitors of Spain and Portugal.

A Jewess of ten years of age, who was burnt at Lisbon at the last Auto-da-fé, gave occasion to the following little piece; the most idle, I believe, that ever was wrote. When we attempt to prove things so evident, we are sure never to convince.

The author declares, that though a Jew, he has a respect for the Christian religion; and that he should be glad to take away from the princes who are not Christians, a plausible pretence for persecuting this religion.

"You complain, fays he to the inquisitors, that the emperor of Japan caused all the Christians in

" his dominions to be burnt by a flow fire. But he

" will answer, we treat you who do not believe like us, as you yourselves treat those who do not

" believe like you: you can only complain of your

" weakness, which has hindered you from exter-

" minating us, and which has enabled us to exter-

" minate you.

e-

ne

f-

bi

ne

to

our

re

at

nt

r-

ul

y

of

at

at

1-

ur

n-

ne

3.

ed

7-

in

ed

ch

ñ-

In

"But it must be confessed, that you are much more cruel than this emperor. You put us to

" death, who believe only what you believe, because we do not believe all that you believe. We

" follow a religion, which you yourselves know to

" have been formerly dear to God. We think that

"God loves it still, and you think that he loves it

" no more: and because you judge thus, you make

4 " those

Book XXV. Chap. 13. "those suffer by sword and fire, who hold an error
so so pardonable as to believe that God * still loves
what he once loved.

"If you are cruel to us, you are much more fo to our children; you cause them to be burnt, be-

s cause they follow the inspirations given them by those whom the law of nature, and the laws of all

" nations teach them to regard as gods.

"You deprive yourselves of the advantage you have over the Mahometans, with respect to the manner in which their religion was established.

When they boast of the number of their believers,

" you tell them that they have obtained them by violence, and that they have extended their reli-

"gion by the fword: why then do you establish

" yours by fire?

" When you would bring us over to you, we ob-

"You reply to us, that though your religion is

" new, it is divine; and you prove it from its growing amidst the persecution of Pagans, and

" when watered by the blood of your martyrs: but

" at prefent you play the part of the Dioclesians,

" and make us take yours."

"We conjure you, not by the mighty God whom both you and we ferve, but by that Christ who,

" you tell us, took upon him a human form, to

" propose himself for an example for you to fol-

" low; we conjure you to behave to us, as he him-

" felf would behave was he upon earth. You

" would have us be Christians, and you will not be

" fo yourfelves.

" But

The fource of the blindness of the Jews is, their not perceiving that the economy of the gospel is in the order of the decrees of God; and that it is in this light a consequence of his immutability itself.

But if you will not be Christians, be at least Book XXV.
men: treat us as you would, if having only the Chap. 13.
weak light of justice which nature bestows, you

" had not a religion to conduct, and a revelation to

enlighten you.

or

es

fo

e-

y

all

he

d.

S,

Dy

i-

ſh

b-

d.

is

ts

nd

ut

is,

m

0,

to l-

1-

u

be

ut

r-

he of "If heaven has had fo great a love for you, as to make you fee the truth, you have received a great favour: but is it for children who have received the inheritance of their father, to hate those who have not?

"If you have this truth, hide it not from us by the manner in which you propose it. The characteristic of truth is its triumph over hearts and minds, and not that impotency which you confess, when you would force us to receive it by

" your tortures,

"If you were wife, you would not put us to death for no other reason, but because we are unwilling to deceive you. If your Christ is the fon of God, we hope he will reward us for being fo unwilling to profane his mysteries; and we believe, that the God whom both you and we ferve, will not punish us for having suffered death for a religion which he formerly gave us, only because we believe that he still continues to give it.

You live in an age in which the light of nature fhines more bright than it has ever done; in which philosophy has enlightened human understandings; in which the morality of your gospel has been more known; in which the respective rights of mankind, with regard to each other, and the empire which one conscience has over another, are best understood. If you do not therefore shake off your ancient prejudices, which whilst unre-

BOOK XXV. Chap. 14.

"garded, mingle with your passions, it must be confessed, that you are incorrigible, incapable of any degree of light, or instruction; and a nation

" must be very unhappy that gives authority to such

" men.

"Would you have us frankly tell you our thoughts?

You confider us rather as your enemies, than as the enemies of your religion: for if you loved

" your religion, you would not fuffer it to be cor-

" rupted by fuch gross ignorance.

"It is necessary that we should advertise you of one thing, that is, if any one in times to come

" shall dare to affert, that in the age in which we

66 live the people of Europe were civilized, you will

" be cited to prove that they were barbarians; and

" the idea they will have of you, will be fuch as

" will dishonour your age, and spread hatred over

" all your contemporaries."

CHAP. XIV.

Why the Christian religion is so odious in Japan.

(*) Book vi. Chap. 24. WE have already mentioned (*) the perverse turn of the minds of the people of Japan. The magistrates considered the firmness which Christianity inspires, when they attempted to make the people renounce their faith, as in itself most dangerous: they fancied that it increased their obstinacy. The law of Japan punishes severely the least disobedience. They ordered them to renounce the Christian religion: they did not renounce it, this was disobedience: they punished this crime, and the continuance in disobedience seemed to deserve another punishment.

Punishments

be

of

on

ch

s?

as

ed

1-

of

ne

ve ill

nd

as

er.

n.

fe

n.

ri-

he

n-

ti-

aft

he

nis

he

n-

its

Punishments amongst the Japanese are considered Book as the revenge of an infult done to the prince. The Chap. 15. fongs of triumph fung by our martyrs appeared as an outrage against him; the title of martyr provoked the magistrates; in their opinion it signified rebel: they did all in their power to prevent their obtaining it. It was then that their fouls were exasperated, and a horrid struggle was seen between the tribunals that condemned, and the accused who suffered; between the civil laws, and those of religion.

CHAP. XV.

Of the propagation of religion.

LL the people of the east, except the Mahometans, believe all religions in themselves indifferent. They fear the establishment of another religion, no otherwise than as a change in govern-Amongst the Japanese, where there are many fects, and where the state has had for so long a time an ecclefiaftic fuperior, they (a) never difpute on (a) See It is the same with the people of Siam (b). Kempher. The Calmucks (c) do more, they make it a point of (b) Forconscience to tolerate every species of religion: at bin's Me-Calicut (d) it is a maxim of the state, that every religion is good.

But it does not follow from hence, that a religion Tartars, brought from a far distant country, and quite diffe- part 5. rent in climate, laws, manners, and customs, will (d) Pirard's have all the fuccess to which its holiness might en- chap. 27. This is more particularly true in great despotic empires: here strangers are tolerated at first, because there is no attention given to what does not feem to strike at the authority of the prince: they are entirely immerfed in ignorance. An European

(c) Hiftory

Book may render himself agreeable, by the knowledge he Chap. 15. communicates: this is very well in the beginning. But as foon as he has any fuccess, when disputes arife, when men who have some interest become informed of it; as their empire by its very nature, above all things requires tranquillity, and as the least disturbance may overturn it, they at first proscribe the new religion and those who preach it; difputes between the preachers breaking out, they begin to entertain a distaste for a religion on which even those who propose it are not agreed.

a low to track at the authority of the prince; they

introly as perfect in temptance. All Buropean

BOOK



C

25

ft

f-

y

K

BOOK XXVI.

Of laws as relative to the order of things on which they determine.

CHAP. I.

Idea of this book.

MEN are governed by feveral kinds of laws; Book by the law of nature; by the divine law, XXVI. which is that of religion; by ecclefiaftical, other-Chap. 1. wife called canon law, which is that of religious polity; by the law of nations, which may be considered as the civil law of the universe, in which fense every nation is a citizen; by the general political law, whose object is that human wisdom which has been the foundation of all focieties; by the law of conquest founded on this, that one nation has been willing and able, or has had a right to offer violence to another; by the civil law of every fociety, by which a citizen may defend his possessions and his life, against the attacks of any other citizen; in fine, by domestic law, which proceeds from a fociety's being divided into feveral families, all which have need of a particular government.

There are therefore different orders of laws, and the sublimity of human reason consists in perfectly knowing to which of these orders the things that are Book XXVI. Chap. 2. to be determined ought to have a principal relation, and not to throw into confusion those principles which should govern mankind.

CHAP. II.

Of laws divine and human.

WE ought not to decide by the divine laws, what should be decided by human laws; nor determine by human, what should be determined by divine laws.

These two forts of laws differ in their original, in

their object, and in their nature.

It is univerfally acknowledged, that human laws are in their own nature different from those of religion, and this is an important principle: but this principle is itself subject to others, which must be enquired after.

1. It is in the nature of human laws to be subject to all the accidents which can happen, and to vary in proportion as the will of man changes: on the contrary, by the nature of the laws of religion, they are never to vary. Human laws appoint for the good; those of religion for the better: the good may have another object, because there are many kinds of good: but the better is but one, it cannot therefore change. We may change laws, because they are reputed no more than good; but the institutions of religion are always supposed to be the best.

2. There are kingdoms, in which the laws are of no value, as they depend only on the capricious and fickle humour of the fovereign. If in these kingdoms the laws of religion were of the same nature as the human laws, the laws of religion too would be of no value. It is however necessary to the so-

ciety,

ciety, that it should have something fixed; and 'tis

religion that has this flability.

Chap. 3.) 3. The influence of religion proceeds from its being believed; that of human laws, from their being feared. Antiquity fuits with religion, because we have frequently a firmer belief of things in proportion to their diffance: for we have no ideas annexed to them drawn from those times, which can contradict them. Human laws, on the contrary, receive advantage from their novelty, which implies the actual and particular attention of the legislator to put them in execution.

CHAP. III.

Of civil laws contrary to the law of nature.

TF a flave, fays Plato (*), defends himfelf, and kills (*) Lib. ix. 1 a freeman, he ought to be treated as a parricide, on Laws. This is a civil law which punishes felf-defence, tho

dictated by nature.

The law of Henry VIII. which condemned a man, without being confronted by witnesses, was contrary to self-defence. In fact, in order to pass sentence of condemnation, it is necessary that the witnesses should know whether the man against whom they make their deposition is he whom they accuse, and that this man be at liberty to fay, I am not the person you mean.

The law paffed under the fame reign, which condemned every woman who having carried on a criminal commerce did not declare it to the king before her marriage, violated the regard due to natural modesty. It is as unreasonable to oblige a woman to make this declaration, as to oblige a man

not to attempt the defence of his own life.

The

Sublato.

WS, WS ; ined

on,

ples

, in aws

relithis be

ject y in conare od ;

nave of fore are

s of

e of and ingre as

ould fo-

iety,

Book XXVI. Chap. 3.

The laws of Henry II. which condemned the woman to death who loft her child, in case she did not make known her pregnancy to the magistrate, was not less contrary to self-defence. It would have been fufficient to oblige her to inform one of her nearest relations, who might watch over the preservation of the infant. but on avail aw rol : some file right of non

(a) A law gundians, tit. 47.

Gundebald (*) king of Burgundy decreed, that if of the Bur- the wife or fon of a person guilty of robbery did not reveal the crime, they were to become flaves. This law was contrary to nature: a wife to inform against her husband! a fon to accuse his father! to avenge one criminal action, they ordained another still more criminal.

> There has been much talk of a law in * England, which permitted girls feven years old to chuse a husband. This law was shocking two ways, it had no regard to the time when nature gives maturity to the understanding, nor to the time when she gives maturity to the body.

Amongst the Romans, a father might oblige his daughter to repudiate (b) her hufband, though he him-Law 5. in felf had confented to the marriage. But it is contrary to nature, for the divorce to be in the power of a

third person.

(b) See the code de repudiis & judicio de moribus

Sublato.

A divorce can be agreeable to nature, only when it is by confent of the two parties, or at least of one of them: but when neither confents, it is a monstrous In fhort the power of divorcement kind of divorce. can be given only to those who feel the inconveniencies of marriage, and who are fenfible of the moment when it is for their interest to make them cease.

CHAP.

^{*} Mr. Bayle, in his Criticism on the History of Calvinism, speaks of this law. pag. 263.

and thole to whom be (1) had not

0ot

as

en eft

of

if

lid

es.

m

to

her

nd,

nad

to

ves

his

m-

ary fa

hen

one

ous

nent ien-

nent

. P. peaks

CHAP. IV.

The Same Subject continued.

HE law of (*) Recession thus permits the children of the adulterous woman, or those of her XXVI. husband, to accuse her, and to put the slaves of the & 5. house to the torture. How iniquitous the law which to preferve a purity of morals overturns nature, the code of origin, the fource of all morality!

With pleasure we behold in our theatres a young goths, lib. hero express as much horror against the discovery §. 13. of his mother-in-law's guilt, as against the guilt itfelf. In his furprife, though accused, judged, condemned, proscribed, and covered with infamy; he fcarcely dares to reflect on the abominable blood from which Phædra sprang: he abandons all that is most dear, the most tender object, all that lies nearest his heart, all that can fill him with rage, to deliver himself up to the unmerited vengeance of the gods. It is nature's voice, the sweetest of all vocal founds, that inspires us with this pleasure.

CHAP. V.

Cases in which we may judge by the principles of the civil law in limiting the principles of the law of nature.

N Athenian law obliged * children to provide for their fathers, when fallen into poverty; it excepted those who were born of a (b) courtezan, those whose chastity had been infamously prostituted (b) Pluby life of So-VOL. II. lon.

BOOK

(2) In the the Vifi-

Under pain of infamy, another under pain of imprisonment.

Chap. 6.

by their father, and those to whom he (1) had not BOOK XXVI.

given any means of gaining a livelihood.

(a) Plutarch life of Solon. and Gallienus in art. c. 8.

The law considered that in the first case the father being uncertain, he had rendered the natural obligation precarious; that in the fecond, he had fullied the life he had given, and done the greatest injury exhort ad he could do to his children in depriving them of their reputation; that in the third, he had rendered insupportable a life which had no means of sublistence. The law suspended the natural obligation of children, because the father had violated his: it looked upon the father and the fon as no more than two citizens, and determined in respect to them only from civil and political views; ever confidering, that a good republic ought to have a particular regard to manners.

CHAP. VI.

That the order of succession or inheritance depends on the principles of political and civil law, and not on those of the law of nature.

THE Voconian law ordained, that no woman should be left heiress to an estate, not even if she was an only child. Never was there a law, (b) Decivi fays St. Augustine (b), more unjust. A formula of Marculfus (c) treats that custom as impious, which deprives daughters of the right of fucceeding to the Chap. 12. estate of their fathers. Justinian (4) gives the appellation of barbarous, to the right which the males had formerly of succeeding in prejudice to the daughters. These notions proceed from their having confidered the right of children to succeed to their fathers possessions, as a consequence of the law of nature, which it is not. The tinder pain of infame, another ender pain of inpe

th

fn

CO

bro

M

it i

der

tate Dei, lib, iii.

(c) Lib. ii.

(d) Novel. 21.

The law of nature ordains, that fathers shall pro- Book vide for their children; but it does not oblige them Chap. 6. to make them their heirs. The division of property, the laws of this division, the succession after the death of the person who has had this division, can be regulated only by the community, and confequently by political and civil laws.

True it is, that a political or civil order frequently demands that children should succeed to their father's estate; but it does not always make this necessary.

There may be some reasons given why the laws of our fiefs appoint that the eldeft of the males, or the nearest relations of the male side should have all, and the females nothing: and why by the laws of the Lombards (*) the fifters, the natural children, the (*) Lib. ii. other relations; and, in their default, the treasury tit. 14. 5. might share the inheritance with the daughters.

It was regulated in some of the Dynasties of China, that the brothers of the emperor should succeed to the throne, and that the children should not. If they were willing that the prince should have a certain degree of experience, if they feared their being too young, if it was become necessary to prevent eunuchs from placing children fucceffively on the throne, they might very justly establish a like order of fuccession; and when some (b) writers have treated (b) Duhalthese brothers as usurpers, they have judged only de on the from ideas received from the laws of their own 2d Dynaes of the blood royal they ong estruction

According to the cuftom of Numidia (°) Delface, (°) Livy brother of Gela, fucceeded to the kingdom; not Decad. iii. Massinissa, his son.

There are monarchies merely elective; and fince it is evident, that the order of fuccession ought to be derived from the political or civil laws; it is for 0 2

thefe

The

civil boo ers.

t

er

a-

ed

ry

of red

ice.

ren,

pon

ens,

decivil 18.

oman ven if law, rmula which to the appelmales to the

having

to their

law of

Book XXVL Chap. 6. these to decide in what cases it is agreeable to reafon, that the fuccession be granted to children, and in what cases it ought to be given to others.

(2) Strabo. lib. xvi.

In a kingdom of (a) Arabia, the day the fovereign mounted the throne they fet guardians over all the pregnant women of the country, and the child who came first into the world was the heir apparent.

In countries where polygamy is established, the prince has many children; the number of them is much greater in fome of these countries than in others. There are * flates, where it is impossible for the people to maintain the children of the king: they might therefore make it a law, that the crown shall devolve, not on the king's children, but on

those of his fifter.

A prodigious number of children would expose the state to the most dreadful civil wars. The order of fuccession which gives the crown to the children of the fifter, the number of whom is not larger than those of a prince who has only one wife, must prevent these inconveniencies.

difying Letters, Let. 14. and the Voyages that contributed to the establishment of an East-

There are people amongst whom reasons of state, (b) See E- or fome maxims of religion, have made it necessary that the crown should be always fixed in a certain family: from hence, in India, proceeds the jealoufy of their (b) tribes, and the fear of losing the defcent: they have there conceived that never to want princes of the blood royal they ought to take the children of the eldest fifter of the king.

India * As at Lovengo in Africa. See the Collection of Voyages company, that contributed to the establishment of an East-India company. Vol. iii. Vol. iv. Part. 1. Pag. 114. Part 2. Pag. 644.

A general maxim: 'Tis an obligation of the law Book of nature, to provide for our children; but to make Chap. 7. them our fuccessors, is an obligation of the civil or political law. From hence are derived the different regulations, with respect to bastards, in the different countries of the world; these are according to the civil or political laws of each country.

2008

CHAP. VII.

That we ought not to decide by the precepts of religion, what belongs only to the law of nature.

THE Abyffines have a most severe lent of fifty days, which weakens them to fuch a degree, that for a long time they are incapable of business: the Turks (*) do not fail to attack them after their (a) Collectent. Religion ought, in favour of the natural right tion of of felf-defence, to fet bounds to these customs. Voyages

The Jews were obliged to keep the fabbath; but that contributed to it was an inftance of great stupidity in this nation, the estanot to defend themselves when their enemies chose blishment of an Eastto attack them on this day. Cambyfes laying fiege India to Pelusium, set in the first rank a great number of company, Vol. iv. those animals, which the Ægyptians regarded as fa- pag. 35. cred; the consequence was, that the soldiers of the & 103. garrison durst not molest them. Who does not see that felf-defence is a duty fuperior to every precept?

A

a-

br

e-

er

he

eir

he

is

in ole

g:

wn

on

ofe

ornil-

ger

uft

ite,

ary

ain

uly

de-

to

ake

ages any.

CHAP. VIII.

That we ought not to regulate by the principles of the canon law, things which (bould be regulated by those of the civil law.

Book XXVI. Chap. 8.

Juliam teculatus.

17. queflione 4. Cujas obfervat. lib. xiiii. cap. 19. tom. iii.

(c) Beauf

manoir

cient cuitoms of voisis, cap. 18. the first Code, ad leg. Juli-

am de

Y the (4) civil law of the Romans, he who took a thing privately from a facred place, was punished only for the guilt of theft: by the (b) canon (*) Leg. 5. law, he is punished for the crime of facrilege. ff. ad leg canon law takes cognizance of the place, the civil law of the fact. But to attend only to the place, is neither to reflect on the nature and definition of a (b) Capite theft, nor on the nature and definition of facrilege.

As the husband might demand a separation, by reason of the infidelity of his wife; the wife might formerly (°) demand it, on account of the infidelity of the husband. This custom, contrary to a regulation made in the (d) Roman laws, was introduced into the ecclefiaftic courts *, where nothing was regarded but the maxims of canon law; and indeed, if we on the an-confider marriage as a thing merely spiritual, and as relating only to the things of another life, the violathe Beau- tion is in both cases the same. But the political and civil laws of almost all nations, have with reason (4) Law of made a distinction between them. They have required from the women a degree of referve and continency, which they have not exacted from the men; because, in women, a violation of chastity supposes a adulteriis. renunciation of all virtue; because women, by violating the laws of marriage, quit the state of their natural dependence; because nature has marked the infidelity of women with certain figns; and, in fine,

> * At present they do not take cognizance of these things in France.

because the children of the wife born in adultery ne- Book ceffarily belong, and are an expence to the husband, Chap. 9. while the children produced by the adultery of the husband, are not the wife's, nor are an expence to the wife. Declining raw resolubs to value besol

complice in her debauch. Fultiples (*), from other CHAP. IX.

That things which ought to be regulated by the principles of civil law can seldom be regulated by those of religion.

offin. The mignif eafily marry again, beroute the had HE laws of religion have a greater fublimity, the civil laws, a greater extent.

The laws of perfection drawn from religion have more in view the goodness of the person that obferves them, than of the fociety in which they are observed: the civil laws, on the contrary, have more in view the moral goodness of men in general, than marry, unleft by the depolition alslaubivibni to

Thus, venerable as those ideas are which immediately fpring from religion, they ought not always to ferve as a first principle to the civil laws; because these have another, the general welfare of foreign in required a thingeline

The Romans made regulations amongst themfaves, to preferve the morals of their women; thefe were political inftitutions. Upon the establishment of monarchy, they made civil laws on this head, and formed them on the principles of their civil government. When the Christian religion became predominant, the new laws that were then made had less relation to the general rectitude of morals, than to the holiness of marriage; they had less regard to the union

of

punon The ivil is fa by ght lity ulanto

To

les

re-

ook

as olaand fon re-

ded we

onen; es a vio-

heir the

ine, aufe

s in

Book of the two fexes in a civil, than in a spiritual XXVI. ftate. Chap. 9.

At first by the (a) Roman law a husband who (*) Leg. 11. brought back his wife into his house, after her being 5. ult. ff. found guilty of adultery, was punished as an acad leg. . Juliam de complice in her debauch. Justinian (b) from other adulteriis. principles, ordained, that during the space of two (b) Nov. 134. Coll. years he might go and take her again out of the ix.cap.10. monastery.

tit. 170.

In the first ages, when a woman whose husband was gone to war, heard no longer any tidings of him, the might eafily marry again, because the had in her hands the power of making a divorce. The (c) Leg. 7. law of (c) Constantine obliged the woman to wait four years, after which she might fend the bill of divorce to the general; and, if her husband returned, he could not then accuse her with adultery. But Justinian (4) decreed, that let the time be ever fo long after the departure of her husband, she should not marry, unless by the deposition and oath of the general she could prove the death of her husband. Iustinian had in view the indisfolubility of marriage; but we may fafely fay, that he had it too much in view. He demanded a positive proof, when a negative proof was fufficient; he required a thing extremely difficult, to give an account of the fate of a man at a great distance, and exposed to so many accidents; he prefumed a crime, that is, a defertion of the husband, when it was so natural to presume. his death. He injured the commonwealth, by obliging women to live out of marriage; he injured the individual, by exposing them to a thousand dangers.

de repudiis & judicio de morib. Sublato.

(d) Auth. hodie quantifcumque Cod. de repudiis.

lal

ho

ng

C-

ner

WO

he

nd

of

ad

he

our

rce he

fti-

ng

not

gend.

ge;

in

ga-

ex-

of

iny

ion

me.

ig-

red

ind.

The

.51 33

The law of Justinian (*), which ranked amongst Book the causes of divorce the consent of the husband and Chap. 10. wife to enter into a monastery, was entirely oppo-(2) Auth. fite to all the principles of the civil laws. It is na- quod tural that the causes of divorce should have their hodie origin in certain impediments, which could not be Cod. de foreseen before marriage; but this desire of preserving chastity might be foreseen, since it is in our felves. This law favours inconstancy in a state, which is by its very nature perpetual; it shocks the fundamental principle of divorce, which permits the diffolution of one marriage only from the hope of another. In fhort, if we view it in a religious light, it is no more than giving victims to God without a facrifice.

CHAP. X.

In what case we ought to follow the civil law which permits, and not the law of religion which forbids.

TITHEN a religion which prohibits polygamy is introduced into a country where it is permitted, we cannot believe, (fpeaking only as politicians) that the laws of the country ought to fuffer a man who has many wives to embrace this religion; unless the magistrate or the husband should indemnify them, by restoring them some way or other to their civil state. Without this their condition would be deplorable; no fooner would they obey the laws. than they would find themselves deprived of the. greatest advantages of society.

CHAP.

AYXX

.01 .080

. Auch

the danles of dix P. P. DXII to solute and

That buman courts of justice should not be regulated by the maxims of those tribunals which Cod. de relate to the other life.

BOOK XXVI. Chap. 11. & 12.

-2355 W

THE tribunal of the inquisition, formed by the Christian monks on the idea of the tribunal of penitence, is contrary to all good policy. It has every where met with a general diflike, and must have funk under the oppositions it met with, if those who were resolved to establish it, had not drawn advantages even from these oppositions.

This tribunal is insupportable in all governments. In monarchies, it only makes informers and traitors; in republics, it only forms dishonest men; in a despotic state, it is as destructive as the government itself.

CHAP. XII. idnet daison

which permits, and not the law

The same subject continued.

T is one abuse of this tribunal, that of two per-I fons accused of the same crime, he who denies is condemned to die, and he who confesses avoids the punishment. This has its fource in monastic ideas, where he who denies, feems in a ftate of impenitence and damnation; and he who confesses, in a state of repentance and falvation. But a distinction of this kind can have no relation to human tribunals. Human justice, which sees only the actions, has but one compact with men, namely that of innocence; divine justice, which fees the thoughts, has two, that of innocence and repentance.

The civil laws receive this power from their being additional oblig IIIX a. P. A. H. D. dictory ones

21-

ch

the

of

has

uft

if

wn

nts.

rs;

de-

ent

3

oers is

the

eas,

eni-

n a

tion

ibu-

ons,

of

hts,

P.

In what cases, with regard to marriages, we ought to follow the laws of religion, and in what cases we should follow the civil laws.

Thas happened in all ages and countries, that re- Book ligion has been blended with marriages. When Chap. 13. certain things have been confidered as impure or unlawful, and were nevertheless become necessary, they were obliged to call in religion, to legitimate in the one case, and to reprove in others. and annuamod

On the other hand, as marriage is of all human actions that in which fociety is most interested, it became proper that this should be regulated by the civil laws.

Every thing which relates to the nature of marriage, its form, the manner of contracting it, the fruitfulness it occasions, a fruitfulness that has made all nations consider it as the object of a particular benediction; which, not being always annexed to it, depended on certain superior graces: all this, I fay, is within the reffort of religion. . Two Salve spair

The consequences of this union, with regard to property, the reciprocal advantages, every thing? which has a relation to the new family, to that from which it fprung, to that which is expected to arise; all this relates to the civil laws.

As one of the great objects of marriage is to take away that uncertainty which attends unlawful conjunctions, religion here stamps its feal, and the civil laws join theirs to it; to the end that it may be as authentic as posible. Thus, besides the conditions required by religion to make a marriage valid, the civil laws may still exact others.

The

Book XV I. Chap. 13.

The civil laws receive this power from their being additional obligations, and not contradictory ones. The law of religion infifts upon certain ceremonies, the civil laws on the confent of fathers; in this case they demand something more than that of religion, but they demand nothing contrary to it.

It follows from hence, that the religious law must decide whether the bond be indisfoluble, or not; for if the laws of religion had made the bond indisfoluble, and the civil laws had declared it might be broken, they would be contradictory to each other.

Sometimes the regulations made by the civil laws with respect to marriage, are not absolutely necessary; such are those established by the laws, which, instead of annulling the marriage, only punish those who contract it.

Amongst the Romans the Papian law declared those marriages illegal which had been prohibited, and yet only subjected them to a penalty *; but a senatus-consultum, made at the instance of the emperor Marcus Antoninus, declared them void; there then no longer subsisted † any such thing as a marriage, wife, dowry, or husband. The civil laws determine according to circumstances: sometimes they are most attentive to repair the evil; at others, to prevent it.

Spririum a calent or notally ve limina

civil lavis may tell exact others.

^{*} See what has been said on this subject in Book xxiii. Chap. 21.

[†] See Law 16. ff. de ritu nuptiarum; and Law 3. §. 1. also Digest. de donationibus inter virum & uxorem.

Chap. 14.

to has ever been the matural duty of thinks (a watch over the XIX; SR thinks) Con. Introduction

In what inflances marriages between relations should be regulated by the laws of nature; and in what inflances by the civil laws.

WITH regard to the prohibition of marriage between relations, it is a thing extremely delicate, to fix exactly the point at which the laws of nature stop, and where the civil laws begin. For this purpose we must establish some principles.

The marriage of the son with the mother confounds the state of things: the son ought to have an unlimited respect to his mother, the wise owes an unlimited respect to her husband; therefore the marriage of the mother to her son, would subvert the

natural state of both.

S.

ſe

n,

ift

t;

if-

be

17

WS

ef-

h,

ofe

ed

ed,

. 2

m-

ere

ar-

de-

nes

ers,

XIII.

alfo

Besides, nature has forwarded in women the time in which they are able to have children, but has retarded it in men; and, for the same reason, women sooner lose this ability, and men later. If the marriage between the mother and the son was permitted, it would almost always be the case, that when the husband was capable of entering into the views of nature, the wife would be incapable.

The marriage between the father and the daughter is contrary to nature, as well as the other; but it is less contrary, because it has not these two obstacles. Thus the Tartars, who may marry their daughters*, never marry their mothers, as we see in the accounts

we have of that nation (*).

(a) Hist. of

It the Tartars, Part

iii. Pag.

236.

This law is very ancient amongst them. Attila, says Priscus iii. Pag. in his embassy, stopt in a certain place to marry Esca his daughter.

A thing permitted, he adds, by the laws of the Scythians, pag. 22.

Book IVXX. Chap. 14.

2000

Chap. 14.

lo diffi (4)

.gg l .iii

It has ever been the natural duty of fathers to watch over the chaftity of their children. Intrusted with the care of their education, they are obliged to preserve the body in the greatest perfection, and the mind from the least corruption; to encourage whatever has a tendency to inspire them with virtuous defires, and to nourish a becoming tenderness. Fathers, always employed in preferving the morals of their children, must have a natural aversion to every thing that can render them corrupt. Marriage, you will fay, is not a corruption: but before marriage they must speak, they must make their persons beloved, they must feduce: it is this seduction which ought to inspire us with horror.

There should be therefore an unsurmountable barrier between those who ought to give the education, and those who are to receive it, in order to prevent every kind of corruption, even though the motive be lawful. Why do fathers fo carefully deprive those who are to marry their daughters, of their company,

and familiarity?

The horror that arises against the incest of the brother with the fifter, should proceed from the same fource. The defire of fathers and mothers to preferve the morals of their children and families untainted, is fufficient to inspire their offspring with a deteftation of every thing that can lead to the union of the two fexes.

The prohibition of marriage between coufin-germans, has the fame original. In the early ages, that is, in the times of innocence; in the ages when luxury was unknown, it was customary for children * upon their marriage, not to remove from their parents, but to fettle in the same house, as a small habinoitst mbaffy, ftopt in a certain place to marry Efea his daug

^{*} It was thus amongst the first Romans.

tation was at that time fufficient for a large family. The children * of two brothers, or coufin-germans, Chap. 14, were confidered both by others and themselves, as brothers. The estrangement then between the brothers and fifters, as to marriage +, fubfifted also be-

tween the coulin-germans.

s to

ted

to

the

hat-

de-

ers,

heir

ning

will

they

ved,

ight

bar-

ion,

vent

otive

hose

any,

the

fame pre-

un-

ith a

inion

-ger-

that

xury

upon

rents,

habi-

tation

These principles are so strong and so natural, that they have had their influence almost all over the earth, independently of any communication. It was not the Romans who taught the inhabitants of Formosa (a), that the marriage of relations of the fourth (a) Collecdegree was incestuous: it was not the Romans that tion of Voyages communicated this fentiment to the Arabs(b); it to the Inwas not they who taught it to the inhabitants of dies, Vol. the Maldivian islands (°).

But if some nations have not rejected marriages count of between fathers and children, fifters and brothers; the state of we have feen in the first book, that intelligent be-Formosa. ings do not always follow the law of nature. could have imagined it? Religious ideas have fre-ran, chap. quently made men fall into these mistakes. Affyrians and the Persians married their mothers, (1) See the first were influenced by a religious respect for Francis Semiramis, and the second did it because the religion of Zoroaster gave a preference | to these marriages. If the Ægyptians married their fifters, it proceeded from the wildness of the Ægyptian reli-

Amongst the Romans they had the same name, the cousingermans were called brothers. Dollar 139 83000000000000000000000

Wherefore, when their marriages

† It was thus at Rome in the first ages, till the people made a law to permit them; they were willing to favour a man extremely popular, who had married his coufin-german. Plutarch's Treatife, intitled, Queftions concerning the affairs of the Romans. half They were confidered as more honourable. See Philo de Specialibus legib. qua pertinent ad pracepta Decalogi. Paris 1640.

pag. 778.

5. Part 1. An ac-

If the of women.

BOOK XXVI. Chap. 14.

уоуацея

gion, which consecrated these marriages in honour of Isis. As the spirit of religion leads us to attempt whatever is great and difficult, we cannot infer that a thing is natural from its being consecrated by a false religion.

The principle which informs us that marriages between fathers and children, between brothers and fifters, are prohibited, in order to preferve natural modesty in families, will help us to the discovery of those marriages that are forbidden by the law of nature, and of those which can be so only by the civil law.

As children dwell, or are supposed to dwell in their father's house, and consequently the son-in-law with the mother-in-law, the father-in-law with his wife's daughter-in-law; the marriage between them is forbidden by the law of nature. In this case the resemblance has the same effect as the reality, because it springs from the same cause: the civil law neither can, nor ought to permit these marriages.

There are nations, as we have already observed, amongst whom cousin-germans are considered as brothers, because they commonly dwell in the same house: there are others, where this custom is not known. Amongst the first, the marriage of cousin-germans ought to be regarded as contrary to nature; not so, amongst the others. But the laws of nature cannot be local. Wherefore, when these marriages are forbidden, or permitted, they are according to the circumstances permitted or forbidden by a civil law.

th

W

lav

hu

her

cor

and

It is not a necessary custom for the brother-in-law and the sister-in-law to dwell in the same house. The marriage between them is not then prohibited to preserve chastity in the samily, and the law which forbids forbids or permits it, is not a law of nature, but a Book civil law, regulated by circumstances, and dependent Chap. 14. on the customs of each country: these are cases in which the laws depend on the morals, or customs of the inhabitants.

The civil laws forbid marriages, when by the customs received in a certain country they are found to be in the fame circumstances as those forbidden by the law of nature; and they permit them when this is not the case. The prohibitions of the laws of nature are invariable, because the thing on which they depend is invariable; the father, the mother, and the children, necessarily dwell in the same house. But the prohibitions of the civil laws are accidental, because they depend on an accidental circumstance; coufin-germans and others dwelling in the house by accident.

This explains why the laws of Moses, those of the Egyptians (a), and of many other nations, permitted (a) See the marriage of the brother-in-law with the fifter-in- Law 8. of law; whilft these very marriages were disallowed by the Code other nations.

de inceftis & inutili-

In the Indies they have a very natural reason for busnuptiis. admitting this fort of marriages. The uncle is there confidered as the father, and is obliged to maintain and educate his nephew, as if he was his own child: this proceeds from the disposition of these people, which is good-natured and full of humanity. This law, or this custom, has produced another; if a husband has lost his wife, he does not fail to marry her fifter: and this is extremely natural, for his new confort becomes the mother of her fifter's children, and not a cruel step-mother.

Vol. II.

t

it

2

al

of

a-

vil

in

aw

his

em

the

be-

aw

ed,

as

ime

not

fin-

re;

ture

ages

to to

civil

-law

oufe. oited

hich bids

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

That we should not regulate by the principles of political law those things which depend on the principles of civil law.

Book XXVI. Chap. 15.

A S men have given up their natural independence to live under political laws, they have given up the natural participation of property to live under civil laws.

By the first, they acquired liberty; by the second, property. We ought not to decide by the laws of liberty, which, as we have already said, is only the government of the community, what ought to be decided by the laws concerning property. Tis a parologism to say, that the good of the individual ought to give way to that of the public: this can never take place, but when the government of the community, or, in other words, the liberty of the subject is concerned; this does not affect those cases which relate to private property, because the public good consists in every one's having that property which was given him by the civil laws, invariably preserved.

Cicero maintains, that the Agrarian laws were unjust; because the community was established with no other view, but that every one might be able to

preserve his property.

Let us therefore lay down as a certain maxim, that whenever the public good happens to be the matter in question, it is never for the advantage of the public to deprive an individual of his property, or even to retrench the least part of it by a law, or a political regulation. In this case we should follow

the

the rigour of the civil law, which is the Palladium Book

of property.

Book XXVI. Chap. 15.

Thus when the public has occasion for the estate of an individual, it ought never to act by the rigour of political law; it is here that the civil law ought to triumph, who with the eyes of a mother regards

every individual as the whole community.

If the political magistrate would erect a public edifice, or make a new road, he must indemnify those who are injured by it; the public is in this respect like an individual, who treats with an individual. It is full enough, that it can oblige a citizen to sell his inheritance, and that it can strip him of this great privilege which he holds from the civil law, the not being forced to alienate his possessions.

After the nations that subverted the Roman empire had abused their very conquests, the spirit of liberty called them back to that of equity. They exercised the most barbarous laws with moderation: and if any one should doubt the truth of this, they need only read Beaumanoir's admirable work on

Jurisprudence, written in the twelfth century.

They mended the highways in his time, as we do at present. He says, that when a highway could not be repaired, they made a new one as near the old as possible; but indemnished the proprietors at the * expence of those who reaped any advantage from the road. They determined at that time by the civil law; in our days, we determine by the law of politics.

there is not become is not bixed to

thoranni odi zi il olumost P 2 vilimal gni C H A P.

ment a syst blood

^{*} The Lord appointed collectors to receive the toll from the peasant, the gentlemen were obliged to contribute by the count, and the clergy by the bishop. Beaumaneir, chap. xxii.

ta call

CHAP. XVI.

That we ought not to decide by the rules of the civil law, when it is proper to decide by those of the law of politics.

BOOK MOST difficulties on this subject may be easily XXVI. Most folved, by not confounding the rules derived Chap. 16 from property with those which spring from liberty.

Is the domain of a state or government alienable, or is it not? This question ought to be decided by the political law, and not by the civil. It ought not to be decided by the civil law, because it is as necessary that there should be domains for the subsistence of a state, as that the state should have civil

laws to regulate the disposal of property.

If then they alienate the domain, the state will be forced to make a new fund for another. But this expedient overturns the political government, because by the nature of the thing, for every domain that shall be established the subject will always be obliged to pay more, and the sovereign to receive less; in a word the domain is necessary, and the alienation is not.

The order of fuccession is, in monarchies, founded on the welfare of the state, which makes it necessary that this order should be fixed to avoid the misfortunes, which, I have said, must arise in a despotic kingdom, where all is uncertain because all is arbitrary.

The order of succession is not fixed for the sake of the reigning family; but because it is the interest of the state, that it should have a reigning family. The law which regulates the succession of individuals is a civil law, whose view is the interest of individuals;

dividuals; that which regulates the fuccession to mo- Book narchy is a political law, which has in view the wel- Chap. 17. fare and prefervation of the kingdom.

(A) Repul

.iii .dii

cap. 13.

It follows from hence, that when the political law has established an order of succession in government, and this order is at an end, it is abfurd to reclaim the fuccession in virtue of the civil law of any nation whatfoever. One particular fociety does not make laws for another fociety. The civil laws of the Romans are no more applicable than any other civil laws. They themselves did not make use of them, when they proceeded against kings; and the maxims by which they judged kings are fo abominable, that they ought never to be revived.

It follows also from hence, that when the political law has excluded a family from the fuccession, it is abfurd to infift upon the restitutions drawn from the civil law. Restitutions are in the law, and may be good against those who live in the law: but they are not proper for fuch as have been raised up for the law, and who live for the

C

le

at

d

in

n

ed

ry

if-

tic

ir-

ke

eft

ly.

VI-

in-

S;

law.

It is ridiculous to pretend to decide the rights of kingdoms, of nations, and of the universe, by the fame maxims on which (to make use of an expression of (a) Cicero's) we should decide the right (a) Lib I. of a gutter between individuals.

of Laws.

(e) Plu-

Harris III

- mob sid notiring

between

Lycargus

(º) Plu-

ELDER!

to visi .siad

and Numer.

Plutance A to stil

Pilices.

C H A P. XVII.

The same subject continued. Cato Tent his (*) wife

Stracism ought to be examined by the rules of politics, and not by those of the civil law; and so far is this custom from rendering a popular notafiged and to the Pata t stalleggo be government

214

Book XXVI. Chap. 18.

government odious, that it is, on the contrary, extremely adapted to prove its lenity. We should be fensible of this ourselves, if, while banishment is always confidered amongst us as a penalty, we were able to separate the idea of oftracism from that of punishment.

(a) Repub. lib. iii. cap. 13.

Aristotle (a) tells us, that it is universally allowed that this practice has fomething in it both humane and popular. If in those times and places where this fentence was executed, they found nothing in it that appeared odious; is it for us, who fee things at such a distance, to think otherwise than the accusers, the judges, and the accused themfelves?

(b) Hyperbolus, fee Plutarch ristides.

And if we consider that this judgment of the people loaded the person with glory on whom it was paffed; that when at Athens it fell upon a man without (b) merit, from that very moment they ceased to *use it; we shall find that numbers life of A- of people have entertained a false idea of it, and that it was an admirable law which could prevent the ill consequences which the glory of a citizen might produce, by loading him with new glory.

CHAP. XVIII.

That it is necessary to enquire, whether the laws which seem contradictory are of the same class.

(e) Plutarch in his comparison between Lycurgus and Numa.

swall to

(4) Plutarch life of Care.

T Rome the husband was permitted to lend his wife to another. Plutarch tells us this (c) in express terms. We know that Cato lent his (4) wife to Hortenfius, and Cato was not a man to violate the laws of his country. It is the laws of his country.

ment mostur entr

It was found opposite to the spirit of the legislator,

On the other hand, a hufband who fuffered his Book XXVI. wife to be debauched, who did not bring her to juf- Chap. 19. tice, or who took her again after her (*) condemnation, (*) Leg 11. was punished. These laws feem to contradict each 5. ult. ff. other, and yet are not contradictory. The law which ad leg. permitted a Roman to lend his wife, was visibly a Jul. de Lacedemonian institution, established with a view of giving the republic children of a good species, if I may be allowed the term : the other had in view the prefervation of morals. The first was a law of politics, the fecond a civil law.

CHAP. XIX.

That we ought not to decide those things by the civil law, which ought to be decided by domestic laws.

THE law of the Visigoths enjoins, that the (b) flaves of the house shall be obliged to bind (b) Law of the man and woman they furprise in adultery, and the Visito present them to the husband and to the judge: a goths, lib. terrible law, which puts into the hands of fuch 5. 6. mean persons the care of public, domestic, and private vengeance!

This law can be no where proper but in the feraglio's of the east, where the slave who has the charge of the inclosure, is deemed an accomplice upon the discovery of the least infidelity. He seizes the criminals, not fo much with a view to bring them to justice, as to do justice to himself, and to obtain a scrutiny into the circumstances of the action, in order to remove the suspicion of his negligence.

But.

XXVI. Chap. 20.

But, in countries where women are not guarded, it is ridiculous to subject those who govern the family, to the inquisition of their slaves.

This inquisition may, in certain cases, be at the most a particular domestic regulation, but never a

civil law.

CHAP. XX.

That we ought not to decide by the principles of the civil laws, those things which belong to the law of nations.

IBERTY consists principally in not being forced to do a thing where the laws do not oblige: People are in this state, only as they are governed by civil laws, and because they live under

those civil laws, they are free.

It follows from hence, that princes who live not amongst themselves under civil laws, are not free; they are governed by force; they may continually force, or be forced. From hence it follows, that treaties made by force, are as obligatory as those made by free consent. When we who live under civil laws, are, contrary to law, conftrained to enter into a contract, we may, by the affiftance of the law, recover from the effects of violence: But a prince, who is always in that state in which he forces or is forced, cannot complain of a treaty which he has been obliged by violence to enter into. This would be to complain of his natural state; it would feem as if he would be a prince with respect to other princes, and as if other princes should be subjects with respect to him; that is, it would be contrary to the nature of things.

in Fren.

CHAP. XXI.

That we Should not decide by political laws, things which belong to the law of nations.

POLITICAL laws demand that every man be Book XXVI.
Country where he relides, and to the censure of the Chap. 31.

fovereign.

e

e

t

y

at

r

1-

ie

is

d

ic

.

The law of nations requires, that princes shall fend ambaffadors; and a reason drawn from the nature of things does not permit these ambassadors to depend either on the fovereign to whom they are fent, or on his tribunals. They are the voice of the prince who fends them, and this voice ought to be free; no obstacle should hinder the execution of their office; they may frequently offend, because they speak for a man entirely independent; they might charge them with crimes, if they were liable to be punished for crimes; if they could be arrested for debts, these might be forged. Thus a prince who has naturally a bold and enterprifing spirit, would speak by the mouth of a man who had every thing to fear. We must then be guided with respect to ambassadors, by reasons drawn from the law of nations, and not by those derived from political law. But if they make an ill use of their representative character, a flop may be put to it, by fending them back. They may even be accused before their master, who by this means becomes either their judge or their ac-WALL DESCRIPTION AND STREET STREET complice.

I have faid, that a great kingdom becoming on.

CHAP. XXII.

The unhappy flate of Ynca Athualpa.

Book. XXIV. & 23. (a) See the Ynca Garcilaffo de la Vega.

THE principles we have just been establishing were cruelly violated by the Spaniards. Chap. 22. Ynca Athualpa (a) could not be tried by the law of nations; they tried him by political and civil laws; they accused him for putting to death some of his own subjects, for having many wives, &c. and to fill up the measure of their stupidity, they condemned him, not by the political and civil laws of his own country, but by the political and civil laws of theirs.

CHAP. XXIII.

That when by some circumstance the political law becomes destructive to the state, we ought to decide by fuch a political law as will preferve it, which sometimes becomes a law of Nations.

THEN that political law which has establifhed in the kingdom a certain order of Succession, becomes destructive to the body politic for whose fake it was established, there is not the least room to doubt but another political law may be made to change this order; and fo far would this law be from opposing the first, it would in the main be entirely conformable to it, fince both would depend on this principle, that, THE SAFETY OF THE PEOPLE IS THE SUPREME LAW.

I have faid, that a great kingdom becoming acceffory to another, is itself weakened, and even weakens the principal. We know that it is for the within itself, that the public revenues be well administred, that its specie be not sent abroad to enrich another country. It is of importance, that he who ought to govern, has not imbibed foreign maxims:

These are less agreeable than those already established.

Besides, men have an extravagant fondness for their own laws and customs: These constitute the happiness of every community; and, as we learn from the histories of all nations, are rarely changed without violent commotions, and a great essuitor of blood.

S.

of

5;

is

to

d

m

S.

al

e-

of

li-

C-

10

ist de

be

be

id

E

c-

he

It follows from hence, that if a great state has to its heir the possession of a great state, the first may reasonably exclude him, because a Change in the order of succession must be of service to both states. Thus a law of Russia made in the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth, most wisely excluded from the possession of the crown, every heir who possessed another monarchy; thus the law of Portugal disqualisies every stranger who lays claim to the crown by right of blood.

But if a nation may exclude, it may with greater reason be allowed a right to oblige the prince to resign. If the people sear that a certain marriage will be attended with no other consequences, but such as will rob the nation of its independence, or dismember some of its provinces, it may very justly oblige both the contractors and their descendants to resign all right over them; while he who resigns, and those to whose prejudice he resigns, have the less reason to complain, as the state might originally have made a law to exclude them.

CHAP.

also have to have the ingreme magificate

AVXX

Chap. 23.

imbs flow ed Com A P. XXIV.

That the regulations of the Police are of a different class from other civil laws.

THERE are criminals whom the magistrate XXVI.

Chap. 24 The first are subject to the power of the law, the others to his Authority: those are cut off from society; these they oblige to live according to the rules of society.

In the exercise of the Police, it is rather the magiftrate who punishes than the law; in the fentence past on crimes, it is rather the law which punishes than the magistrate. The business of the Police confifts of affairs which arise every instant, and are commonly of the most trifling nature: There is then but little need of formalities. The actions of the Police are quick, they are exercised over things which return every day; it would be therefore improper for it to inflict severe punishments. It is continually employed about minute Particulars; great examples are therefore not deligned for its purpole. It is governed rather by regulations than laws; those who are fubject to its jurisdiction are incessantly under the eye of the magistrate: It is therefore the fault of the magistrate if they fall into excess. Thus we ought not to confound a flagrant violation of the laws, with a simple breach of the Police; these things are of a different order, de en der ed exiburare alod wor eloda

From hence it follows, that the laws of that Italian + republic where bearing fire-arms is punished as a capital crime, and where it is not more fatal to make make an ill use of them than to carry them, is not Book

agreeable to the nature of things.

e

. n

e

h

r

0

e

e

1

h

8

d

e

Chap: 25.

It follows moreover, that the applauded action of that Emperor, who caused a baker to be empaled whom he had found guilty of a fraud, was the action of a fultan, who knew not how to be just, without committing an outrage on justice.

CHAP. XXV.

That we should not follow the general dispositions of the civil law, in things which ought to be subject to particular rules drawn from their own nature.

TS it a good law, that all civil obligations paffed between failors in a ship in the course of a voyage should be null? Francis Pirard (1) tells us, that in (1) Chap. his time it was not observed by the Portuguese, tho' 14. p. 12. it was by the French. Men who are together only for a short time; who have no wants, since they are provided for by the prince; who have only one object in view, that of their voyage; who are no longer in fociety, but are only the inhabitants of a ship, ought not to contract Obligations that were never introduced, but to support the burthen of civil Society.

In the same spirit was a law of the Rhodians, made at a time when they always followed the coafts; it ordained that those who during a tempest staid in a vessel, should have ship and cargo, and

those who quitted it should have nothing.

BOOK



conice on ill tife of them then to early though is not

BOOK XXVII.

Of the origin and revolutions of the Roman laws on successions.

CHAP. I.

Of the Roman laws on Successions.

Book XXVII.

Chap. 1.

THIS affair derives its establishment from the most distant antiquity; and to penetrate to its foundation, permit me to search into the first laws of the Romans, which, I believe, have not yet been enquired into.

(*)Dionys. We know that Romulus (*) divided the land of Halicar. his little kingdom among his subjects; it seems to Plutareb's me, that from hence the laws of Rome on succession comparions were derived.

The law of the division of lands made it neces
Numa and fary that the property of one family should not pass

into another: from hence it followed, that there were

but two orders of heirs established by law *, the

children and all the descendants that lived under the

power of the father, whom they called his direct

heirs; and in their default, the nearest male relations,

whom they called Agnati.

It followed likewise, that the relations by the wife, whom they called Cognati, ought not to succeed.

^{*} Aft si intestato moritur cui suus hares nec extabit agnatus proximus familiam habeto. Fragment of the law of the twelve tables in Ulpian, the last title.

ceed they; would have conveyed the estate into an- Book other family, which was not allowed.

From thence also it followed, that the children ought not to fucceed to their mother, nor the mother to her children; for this might carry the estate of one family into another. Thus we fee them excluded (1) by the law of the twelve tables; it called (1) See the none to the succession but the Agnati, and there was Frag. of no agnation between the fon and the mother.

§ 8. tit. 26.

But it was indifferent whether the direct heir, or, Just. tit. 3. in his default, the nearest by agnation, was male or in pramie female; because, as the relations on the mother's Tertullifide could not fucceed, tho' a woman who was an anum. heiress should happen to marry, yet the estate always returned into the family from whence it came. On this account the law of the twelve tables does not diftinguish whether the person (b) who succeeded was (b) Paulus, male or female.

ne

ts

VS

n

of

ſ-

ſs

re

c

ne

et

5,

ne

45 ve fent. tit 8.

This was the cause, that tho' the grandchildren \$ 3. by the fon fucceeded to the grandfather, the grandchildren by the daughter did not fucceed; for, to prevent the estate from passing into another family, the Agnati were preferred before them. Thus the daughter, and not her (e) children, succeeded to the (e) Inflie. father.

Thus amongst the first Romans the women succeeded, when this was agreeable to the law of the division of lands; and they did not succeed when this law might fuffer by it.

Such were the laws of fuccession amongst the first Romans; and as these had a natural dependance on the constitution, and were derived from the division of lands, it is easy to perceive that they had not a foreign original, and were not of the number of

NXVII. Chap. 1.

those brought into the republic by the deputies sent into the cities of Greece.

(a) Lib. 4. p. 276.

Mind (*)

Dionyfius Halicarnasseus (*) tells us, that Servius Tullius finding the laws of Romulus and Numa on the division of lands abolished, he restored them, and made new ones, to give the old a greater weight. We cannot therefore doubt, but that the laws we have been speaking of, made in consequence of this division, were the work of these three Roman legislators.

The order of succession having been establish'd in consequence of a political law, no citizen was to be allowed to break in upon it by his private will; that is, in the first ages of Rome, he had not the power of making a testament. Yet it would have been hard to deprive him, in his last moments, of the friendly commerce of kind and beneficent actions.

They therefore found a method of reconciling, in this respect, the laws with the desires of the individual. He was permitted to dispose of his substance in an assembly of the people, and thus every testament was, in some sort, an act of the legislative

power.

The law of the twelve tables permitted the person who made his will, to chuse which citizen he pleased for his heir. The reason that induced the Roman laws so strictly to restrain the number of those who might succeed ab intestate, was the law of the division of lands; and the reason why they extended so widely the power of the testator, was, that as the stather might sell + his children, he might with

ar

pe

wh

100

Th

+ Dionysius Halicarnasseus proves by a law of Numa, that the law which permitted a father to fell his son three times, was made by Romulus, and not by the Decemvirs. Lib. 2.

greater reason deprive them of his substance. These BOOK were therefore different effects, fince they flowed from Chap. 1. different principles; and fuch is, in this respect, the fpirit of the Roman laws.

The ancient laws of Athens did not permit a citizen to make a will. Solon (*) permitted it, with an (*) See exception to those who had children: and the legisla- Plutarch tors of Rome, filled with the idea of paternal power, Life of Solon. permitted the making a will even to the prejudice of their children. It must be confessed, that the ancient laws of Athens, were more confiftent than those of Rome. The indefinite permission of making a will, which had been granted to the Romans, ruined by little and little the political regulation on the division of lands: it was the principal thing that introduced the fatal difference between riches and poverty: many shares were united in the same perfon; fome citizens had too much, a multitude of others had nothing. Thus the people being continually deprived of their shares, were incessantly calling out for a new distribution of lands. manded it in an age when the frugality, the parfimony and poverty of the Romans were their diffinguishing characteristic; as well as at a time when their luxury was become still more astonishing.

Testaments being properly a law made in the affembly of the people, those who were in the army were deprived of a testamentary power. people therefore gave the foldiers the privilege * of making

r

e

n

d

n

0 i-

o

ne

h

er

he

de

This testament, called in procinclu, was different from that which they called military, which was established only by the constitutions of the emperors. Leg. i. ff. de militari testamento. This was one of the stratagems by which they cajoled the foldiers.

BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

(1) The-

.10.

making before their companions the dispositions which . should have been made before them.

The great affembly of the people met but twice

a year; besides, both the people and the affairs brought before them were increased: they therefore judged it convenient to permit all the citizens to make their + will before fome Roman citizens of full age, who were to represent the body of the peo-(4) Ulpian, ple: they took five (2) citizens, before whom the inun.10.9.2. heritor (b) purchased his family, that is, his inheritance, of the teffator; another citizen brought a pair oph. Inft of scales to weigh the value; for the Romans |, as lib. ii. tit.

yet, had no money.

To all appearance thefe five citizens were to reprefent the five classes of the people; and they fet no value on the fixth, as being composed of men who

We ought not to fay, with Justinian, that these

had no property.

fales were merely imaginary; they became, indeed, imaginary in time, but were not fo originally. Most of the laws which afterwards regulated these wills, were built on the reality of these sales: we find sufficient proof of this in the fragments of Ulpian (6). (e) Tit.20. The deaf, the dumb, the prodigal, could not make a will; the deaf, because he could not hear the words of the buyer of the inheritance; the dumb, because he could not pronounce the terms of nomination; the prodigal, because as he was excluded from the management of all affairs, he could not fell his estate, I omit any further examples.

Wills

le

li

ir

th

P

m

im

CO

m

it

ma

fho

ma

by

a p

hei

it

fcoff

| T. Livy, lib. iv. nondum argentum fignatum erat. He speaks of the time of the fiege of Veii.

^{*} This testament was not in writing, and it was without formality, fine libra & tabulis, as Cicero fays, Lib. i. de Oratore.

⁺ Institut. lib. ii tit. 10. §. 1. Aulus Gellius, lib. xv. cap. 27. They called this form of testament per as & libram.

Wills being made in the affembly of the people, Book were rather the acts of political than of civil laws, a Chap. 1. public rather than a private right; from whence it followed that the father, though his fon was under his authority, could not give him leave to make a will.

Wills, among most nations, are not subject to greater formalities than ordinary contracts; because both the one and the other are only expressions of the will of him who makes the contract, and both are equally a private right. But, amongst the Romans, where testaments were derived from the public law, they were attended with much greater formalities (a), than other affairs; and this is still the case (a) Instit, in those provinces of France which are governed by lib. ii. tit. the Roman law.

Testaments being, as I have faid, a law of the people, they ought to be made with the force of a command, and in fuch terms as are called direct and imperative*. Hence a rule was formed, that they could neither give nor transfer an inheritance, without making use of the imperative words: from whence it followed, that they might very justly in certain cases make an entail |; and ordain, that the inheritance should pass to another heir; but that they could never make a feoffment of trust +, that is, oblige any one by form of intreaty to deliver up an inheritance, or a part of an inheritance, to another.

When the father neither appointed his fon his heir, nor difinherited him, the will was broken; but it was valid, though he did not difinherit his

Q 2

0 of

1i-

ir

as

e-

no ho

efc

ed,

oft

lls,

uf-

(°).

e a

rds

ule

on;

the

ate.

Vills

for-

27.

peaks

re.

Let Titius be my heir.

Vulgar, pupillary, and exemplary.

⁺ Augustus, for particular reasons, first began to authorise the feofiments of truft. Inftit. lib. ii. tit. 23. in pramio.

XXVII. Chap. 1.

Verres.

Book daughter, nor appoint her his heirefs. The reason is plain: when it neither appointed nor difinherited the fon, it did an injury to the grandfon, who might have fucceeded ab intestate to his father; but in neither appointing nor difinheriting his daughter he did no injury to his daughter's children, who could not succeed ab intestate to their mother *, because they were neither his direct heirs, nor agnati.

> The laws of the first Romans concerning successions being formed with the same spirit which dictated the division of lands, did not sufficiently restrain the riches of women; by this means a door was left open to luxury, which is always inseparable from this fort of riches. Between the fecond and third Punic war, they began to perceive the evil, and made the Voconian + law. But as they were induced to this by the most important considerations, moreover as but few monuments have reached us that take notice of this law, and as it has hitherto been spoken of in a most confused manner, I shall endeavour to clear it up.

> Cicero has preserved a fragment, which forbids the appointing a woman an I heirefs, whether she

h

th

be

m

no

fro

cef

of

fino

cap

rate

hund

may

was married or unmarried.

The Epitome of Livy, where he speaks of this (a) Second law, fays ** no more : it appears from (a) Cicero and Oration against

> Ad liberos matris intestatæ bæreditas, L. 12. Tab. non pertinebat, quia faminæ suos bæredes non babent. Ulpian Fragm. tit. 26. 6. 7.

> + It was proposed by Quintus Voconius, tribune of the people. See Cicero's fecond speech against Verres. In the Epitome of T. Livy, lib. xli. we should read Voconius, instead of Volumnius.

> Sanxit ne quis bæredem virginem neve mulierem faceret. Cicero's fecond Oration against Verres.

** Legem tulit ne quis bæredem mulierem institueret, lib. xli.

St. Augustin, that the daughter, and even the only XXVII. child, if a daughter (a), was comprehended in the Chap. 1. prohibition.

Cato the elder (b) contributed all in his power, to (a) Of the get this law passed. Aulus Gellius cites a frag. God, lib. ment (c) of a speech, which he made on this occasion, iii. By preventing the fuccession of women, his intent (b) Epitowas to take away the fource of luxury : as by under- Livy, lib. taking the defence of the Oppian law, he intended xli. to put a stop to luxury itself. (e) L. xvii.

In the institutes of Justinian (4) and Theophilus (5) cap. 6. mention is made of a chapter of the Voconian law, (d) Instit. which limits the power of bequeathing. In reading tit, 22. these authors every body would imagine that this chapter was made to prevent the inheritance from (e) Ibidem. being so exhausted by legacies, as to make it unworthy of the heir's acceptance. But this was not the spirit of the Voconian law. We have just seen that they had in view the hindering women from inheriting an estate. The article of this law, which fet bounds to the power of bequeathing, entered into this view: for if people had been poffessed of the liberty to bequeath as much as they pleased, the women might have received as legacies, what they could not receive by fuccession.

t

n

15

e

is

nd

St.

erm.

ole.

of

10-

rem

The Voconian law was made to hinder the women from growing too wealthy; for this end it was neceffary to deprive them of large inheritances, and not Cignan. of fuch as could not give rife to luxury. Thus we find in Cicero (f), that women were rendered in- (f) A fecapable of fucceeding to none but those who were cond oration arated high in the cenfor's books *. gainst The Verres.

[&]quot; Qui census effet, which Dion explains of him who had a hundred thousand, that is, of him who had the first census, as we may fee in Livy, lib. i. and Dionysius Halicarnasseus.

Book XXVII. Chap. 1.

(*) See what has been faid in Book xxiii. Ch. 21.

The civil wars were the destruction of an infinite number of citizens. Under Augustus, Rome was almost deserted: it was necessary to repeople it, They made the Papian laws, which omitted nothing that could encourage (a) the citizens to marry, and procreate children. One of the principal means was to increase , in favour of those who gave into the views of the law, the hopes of being heirs, and to diminish the hopes of those who refused; and as the Voconian law had rendered women incapable of fucceeding, the Papian law, in certain cases, dispensed

with this prohibition.

(b) See Ulpian, tit. 15. 5. 16.

Women (b), especially those who had children, Fragm. of were rendered capable of receiving in virtue of the will of their husbands; they even might, when they had children, receive in virtue of the will of strangers, All this was in direct opposition to the regulations of the Voconian law; and yet it is remarkable, that the spirit of this law was not entirely abandoned. For example, the Papian law, which permitted a man who had one child + to receive an entire inheritance by the will of a stranger, granted the same favour to the wife only when the had three children (c).

(7) See Law 9. C. Theod. de bonis

profcriptorum, & Dion, lib. Ulpian, 29. 9. 3.

It must be remarked, that the Papian law did not render the women who had three children, capable of lv. Seethe fucceeding, except in virtue of the will of ftrangers; Fragm. of and that with respect to the succession of relations, tit. last, 5. it left the ancient laws, and particularly the (4) Voco-6 and tit nian, in all their force. But this did not long subsist. Rome,

(4) Fragm. of Ulpian, Sozomenus, lib. i.

cap. g.

* The fame difference occurs in feveral regulations of the tit. 16. §. 1. Papian law. See the Fragments of Ulpian, §. 4, 5. & 6. + Quod tibi filiolus, vel filia nascitur ex me

Jura Parentis babes, propter me scriberis bæres.

Juvenal Sat, 9.

t

d

of

& Nov.

0.555

Rome, corrupted by the riches of every nation, Book XXVII. had changed her manners; the putting a stop to Chap. 1. the luxury of women was no longer minded. Aulus Gellius, who lived under (a) Adrian, tells us, (a) Lib xx. that in his time the Voconian law was almost abo- cap. 1. lished; it was buried under the opulence of the Thus we find in the fentences of Paulus (b), (b) Lib. ir. who lived under Niger, and in the fragments of tit. 8. § 3. Ulpian (c), who was in the time of Alexander Se-(c) Tit. verus, that the fifters on the father's fide might fuc- 26. 5. 6. ceed, and that none but the relations of a more distant degree were in the case of those prohibited by the Voconian law.

We find (d) by the proceedings of Verres, that (d) Cicethe prætors extended, or restrained the Voconian ro's Oralaw at pleasure. The ancient laws of Rome began gainst to be thought fevere. The prætors, moved by no- Verres. thing but reasons of equity, moderation, and decorum, enervated all these laws. This is because the great advantages refulting from laws lie often closely concealed, while the little inconveniences that attend

them are most fensibly felt.

te

as

it.

ng

bi

as

he

to

he

IC+

ed

en,

he

ey

TS.

ns

nat

ed.

a

ne-

me

nil-

ot

of

rs :

ns,

CO-

A.

ne,

the

We have feen that by the ancient laws of Rome mothers had no share in the inheritances of their children. The Voconian law afforded a new reafon for their exclusion. But the emperor Claudius gave the mother the fuccession of her children as a consolation for their loss. The Tertullian fenatusconsultum, made under Adrian *, gave it them when they had three children, if free-women; or four, if they were freed women. It is evident, that this decree of the senate, was only an extension of the Papian law, which in the fame case had granted to women

That is the emperor Pius, who changed his name to that of Adrian by adoption.

XXVII. Chap. 1.

(*) Lib. ii. Cod. de tit. 3. 5. 4. confult.

Book women the inheritances left them by strangers. At length Justinian (*) granted them the succession independently of the number of their children.

The fame causes which had debilitated the law jure libera- that prevented the fuccession of women, subverted rum, Inflit. that by degrees which had limited the fuccession of de fenatus the relations of the woman's fide. These laws were extremely conformable to the spirit of a good republic, where they ought to have fuch an influence, as to prevent this fex from taking a pride in luxury, in riches, or in the hopes of obtaining riches. On the contrary, the luxury of a monarchy rendering marriage expensive and costly, it ought to be there encouraged; both by the riches which women may beflow, and by hope of the inheritances it is in their power to procure. Thus when monarchy was established at Rome, the whole system of successions was changed. The prætors called the womens relations, in default of those of the males, though by the ancient laws, the relations of the woman's fide were never called. The Orphitian fenatusconfultum called children to the fuccession of their (b) Lib. ix. mother; and the emperors Valentinian (b), Theo-

Cod. de fuis & legitimis Cod. de fuis & legitimis & Nov. 118, &

127.

dofius, and Arcadius, called the grand-children by the daughter to the fuccession of the grand-father. bæredibus. In short, the emperor Justinian (c) lest not the (4) L. xiv. least vestige of the ancient right of successions: he established three orders of heirs, the descendants, the ascendants, the collaterals, without any diffincbaredibus, tion between the males and females; between the relations on the woman's fide, and those on the males, and abrogated all those which were still in force: he believed that he followed nature even in deviating from what he called the embarraffments of

the ancient jurisprudence.

BOOK



BOOK XXVIII.

Of the origin and revolutions of the civil laws among the French.

In nova fert animus mutatas dicere formas OVID METAM. Corpora-

CHAP. I. do lo insi

Of the different character of the laws of the feveral people of Germany.

S

y

r.

le e

s,

C-

10

in

in

of

K

FTER the Franks had quitted their coun- Book try, they made a compilement of the Salic XXVIII. laws, with the affiftance of the fages of their own nation. The tribe of the Ripuarian Franks having joined itself under Clovis (a) to that of the (a) See Salians, it preserved its own customs; and Theodo- Gregory of Tours. ric (b) king of Australia ordered them to be re- (b) See the duced to writing. He collected likewise (c) the prologue customs of those Bavarians and Germans who were of the dependent on his kingdom. For Germany having Bavarians

+ See the prologue to the Salic law, Mr. Leibnitz fays in his law. treatise of the origin of the Franks, that this law was made be- (') Ibid. fore the reign of Clovis: but it could not be before the Franks had quitted Germany, for they did not at that time understand the Latin tongue.

been the Salic

Book XXVIII. Chap. 1.

been weakened by the migration of fuch a multitude of people, the Franks, after conquering all before them, turned back their victorious arms, and extended their dominion into the forests of their anceftors. Very likely the Thuringian Code * was given by the same Theodoric, since the Thuringians were also his subjects. As the Frisians were subdued by Charles Martel and Pepin, their + law cannot be prior to those princes. Charlemain, the first that reduced the Saxons, gave them the law still extant; and we need only read these two last codes, to be convinced they came from the hands of conquerors. As foon as the Visigoths, the Burgundians, and the Lombards, had founded their respective kingdoms, they reduced their laws to writing, not with an intent of obliging the vanquished nations to conform to their customs, but with a design of following them themselves.

There is an admirable simplicity in the Salic and Ripuarian laws, as well as in those of the Alemans, Bavarians, Thuringians, and Frisians. They breathe an original rusticity, and a spirit which no other spirit had weakened. They received but very sew alterations, because all those people, except the Franks, remained in Germany. Even the Franks themselves laid there the soundation of a great part of their empire; so that they had none but German laws. The same cannot be said of the laws of the Visigoths, of the Lombards and Burgundians; their character altered considerably from the great change which happened in the character of those people, who had settled in their new habitations.

The

^{*} Lex Angliorum Werinorum, hoc est Thuringorum.

⁺ They did not know how to write.

The kingdom of the Burgundians did not last Book long enough to admit of great changes in the laws Chap. 1. of the conquering nation. Gundebald and Sigismond, who collected their customs, were almost the last of their kings. The laws of the Lombards received additions rather than changes. The laws of Rotharis were followed by those of Grimoaldus, Luitprandus, Rachis, and Astulphus; but did not assume a new form. It was not fo with the laws of the Vifigoths *; their kings new-moulded them, and had them also newmoulded by the clergy.

t

1-

g

nd

15,

he

er ry

pt ks

art ian

the

15; eat

ofe

The

The kings indeed of the first race struck out of (1) (2) See the the Salie and Ripuarian laws, whatever was abso-prologue lutely inconsistent with Christianity; but left the to the law of the Bamain part untouched. This cannot be faid of the varians. laws of the Visigoths.

The laws of the Burgundians, and especially those of the Visigoths, admitted of corporal punishments: These were not tolerated + by the Salic and Ripuarian laws; they preferved their character much (b) See the better.

The Burgundians and Viligoths, whose provin- of the Burces were greatly exposed, endeavoured to conciliate gundians, the affections of the ancient inhabitants, and to give and the code itself, them the most impartial civil laws (*); but as the especially kings of the Franks were fure of their power, they the 12th tit. \$ 5. had no fuch (b) confiderations.

* They were made by Euric, and amended by Leovigildus. chap. 33. See Isidorus's chronicle. Chaindasuinthus and Recessuinthus re- and the formed them. Egigas ordered the code now extant to be made, code of the and commissioned bishops for that purpose; nevertheless, the laws Visigoths. of Chaindasuinthus and Recessuinthus were preserved, as appears (b) See by the fixth council of Toledo.

† We find a few only in Childebert's decree.

to the code and tit. 38. See also The Gregory of Tours, book 2.

> lower down ch.

> > 3.

Boom XXVIII. Chap. 1. (*) See ch. 2. § 8, & 9. & ch. 4. § 2, & 7.

The Saxons, who lived under the dominion of the Franks, were of an intractable temper, and prone to revolt. Hence we find in their (a) laws the severities of a conqueror, which are not to be met with in the other codes of the laws of the Barbarians.

We see the spirit of the German laws in the pecuniary punishments, and the spirit of a conqueror in those of an afflictive nature.

The crimes they commit in their own country are subject to corporal punishment; and the spirit of the German laws is followed only in the punishment of crimes committed beyond the extent of their own territory.

They are plainly told, that their crimes shall meet with no mercy, and they are refused even the asylum of churches.

The bishops had an immense authority at the court of the Visigoth kings; the most important affairs being debated in councils. All the maxims, principles, and views of the present inquisition, are owing to the code of the Visigoths; and the monks have only copied against the Jews, the laws formerly

enacted by bishops.

In other respects the laws of Gundebald for the Burgundians seem pretty judicious; and those of Rotharis, and of the other Lombard princes, are still more so. But the laws of the Visigoths, those, for instance, of Recessuintbus, Chaindasuintbus, and Egigas, are puerile, ridiculous, and foolish; they attain not their end; they are stuft with rhetoric, and void of sense, frivolous in the substance, and bumbastic in the stile.

HE WO SOREH

of bi

VS be

r-

e-

10

ry

rit

h-

of

et y-

he

nt

15.

re

ks ly

he

of

re le,

nd

ey nd

n-

P.

CHAP. II. acir infier, the

That the laws of the barbarians were all perfonal.

IS a diffinguishing character of these laws of Book the barbarians, that they were not confined to XXVIII. a certain district; the Frank was tried by the law of the Franks, the Aleman by the law of the Alemans, the Burgundian by that of the Burgundians. and the Roman by the Roman law: nay, fo far were the conquerors in those days from reducing their laws to an uniform fystem or body, that they did not even think of becoming legislators to the people they had conquered.

The original of this I find in the manners of the German people. These nations were parted afunder by marshes, lakes and forests; and Cæfar (*) observes, (*) De belthey were fond of fuch feparations. Their dread lo Gallico, of the Romans brought about their re-union; and yet each individual among these mixt people was still to be tried by the established customs of his own nation. Each People apart was free and independent, and when come to be intermixt, the independency fill continued; the country was common, and the government peculiar; the territory the fame, and the nations different. The spirit of personal laws prevailed therefore among these people before ever they fet out from their own homes, and they carried it with them into their conquests.

We find this custom established in the formula's of Marculfus (a), in the codes of the laws of the bar- (a) Lib. 1. barians, but chiefly in the law of the Ripuarians formul. 8. (b), and in the decrees of the kings of the first (b) Ch.31.

cap. fi.

bidl (1)

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 3. (a) That of Clotayear 560. Capitula-ries of Baart. 4. ib. in fine.

(b) Capi-

to the law

lib. i. tit.

25. cap.

7. & tit.

& 2.

(e) Ibid.

(d) Ibid.

cap. 1.

(e) Ibid.

cap. ii.

(f) Ibid.

35. C. 2.

(F) In the

Lombards

57.

lib. ii tit.

of the

race (*), from whence the capitularies made on that fubiect in the fecond (*) race were derived. The children (c) followed the law of their father, the wife(4) that of the husband, the widow (4) came rius in the back to her own original law, the freedman (1) was in the edi- under that of his patron. Besides every man could tion of the make choice of what laws he pleafed; the constitution of (8) Lotharius I. required this choice should lufius, T.i. be made public.

CHAP. III.

tul. added Capital Difference between the Salic laws, and those of the Visigoths and Burgundians.

Lombards TE have already observed, that the laws of the Burgundians and Visigoths were impartial; 71. lib. ii. tit.41.cap. not so the Salic law, for it established between the Ivi. cap. 1 Franks and Romans the most mortifying distinctions. When a Frank, a Barbarian, or one living under the Salic law happened to be killed, a composition lib.ii.tit.5. of 200 fols was to be paid to his relations (h); only 100 upon the killing of a Roman possessor *, and lib.ii.tit.7. no more than 42 for a Roman tributary. The composition for the murder of one of the king's vassals, if a Frank +, was 600 fols; of a Roman, though the king's guest |, only 300 §. The Salic law made therefore a cruel distinction between the Frank and Roman lord, and the Frank and Roman commoner. Farther. law of the

b

t

R

C

tl

m

H

* Qui res in pago ubi remanet proprias habet. Salic law. lib. ii. tit. tit. 44. §. 15. See alfo \$. 7.

† Qui in truste dominica est. Ibid. tit. 44. 9. 4. || Si Romanus homo conviva regis fuerit. Ibid. §. 6.

(b) Salic The principal Romans followed the court, as may be feen law, tit. ziv. §. 1. by the lives of feveral bishops, who were there educated; there were hardly any but Romans that knew how to write.

t

e

S

d

16

S.

er

on ly

nd

n-

he

de

id

t.

r,

W,

en

ere

Farther, if a number of people were got together Book XXVIII. to affault a Frank in his house (*), and he happened Chap. 3. to be killed, the Salic law ordained a composition of 600 sols; but if a Roman or a freedman + was (*) Ibid. affaulted, only half that composition. By the same law (b), if a Roman put a Frank in irons, he was (b) Tit. 45. liable to a composition of 30 sols; but if a Frank 5.3. & 4. had thus used a Roman, he paid only 15. A Frank stript by a Roman was entitled to a composition of 62½ sols, and a Roman stript by a Frank, received only 30. Such unequal treatment must needs have been very grievous to a Roman.

And yet a celebrated author (c), forms a fystem of (d) The the establishment of the Franks in Gaul, on a supposi-Abbe du tion that they were the best friends of the Romans. The Franks then the best friends of the Romans, they who did and they who suffered || from, the Romans such an infinite deal of mischief: The Franks, the friends of the Romans, they who after subduing them by their arms oppressed them in cold blood by their laws. They were exactly the friends of the Romans, as the Tartars who conquered China, were the friends of the Chinese.

If some Catholic bishops thought fit to make use of the Franks in destroying the Arian kings, does it sollow, that they had a desire of living under those barbarous people? And can we from hence conclude, that the Franks had any particular regard for the Romans? I should draw quite different consequences; the securer the Franks were of the Romans, the less indulgence they had for them.

M.

h Line year ton of the Spaning

⁺ Lidus, whose condition was better than that of a bondman. Law of the Alemans, chap. 95.

Witness the expedition of Arbogestes in Gregory of Tours, Hist. lib, ii.

XXVIII. Chap. 4.

The Abbe du Bos has consulted but indifferent authorities for his history, such as poets and orators: works of parade and ostentation are improper foundations for building systems.

CHAP. IV.

In what manner the Roman law came to be lost in the country of the domain of the Franks, and preserved in that of the domain of the Goths and Burgundians.

WHAT has been above faid will throw fome light upon other things, which have hither-to lain in great obscurity.

The country at this day called France, was, under the first race, governed by the Roman law, or the Theodosian code, and by the different laws of the Barbarians *, who settled in those parts.

In the country of the domain of the Franks, the Salic law was established for the Franks, and the † Theodosian code for the Romans. In that of the domain of the Visigoths, a compilement of the Theodosian code, made by order of Alaric , regulated disputes among the Romans; the national customs which Euric & caused to be reduced to writing, determined those among the Visigoths. But how comes it, some will say, that the Salic laws gained almost a general authority in the country of the Franks, and the Roman law gradually declined, whilst in the jurisdiction of the Visigoths the Roman law spread itself, and obtained at last a general sway.

My

ta

n

la

th

th

nui

the

resp

kin

trip

art.

[.] The Franks, Visigoths, and Burgundians.

⁺ It was finished in 438.

The 20th year of the reign of this prince, and published two years after by Anian, as appears by the preface to that code.

[§] The year 504 of the Spanish æra, the Chronicle of Isidorus.

My answer is, that the Roman law came to be disused among the Franks, because of the great advantages accruing from being a Frank, a Barbarian*, or a person living under the Salic law, every one in that case readily quitting the Roman to live under the Salic law. The † clergy alone retained it, as a change would be of no advantage to them. The difference of conditions and ranks consisted only in the largeness of the compositions, as I shall shew in another place. Now || particular laws allowed the clergy as favourable compositions, as those of the Franks; for which reason they retained the Roman law. This law brought no hardships upon them, and in other respects it was properest for them, as it was the work of Christian emperors.

On the other hand, in the patrimony of the Visigoths, as the Visigoth law (a) gave no civil advan- (a) See tages to the Visigoths over the Romans, the latter had that law. no temptation to discontinue living under their own law, in order to live under another. They retained therefore their own laws, without adopting those of the Visigoths.

This

* Francum aut Barbarum aut hominem qui Salica lege vivit. Salic law, tit 44. §. 1.

† According to the Roman law under which the church lived, as is faid in the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 58. §. 1. See also the aumberless authorities on this head produced by Du Cange, under the word Lex Romana.

See the Capitularies added to the Salic law in Lindembrock, at the end of that law, and the different codes of the laws of the Barbarians, concerning the privileges of ecclefiaftics in this respect. See also the letter of Charlemagne to his son Pepin king of Italy, in the year 807, in the edition of Baluzius, tom. 1. pag. 462. where it is said, that an ecclesiastic should receive a triple composition; and the Collection of the Capitularies, lib. v. art. 302. tom. 1. Edition of Baluzius.

Vol. II. R

r-

er

he he

he

he

oed

ns

le-

es

SP

nd

u-

it-

Iy

hed

de.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 4.

This is still further confirmed, in proportion as we proceed. The law of Gundebald was extremely impartial, not favouring the Burgundians more than the Romans. It appears by the preamble to that law, that it was made for the Burgundians, and to regulate the disputes which might arise between them and the Romans; and in this last case the judges were equally divided of a fide. This was necessary for particular reasons, drawn from the political regulation of those times *. The Roman law was continued in Burgundy, in order to regulate the disputes of Romans among themselves. The latter had no inducement to quit their own law, as in the country of the Franks; and the rather as the Salic law was not established in Burgundy, as appears by the famous letter which Agobard wrote to Lewis the Pious.

(a) Agob. opera.

Agobard (a) defired that prince to establish the Salic law in Burgundy; consequently it had not been established there at that time. Thus the Roman law did, and still does subsist in so many provinces, which formerly depended on this kingdom.

The Roman and Gothic laws continued likewise in the country of the establishment of the Goths; where the Salic law was never received. When Pepin and Charles Martel expelled the Saracens, the towns and provinces, which submitted to these princes; petitioned for a continuance of their own laws, and obtained it: this, in spite of the usages of those times when all laws were personal, soon made the

Roman

1

1

LIVE

i

r

u

V

t

te

91

* Of this I shall speak in another place.

[†] Catel, Hist. of Languedoc produces to the purpose a chronicle of the year 759. Franci Narbonam obsident, datoque sacramento Gothis, ut si civitatem traderent partibus Pipini, permitterent eos legem suam habere: Quo satto Gothi Saracenos occiderunt, & civitatem partibus Pipini reddiderunt.

Roman law to be confidered as a real and territorial Book law in these countries.

s we

im-

than

that

to

nem

lges

Tary

re-

con-

utes

no atry

was

fathe

Sa-

peen

man

ces,

ke-

hs;

hen

the

rin-

WS,

ofe

the

nan

hro-

craitte-

ide-

Chap. 4.

This appears by the edict of Charles the Bald, given at Piftes in the year 864, which * diftinguishes the countries where causes were decided by the Roman law, from where it was otherwise.

The edict of Pistes shews two things, one that there were countries where causes were decided by the Roman law, and others where they were not; and the other, that those countries where the Roman law obtained, were precifely (a) the fame (a) SeeArt. where it is still followed at this very day, as ap- 12. & 16. pears by the same edict: Thus the distinction of the diet of provinces of France, under custom, and those under Pistes in written law, was already established at the time of in Narbothe edict of Pistes.

na, &c.

I have observed, that in the beginning of the monarchy, all laws were personal: and thus when the edict of Pistes distinguishes the countries of the Roman law, from those which were not; the meaning is, that in countries which were not of the Roman law, fuch a number of people had chosen to live under fome or other of the laws of the Barbarians, that there were scarce any who would live under the Roman law; and that in the countries of the Roman law there were few who would chuse to live under the laws of the Barbarians.

I am not ignorant, that what is here advanced will be reckoned new; but if the things I affert be true, furely they are very ancient. After all, what great matter is it, whether they come from me, from the Valefius's, or from the Bignons.

CHAP.

^{*} In illa terra in qua judicia secundum legem Romanam terminantur secundum ipsam legem judicetur, & in illa terra in qua, &c. Art. 16. See also Art. 20.

CHAP. V.

The Same Subject continued.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 5. & 6. THE law of Gundebald subsisted a long time among the Burgundians, in conjunction with the Roman law: it was still in use under Lewis the Pious, as Agobard's letter plainly evinces. In like manner, tho' the edict of Pistes calls the country occupied by the Visigoths the country of the Roman law, yet the law of the Visigoths was always in force there; as appears by the synod of Troyes held under Lewis the Stammerer, in the year 878, that is fourteen years after the edict of Pistes.

In process of time the Gothic and Burgundian laws fell into disuse even in their own countries; which was owing to those general causes that everywhere dispelled the personal laws of the Barbarians.

CHAP. VI.

How the Roman law kept its ground in the domain of the Lombards.

EVery thing gives way now to my principles. The law of the Lombards was impartial, and the Romans were under no temptation to quit their own for it. The motive which prevailed with the Romans under the Franks to make choice of the Salic law, did not take place in Italy; hence the Roman law maintained itself there together with that of the Lombards.

It even fell out, that the latter gave way to the Roman law, and ceased to be the law of the governing people; and though it continued to be that of

the principal nobility, yet the greatest part of the XXVIII. cities formed themselves into republics, and the no-Chap. 7. bility mouldered away of themselves, or were destroyed. The citizens of the new republics had no inclination to adopt a law, which established the custom of judiciary combats, and whose institutions retained much of the customs and usages of chivalry. As the clergy of those days, a clergy even then so powerful in Italy, lived almost all under the Roman law, the number of those, who followed the law of the Lombards, must have daily diminished.

e

y

n

n

15

in

y -

be

es.

eir

he

the

the

hat

the

rn-

of

the

Besides, the law of the Lombards had not that extent, that majesty of the Roman law, which revived to Italy the idea of its universal dominion. The law of the Lombards and the Roman law could be then of no other use than to surnish out statutes for those cities that were erected into republics. Now which could better furnish them, the law of the Lombards that determined on some particular cases, or the Roman law which embraced them all?

CHAP. VII.

How the Roman law came to be loft in Spain.

THINGS happened otherwise in Spain. The law of the Visigoths prevailed, and the Roman law was lost. Chaindasuinthus + and Recessuinthus | proscribed the Roman laws, and even forbad R 3 citing

^{*} See what Machiavel fays of the ruin of the ancient nobility of Florence.

⁺ He began to reign in the year 642.

We will no longer be harrassed neither by foreign nor by the Roman laws. Law of the Visigoths, lib. ii. tit. 1. §. 9. & 10.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 7.

citing them in their courts of judicature. Recessurinthus was likewife author * of the law which took off the prohibition of marriages between the Goths and Romans. It is evident, that these two laws had the fame spirit: this king wanted to remove the principal causes of separation, which subsisted between the Goths and the Romans. Now it was thought, that nothing made a wider separation than the prohibition of intercourse of marriages, and the liberty of living under different laws.

But though the kings of the Visigoths had proscribed the Roman law, it still subsisted in the domains they poffeffed in South Gaul. These countries being diftant from the centre of the monarchy, lived in a state of great independence. We see from the history of Vamba, who ascended the throne in 672, that the natives of the country were become the prevailing party +. Hence the Roman law had greater authority, and the Gothic less. The Spanish laws neither fuited their manners, nor their actual fituation; it was possible too that the people adhered obstinately to the Roman law, because they had annexed to it the idea of liberty. Besides, the laws of Chaindasuintbus, and of Recessuintbus, contained most fevere regulations against the Jews; but these Jews had a vast deal of power in South Gaul. The author of the history of king Vamba calls these provinces the Brothel of the Jews. When the Sara-

^{*} Ut tam Gotho-romanam, quam Romano-gotham matrimonio liceat sociari. Law of the Visigoths, lib. iii. tit. 1. chap. 1.

[†] The revolt of these provinces was a general defection, as appears by the judgment which follows the history. Paulus and his adherents were Romans, they were even favoured by the bishops. Vamba dared not put to death the seditious whom he had conquered. The author of the history calls Narbonne Gaul the nursery of treachery.

1-

k 18

d

ne

n

it,

ni-

of

0-

0ies

ed

he

2, he

ad

ifh

ual

id-

ley

he

on-

out

ul.

efe

raens

onio

and bihad

the

. , as cens invaded these provinces, it was by invitation; Book XXVIII. and who could have given it but the Jews or the Chap. 8. Romans? The Goths were the first that were op- & 9. pressed, because they were the ruling nation. fee in Procopius * that during their calamities they withdrew out of Narbonne Gaul into Spain. Doubtless, under this misfortune, they took refuge in those provinces of Spain, which still held out; and the number of those, who in South Gaul lived under the law of the Visigoths, was thereby greatly diminished.

CHAP. VIII.

A false capitulary.

DID not that wretched compiler Benedictus Levita attempt to transform this Visigoth establishment, which prohibited the use of the Roman law, into a capitulary (a), ascribed since to Charle- (a) Capitumaign? He made of this particular law a general laries, lib. one, as if he intended to exterminate the Roman law vi. cap. throughout the universe.

the year 1613. edition of Baluzius, pag. 1021.

CHAP. IX.

In what manner the codes of Barbarian laws, and the capitularies came to be lost.

THE Salic, the Ripuarian, Burgundian, and Visigoth laws, came by degrees to be disused among the French, in the following manner:

R 4

[·] Gothi, qui cladi superfuerant, ex Gallia cum uxoribus libe. risque egressi in Hispaniam ad Teudim jam palam tyrannum se reciperunt. De Bello Gothorum, lib. i. cap. 13.

248

Book XXVIII. Chap. 9. As fiefs were become hereditary, and arriere-fiefs extended, many usages were introduced, to which these laws were no longer applicable. Their spirit indeed was preserved, which was to regulate most disputes by fines. But as the value of money was, doubtless, subject to change, the fines were also changed; and we see several charters*, where the lords fixed the fines, that were payable in their petty courts. Thus the spirit of the law was followed, without following the law itself.

Besides, as France sound itself divided into a number of petty lordships, which acknowledged rather a seudal than a political dependence, it was very difficult for only one law to be authorised. In sact, it would be impossible to see it observed. The custom no longer prevailed of sending extraordinary † officers into the provinces, to inspect into the administration of justice, and political affairs; it appears even by the charters, that when new siess were established, our kings divested themselves of the right of sending those officers. Thus, when almost every thing was become a sief, these officers could no longer be employed; there was no longer a common law, because no one could enforce the observance of it.

The Salic, Burgundian, and Visigoth laws, were therefore extremely neglected at the end of the second race, and at the beginning of the third they were scarce ever mentioned.

Under the first and second race, the nation was often assembled; that is, the lords and bishops; the commons were not yet thought on. In these assemblies attempts were made to regulate the clergy,

M. de la Thaumassiere has collected many of them. See, for instance, chap. 61, 66, and others.

⁺ Mifi Dominici.

fs

h

ft

S,

fo

he

ty

d,

n-

Fi-

it

m

f-

nirs

a-

ht

ry

no

on

of

re

e-

ey

as S; efe

y,

for

a body which formed itself, if I may so speak, under Book the conquerors, and established its prerogatives. Chap. 9. The laws made in these assemblies are what we call the capitularies. Hence four things enfued; the laws of fiefs were established, and a great part of the church revenues was administered by the laws of fiefs: the clergy made a wider separation, and neglected * those laws of reformation, where they themfelves were not the only reformers; a collection + was made of the canons of councils and of the decretals of popes; and these laws the clergy received as coming from a purer fource. Ever fince the erection of the grand fiefs, our kings, as we have already observed, had no longer any deputies in the provinces to enforce the observance of their laws: and hence it is, that under the third race we find no more mention made of capitularies.

* Let not the bishops, fays Charles the Bald, in the capitulary of 844. art. 8. under pretence of the authority of making canons, oppose this conflitution, or neglect the observance of it. It feems he already forefaw the fall thereof.

+ In the collection of canons, a vast number of the decretals of popes was inferted; there were very few in the ancient collection. Dionyfius Exiguus put a great many into his: but that of Isidorus Mercator was stuffed with genuine and spurious decretals. The old collection was in use in France till Charlemagne. This prince received from the hands of pope Adrian I. the collection of Dionysius Exiguus, and caused it to be accepted. The Collection of Isidorus Mercator appeared in France about the reign of Charlemagne: people grew passionately fond of it: to this fucceeded what we now call the course of canon law.

CHAP. X.

The same subject continued.

CEveral capitularies were added to the law of the Lombards, as well as to the Salic and Ba-Chap. 10. varian laws. The reason of this has been a matter of enquiry; but it must be sought for in the thing There were feveral forts of capitularies. itself. Some had relation to political government, others to ecconomical, most of them to ecclesiastical polity, and fome few to civil government. Those of the last species were added to the civil law, that is, to the personal laws of each nation; for which reason it is said in the capitularies, that there is no-(*) See the thing stipulated (*) therein contrary to the Roman law. In effect, those capitularies regarding œconomical, ecclefiaftical, or political government, had no relation to that law; and those concerning civil government had reference only to the laws of the barbarous people, which were explained, amended, enlarged and retrenched. But the adding of these capitularies to the personal laws, occasioned, I imagine, the neglect of the very body of the capitularies themselves: in times of ignorance, the abridgment of a work often casues the loss of the work itself.

edict of Piftes, art. 20.

C H A P. XI.

Other causes of the disuse of the codes of Barbarian laws, as well as of the Roman law, and of the capitularies.

ne a-

er

g s.

rs

0-

of

at

ch

0-

an

0-

no

0-

n-

a-

e,

es

nt

P.

TTHEN the German nations subdued the Ro- Book man empire, they learnt the use of writing; XXVIII. and, in imitation of the Romans, they wrote down their own usages *, and digested them into codes. The unhappy reigns which followed that of Charlemain, the invalions of the Normans, and the civil wars, plunged the conquering nations again into the darkness out of which they had emerged: reading and writing were quite neglected. Hence it is, that in France and Germany the written laws of the Barbarians, as well as the Roman law, and the capitularies, fell into oblivion. The use of writing was better preserved in Italy, where reigned the popes and the Greek emperors, where there were flourishing cities, and almost the only commerce that was carried on in those days. To this neighbourhood of Italy it was owing, that the Roman law was better preserved in the provinces of Gaul, formerly fubject to the Goths and Burgundians; and fo much the more as this law was there a territorial law, and a kind of privilege. It is probable, that the difuse of the Vifigoth laws in Spain, proceeded from the want of writing; and by the fall of fo many laws, customs were every where established.

Perfonal

^{*} This is expressly set down in some preambles to these codes: we even find in the laws of the Saxons and Frisians different regulations, according to the different districts. To these usages were added some particular regulations, according to the exigency of circumstances; such were the severe laws against the Saxons.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 12

Personal laws fell to the ground. Compositions, and what they called Freda*, were regulated more by custom than by the text of these laws. Thus, as in the establishment of the monarchy, they had passed from German customs to written laws; some ages after, they came back from written laws to unwritten customs.

CHAP. XII.

Of local customs. Revolution of the laws of barbarous nations, as well as of the Roman law.

Y feveral monuments it appears that there were local customs, as early as the first and second race. We find mention made of the custom of the place (a), of the ancient usage (b), of the custom (c), (a) Preof the laws (d), and of the customs. It has been the face to Marculopinion of fome authors, that what went by the fus's Forname of customs were the laws of the barbarous namulæ. (b) Law of tions, and what had the appellation of law was the the Lom- Roman law. This cannot possibly be. King Pebards. pin (°) ordained, that wherefoever there should hapbook ii. pen to be no law, custom should be complied with; tit. 58. 1. 3. but that it should never be preferred to the law. (c) Law of Now, to pretend that the Roman law was preferred the Lomto the codes of the laws of the Barbarians, is fubbards, verting all monuments of antiquity, and especially book ii. tit.41. §.6. those codes of Barbarian laws that constantly affirm (d) Life of the contrary.

S. Leger. So far were the laws of the barbarous nations (e) Law of from being those customs, that it was these very bards.

book ii.

tit.41. §.6. * Of this I shall speak elsewhere.

d

n

re

nd

be

(),

he

he

12-

he

e-

p-

h;

W.

red

ib-

lly

rm

ons

ery

IWS

laws as personal institutions that introduced them. Book The Salic law, for instance, was a personal law; but Chap. 12. generally, or almost generally, in places inhabited by the Salian Franks, this Salic law how perfonal foever, became in respect to those Salian Franks, a territorial law, and was perfonal only in regard to those Franks that lived elsewhere. Now, if in a place where the Salic law was territorial, feveral Burgundians, Alemans, or even Romans, happened to have frequent disputes, they must have been determined by the laws of those people; and a great number of determinations agreeable to some of those laws must have introduced new customs into the country. This explains extremely well the conflitution of Pepin. It was natural that those customs should affect even the Franks, who lived on the spot, in cases not decided by the Salic law; but it was not natural, that they should prevail over the Salic law itself.

Thus there were in each place an established law, and received customs which served as a supplement to that law when they did not contradict it.

They might even happen to supply a law that was no way territorial; and to continue the fame; example, if a Burgundian was judged by the law of his own nation, in a place where the Salic law was territorial, and the case happened not to be explicitly mentioned in the very text of this law, there is no manner of doubt but judgment would have been paffed upon him according to the custom of the place.

In the reign of king Pepin, the customs then established had not the same force as the laws; but it was not long before the laws gave way to the cuf-

toms.

Book toms. And as new regulations are generally reme-XXVIII. dies that imply a present evil, it may well be imagined that as early as Pepin's time, they began to prefer the customs to the established laws.

What has been faid, sufficiently explains the man-

ner in which the Roman law began fo very early to become territorial, as may be feen in the edict of Piftes: and how the Gothic law continued still in force, as appears by the fynod of Troyes (a) abovementioned. The Roman was become the general personal law, and the Gothic the particular personal law; consequently the Roman law was territorial. But whence came it, some will ask, that the personal laws of the Barbarians fell every where into difuse, while the Roman was continued as a territorial law in the Visigoth and Burgundian provinces? I answer, that even the Roman law had very near the fame fate as the other personal laws: otherwise we should still have the Theodosian code in those provinces where the Roman law was territorial, whereas we have the laws of Justinian. Those provinces retained scarce any thing more than the name of the country under the Roman or written law, than the natural affection which people have for their laws, especially when they consider them as privileges, and a few regulations of the Roman law which were not yet forgotten. Sufficient, however, this was to produce such an effect, that when Justinian's compilement appeared, it was received in the provinces

of the Gothic and Burgundian domain as a written law, whereas it was received only as written reason in

the ancient domain of the Franks.

(1) See Chap. 5.

CHAP. XIII.

Difference between the Salic law or that of the Salian Franks, and that of the Ripuarian Franks and other barbarous nations.

THE Salic law did not allow of the custom of Book negative proofs; that is, if a person brought XXVIII. a demand or charge against another, he was obliged by the Salic law to prove it, and it was not sufficient for the accused to deny it; which is agreeable to the laws of almost all the nations in the universe.

The law of the Ripuarian Franks had quite a different spirit*; it was contented with negative proofs, and the person against whom a demand or accusation was brought, might clear himself in most cases, by swaring in conjunction with a certain number of witnesses that he had not committed the crime laid to his charge. The number (a) of witnesses who (a) Law of were obliged to swear, increased in proportion to the the Ripuarians, tit. importance of the affair; sometimes it mounted to 6, 7, 8. & (b) seventy-two. The laws of the Alemans, Bava-others. rians, Thuringians, Frisians, Saxons, Lombards, and (b) Ibid. Burgundians, were formed on the same plan as those tit. 11,12, of the Ripuarians.

I observed that the Salic law did not allow of negative proofs. There was one † case however, in which they were allowed; but even then they were

not

* This relates to what Tacitus fays, that the Germans had common customs, and particular customs.

† It was when an accusation was brought against an Antrustio, that is, the king's vassal, who was supposed to be possessed of a greater degree of liberty. See tit. 76. of the Passus legis Salica.

(2) See the 76th tit. of the Pactus legis Salica.

Book not admitted alone, and without the concurrence of Chap. 14. positive proofs. The plaintiff (*) caused witnesses to be heard, in order to ground his action; the defendant produced also witnesses of his side, and the judge was to come at the truth by comparing these testimonies. * This practice was vastly different from that of the Ripuarian, and other barbarous laws, where it was customary for the party accused, to clear himself by swearing he was not guilty, and by making his relations also swear that he had told These laws could be fuitable only to a people remarkable for their natural fimplicity and candour; we shall see presently that the legislators were obliged to take proper methods to prevent their being abused.

CHAP. XIV.

Another difference.

tit

ch

HE Salic law did not admit of the trial by combat; though it had been received by the laws of the Ripuarians (b), and of almost all (c) the () Tit. 32. tit. 57. 5. barbarous nations. To me it feems, that the law of 2. tit. 59. combat was a natural consequence, and a remedy of 9.4. the law which established negative proofs. When (e) See the an action was brought, and it appeared that the denote underneath, fendant was going to elude it unjustly by an oath, what other remedy was left to a military man +. who faw himself upon the point of being confounded, than to demand fatisfaction for the wrong done to him ;

· According to the practice now followed in England.

[†] This spirit appears in the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 59. §. 4. & tit. 67. §. 5. and in the Capitulary of Lewis the Pious, added to the law of the Ripuarians in the year 803. art. 22.

him; and even for the attempt of perjury. The Rook XXVIII. Salic law, which did not allow of the custom of ne-Chap. 14-gative proofs, neither allowed nor had any need of the trial by combat: but the laws of the Ripuarians (a) and of the other barbarous nations and (a) See allowed the practice of negative proofs, were obliged that law. to establish the trial by combat.

Whosoever will please to examine the two samous regulations + of Gundebald king of Burgundy concerning this subject, will find they are derived from the very nature of the thing. It was necessary, according to the language of the Barbarian laws, to rescue the oath out of the hands of a person who

was going to abuse it.

Among the Lombards, the law of Rotharis admitted of cases, in which it would not suffer a man who had made his defence by oath, to undergo the satigue of a duel. This custom spread itself further:

(b) we shall see presently the mischiefs that arose from (b) See it, and how they were obliged to return to the an-chap. 18. towards cient practice.

* The law of the Frifians, Lombards, Bavarians, Saxons, Thuringians, and Burgundians.

[†] In the law of the Burgundians, tit. 8. §. 1. & 2. on criminal affairs; and tit. 45. which extends also to civil affairs. See also the law of the Thuringians, tit. 1. §. 3. tit. 7. §. 6. and tit. 8; and the law of the Alemans, tit. 89; the law of the Bavarians, tit. 8. chap. 2. §. 6. and chap. 3. §. 1. and tit. 9. chap. 4. §. 4. the law of the Frisians, tit. 11. §. 3. and tit. 14. §. 4. the law of the Lombards, book i. tit. 32. §. 3. and tit 35. §. 1. and book ii. tit. 35. §. 2.

o money C H A P. XV.

A reflexion.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 15. made in the code of the barbarian laws, in the regulations added to that code, and in the body of the capitularies, it is possible to find some text, where in fact the trial by combat is not a consequence of the negative proof. Particular circumstrances might in the course of many ages give rise to particular laws. I speak only of the general spirit of the laws of the Germans, of their nature and origin; I speak of the ancient customs of those people, that were either hinted at or established by those laws; and this is the only matter in question.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the ordeal or trial by boiling water, established by the Salic law.

THE Salic law * allowed of the ordeal or trial by boiling water; and as this trial was exceffively cruel, the law (a) found an expedient to foften its rigour. It permitted the perfon who had been fummoned to make the trial with boiling water, to ranfom his hand, with the confent of the adverse party. The accuser, for a particular sum determined by the law, might be satisfied with the oath of a few witnesses, declaring that the accused had not committed the crime. This was a particular case in which the Salic law admitted of the negative proof.

This

(*) Tit. 56.

mani guilt Ti joyed

ject,

haza

of p

inc

pu

wh

mo

of

ticu

for m mode

* T

[·] As also some other laws of the Barbarians,

This trial was a thing privately agreed upon, Book XXVIII. which the law permitted only, but did not ordain. Chap. 17. The law gave a particular indemnity to the accuser, who would allow the accused to make his defence by a negative proof: the plaintiff was at liberty to be satisfied with the oath of the desendant, as he was at liberty to forgive him the injury.

The law (*) contrived a medium, that before fen- (a) Ibidetence passed, both parties, the one through fear of tite 56. a terrible trial, the other for the sake of a small indemnity, should terminate their disputes and put an end to their animosities. 'Tis plain, that when once this negative proof was over, nothing more was requisite; and therefore that the practice of legal duels could not be a consequence of this particular regulation of the Salic law.

CHAP. XVII.

e

y

a-

by

ely

its

ım-

ran-

rty.

the

wit-

itted

the

This

Particular notions of our ancestors.

TIS aftonishing that our ancestors should rest the honour, fortune, and life of the subject, on things that depended less on reason than hazard; and that they should incessantly make use of proofs incapable of proving, and that had no manner of connexion either with innocence or guilt.

The Germans who had never been subdued*, enjoyed an excessive independence. Different families waged war + with each other, to obtain satisfaction for murders, robberies, affronts. This custom was moderated by subjecting these hostilities to rules;

3 2

decided all their disputes by the sword.

^{*} This appears by what Tacitus fays, Omnibus idem habitus.
† Velleius Paterculus, lib. ii. cap. 118. fays, that the Germans

Book Chap. 17.

it was ordained that they should be no longer committed but by the direction and under the *eye of the magistrate. This was far preferable to a general licence of annoying each other.

As the Turks in their civil wars look upon the first victory as a decision of heaven in favour of the victor; so the inhabitants of Germany in their private quarrels confidered the event of a combat as a decree of providence ever attentive to punish the

criminal or the usurper.

Tacitus informs us, that when one German nation intended to declare war against another, they endeavoured to take some prisoner whom they obliged to fight with one of their people, and by the event of this combat they judged of the fuccess of the war. A nation who believed that public quarrels could be regulated by a fingle combat, might very well think that it was proper also for deciding the disputes of individuals.

(a) Law gundians,

Gundebald (a) king of Burgundy was the prince of the Bur- who gave the greatest sanction to the custom of lechap. xlv. gal duels. The reason he gives for this sanguinary law, is mentioned in his edict. 'Tis, fays he, in order to prevent our subjects from attesting by oath what they are not certain of, nay, and what they know to be (b) See the false. Thus while the clergy (b) declared that an Works of impious law which permitted combats; the Burgun-Agobard, dian kings looked upon that as a facrilegious law,

which authorised the taking of an oath.

The trial by combat had fome reason for it founded on experience. In a military nation, cowardice supposes other vices; it is as an argument of a perfon's having refifted the principles of his education,

of

0

25

be

di

in

mi

the

Wat

^{*} See the codes of Barbarian laws, and in respect to less ancient times, Beaumanoir on the custom of Beauvoisis.

of his being infensible of honour, and of having Book refused to be directed by those maxims which Chap. 17. govern other men; it shews, that he neither fears their contempt, nor sets any value upon their esteem. Men of any tolerable family seldom want either the dexterity requisite to accompany strength, or the strength necessary to concur with courage, because as they set a value upon honour, they are practised of course in things without which this honour cannot be obtained. Besides, in a military nation, where strength, courage, and prowess are esteemed, crimes really odious, are those which arise from imposture, finesse, and cunning, that is from cowardice.

e

n

1-

0

is

a-

6-

ık

of

ice

le-

ry

orbat

be

an un-

IW,

nd-

lice

er-

on,

ot

an-

With regard to the trial by fire, after the party accused had put his hand on a hot iron or in boiling water, they wrapt the hand in a bag which was fealed up: if after three days there appeared no mark, he was acquitted. Is it not plain, that amongst a people inured to the handling of arms, the impression made on a rough and callous skin by the hot iron, or by boiling water, could not be fo great as to be feen three days afterwards? And if there appeared any mark, it shewed that the person who had made the trial was an effeminate fellow. Our peafants handle hot iron with their callous hands as much as they please; and, with regard to the women, the hands of those who worked hard, might be very well able to refift hot iron. The ladies * did not want champions to defend their cause; and in a nation where there was no luxury, there was no middle state.

3

By

^{*} See Beaumanoir custom of Beauvoisis, chap. lxi. See also the law of the Angli, chap. xiv. where the trial by boiling water is only a subsidiary proof.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 17. (a) Tit. 14. (b) Chap. 31. §.5.

By the law of the (a) Thuringians a woman accused of adultery was condemned to the trial by boiling water, only when there was no champion to defend her; and the law of the (b) Ripuarians admits of this trial, only when a person had no witnesses to appear in his justification. But a woman, that could not prevail upon any one relation to defend her cause, a man that could not produce one single witness to attest his honesty, were from those very circumstances sufficiently convicted.

I conclude therefore, that under the circumstances of time in which the trial by combat and the trial by hot iron and boiling water obtained, there was such an agreement between those laws and the manners of the people, that the laws were not so productive of injustice as they were in themselves unjust, that the effects were more innocent than the cause, that they were more contrary to equity than prejudicial to its rights, more unreasonable than tyrannical.

CHAP. XVIII.

In what manner the custom of judicial combats gained ground.

FROM Agobard's letter to Lewis the Pious, it might be inferred, that the custom of judicial combats was not established among the Franks; for after having represented to this prince the abuses of the law of Gundebald, he desires that private disputes should be decided in Burgundy by the law of the Franks. But as it is well known from other quarters,

Si placeret Domino nostro ut eos transferret ad legem Fran-

quarters, that the trial by combat prevailed at that Book time in France, this has been the cause of some per- XXVIII. plexity. However the difficulty may be folved by what I have faid; the law of the Salian Franks did not allow of this kind of trial, and that of the Ripuarian Franks (a) did.

But, notwithstanding the clamours of the clergy, law, tit. the custom of judicial combats gained ground con- 59.5.4. tinually in France; and I shall make it appear pre- 5.5. fently that the clergy themselves were in great part

the occasion of it.

to

t-

n,

e-

ne

fe

es

al

as

n-

0-

nhe

an

an

its

15.

ial

10

of if-

of

ner

rs.

an-

'Tis the law of the Lombards that furnishes us with this proof. There has been long fince a detestable custom introduced, says the preamble to the constitution of (b) Otho II. This is, that if the title to an (b) Law estate was said to be forged, the person who claimed of the under that title made oath upon the gospels that it Lomwas genuine, and without any further judgment he book ii. took possession of the estate: so that those who would tit. 55. perjure themselves, were sure of gaining their point. chap. 34. The emperor Otho I. having caused himself to be crowned at Rome (c), at the very time that a coun- (c) The cil was held there under pope John XII. all the year 962, lords * of Italy represented to the emperor the neceffity of enacting a law to reform this horrid abuse. The pope and the emperor were of opinion, that the affair should be referred to the council, which was to be shortly held † at Rayenna. the lords made the fame reprefentations, and repeated their instances; but the affair was put off once more under

(2) See this

* Ab Italiæ proceribus est proclamatum ut imperator sanctus mutata lege facinus indignum destrueret. Law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 55. chap. 34.

+ It was held in the year 967, in the presence of pope John XIII. and of the emperor Otho I.

XXVIII. Chap. 18.

Book under pretence of the absence of particular persons. When Otho II. and Conrad * king of Burgundy arrived in Italy, they had a conference at Verona +, with the Italian lords | ; and at their repeated remonstrances, the emperor with their unanimous confent, made a law, that whenever there happened any disputes about inheritances, and one of the parties infifted upon the legality of his title, and the other maintained its being forged, the affair should be decided by combat; that the fame rule should be observed in contests relating to fiefs; that the clergy should be subject to the same law, and should fight by their champions. Here we fee that the nobility infifted on the trial by combat, because of the inconveniency of the proof introduced by the clergy; that notwithstanding the clamours of this nobility, the notoriousness of the abuse which called out loudly for redrefs, and the authority of Otho who came into Italy to speak and act as master, ftill the clergy held out in two councils; that the joint concurrence of the nobility and princes having obliged the clergy to fubmit, the custom of judicial combats must have been considered as a privilege of the nobility, as a barrier against injustice, and as a fecurity of property, and from that very moment this custom must have gained ground. This was effected at a time when the power of the emperors was great, and that of the popes inconfiderable; at a time when the Otho's came to revive the dignity of the empire in Italy.

+ In the year 988.

Otho the fecond's uncle, fon to Rodolphus, and king of Transjuran Burgundy.

[|] Cum in boc ab omnibus imperiales aures pulsarentur. Law of the Lombards, book ii. tit, 55. chap, 34.

407 -2 E

I shall make one reflection which will corroborate Book XXVIII. what has been above faid, that the custom of nega- Chap. 18. tive proofs produced that of judicial combats. The abuse complained of to the Otho's, was that a perfon who was charged with having a false title to an estate, defended himself by a negative proof, declaring upon the gospels it was not false. What was it they did to reform this abuse? They revived the custom of judicial combats.

I was in a hurry to speak of the constitution of Otho II. in order to give a clear idea of the disputes between the clergy and the laity of those times. There had been indeed a constitution of *Lotharius I. of an earlier date, who, upon the fame complaints and disputes, being desirous of securing the just possession of property, had ordained that the notary should make oath that the deed or title was not forged; and if the notary should happen to die, the witnesses should be sworn who had signed it. The evil however still continued, till they were obliged at length to have recourse to the remedy abovementioned.

Before that time, I find, that in the general affemblies held by Charlemain, the nation represented to him (a), that in the actual state of things it was ex- (a) In the tremely difficult, but that either the accuser or the Lombards accused must forswear themselves; and that for this book ii. reason it was much better to revive the judicial com- tit. 55. 5. bat, which was accordingly done.

The usage of judicial combats gained ground among the Burgundians, and that of the oath was limited.

In the law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 55. §. 33. In the copy which Muratori made use of, it is attributed to the emperor Guido.

Book limited. Among the Goths the laws of Chaindafu-Chap 18, inthus and Recessuinthus left not the least vestige of the trial by combat; this cuftom had been reftrained by the clergy: But, in process of time* those people put a stop to the violence which they had fuffered in this respect.

(*) See in the Lombards, book i. tit. 4. & tit. 9. 5. 23. & book ii. tit. 35. 5 1, 2, & 3. Rotharis. and in §. 15. that of Luitprandus.

(b) Ibid. book ii.

tit. 55.

1. 23.

The first kings of the Lombards gave a check to the (*) custom of judicial combats. Charlemain (b), the law of Lewis the Pious, and the Otho's, made divers general constitutions, which we find inserted in the laws of the Lombards, and added to the Salic laws, whereby the practice of legal duels, at first in criminal and afterwards in civil affairs, obtained a greater extent. They knew not what to do. The 4 & 5. & negative proof by oath had its inconveniences; tit. 55. 5. that of legal duels had its inconveniences also: where-The regul fore they often changed according as the one or the lations of other affected them most.

> On the one hand the clergy were pleased to see, that in all fecular affairs people were obliged to have recourse to the altars +; and on the other, a haughty nobility were fond of maintaining their rights by the fword.

> I would not have it inferred, that it was the clergy who introduced the custom so much complained

> * In palatio quoque Bera, comes Barcinonensis, cum impeteretur a quodam Sunila, & infidelitatis argueretur, cum eodem secundum legem propriam, utpote quia uterque Gothus erat equestri prælio congressus est & victus. I cannot recollect where I had this pastage from.

> + The judicial oaths were made at that time in the churches, and during the first race of our kings there was a chapel set apart in the royal palace, for the affairs that were to be thus decided. See the Formula's of Marculfus, book i. chap. 38. The laws of the Ripuarians, tit. 59. §. 4. tit. 65. §. 5. The history of Gregory of Tours, the Capitulary of the year 803, added to the Salic law.

of by the nobility. This custom was derived from the spirit of the Barbarian laws, and from the estative proofs. But a practice that contributed to the impunity of such a number of criminals, having given some people reason to think that it was proper to make use of the sanctity of the churches in order to strike terror into the guilty, and to intimidate perjurers, the clergy maintained this usage and the practice that attended it; for in other respects they were absolutely averse to negative proofs. We find in Beaumanoir (a), that this (a) Chap. kind of proof was never allowed in ecclesiastic courts; 39 Pagwhich contributed greatly without doubt to its suppression, and to weaken in this respect the regulation of the codes of the barbarian laws.

This will convince us more strongly of the connexion between the usage of negative proofs, and that of judicial combats, of which I have said so much. The lay-tribunals admitted of both; and both were rejected by the ecclesiastic courts.

e,

ty

Dy

r-

ed

of

tur

lum

con-

paf-

hes,

fet de-

The

tory

d to

In chusing the trial by duel, the nation followed its military spirit; for while the trial by duel was established as a divine decision, the trial by the cross, by cold and boiling water, which had been also regarded as divine decisions, were abolished.

Charlemain ordained, that if any difference should arise between his children, they should be terminated by the judgment of the cross. Lewis the Pious *, confined this judgment to ecclesiastic affairs; his son Lotharius abolished it in all cases: nay he abolished † even the trial by cold water.

 We find his constitutions inserted in the law of the Lombards, and at the end of the Salic laws.

+ In a constitution inserted in the law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 55. §. 31.

Book I do not pretend to fay that at a time when so XXVIII. few usages were universally received, these trials were not revived in some churches; especially as they (a) In the are mentioned in a charter (a) of Philip-Augustus: year1200. but I affirm they were very little used. Beaumatom of little after, enumerating the different kinds of trials, mentions that of judicial combat, but not a word of chap. 39. the others.

CHAP. XIX.

A new reason of the disuse of the Salic and Roman laws as also of the Capitularies.

Have already mentioned the reasons that occafioned the disuse of the Salic and Roman laws, as also of the Capitularies; here I shall add that the principal cause was the great extent given to judi-

ciary combats.

As the Salic laws did not admit of this custom, they became in some measure useless, and fell into In like manner the Roman laws, which oblivion. also rejected this custom, were laid aside: their whole attention was then taken up in establishing the law of judicial combats, and in forming a proper digeft of the feveral cases that might happen on those occasions. The regulations of the Capitularies became also of no manner of service. Thus it is that fuch a number of laws loft all their authority, without our being able to tell the precise time it was loft; they fell into oblivion, without our being able to find any others that were substituted in their place.

Such a nation had no need of written laws; hence its written laws might very eafily fall into difuse.

If

If there happened to be any disputes between two Book axxviII. parties, they had only to order a single combat. All Chap. 19. they wanted for this, was a good deal of prefumption.

All civil and criminal actions are reduced to facts. 'Tis upon these facts they fought; and not only the fubstance of the affair, but likewise the incidents and imparlances were decided by combat, as Beaumanoir (a) observes, who produces several instances.

I find that towards the commencement of the third 61. pag. race, the jurisprudence of those times related entire- 309. & ly to personal quarrels, and was governed by the 310. point of honour. If the judge was not obeyed, he infifted upon fatisfaction from the person that had contemned his authority. At Bourges, if (b) the (b) Charprovost had summoned a person, and he refused to ter of Lecome, his way of proceeding was to tell him, "I wis the " fent for thee, and thou didft not think it worth year 1145. thy while to come; I demand therefore satisfac- in the Collection " tion for this contempt." Upon which they fought. of Ordi-Lewis the Fat reformed this custom (°).

(f) See the custom of

The custom of legal duels prevailed (d) at Orle-(d) Charter ans, even in all demands of debt. Lewis the Young of Lewis declared, that this custom should take place only the young when the demand exceeded five sous. This ordi- 1168, in nance was a local law; for in St. Lewis's time (*) it the Colwas fufficient that the value was more than twelve lection of Ordinandeniers. Beaumanoir (f) heard a gentleman of the ces. law affirm, that formerly there had been a bad cuf- (°) See tom in France, of hiring a champion for a certain beauma-noir, chap. time to fight their battles in all causes. This shews, 63, pag. that the usage of judiciary combats must have had 325. at that time a prodigious extent.

CHAP. Beau-

chap. 28. pag. 203.

CHAP. XX.

Origin of the point of honour.

BOOK XXVIII. tio fapienlemari. tit. 5.

TX7E meet with inexplicable enigma's in the VV codes of the laws of the Barbarians. The Chap. 20. law of (*) the Frifians allow only half a fou in (a) Addi- composition to a person that had been struck with a flick; and yet for ever fo fmall a wound it allows more. By the Salic law, if a freeman gave three blows with a stick to another freeman, he paid three fous; if he drew blood, he was punished as if he had wounded him with fteel, and he paid fifteen fous: thus the punishment was proportioned to the greatness of the wound. The law of the Lom-(b) Book i. bards (b) established different compositions for one, tit. 6. 5.3. two, three, four blows; and fo on. At prefent a fingle blow is equivalent to a hundred thousand.

(Book

ii. tit. 5.

5. 23.

The constitution of Charlemain inserted in the law (°) of the Lombards, ordains, that those who were allowed the trial by combat, should fight with bastons. Perhaps this was out of regard to the clergy; or probably, as the usage of legal duels gained ground, they wanted to render them less fanguinary. The capitulary * of Lewis the Pious allows the liberty of chusing to fight either with the fword or bafton. In process of time, none but villeins fought with the bafton (d).

(4) See Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 328.

Here I see the first rise and formation of the particular articles of our point of honour. The accufer began with declaring in the presence of the judge, that fuch a person had committed such an action; and the accused made answer, that he lied (e); upon which the judge gave orders for the duel.

(e) Ibid.

became

la

g

ch

2 1

^{*} Added to the Salic law in 819.

became then an established rule, that whenever a Book person had the lye given him, it was incumbent on Chap. 19. tion which be would bue if

him to fight.

e

d

n

C

1-

e,

a

ne

10

th

he

els

nıl-

he

il-

Ir-

IC-

he

an

c);

It

ne

Upon a man's (a) declaring he would fight, (a) See he could not afterwards depart from his word; Beaumaif he did, he was condemned to a penalty. noir, chap. Hence this rule enfued, that whenever a person had engaged his word, honour forbad him to recal it.

Gentlemen * fought one another on horseback, and armed at all points; villeins + fought on foot, and with bastons. Hence it followed, that the baston was looked upon as the inftrument of infults and affronts; || because, to strike a man with it, was treating him like a villein.

None but villeins fought with their & face uncovered; fo that none but they could receive a blow on the face. Therefore a box on the ear became an injury that must be expiated with blood, because the person who received it, had been treated as a villein.

The feveral people of Germany were no less fenfible than we, of the point of honour; nay, they were more fo. Thus the most distant relations took a very considerable share to themselves in every affront, and on this all their codes are founded. law (b) of the Lombards ordains, that who foever (b) Book is goes attended with servants to beat a man by fur- tit. 6. § . 1. prife,

* See in regard to the arms of the combatants, Beaumanoir chap. 61. pag. 308. & chap. 64. pag. 328.

+ Ibid. chap. 64. pag. 328. See also the charters of S. Au-

bin of Anjou, quoted by Galland, pag. 263.

Among the Romans it was not infamous to be beaten with a flick, lege iclus fustium, de iis qui notantur infamia.

1 They had only the baston and buckler. Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 328.

XXVIII. Chap. 21.

(a) Book i. tit. 6. 5. 2.

prife, in order to load him thereby with shame, and to render him ridiculous, should pay half the composition which he would owe if he had killed him (4); and if through the same motive he tied or bound him, he should pay three quarters of the same compolition.

Let us then conclude, that our forefathers were extremely fensible of affronts; but that affronts of a particular kind, fuch as being struck with a certain instrument on a certain part of the body, and in a certain manner, were as yet unknown to them. All this was included in the affront of being beaten, and in this case, the proportion of the excess constituted the greatness of the outrage.

CHAP. XXI.

A new reflection upon the point of bonour among the Germans.

(b) De moribus Germanorum.

Pattus

lica.

legis Sa-

Was a great infamy, fays Tacitus (b), among the Germans, for a person to leave bis buckler bebind bim in battle; for which reason a great many after a misfortune of this kind have destroyed them-Thus the ancient Salic law (c) allows a com-Telves. (c) In the position of fifteen sous to any person that had been injuriously reproached with having left his buckler behind him.

When Charlemain * amended the Salic law, he allowed in this case no more than three sous in com-As this prince cannot be suspected of havposition. ing had a defign to enervate the military discipline; it is manifest that this change was owing to that of the arms, and that from this change of arms a great number of usages derive their origin. CHAP.

th

the

fw

on

bee

ma

the

poi

five

and

fom

tain

peo

* We have both the ancient law and that which was amended by this prince.

CHAP. XXII.

with wine to the five months with

Of the manners relative to judicial combats.

O UR connexion with the fair fex is founded on Book the happiness annexed to the pleasures of sense; XXVIII. on the charms of loving and being beloved; and likewise on the desire of pleasing the ladies, because they are most penetrating judges in respect to part of those things which constitute personal merit. This general desire of pleasing produces gallantry, which is not indeed love itself, but the delicate, the volatile, the perpetual dissembler of love.

According to the different circumstances of every country and age, love inclines more to one of those three things, than to the other two. Now I maintain, that the prevailing spirit at the time of our judicial combats, must naturally have been that of gal-

lantry.

n

C

1-

7-

;

of

at

P.

led

I find in the law of the Lombards (*), that if one (*)Bookii. of the two champions was found to have any herbs tit. 55. fit for inchantment about him, the judge ordered them to be taken from him, and obliged him to swear he had no more. This law could be founded only on the vulgar opinion; it was fear (which has been said to have invented so many things) that made them imagine this kind of prestiges. As in the single combats, the champions were armed at all points; and as with heavy arms, both of the offensive and defensive kind, those of a particular temper and force were of infinite advantage; the notion of some champions having inchanted arms, must certainly have turned the brains of a great many people.

Vol. II. T

Hence

Book XXVIII. Chap. 22.

Hence arose the marvellous system of chivalry. The minds of all sorts of people quickly imbibed these extravagant ideas. Then it was that in romances they beheld knight-errants, necromancers, fairies, winged or intelligent horses, invisible or invulnerable men, magicians who concerned themselves in the birth and education of great personages, inchanted and disinchanted palaces, a new world in the midst of the old one, and the ordinary course of nature left only to the lower class of mankind.

Knight-errants always in armour, in a part of the world full of castles, forts, and robbers, found honour in punishing injustice, and in protecting weakness. Hence our romances abound with gallantry founded on the idea of love, joined with that of

strength and protection.

Such was the original of gallantry, when they formed to their imaginations an extraordinary fet of men, who at the fight of virtue joined with beauty and diffress, were inclined to expose themselves to all hazards for their sake, and to endeavour to please them in the common actions of life.

Our romances of chivalry flattered this defire of pleafing, and communicated to a part of Europe that spirit of gallantry, which we may venture to affirm was very little known to the ancients.

The prodigious luxury of that immense city Rome, flattered the idea of sensible pleasures. A certain notion of tranquillity in the fields of Greece, gave rise to the description * of soft and amorous sentiments. The idea of knight-errants, protectors of the virtue and beauty of the fair-sex, led people to that of gallantry.

This

ar

of

in

ju

wi

m

de

Be

fine

anc

bee

fing

^{*} See the Greek romances of the middle age.

This spirit was continued by the custom of tur- Book XXVIII. naments, which, uniting the rights of valour and Chap. 23. love, added still a great importance to gallantry.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of the code of laws on judicial combats.

COME perhaps will have a curiofity to fee this abominable custom of judiciary combat reduced to principle, and to find a code of fuch extraordinary laws. Men, though reasonable in the main, reduce their very prejudices to rule. Nothing was more contrary to good fense, than those combats: and yet when once this point was laid down, a kind of prudential management was used in carrying it into execution.

In order to be thoroughly acquainted with the jurisprudence of these times, 'tis necessary to read with attention the regulations of S. Lewis, who made fuch great changes in the judiciary order. Défontaines was cotemporary with that prince : Beaumanoir wrote after * him; and the rest lived fince his time. We must therefore look for the ancient practice in the amendments that have been made of it.

CHAP. XXIV.

Rules established in the judicial combat.

THEN there happened (a) to be feveral ac- (a) Beaucufers, they were obliged to agree among manoir, themselves that the action might be carried on by a chap. 6. fingle profecutor; and if they could not agree, the per- & 41.

1-

a-

ne

k-

ry of

ey fet

lu-

res

to

of

ope

to

city

A

of and

nts,

fex,

This

^{*} In the year 1283.

fon before whom the action was brought, appointed Book XXVIII. one of them to profecute the quarrel. Chap. 24.

(2) Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 328.

When (a) a gentleman challenged a villein he was obliged to prefent himself on foot with buckler and bafton; but if he came on horseback, and armed like a gentleman, they took his horse and his arms from him; and stripping him to his shirt, they obliged him to fight in that condition with the villein.

(b) Ibid.

Before the combat the (b) magistrates ordered three pag. 330. banns to be published. By the first the relations of the parties were commanded to retire; by the fecond the people were warned to be filent; and the third prohibited the giving any affiftance to either of the parties, under fevere penalties; nay, even on pain of death, if by this affiftance one of the combatants should happen to be vanguished.

() Ibid.

The officers belonging to the civil magistrate (6) guarded the lift or inclosure where the battle was fought; and in case either of the parties declared himself desirous of peace, they took particular notice of the actual state in which things stood at that very moment, to the end that they might be restored to the fame fituation, in case they did not come to an accommodation (d).

(d) Ibid.

When the pledges were received either for a crime or for false judgment, the parties could not make up the matter without the consent of the lord: and when one of the parties was overcome, there could be no accommodation without the permission of the count *, which had fome analogy to our letters of grace.

Bht

lo

m

VI

fa

th

th

th

cu

ag

to

by

gr

loi

ch

no

wi

in

wa

the

pa

tion

fign

Déi + ftill

315

The great vassals had particular privileges.

d

ne

er

n-

iis

t,

he

ee

of e-

he

er

on

a-

(°)

ed

onat

ed

to

ne

ke nd

of

ers

tit

But if it happened to be a capital crime, and the XXVIII. lord, corrupted by presents, confented to an accom- Chap. 24. modation; he was obliged to pay a fine of fixty livres, and the right * he had of punishing the male-factor devolved to the count.

There were a great many people incapable either of offering, or of accepting battle. But liberty was given them in trial of the cause to chuse a champion; and that he might have a stronger interest in defending the party, in whose behalf he appeared, his hand was cut off if he lost the battle +.

When capital laws were made in the last century against duels, perhaps it would have been sufficient to have deprived a warrior of his military capacity, by the loss of his hand; nothing in general being a greater mortification to mankind than to survive the loss of their character.

When (a) in capital cases the duel was fought by (a) Beauthampions, the parties were placed where they could manoir, not behold the battle; each of them was bound pag 330. with the cord that was to be used at his execution, in case his champion was overcome.

The person that succumbed in battle, did not always lose the point contested; if, for instance (b), (b) Ibid. they sought on an imparlance, he lost only the im-chap 61. page 309.

T 3 CHAP.

* Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 330. fays, he lost his jurisdiction: these words in the authors of those days have not a general signification, but a signification limited to the affair in question. Désontaine, chap. 21. art. 29.

+ This custom, which we meet with in the capitularies, was still subsisting at the time of Beaumanoir. See chap. 61. pag. 315.

CHAP. XXV.

Of the bounds prescribed to the custom of indicial combats.

THEN pledges of battle had been received BOOK XXVIII. upon a civil affair of fmall importance, the Chap. 25. lord obliged the parties to withdraw them.

If a fact was notorious (*), for instance, if a man (a) Beauhad been affaffinated in the open market-place, then manoir, chap. 61. there was neither a trial by witnesses, nor by combat; pag. 308. ibid.chap. the judge gave his decision from the notoriety of 43. pag. the fact. 239.

When the court of a lord had often determined after the fame manner, and the usage was thus known (b), the lord refused to grant the parties the privilege of duelling, to the end that the usages might not be altered by the different events of the combats.

They were not allowed to infift upon duelling but for (c) themselves, for some one belonging to their family, or for their liege lord,

When the accused had been acquitted (d), another relation could not infift on fighting him; otherwise disputes would never be terminated.

If a person appeared again in public, whose relations, upon a supposition of his being murdered, wanted to avenge his death; there was then no room for a combat: the fame may be faid (e) if by a notorious absence the fact was proved to be imposible.

If a man (1) who had been mortally wounded, had disculpated before his death the person accused, and named another, they did not proceed to a duel;

(b) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 314. See also Défontaines, chap. 22.

art. 24. (c) Beaumanoir, chap. 63.

pag. 322. (d) Ibid.

(e) Ibid.

(f) Beaumanoir. chap. 63. Pag. 323. duel; but if he had mentioned nobody, his declara- Book XXVIII. tion was looked upon only as a forgiveness on his Chap. 25. death-bed; the profecution was continued, and even among gentlemen they could make war against each other.

When there was a war, and one of their relations had given or received pledges of battle, the right of war ceased; for then it was thought that the parties wanted to pursue the ordinary course of justice, wherefore he that continued the war would have been fentenced to repair all damages.

Thus the practice of judiciary combat had this advantage, that it was apt to change a general into a particular quarrel, to restore the courts of judicature to their authority, and to reduce to a civil state those who were no longer governed but by the law of nations.

As there are an infinite number of wife things that are managed in a very foolish manner; fo there are many foolish things that are very wisely conducted.

When a man (a), who was appealed of a crime, (a) Beauvisibly shewed that it had been committed by the manoir, chap. 63. appellant himself, there could be then no pledges of pag. 324battle: for there is no criminal but would prefer a duel of uncertain event to a certain punishment.

There were no duels (b) in affairs decided by ar- (b) Ibid. biters, or by ecclefiaftic courts; nor in cases relating Pag. 325. to women's dowries.

A woman, fays Beaumanoir, cannot fight. If a woman appealed a person without naming her champion, the pledges of battle were not accepted. It was also requisite, that a woman should be autho-

Q

5

book.

(e) Beau-

manoir chap. 63.

p. 322.

rized (a) by her baron, that is by her husband, to BOOK XXVIII. appeal; but she might be appealed without this Chap. 26. authority.

(2) Ibid.

If either the appellant (b) or the appellee were under P. 325. fifteen years of age, there could be no combat. (b) Beau-They might order it indeed in disputes relating to manoir orphans, when their guardians or trustees were wilchap. 63. P.323. See also what ling to run the risk of this procedure.

I have faid The cases in which a villein was allowed to fight, in the 18th

are, I think, as follows. He was allowed to fight another villein; he was allowed to fight a freeman, or even a gentleman, in case they were appellants; but if he was the appellant (°) himfelf, the other might refuse to fight; and even the villein's lord had a right to take him out of the court. The villein might by his lord's charter (d) or by usage, (d) Défonfight with any freeman; and the church * pretended taines ch. 22. art. 7. to this right for her villeins, as a mark of respect + due to her by the laiety.

CHAP. XXVI.

Of the judiciary combat between one of the parties, and one of the witnesses.

D Eaumanoir informs us (c) that a person who saw (*) Chap. D a witness going to swear against him, might 61. p.315. elude the fecond, by telling the judges, that his adversary produced a false and slandering witness; and if the witness was willing to maintain the quarrel, he gave pledges of battle. They troubled themselves no further about the inquest; for if the wit-

ness

[·] Habeant bellandi et testificandi licentiam. Charter of Lewis the fat, in the year 1118. + Ibidem.

ness was overcome, it was decided, that the party Book XXVIII. had produced a false witness, and he lost his cause. Chap. 26.

It was necessary he should prevent the second witness from swearing; for if he had made his attestation, the affair would have been decided by the deposition of two witnesses. But by staying the second, the deposition of the first witness was of no manner of use.

The fecond witness being thus rejected, the Party was not allowed to produce any others, but he lost his cause: in case however there had been no pledges of battle, he might produce other witnesses.

Beaumanoir observes, (a) that the witness might say (a) Chap. to the party he appeared for, before he made his 6. P. 39-deposition: I do not care to fight for your quarrel, & 40. nor to enter into any debate; but if you are willing to stand by me, I am ready to tell the truth.

The party was then obliged to fight for the witness, and if he happened to be overcome, he did not lose his cause *, but the witness was rejected.

This, I believe, was a limitation of the ancient custom; and what makes me think so, is, that we find this usage of appealing the witnesses, established in the laws of the (b) Bavarians and (c) Bur- (b) Tit. 16. gundians, without any restriction.

I have already made mention of the constitution of Gundebald, against which Agobard † and S. Avitus | made such loud complaints. "When "the accused (says this Prince) produces Witnesses "to swear that he has not committed the crime, the "accuser may challenge one of the witnesses to a com"bat:

^{*} But if the battle was fought by champions, the champion that was overcome had his hand cut off.

[†] Letter to Lewis the pious.

Life of S. Avitus.

Book "bat; for it is very just that the person who has XXVIII. "offered to swear, and has declared that he was "certain of the truth, should make no difficulty to maintain it." Thus the witnesses were deprived by this king of every kind of subterfuge to avoid the judiciary combat.

CHAP. XXVII.

Of the judicial combat between one of the parties, and one of the lord's peers. Appeal of false judgment.

A S the nature of judicial combats was to terminate the affair for ever, and was incompatible with (a) a new judgment and new profecutions; an appeal, such as is established by the Roman p. 22. and Canon laws, that is to a superior tribunal in order to rejudge the proceedings of an inferior court, was a thing unknown in France.

This is a form of proceeding to which a warlike nation, entirely governed by the point of honour, was quite a stranger; and agreeably to this very (b) Beau- spirit, the same methods (b) were used against the manoirch, judges, as were allowed against the parties.

t

to

lo

hi

no

th

a |

pe

pe

Was

manoirch judges, as were allowed against the parties.

61. p.312. An appeal among the people of this nation was p. 338. a challenge to fight with arms, a challenge decided by blood, and not by an invitation to a paper quarrel, the knowledge of which was deferred to suc-

(c) Book ceeding ages (c).

appeal includes both felony and iniquity. Thus

(d) Beaumanoirch.

61. p. 310. make his complaint of any outrage committed a
8 311. & gainst him by his lord, he was first obliged to dech 67. p.

337.

bas

vas

to

ed bic

the

eal

er-

pa-

cu-

nan

or-

urt,

like

our,

rery

the

was

ided

uar-

fuc-

it an

hus

d to d a-

de-

unce

nounce that he quitted his fief; after which he ap- Book pealed before his lord paramount, and offered pledges Chap. 27. of battle. In like manner the lord renounced the homage of his vaffal, if he appealed him before the

A vaffal to appeal his lord of false Judgment. was telling him that his fentence had been falfely and maliciously pronounced: now, to utter fuch words against his lord, was in some measure committing the crime of felony.

Hence, instead of appealing the lord of false judgment who established and directed the court. they appealed the peers of whom the court itself was formed: by this means they avoided the crime of felony; for they infulted only their peers, with whom they could always account for the infult.

It was a very (a) dangerous thing to appeal the peers (a) Beauof false judgment. If the party waited till judg-manoir ment was pronounced, he was obliged to fight them p. 313. all (b), when they offered to make good their judg- (b) Ibid. ment. If the appeal was made before all the judges P. 314. had given their opinion, he was obliged to fight all those who had agreed in their judgment. In order to avoid this danger, it was usual to petition the lord (c) to give orders that each peer should give (c) Beauhis opinion out loud; and when the first had pro- manoir nounced, and the fecond was going to do the fame, chap. 61. the party told him that he was a liar, a knave, and P. 314. a flanderer, and then he had to fight only with that peer.

Défontaines + would have it, that before an appeal was made of false judgment, it was customary

⁺ Chap. 22. art. 1, 10, & 11. he fays only that each of them was allowed a fmall fine.

Book to let three judges pronounce; and he does not fay Chap. 27. that it was necessary to fight them all three, and much less that there was any obligation to fight all those who had declared themselves of the same opinion. These differences arise from this, that there were very few usages exactly in all parts the same : Beaumanoir gives an account of what paffed in the county of Clermont; and Défontaines of what was practifed in the Vermandois.

(a) Beaumanoir chap. 61. P. 314.

Défon-

taines ch.

(d) Beau-

chap. 61. p. 316.

(e) Beau-

manoir,

manoir

22. art. 9.

When (2) one of the peers had declared that he would maintain the judgment, the judge ordered pledges of battle to be given, and likewise took fecurity of the appellant that he would main. tain his appeal. But the peer who was appealed gave no fecurity, because he was the lord's vaffal, and was obliged to defend the appeal, or to pay the lord a fine of fixty livres.

If the (b) appellant did not prove that the judg-(b) Beaumanoir ib. ment was false, he paid the lord a fine of fixty livres, the fame fine to (°) the peer whom he had aptaines ch. 22. art. 9. pealed, and as much to every one of those who had (c) Defon-

openly confented to the judgment.

When a person violently suspected of a capital crime, had been taken and condemned, he could make no appeal (d) of false judgment: for he would always appeal, either to prolong his life, or to get an

5

ł

ł

d

k

6

c

absolute discharge.

If a person (e) said that the judgment was false and bad, and did not offer to make his words good, chap. 61. pag. 314. that is, to fight, he was condemned to a fine of fix fontaines, fous if a gentleman, and to five fous if a villein, chap. 22. for the injurious expressions he had uttered.

art. 21. The judges or peers (f) who were overcome, for-(f) Défon-feited neither life nor limbs; but the person who aptaines ch. pealed 22. art. 7.

fay

nuch

hofe

nion.

were

eau-

unty

tifed

that

or-

ewife

nain-

gave

and

lord

udg.

fixty

d ap-

) had

pital

could

rould

et an

good,
of fix

llein,

for-

o ap-

ealed

pealed them was punished with death, if it happened Book XXVIII. to be a capital crime +. Chap. 27.

This manner of appealing the peers of false judgment, was to avoid appealing the lord himself. But if (*) the lord had no peers, or had not a suf- (*) Beauficient number, he might at his own expense hire *ch. 62. p. peers of his lord paramount; but these peers were 322. Denot obliged to judge if they did not like it; they fontaines might declare that they were come only to give their art. 3. opinion, and in that particular || case, while the lord himself pronounced sentence as judge; and if an appeal of salse judgment was made against him, it was his business to stand the appeal.

If the lord happened (b) to be fo very poor as not (b) Beauto be able to hire peers of his paramount, or if he chap. 62. neglected to ask for them, or the paramount re-p. 322. fused to give them, then as the lord could not judge by himself, and as no body was obliged to plead before a tribunal where judgment could not be given, the affair was brought before the lord paramount.

This, I believe, was one of the principal causes of the separation between the jurisdiction and the sief, from whence arose that maxim of the French lawyers, The sief is one thing, and the jurisdiction another. For as there were a vast number of peers who had no subordinate vassals under them, they were incapable of holding their court; all affairs were then brought before their lord paramount, and they lost

⁺ See Défontaines chap. 21. art. 11, & 12. and following, who distinguishes the cases in which the appellant of false judgement loses his life, the point contested, or only the imparlance.

The count was not obliged to lend any. Beaumanoir chap.

No body can pass judgment in his court, says Beaumanoir, chap. 67. p. 336, and 337.

Book

the privilege of judging, because they had neither Chap. 27. power nor will to claim it.

(2) Défon-21.art.27, & 28.

(b) Ibid. art. 28.

All the peers (*) who had agreed to the judgment. taines ch. were obliged to be present when it was pronounced; that they might follow one another, and fay Yes to the person who, wanting to make an appeal of false judgment, asked them whether they followed ; for Défontaines fays (b), that 'tis an affair of curtefy and loyalty, and there is no such thing as evasion or delay. From hence, I imagine, arose the custom now followed in England, of obliging the jury to be all unanimous

> Judgment was therefore given according to the opinion of the majority: and if there was an equal division, fentence was pronounced, in criminal cases, in favour of the accused; in cases of debt, in favour of the debtor; and in cases of inheritance, in favour of the defendant.

P

C

П

n

p

01

be

10

no

ba

th

by

ide

ob

jur

Be the

fall thi

rec

in their verdict in cases relating to life and death.

(c) Ch.21. art. 37.

Défontaines observes (c), that a peer could not excuse himself by saying, that he would not sit in court if there were only four +, or if the whole number, or at least the wifest part, were not present. This is just as if he was to fay in the heat of an engagement, that he would not affift his lord, because he had not all his vassals with him. But it was the lord's business to cause his court to be respected, and to chuse the bravest and most knowing of his tenants. This I mention in order to shew the duty of vaffals, which was to fight and to judge; and fuch indeed was this duty, that to judge was all the fame as to fight.

It was lawful for a lord who went to law with his vaffal in his own court, and was cast, to appeal one

+ This number at least was necessary. Défontaines, chap. 21. .art. 36.

of his tenants of false judgment. But as the latter Book owed a respect to his lord for the fealty he had vowed, Chap. 27and the lord on the other hand owed benevolence to his vaffal for the fealty accepted; hence it was cuftomary to make a distinction between the lord's affirming in general, that the judgment (4) was false and (4) Beaubad, and imputing personal (b) prevarications to his manoir chap. 67. tenant. In the first case, he affronted his own court, p. 337. and in some measure himself, so that there was no (b) Ibid. room for pledges of battle. But there was room in the fecond, because he attacked his vasfal's honour: and the person overcome, was deprived of life and property, in order to maintain the public tranquillity.

This distinction which was necessary in that particular case, had afterwards a greater extent. Beaumanoir fays, that when the appellant of false judgment attacked one of the peers by personal imputations, then battle enfued; but if he attacked only the judgment, the peer appealed was at liberty (c) to determine the dispute either by battle, (c) Beauor by law. But as the prevailing spirit in Beauma-manoir noir's time was to restrain the usage of judicial com- p. 337, & bats, and as this liberty which had been granted to 338. the peer appealed, of defending the judgment by combat or not, is equally contrary to the ideas of honour established in those Days, and to the obligation the vaffal lay under of defending his lord's jurisdiction; I am apt to think that this distinction of Beaumanoir's was owing to a new regulation among the French.

I would not have it thought, that all appeals of false judgment were decided by battle; it fared with this appeal as with all others. The reader may recollect the exceptions mentioned in the 25th chap-

ter.

ef nt,

d the

g-)é-

allay.

Wous

the

jual fes.

our our

exin

hole ent. en-

ause the

and te-

ty of fuch

fame

h his one

ot p. 21. XXVIII. Chap. 27.

Book ter. Here it was the business of the superior court to examine whether it was proper to withdraw the pledges of battle or not.

There could be no appeal of false judgment against the king's court; because, as there was no one equal to the king, no one could appeal him; and as the king had no superior, none could appeal from his court.

This fundamental regulation, which was necessary as a political law, diminished also as a civil law the abuses of the judicial proceedings of those times. (*) Défon-When a lord was afraid (*) that his court would be appealed of false judgment, or perceived that they were determined to appeal; if justice required there should be no appeal, he might petition for peers from the king's court, who could not be appealed of false judgment. Thus king Philip, says Désontaines (b), fent his whole council to judge an affair in the court of the abbot of Corbey.

() Ibid.

taines ch: 22. art. 14.

> If the lord could not have judges from the king, he might remove his court into the king's, if he held immediately of him: but if there were intermediate lords, he had recourse to his paramount, going from one lord to another, till he came to the sovereign.

> Thus notwithstanding they had not in those days neither the practice nor even the idea of our modern appeals, yet they had recourse to the king, who was the fource from whence all those rivers flowed, and the sea into which they returned.

> > CHAP.

tl

0

g

re

CO

th

ot

an

 M_i

the

of

the

the

Balu

I fize

edition of

with the of alteen hard C H A P. XXVIII.

Of the appeal of default of justice.

THE appeal of default of justice was when the Book XXVIII. court of a particular lord deferred, evaded, Chap. 28.

or refused to do justice to the parties.

In the fecond race, tho' the count had feveral officers under him, their person was subordinate, but not their jurisdiction. These officers in their courtdays, affizes, or placita, gave judgment in the last (1) Third refort as the count himself; all the difference con- of the year fifted in the division of the jurisdiction. For instance, 812. art. 3. the count had (') the power of condemning to death, Baluzius, of judging of liberty and of the restitution of p 497.and goods, which the centenarii had not.

the bald, For the fame reason, there were higher causes (b) added to referved to the king; namely, those which directly the law of the Lomconcerned the political order of the state. Such were bards. the disputes between bishops, abbots, counts, and book 2. other grandees, whom the kings judged together art. 3. Third

with the great vaffals *.

capitulary What fome authors have advanced; namely, that of the year an appeal lay from the count to the king's deputy, or edition of Miss Dominicus, is not well grounded. The count and Baluzius, the Missus had an equal jurisdiction (e) independent (e) Seethe of each other: The whole difference was (d) that capitulary the Missus held his Placita or assizes four months in the bald, the year, and the count the other eight. added to

If a person who had been condemned at an af- the law fize +, demanded to have his cause tried over again, Lombards

> and book 2. capitulary of the year \$12. art.8.

Capitulary of Lewis the pious, edition of (4) Third * Cum fidelibus. Baluzius, p. 667.

+ Placitum. Vol. II.

re ers of

n-

0

1

y

ne

S. oe

ey

afhe S,

ere nt, the

ays ern Was ed.

P.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 28.

and was afterwards caft, he paid a fine of fifteen fous, or received fifteen blows from the judges who had decided the affair.

When the counts or the king's deputies did not find themselves able to bring the great lords to reafon, they made them give bail or fecurity *, that they would appear in the king's court: this was to try the cause, and not to rejudge it. I find in the capitulary of Metz +, a law, by which the appeal of false judgment to the king's court is established, and all other kinds of appeal proscribed and punished.

If they refused to submit to the judgment of the fheriffs |, and made no complaint, they were imprifoned till they had submitted: but if they complained, they were conducted under a proper guard before the king, and the affair was examined at his court.

There could be hardly any room then for an appeal of default of justice. For so far was it from being usual in those days to complain, that the counts and others, who had a right of holding affizes, were (a) See the not exact in discharging this duty; that (a) on the contrary, it was a general complaint that they were too exact. Hence we find fuch numbers of ordinances, by which the counts and other officers of justice whatsoever, are forbid to hold their affizes above thrice a year. It was not fo necessary to chastife their indolence, as to check their activity.

law of the Lombards. book ii. tit. 52. art. 22.

> But, after an innumerable multitude of petty lordships had been formed, and different degrees of vassalage established, the neglect of certain vassals in

* This appears by the formula's, charters, and the capitularies.

pea cru day in

con

app

hol

an a our wer the

war

E

just 1. t resp leng they or c conf

men

lord

that

peer B fore leng offe

L nant judg app

as the

⁺ In the year 757, edition of Baluzius, pag. 180. art. 9. & 10. and the Synod apud Vernas in the year 755. art. 29. edition of Baluzius, pag. 175. These two capitularies were made under king Pepin.

The officers under the count, Scabini.

holding their courts gave rife to this kind of ap- Book XXVIII. peals; * especially as very considerable profits ac- Chap. 28. crued to the lord paramount from the several fines.

As the custom of judicial combats gained every day more ground, there were places, cases, and times, in which it was difficult to assemble the peers, and consequently in which justice was delayed. The appeal of default of justice was therefore introduced, an appeal that has been often a remarkable æra in our history; because most of the wars of those days were imputed to a violation of the political law, as the cause or at least the pretence of our modern wars, is the infringement of the law of nations.

Beaumanoir (*) fays, that in the case of default of (*) Chap. justice, battle was not allowed: the reasons are these, 61. pag. 1. they could not challenge the lord, because of the respect due to his person; neither could they challenge the lord's peers, because the case was clear and they had only to reckon the days of the summons, or of the other delays; there had been no judgment, consequently there could be no appeal of salse judgment: in sine, the crime of the peers offended the lord as well as the party, and it was against rule that there should be battle between the lord and his peers.

of

y

in

ıg

pi-

on ler But (b) as the default was proved by witnesses be- (b) Beaufore the superior court; the witnesses might be chal-manoir, lenged, and then neither the lord nor his court were pag. 315. offended.

In case the default was owing to the lord's tenants or peers by deferring justice, or by evading judgment after past delays, then these peers were appealed of default of justice before the paramount;

^{*} There are instances of appeals of default of justice as early as the time of Philip Augustus.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 28. (2) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 24.

and if they were cast, they (*) paid a fine to their lord. The latter could not give them any affiftance; on the contrary, he feized their fief till they had each paid a fine of fixty livres.

2. When the default was owing to the lord, which was the case whenever there happened not to be a fufficient number of peers at his court to pass judgment, or when he had not affembled his tenants or appointed fomebody in his room to affemble them, an appeal might be made of the default before the lord paramount; but then the party (b) and not the lord was fummoned, because of the respect due to the latter.

(b) Ibid. art 31.

> The lord demanded to be tried before the paramount, and if he was acquitted of the default, the cause was remanded to him, and he was likewise paid a fine (c) of fixty livres. But if the default was proved, the penalty (4) inflicted on him was to lose the judgment of the cause, which was to be then tried in the superior court. In fact, the complaint of default was made with no other view.

manoir, chap. 61. pag. 312. (d) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 29.

(c) Ibid.

3. If the lord was fued * at his own court, which never happened but upon disputes relating to the fief; after letting all the delays pass, the lord himself (°) was summoned before the peers in the chap. 34. fovereign's name, whose permission was necessary on that occasion. The peers did not make the fum-

mons,

* This was the case in the famous difference between the lord of Nelle and Joan counters of Flanders, under the reign of Lewis VIII. He fued her in her own court of Flanders, and summoned her to give judgment within forty days, and afterwards appealed in default of justice to the king's court. She answered, he should be judged by his peers in Flanders. The king's court determined that he should not be remanded, and that the counters should be summoned.

(c) Beau-

I 0 of

jı

Ib:

mons, because they could not summon their lord, Book XXVIII. but they could fummon (") for their lord.

Chap. 28.

Sometimes (b) the appeal of default of justice was (a) Défonfollowed with an appeal of false judgment, when taines, c. the lord had caused judgment to be passed, not- 21. art. 9. withstanding the default.

d

h

2

70

1,

1e

ne

to

a-

he

ite

133

oie

en

nt

ch

he

rd

he

ry

m.

ns,

the

of of and

ter-She The

and

(b) Beau-

The vaffal who had wrongfully appealed his manoir, chap 61. lord of default of justice, was sentenced to pay a pag. 34. fine according to his lord's pleasure.

The inhabitants of Gaunt (6) had appealed the earl (6) Beauof Flanders of default of justice before the king, manoir, chap 61. for having delayed to give judgment in his own pag. 318. court. Upon examination it was found, that he had used less delays than even the custom of the country allowed. They were therefore remanded to him; upon which their effects to the value of fixty thousand livres were seized. They returned to the king's court in order to have this fine moderated; but it was decided that the earl might infift upon this fine, and even upon more if he pleafed. Beaumanoir was prefent at those judgments.

4. In other disputes which the lord might have with his vaffal, in respect to the body or honour of the latter, or to goods that did not belong to the fief, there was no room for an appeal of default of justice; because the cause was not tried in the lord's court, but in that of the paramount; vaffals, fays Défontaines (d), having no power to give judgment (d) Clap. on the body of their lord.

21. art.

I have been at some trouble to give a clear idea of those things, which are so obscure and confused

* Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 312. But he that was neither tenant nor vaffal to the lord, paid only a fine of fixty livres. Ibid .

Book in old authors, that to draw them from the chaos in XXVIII. Chap. 29. which they were involved, may be reckoned a new difcovery.

CHAP. XXIX.

Epoch of the reign of St. Lewis.

ST. Lewis abolished the judicial combats in all the courts of his domains, as appears by the (*) In the ordinance (*) he published on that account, and (b) year 1260. by the institutions.

(b) Book i. But he did not suppress them in the courts of his chap. 2. & * barons, except in the case of appeal of salse judgii. chap. ment.

10. & 11. A vaffal could not appeal the court of his lord of false judgment, without demanding a judicial combat against the judges who had pronounced sentions, appealing of false judgment without fighting, a

chap. 6. change that may be reckoned a kind of revo-

chap. 15.

(d) Ibid.
book ii.
false judgment in the lordships of his domains, bechap. 15. cause it was a crime of selony. In fact, if it was a kind of selony against the lord, by a much stronger reason it was selony against the king. But he con-

(e) Ibid. fented they might demand an amendment (e) of the book i. judgments passed in his courts; not because they chap. 78. were false or iniquitous, but because they did some chap. 15. prejudice (f). On the contrary, he ordained, that they should be obliged to make an appeal of salse book i. judgment against the courts of the barons (g), in

chap. 78. case of any complaint.

(*) Ibid.

It

book ii. chap. 15. * As appears every where in the institutions, &c. and Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 309.

Ŵ

all

he

nis

g-

of

m-

en-

of

2

10-

of

be-

IS a

ger

on-

the

hey

me

hat

alfe

in

It

It was not allowed by the institutions, as we have XXVIII. already observed, to bring an appeal of false judg-Chap. 29-ment against the courts in the king's domains. They were obliged to demand an amendment before the same court; and in case the bailist resused the amendment demanded, the king gave leave to make an appeal (a) to his court, or rather, inter-(b) Institutions, the institutions by themselves, to present book ii. chap. 78.

With regard to the courts of the lords, S. Lewis (*) Ibid. by permitting them to be appealed of false judg-chap. 15. ment, would have the cause brought before the royal tribunal, or that of the lord paramount, not (c) to be decided by duel, but by witnesses, pur- (c) Book i. suant to a form of proceeding, the rules of which chap. 6. & 47. & book ii.

Thus, whether they could falfify the judgment, chap. 15. as in the courts of the barons, or whether they could manoir, not falfify, as in the courts of his domains, he or-chap. 11. dained that they might appeal without running the Pag. 58. hazard of a duel.

Défontaines (e) gives us the two first examples he (d) Book i. ever saw, in which they proceeded thus without a chap. 1,2, legal duel; one in a cause tried at the court of St. & 3. Quentin, which belonged to the king's domain; (e) Chap. and the other in the court of Ponthieu, where the 22 art. count who was present opposed the ancient jurisprudence: but these two causes were decided by law.

Here perhaps it will be asked, why St. Lewis ordained for the courts of his barons a different form of proceeding from that which he had established in the courts of his domains? the reason is this: when St. Lewis made the regulations for the courts

U 4

^{*} But if they wanted to appeal without falfifying the judgment, the appeal was not admitted. Inflitutions, book ii. chap. 15.

Chap. 29.

Book of his domains, he was not checked or confined in XXVIII. his views; but he had measures to keep with the lords who enjoyed this ancient prerogative, that causes should not be removed from their courts, unless the party was willing to expose himself to the dangers of an appeal of false judgment. St. Lewis preferved the usage of this appeal; but he ordained that it should be made without a judicial combat, that is, in order to render the change more infenfible, he fuppreffed the thing and continued the terms. This regulation was not univerfally received in

(*) Chap. 61. pag. 309.

(b) Ibid.

the courts of the lords. Beaumanoir (a) fays, that in his time there were two ways of judging; one according to the king's establishment, and the other pursuant to the ancient practice; that the lords were at liberty to follow which way they pleased; but when they had pitched upon one in any cause, they could not afterwards have recourse to the other. He adds (b), that the count of Clermont followed the new practice, while his vasfals kept to the old one; but that it was in his power to re-establish the ancient practice whenever he pleafed, otherwise he would have less authority than his vasfals.

(c) See Beaumanoir, Défontaines. stitutions. book ii. others.

'Tis proper here to observe, that France was at that time (c) divided into the country of the king's domain, and that which was called the country of the barons, or the baronies, and to make use of the and the In- terms of St. Lewis's inftitutions, into the country under obedience to the king, and the country out of chap. 10, his obedience. When the kings made ordinances 11, 15. & for the country of their own domain, they employed their own fingle authority. But when they published any ordinances that concerned also the country of their barons, they were made * in concert with

> * See the ordinances at the beginning of the third race in the collection

them, or fealed and subscribed by them: otherwise Book XXVIII. the barons received or refused them, according as Chap. 30. they feemed conducive to the good of their baronies. The rear-vassals were upon the same terms with the great vasfals. Now the institutions were not made with the confent of the lords, though they regulated matters that to them were of great importance: but they were received only by those who believed they would redound to their advantage. Robert, son of St. Lewis received them in his county of Clermont; yet his vaffals did not think proper to conform to this practice.

CHAP. XXX.

Observations on appeals.

I Apprehend that appeals, which were challenges I to a combat, must have been made immediately on the spot. If the party leaves the court without appealing, fays Beaumanoir (a), be loses bis appeal, (a) Chap. and the judgment stands good. This continued still 63. pag. in force, even after all the restrictions of (b) judicial 327. ibid. combats.

collection of Lauriere, especially those of Philip Augustus, on Institutiecclefiastic jurisdiction, and that of Lewis VIII. concerning the ons of St. Jews, and the charters related by Mr. Brussel, particularly that of St. Lewis, on the leafe and recovery of lands, and the feodal majority of young women, tom. ii. book 3. pag. 35. & ibid. the Ordi-The ordinance of Philip Augustus, pag. 7.

chap. 61. pag. 312.

(b) See the Lewis, book ii. chap. 15. nance of Charles VII. in the year

tion the grandents, in build it with the tame time ; gall and sail had, calve men or media, no more out a C.H. A.P.

in ne at fs neat is, he in hat one her

hey ner. wed old lifh wife at

ere

but

ng's y of the unt of nces

loypubintry with

nem, in the ection (d) Chap.

xxii. art. 14.

CHAP. XXXI.

The same subject continued.

THE villein could not bring an appeal of falle judgment against the court of his lord. This XXVIII. Chap. 31. we learn from Défontaine (a), and it is confirmed moreover by the inftitutions (b). Hence Défon-(a) Chap. 21. art. taines (c) fays, between the lord and his villein there 21. & 22. (b) Book i. is no other judge but God.

chap. 136.

It was the custom of judicial combats that had deprived the villeins of the privilege of appealing (c) Chap. ii. art. 8. their lord's court of false judgment. And so true is this, that those villeins*, who by charter or custom had a right to fight, had also the privilege of appealing their lord's court of false judgment, even though the peers who judged them were + gentlemen: And Défontaines (4) proposes expedients to gentlemen in order to avoid the scandal of fighting with a villein, by whom they had been appealed of false judgment.

> As the practice of judicial combats began to decline, and the usage of new appeals to be introduced, it was reckoned unjust that freemen should have a remedy against the injustice of the court of their lords, and the villeins should not; wherefore the parliament received their appeals all the fame as those of freemen.

> > CHAP.

+ Gentlemen may be always appointed judges. Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 48.

Défontaines, chap. 22. art. 7. This article and the 21st of the 22d chapter of the same author have been hitherto very ill explained. Défontaines does not oppose the judgment of the lord to that of the gentleman, because it was the same thing; but he opposes the common villein to him who had the privilege of fighting.

CHAP. XXXII.

The Same Subject continued.

C

is

d

n-

re

ad

ng

15

m

p-

ren

en-

ents

ing

of

de-

tro-

ould

t of

fore

e as

211

very

of the

hing;

taines,

WHEN an appeal of false judgment was Book brought against the lord's court, the lord XXVIII. appeared in person before his paramount, to defend the judgment of his court. In like manner (2) in (3) Desonthe appeal of default of justice, the party sumtaines, chap. 21. moned before the lord paramount brought his art. 33. lord along with him, to the end that if the default was not proved, he might recover his jurisdiction.

In process of time, as the practice observed in those two particular cases was become general, by the introduction of all sorts of appeals, it seemed very extraordinary that the lord should be obliged to spend his whole life in strange tribunals, and for other people's affairs. Philip of Valois (b) (b) In the ordained, that none but the bailiss should be sum-year 1332-moned; and when the usage of appeals became still more frequent, the parties were obliged to defend the appeal: the sact * of the judge became that of the party.

I took (c) notice that in the appeal of default of (c) See justice, the lord lost only the privilege of having chap. 30. the cause tried in his own court. But if the lord himself was sued as party (d), which was become a (d) Beauvery common practice (e), he paid a fine of fixty manoir, chap. 61. livres to the king, or to the paramount, before whom pag. 312. the appeal was brought. From thence arose the & 318. usage after appeals had been generally received, of (e) Ibid. fining the lord upon the amendment of the sentence

of

^{*} See the situation of things in Boutillier's time, who lived in the year 1402, Somme Rurale, book i. pag. 19. & 20.

Book of his judge: an usage which lasted a long time. XXVIII. and was confirmed by the ordinance of Roussillon, Chap. 33. but fell at length to the ground, through its own abfurdity.

CHAP. XXXIII. The same subject continued.

(a) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 14.

TN the practice of judicial combats, the person who had appealed one of the judges of false judgment, might lofe (*) his cause by the combat, but could not possibly gain it. In fact, the party who had a judgment in his favour, ought not to have been deprived of it by another man's act. The appellant therefore, who had gained the battle, was obliged to fight likewise against the adverse party: not in order to know whether the judgment was good or bad (for this judgment was out of the case, being reversed by the combat) but to determine whether the demand was just or not; and it was on this new point they fought. From thence proceeds our manner of pronouncing arrefts, The court annuls the appeal; the court annuls the appeal, and the judgment against which the appeal was brought. In effect, when the person who had made the appeal of false judgment, happened to be overcome, the appeal was reverfed; when he proved victorious, both the judgment and the appeal were reversed: then they were obliged to proceed to a new judgment.

This is fo far true, that when the cause was tried by inquests, this manner of pronouncing did not take (b) Of the place : witness what M. de la Roche Flavin (b) fays, namely, that the chamber of inquests could not use

this form at the beginning of its creation.

CHAP.

£

parliaments of France, book i. chap. 16.

Rook

CHAP. XXXIV.

11.

n

on

g-

out

ho

ive

ap-

was

not l or

re-

the

oint

r of

the

bich

rfon

pen-

vhen

the

pro-

tried

take

fays,

t use

A P.

express and this is the prefere prefere

In what manner the proceedings at law became fecret.

DUELS had introduced a public form of proceeding, so that both the attack and defence were XXVIII. equally known. The witnesses, says Beaumanoir (*), Chap. 34. ought to give in their testimony in open court. (*) Chap.

Boutillier's commentator fays, he had learnt of an-315. cient practitioners, and from some old manuscript law-books, that criminal processes were anciently carried on in public, and in a form not very different from the public judgments of the Romans. This was owing to their not knowing how to write; a thing in those days very common. The usage of writing fixes the ideas, and preserves the secret; but when this usage is laid aside, nothing but the publicness of the proceeding is capable of fixing those ideas.

And as uncertainty * might easily arise in respect to what had been judged by vassals, or pleaded before vassals, they could therefore refresh their memory every time they held a court, by what was called proceedings on record †. In that case it was not allowed to challenge the witnesses to combat; for then there would never be an end of disputes.

In process of time a secret form of proceeding was introduced. Every thing before had been public; every thing now became secret; the interrogatories, the informations, the re-examinations, the confronting of witnesses, the opinion of the attorney-general;

^{*} As Beaumanoir fays, chap. 39. pag. 209.

[†] They proved by witnesses what had been already done, faid, or decreed in court.

XXVIII. Chap. 35.

Book general; and this is the present practise. The first form of proceeding was fuitable to the government of that time, as the new form was proper to the new government fince established.

Boutillier's commentator fixes the epoch of this change to the ordinance in the year 1539. I am apt to believe that this change was made infenfibly, and passed from one lordship to another, in proportion as the lords renounced the ancient course of pleading, and that derived from the institutions of St. Lewis was improved. In fact, Beaumanoir fays (a), that witnesses were publicly heard only in cases in which it was allowed to give pledges of battle: in others, they were heard in fecret, and their depositions were reduced to writing. The proceedings became therefore fecret. when they ceased to give pledges of battle.

(a) Chap. 39. pag. 218.

CHAP. XXXV.

Of the costs.

(b) Défontaines in his counfel, chap. Beaumanoir, Instituti-

TN former times no one was condemned in France L to the payment of costs (*) in temporal courts. The party cast was sufficiently punished by sentences of pecuniary fines to the lord and his peers. From 22. art. 3. the manner of proceeding by judicial combat it fol-& 8. and lowed, that the party who was condemned and deprived of life and fortune, was punished as much as chap. 33. he could be: and in the other cases of the judicial ons, book combat, there were fines fometimes fixed, and fomei.chap.90. times dependent on the disposition of the lord, which were fufficient to make people dread the events of fuits. The fame may be faid of causes that were not decided by combat. As the lord had the chief profits, fo he was also at the chief expence, either to affemble his peers, or to enable them to pro-

1

ceed

t

h

till

ceed to judgment. Besides, as disputes were gene- Book rally determined on the spot, and without that infi- Chap. 35. nite multitude of writings which afterwards followed, there was no necessity of allowing costs to the parties.

The custom of appeals naturally introduced that of giving costs. Thus Défontaines (a) fays, that (a) Chap. when they appealed by written law, that is, when 22. art. 8. they followed the new laws of St. Lewis, they gave costs; but that in the usual custom, which did not permit them to appeal without falfifying the judgment, no costs were allowed. They obtained only a fine, and the possession for a year and a day of the thing contested, if the cause was remanded to the lord.

But when the number of appeals increased from the new facility of appealing *; when by the frequent usage of those appeals from one court to another, the parties were continually removed from the place of their residence; when the new method of proceeding multiplied and eternized the fuits; when the art of eluding the very justest demands was refined; when the parties at law knew how to fly only in order to be followed; when actions proved deftructive, and pleas eafy; when the reasons were lost in whole volumes of writings; when the world was filled with members of the law, who were strangers both to law and juffice; when knavery found advice where it found no support; then it was necessary to deter litigious people by the fear of costs. They were obliged to pay costs for the judgment, and for the means they had employed to elude it. Charles the Fair made a general ordinance on that fubject (b).

(b) In the year 1324.

CHAP.

rft

nt

ew

his

apt

and

as

and

im-

ffes

al-

vere

d to

cret,

rance ourts. ences From foldeich as dicial fome-

nts of were d the

which

pence,

o proceed

^{*} At present when they are so inclined to appeal, says Boutillier. Somme Rurale, book i. tit. 3. pag. 16.

CHAP. XXXVI.

Of the public prosecutor.

Rook XXVIII. A slaws, crimes were punished with pecuniary Chap. 36. fines; they had not in those days, as we have at prefent, a public officer who has the care of criminal prosecutions. In effect, the iffue of all causes being reduced to the reparation of damages; every prosecution was in some measure civil, and might be managed by any one. On the other hand, the Roman law had popular forms for the prosecution of crimes, which were inconsistent with the office of a public prosecutor.

The custom of judicial combats was no less oppofite to this idea: for who is it that would chuse to make himself every man's champion against all the

world?

I find in the collection of formula's, inferted by Muratori in the laws of the Lombards, that in the fecond race there was an advocate of the public profecutor. But whofoever pleafes to read the entire collection of those formula's, will find there was a total difference between those officers and what we now call the public profecutor, our attorney-generals, our king's follicitors, or our follicitors of the nobility. The former were rather agents to the public for the management of political and domestic affairs, than for the civil. In fact we do not find in these formula's that they were intrusted with criminal profecutions, or with causes relating to minors, to churches, or to the condition of persons.

^{*} Advocatus de parte publica.

I faid that the establishment of a public prosecu- XXVIII. tor was repugnant to the usage of judicial combats. Chap. 36. I find notwithstanding, in one of those formula's, an advocate of the public profecutor, who had the liberty to fight. Muratori has placed it just after the conflitution (a) of Henry I. for which it was made. (a) See In this constitution 'tis said, "That if any man kills this constitution and " his father, his brother, or any of his other rela- this for-" tions, he shall lose their succession, which shall mula in the second " pass to the other relations, and his own shall go volume of " the fisc." Now it was in suing for the succession the Historians of which had devolved to the fife, that the advocate Italy, pag. of the public profecutor, by whom its rights were 175. defended, had the privilege of fighting: This case fell within the general rule.

We see in those formula's the advocate of the Muratori, public profecutor proceeding against (b) a person who pag. 104. had taken a robber, but had not brought him before on the 88th law the count; against another(c) who had raised an in- of Charfurrection or tumult against the count; against an-lemain, other (d) who had faved a man's life whom the count tit. 26. §. had ordered to be put to death; against (°) the 78. advocate of fome churches, whom the count had (c) Anocommanded to bring a robber before him, but ther forhad not obeyed; against (f) another who had re- pag. 87vealed the king's fecret to ftrangers; against (8) an- (d) Ibid. other who with open violence had attacked the em- (e) Ibid. peror's deputy; against (h) another who had despised pag. 95. the emperor's letters; and he was profecuted either by (f) Ibid. the emperor's advocate, or by the emperor himself; pag. 88. against (i) another who refused to accept of the pag. 98. prince's coin: in fine, this advocate fued for things, (h) Ibid. which by the law were adjudged to the fifc (k).

Vol. II. X

(b) Colpag. 132. (1) Ibid. pag. 132.

But, (k) Ibid.

pag. 137.

1

us

ry re-

nal ing

ofe-

ma-

man

nes,

blic

po-

e to the

d by the

olic *

ntire

vas a

at we

erals, nobi-

public

ffairs, thefe

iminal

rs, to

(Ibid.

THE SPIRIT

But, in criminal causes, we never meet with Book Chap. 36. the advocate of the public profecutor; not even where duels are used; (*) not even in the case of in-(*) Ibid. cendiaries; (b) not even when the judge is killed (c) Pag. 147. on his bench; not even in causes relating to the con-(b) Ibid. dition of persons (d), to liberty and slavery (e). (9) Ibid. These formula's are made not only for the laws pag. 168. (d) Ibid.

of the Lombards, but likewise for the capitularies added to them; fo that we have no reason at all pag. 134. to doubt of their giving us the practice of the fecond pag. 107. race upon this fubject.

As the usage of combats was become more frequent under the third race, it did not allow of any fuch thing as a public profecutor. Hence Boutillier, in his Somme Rurale, speaking of the officers of justice, takes notice only * of the bailiffs, the peen, and ferjeants.

I find in the laws + of James II. king of Majorca, a creation of the office of the king's attorney general, with the very fame functions as are exercifed at present by the officers of that name among us. It is manifest that this office was not instituted till we had changed the form of our judician proceedings.

CI

m

OU

eq

ch

ve

the wh his

ten

^{*} See also the Institutions, book i. chap. 1. book ii. chap 11. & 13. and Beaumanoir, chap. 1. & chap. 61. pag. 30 concerning the manner in which profecutions were managed those days.

⁺ See these laws in the lives of the faints of the month June, tom. iii. pag. 26.

[|] Qui continue nostram sacram curiam sequi teneatur institu tur, qui falla & causas in ipsa curia promoveat atque prosequalu

CHAP. XXXVIII.

In what manner the institutions of S. Lewis fell into oblivion.

T was the fate of the institutions, that their origin, Book progress and extinction, were comprised within XXVIII. Chap. 38.

I shall make a few reflections upon this subject, The code we have now under the name of S. Lewis's institutions, was never defigned as a law for the whole kingdom, though fuch a defign is mentioned in the preface to this code. This compilement is a general code, which determines all points relating to civil affairs, to the disposal of property by will or otherwise, the dowries and advantages of women, the profits and prerogatives of fiefs, the affairs relating to the civil administration, &c. Now to give a general body of civil laws, at a time when each city, town or village had its customs, was attempting to subvert in one moment all the particular laws that were then in force in every part of the kingdom. To reduce all the particular customs to a general one, would be a very inconsiderate thing, even at present when our princes find in all parts the most passive obedience. But if it be a rule that we ought not to change when the inconveniencies are equal to the advantages, much less ought we to change when the advantages are small and the inconveniencies immense. Now if we attentively consider the fituation which the kingdom was in at that time, when every lord was puffed up with the notion of his fovereignty and power, we shall find that to attempt a general change of the received laws and cuftoms, X 2

with even of in-

of inlled (*) he con-

tularies
at all

of any Boutilicers of e peers,

Majortorney | ire exeramongst ot instijudician

pag. 308

ur institu prosequatu

month

HA

Book customs, must be a thing that could never enter into XXVIII. the heads of those who were then in the administration.

stitutions.

What I have been faying, proves likewise that this code of inflitutions was not confirmed in parliament by the barons and magistrates of the kingdom, as is mentioned in a manuscript of the town-house of Amiens, quoted by Monf. Ducange (a). We find to the In- in other manuscripts that this code was given by S. Lewis in the year 1270, before he fet out for Tunis. But this fact is not truer than the other; for S. Lewis set out upon that expedition in 1269, as Monf. Ducange observes: from whence he concludes, that this code might have been published in his absence. But this, I say, is impossible. How can S. Lewis be imagined to have pitched upon the time of his absence for transacting an affair which would have been the feed of troubles, and might have produced not only changes, but revolutions? An enterprise of that kind had need, more than any other, of being closely purfued, and could not be the work of a feeble regency, composed moreover of lords *, whose interest it was that it should not fucceed.

Thirdly, I affirm it to be very probable, that the code now extant is quite a different thing from S. Lewis's institutions. This code cites the institutions; therefore it is a work written upon the institutions, and not the inflitutions themselves. Besides, Beaumanoir, who frequently makes mention of S. Lewis's institutions, quotes only some particular institutions of that

^{*} Matthew, abbot of S. Denis, Simon of Clermont count of Nelle, and in case of death Philip bishop of Evreux, and John count of Ponthieu. We have seen above in the 30th chapter, that the count of Ponthieu opposed the execution of a new judiciary order in his lordship. This fact is related by Défontaines,

that prince, and not this compilement. Defon- Book XXVIII. taines (*), who wrote in that prince's reign, makes Chap. 38. mention of the two first times that his institutions on (1) See judicial proceedings were put in execution, as of a above, thing long fince elapsed. The institutions of S. chap. 30. Lewis were prior therefore to the compilement I am now fpeaking of, which in rigor, and adopting the erroneous prefaces prefixed by fome ignorant perfons to that work, could not have been published before the last year of S. Lewis, or even not till after his death.

C H A P. XXXVIII.

The same subject continued.

7 HAT is this compilement then which goes at prefent under the name of S. Lewis's inflitutions? What is this obscure, confused, and ambiguous code, where the French law is continually mixed with the Roman, where a legislator speaks and yet we fee a civilian, where we find a complete digeft of all cases and points of the civil law? To understand this thoroughly, we must transfer ourfelves in mind to those times.

S. Lewis feeing the abuses in the jurisprudence of his time, endeavoured to give the people a diflike to it: With this view he made feveral regulations for the courts of his domains and for those of his barons. And fuch was his fuccess, that Beaumanoir (b), who (b) Chap. wrote a little after the death of that prince, informs 61. pag. us, that the manner of judging, established by S. 309. Lewis, obtained in a great number of the courts of

the barons.

13

nt

is

of

nd

y

10

1; 9,

nin

W he

ch

ht

s?

ny

the

of

not

the

S.

ns;

ns,

au-

is's

s of

hat

it of

John

pter, judi. nes.

in from the specifical

Book XXVIII. Chap. 38.

Thus this prince attained his end, though his religious for the courts of the lords were not defigned as a general law for the kingdom, but as a model which every one might follow, and would even find an interest in following. He removed the evil by rendering them sensible of the good. When it appeared that his courts, and those of some lords, had chosen a form of proceeding more natural, more reasonable, more conformable to morality, to religion, to the public tranquillity, and to the security of person and property; this form was soon adopted, and the other rejected.

To invite when it is improper to constrain, to lead when it is improper to command, is the highest point of ability. Reason has a natural, nay, it has even a tyrannical sway; it meets with resistance, but this very resistance is its triumph; for after a short

struggle it forces an intire submission.

S. Lewis, in order to give a distaste of the French jurisprudence, caused the books of the Roman law to be translated; by which means they were made known to the lawyers of those times. Désontaines, who is the oldest *law writer we have, made great use of those Roman laws. His work is in some measure a result of the ancient French jurisprudence, of the laws or institutions of S. Lewis, and of the Roman law. Beaumanoir made very little use of the latter; but he reconciled the ancient French laws with the regulations of S. Lewis.

I have a notion therefore that the law book, known by the name of the inflitutions, was compiled by fome bailiffs, with the fame design as that of

the

He says of himself, in his prologue, Nus lui en prit anques

the authors of those two works, and especially of Dé-Book XXVIII. fontaines. The title of this work mentions, that it is Chap. 38. wrote according to the usage of Paris, of Orleans, and of the court of Barony; and the preamble fays, that it treats of the usages of the whole kingdom, and of Anjou, and of the court of barony. 'Tis plain, that this work was made for Paris, Orleans, and Anjou, as the works of Beaumanoir and Défontaines were made for the counties of Clermont and of Vermandois; and as it appears from Beaumanoir, that divers laws of S. Lewis had been received in the courts of barony, the compiler was in the right to fay, that his work related also to those courts.

'Tis manifest, that the person who composed this work, compiled the customs of the country, together with the laws and institutions of S. Lewis. a very valuable work, because it contains the ancient customs of Anjou, the institutions of S. Lewis as they were then in use; and, in fine, the whole practice of the ancient French law.

Nothing can be fo vague as the title and prologue to those institutions, which must certainly have been added by some ignorant hand. As first, they are the usages of Paris, of Orleans, and of the court of barony; afterwards they are the usages of all the temporal courts of the kingdom, and of the provostship of France; at length they are the usages of the whole kingdom, and of Anjou, and of the court of barony.

I fanfy that S. Lewis caused this work to be undertaken, and that it was finished by his successor. One or both of those princes ordered some customs of their domains to be reduced in writing; and because these customs were there confounded with the

X 4

XXVIII. Chap. 39.

Book laws lately made by S. Lewis, the work was called S. Lewis's institutions. In fact, fo great a name must naturally have given it a fanction. All this was published under a general form; and the whole affair was most prudently managed. By reducing them to writing, they became more known; and by giving them a general form, their use was more extended. The laws of the kingdom were at that time nothing elfe but the customs of each place retained in the memories of old men. In this general infufficiency, every one might find in the new code what was wanting in those laws; this was a fource from whence they might all draw. The difference between this work, and those of Desontaines and Beaumanoir, is its speaking in imperative terms as a legislator; and this might be right, as it was a mixture of written customs and laws.

CHAP. XXXIX.

The same subject continued.

THERE was an intrinsic defect in this compilement; it formed an amphibious code, where the French and Roman laws were mixed; and where things were joined that were no way relative, but often contradictory to each other. 'Tis impossible to form a good system of laws from two contrary digefts.

I am not ignorant that the French courts of vaffals or peers, the judgments without power of appealing to another tribunal, the manner of pronouncing sentence, by these words, I condemn (a), or, I absolve, had some conformity to the popular judgments of the Romans. But they made very little

(2) Inftitutions. book ii. chap. 15.

use

#\Sec.Du

no sellies on संक्रिक वर्तम

.01330C 10

See alto Larocae

Tally in

E REVIS

antility.

Paulus E.

use of that ancient jurisprudence; they rather chose Book that which was afterwards introduced by the em- XXVIII. Chap. 39. perors, and employed it through the whole compilement, in order to regulate, limit, correct and extend the French jurifprudence.

S. Lewis, as we have already observed, had caused the works of Justinian to be translated, in order to give credit to the Roman law. It was foon taught in the schools; for they liked it better in its natural form, than in the disfigured shape in which it ap-

peared in the new code.

Besides, this compilement made decrees in respect to feveral things that no longer existed, such as the judgment of peers, judicial combats, private wars, the flavery of the Jews, the crufades, and bondmen. And as the following ages were remarkable for changes, the more changes they made, the more they had occasion to make; so that this code was always less fitted to the actual state of things, especially as the local dispositions contained therein were also changed.

Farther, the judiciary forms introduced by S. Lewis fell into difuse. This prince had not so much in view the thing itself, that is the best manner of judging, as the best manner of supplying the ancient practice of judging. The principal intent was to give a difrelish of the ancient jurisprudence, and the next to form a new one. But when the inconveniencies of the latter appeared, another foon fuc-

ceeded.

The inftitutions of S. Lewis did not therefore for much change the French jurisprudence, as they afforded the means of changing it; they opened new tribunals, or rather ways to come at them. And when once the access was easy to that which was vefted 314

Baak XXVIII. Chap. 39.

vested with the general authority, the judgments which before constituted only the usages of a particular lordship, formed an universal digest. means of the inftitutions they had obtained general decisions, which were entirely wanting in the kingdom : when the building was finished, they let the scaffold fall to the ground.

Thus the institutions produced effects which could hardly be expected from a master-piece of legillation. To prepare great changes, fometimes whole ages are requifite; the events ripen, and then the

revolutions fucceed.

gillet on the court of peers. See also Laroche Flavin. book i. chap. 3. Budeus, & milius.

The parliament judged in the last ressort of ale) See Du- most all the affairs of the kingdom. Before (*) it took cognizance only of disputes between the dukes, counts, barons, bishops, abbots, or between the king and his vaffals *, rather in the relation they had to the political, than to the civil order. They were foon obliged to render it permanent, whereas it used to be held only a few times in a year; and, in fine, Paulus E. a great number were created, in order to be sufficient for the decision of all manner of causes.

No fooner was the parliament become a fixed body, than they began to compile its decrees. John de Monluc, under the reign of Philip the Fair, made a collection which at present is known by the name of the Olim registers.

Other causes were decided by the ordinary tribunals.

CHAP.

CHAP. XL.

In what manner the judiciary forms were borrowed from the decretals.

BUT how comes it, some will say, that when Book the institutions were laid aside, the judicial XXVIII. Chap. 40. forms of the canon law should be preferred to those of the Roman? 'Twas because they had constantly before their eyes the ecclefiastic courts, which followed the forms of the canon law, and they knew no court that followed those of the Roman law. Befides, the limits of the spiritual and temporal jurisdiction were at that time very little known: there were (*) people * who fued indifferently, and causes (1) Beauthat were tried indifferently, in either court. It manoir, feems (b) as if the temporal jurifdiction referved no pag. 458. other cases exclusively to itself than the judgment (b) See the of seudal matters +, and of crimes committed whole by laymen in cases not relating to religion. For 11thchap. (e) if on the account of conventions and con-of Beautracts, they had occasion to sue in a temporal (c) Beaucourt, the parties might of their own accord pro-manoir, ceed before the spiritual courts; and as the latter chap. 11. had not a power to oblige the temporal court to pag. 60. execute the fentence, they made people obey by means of excommunications. Under those circumflances, when they wanted to change the course of proceedings in the temporal court, they took that of

Widows, croifes, &c. Beaumanoir, chap. 11: pag. 58.

[†] The spiritual courts had even laid hold of these, under the pretext of the oath, as may be seen by the samous Concordat between Philip-Augustus, the clergy, and the barons, which is sound in the ordinances of Lauriere.

XXVIII. Chap. 41.

Book the spiritual courts, because they knew it; and did not meddle with that of the Roman law, because they were strangers to it: for in point of practice, people know only what is practifed.

CHAP. XLI.

Flux and reflux of the ecclesiastic and temporal jurisdiction.

HE civil power being in the hands of an infinite number of lords, it was an easy matter for the ecclefiaftic jurisdiction to gain every day a greater extent. But as the ecclefiaftic courts weakened those of the lords, and contributed thereby to give strength to the royal jurisdiction, the latter gradually checked the jurisdiction of the clergy. The parliament, which in its course of proceedings had adopted whatever was good and ufeful in that of the spiritual courts, soon perceived nothing elfe but these abuses; and as the royal jurisdiction gained ground every day, it grew every day more capable of correcting them. In fact, they were intolerable; and, without enumerating them, I shall refer * the reader to Beaumanoir, to Boutillier, and to the ordinances of our kings. I shall mention only two, in which the public interest was more concerned. These abuses we know by the decrees that reformed them: they had been introduced in the times of the darkest ignorance, and upon the breaking out of the first gleam of light, they vanished. By the filence of the clergy it may be prefumed, that they forwarded this reformation:

^{*} See Boutillier Somme Rurale, tit. 9. what persons are incapable of fuing in a temporal court; and Beaumanoir, chap. 11. pag. 56. and the regulations of Philip-Augustus upon this subject; as also the regulation between Philip-Augustus, the clergy, and the barons.

which, confidering the nature of the human mind, Book XXVIII. deserves commendation. Every man that died with- Chap. 41. out bequeathing a part of his estate to the church, which was called dying unconfessed, was deprived of the facrament, and of christian burial. If he died without making a will, his relations were obliged to prevail upon the bishop, that he would jointly with them name proper arbiters, to determine what fum the deceased ought to have given, in case he had made a will. People could not lie together the first night of their nuptials, nor even the two following nights, without having previously purchased leave: these indeed were the three properest nights to chuse; for as to the others they were not worth much. this was redreffed by the parliament: we find in the (a) gloffary of the French law, by Ragau, the arrest (a) In the which it published (b) against the bishop of Amiens. word Te-

1-

ne

in

)-

1-

y

ì,

to

I

eft

oy

n-

nd

ıt,

ay

1:

h,

ip.

11. £;

nd

I return to the beginning of my chapter. When-executors. ever we observe, in any age or government, the dif- (b) The ferent bodies of the state endeavouring to increase their 19th of authority, and to take particular advantages of each March, other, we should be often mistaken were we to confider their encroachments as an evident mark of their corruption. Through a fatality inseparable from human nature, moderation in great men is very rare: and as it is always much easier to push on force in the direction in which it moves, than to stop its moment, fo in the superior class of people it is less difficult, perhaps, to find men extremely virtuous, than extremely prudent.

The foul feels fuch an exquisite pleasure in domineering; even those who are lovers of virtue are fo exceffively fond of themselves, that there is no man fo happy, as not to have still reason to mistrust his honest intentions: and indeed our actions depend

Stamentary

Book on fo many things, that it is infinitely more easy to XXVIII. do good, than to do it well.

CHAP. XLII.

The revival of the Roman law, and the refult thereof. Change in the tribunals.

PON the discovery of Justinian's digest to-wards the year 1137, the Roman law seemed to rife out of its ashes. Schools were then established in Italy, where it was publicly taught: they had already the Justinian code, and the Novels. I mentioned before, that this code had been fo favourably received in that country, as to eclipfe the law of the Lombards.

The Italian doctors brought the law of Justinian

into France, where they had only * the Theodofian code; because Justinian's laws were not made + till after the fettlement of the Barbarians in Gaul. This law met with fome opposition; but it stood its ground, notwithstanding the excommunications of the (a) Decre- popes, who supported (a) their own canons. S. Letals, book wis endeavoured to bring it into repute by the translations made by his orders of Justinian's works, giis, capite which are still in manuscript in our libraries; and I have already observed, that they made great use of them in compiling the inftitutions. Philip the Fair ordered the laws of Justinian to be taught, only as

v. tit. de privile-Super Specula.

written

In Italy they followed Justinian's code: hence Pope John VIII. in his constitution published after the fynod of Troyes, makes mention of this code, not because it was known in France, but because he knew it himself, and his constitution was general.

⁺ This emperor's code was published towards the year 530. By a charter in the year 1312, in favour of the university of Orleans, quoted by Du Tillet.

written reason, in those provinces of France that Book were governed by customs; and they were adopted Chap. 42, as a law in those provinces where the Roman law had been received.

I have already taken notice, that the manner of proceeding by judicial combat, required very little knowledge in the judges: disputes were decided according to the usage of each place, and pursuant to a few fimple cultoms received by tradition. Beaumanoir's time (1) there were two different ways (1) Cufof administering justice; in some places they tried toms of by peers *, in others by bailiffs: in following the Beaufirst way, the peers gave judgment + according to chap. i. the usage of their court; in the second it was the prodes of the ofbomines, or old men, who pointed out this same usage bailiffs. to the bailiff. This whole proceeding required neither learning, capacity, nor fludy. But when the dark code of the institutions made its appearance, when the Roman law was translated, and taught in public schools, when a certain art of procedure and jurisprudence began to be formed, when practitioners and civilians were feen to rife; the peers and the prodes bomines were no longer capable of judging: the peers began to withdraw from the lords tribunals; and the lords were very little inclined to affemble them; especially as the new form of trial, instead of being a pompous action agreeable to the nobility, and interesting to a warlike people, was become a course of pleading, which they neither understood, nor

£

-

n

of

Among the common people the burghers were tried by burghers, as the feudatary tenants were tried by one another. See Lathaumaffiere, chap. 19.

[†] Thus all requests began with these words: My lord judge, it is customary that in your court, &c. as appears from the formula quoted by Boutillier, Somme Rurale, book iv. tit. 21.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 22.

The custom of trying by peers began cared to learn. to * be less used; that of trying by bailiffs to be more fo; the bailiffs did not give † judgment themselves, they fummed up the evidence and pronounced the judgment of the prodes bomines; but the latter being no longer capable of judging, the bailiffs themselves gave judgment.

This was effected fo much the easier, as they had before their eyes the practice of the ecclefiaftic courts; the canon and new civil law both concurred alike to

abolish the peers.

Thus fell the usage hitherto constantly observed in the French monarchy, that judgment should not be pronounced by a fingle person, as may be seen in the Salic laws, the capitularies, and in the first (a) law-writers of the third race. The contrary abuse, which obtains only in local jurisdictions, has been moderated, and in some measure redressed, by introducing in many places a judge's deputy, whom he 316. The confults, and who represents the ancient prodes bomines; by the obligation the judge is under of taking two graduates, in cases that deserve a corporal punishment:

(a) Beaumanoir, chap. 67. pag. 336. & chap. 61. pag. 315. & Institutions, book ii chap.

15.

* The change was infensible; we meet with trials by peers even in Boutillier's time, who lived in the year 1402, which is the date of his will: but nothing but feodal matters were tried any longer by the peers. Boutillier, book i. tit. 1. pag. 16.

Di

ti

ar

tic

ne

ou

fo

ch

eofa

+ As appears by the formula of the letters which their lord used to give them, quoted by Boutillier, Somme Rurale, book i. tit. 14. which is proved likewife by Beaumanoir, custom of Beauvoisis, chap. 1. of the bailiffs; they only directed the proceedings, "The bailiff is obliged in the presence of the peers " to take down the words of those who plead, and to ask the par-" ties whether they are willing to have judgment given according " to the reasons alledged; and if they say, yes, my lord; the bailiff " ought to oblige the peers to give jud ment." See also the Institutions of S. Lewis, book i. chap. 105. and book ii. chap. 15.

ment; and, in fine, it is become of no manner of Book XXVIII. effect by the extreme facility of appeals. Chap. 43.

CHAP. XLIII.

The Same Subject continued.

THUS there was no law to inhibit the lords from holding their courts themselves; no law to abolish the functions of their peers; no law to ordain the creation of bailiffs; no law to give them the power of judging. All this was effected infenfibly, and by the very necessity of the thing. The knowledge of the Roman law, the arrests of the courts, the new digefts of customs, required a study of which the nobility and illiterate people were incapable.

0

;

15

d

ir

e,

m

73 r-

ng if

The only (a) ordinance we have upon this subject, (a) It was is that which obliged the lords to chuse their bailiffs published in the year from among the laity. 'Tis a miftake to look upon 1287. this as the law of their creation; for it fays no fuch thing. Besides, it fixes what it prescribes, by the reasons it gives: to the end that the bailiffs may be punished * for their prevarications, 'tis necessary they be taken from the order of the laity. The immunities of the clergy in those days are very well known.

We must not imagine that the privileges which the nobility formerly enjoyed, and of which they are now divested, were taken from them as usurpations: no, many of those privileges were lost through neglect, and others were given up, because as various changes had been introduced in the course of fo many ages, they were inconfiftent with those changes.

* Ut si ibi delinquant, superiores sui possint animadvertere in eofdem.

Vol. II.

Y

CHAP.

CHAP. XLIV.

Of the proof by witnesses.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 44.

THE judges, who had no other rule to go by than the usages, inquired very often by witnesses into every cause that was brought before them.

The usage of judicial combats beginning to decline, they made their inquests in writing. But a vocal proof committed to writing, is never more than a vocal proof; fo that this only increased the expences of law proceedings. Regulations were then made, which rendered most of those inquests * useless; public registers were established which ascertained most facts, as nobility, age, legitimacy, marriage. Writing is a witness very hard to corrupt; the cuftoms were therefore reduced to writing. All this is very reasonable; it is much easier to go and see in the baptismal register, whether Peter is the son of Paul, than to prove this fact by a tedious inquest. When there are a great number of usages in a country, it is much easier to write them all down in a code, than to oblige individuals to prove every usage. length the famous ordinance was made, which prohibited the admitting of the proof by witnesses, for a debt exceeding an hundred livres, except there was the beginning of a proof in writing.

CHAP.

F

C

ir

th

2

no

W

la

ori

col

the

con

due

tuit

ulag

old

were

king

wife

fuch those

vaffal der the accorof the

of Go

1

^{*} See in what manner, age, and parentage were proved Institutions, book i. chap. 71. & 72.

CHAP. XLV. Of the customs of France.

by

vit-

ore

de-

at a

han

ex-

hen

ess:

ned

age.

cuf-

is is

e in

n of

uest.

ntry,

ode,

pro-

for

was

roved

At

RANCE, as we have already observed, was Book governed by unwritten customs; and the Chap. 45. particular usages of each lordship constituted the civil law. Every lordship had its civil law, according to Beaumanoir (a), and so particular a law, that (a) Prothis author who is looked upon as a luminary, and logue to a very great luminary, of those times, says he does tom of not believe that throughout the whole kingdom there Beauwere two lordships entirely governed by the same voisis. law.

This prodigious diversity had a first and second origin. With regard to the first, the reader may recollect what has been already faid concerning it in the (b) chapter of local customs; and as to the fe- (b) Chap. cond, we meet with it in the different events of legal 12. duels; it being natural that a continual feries of fortuitous cases must have been productive of new ulages.

These customs were preserved in the memory of old men; but infensibly laws or written customs were formed.

1. At the commencement (c) of the third race the (c) See the kings gave not only particular charters, but like-collection of ordiwife general ones, in the manner above explained; nances, by such are the institutions of Philip Augustus, and Lauriere. those made by S. Lewis. In like manner the great vassals, in concurrence with the lords who held under them, granted certain charters or establishments, according to particular circumstances, at the affizes of their duchies or counties: fuch were the affize of Godfrey count of Britany, on the division of the Y 2 nobles;

Book XXVIII. Chap. 45.

nobles; the customs of Normandy, granted by duke Ralph; the customs of Champagne, given by king Theobald; the laws of Simon count of Montfort, and others. This produced some written laws, and even more general ones than those they had before.

2. At the beginning of the third race, almost all the common people were bond-men; but there were feveral reasons which determined afterwards the kings

and lords to infranchife them.

The lords by infranchifing their bond-men gave them property; it was necessary therefore to give them civil laws, in order to regulate the disposal of that property. The lords by infranchifing their bondmen, deprived themselves of their property; there was a necessity therefore of regulating the rights which they reserved to themselves, as an equivalent for that property. Both these things were regulated by the charters of infranchisement; those charters formed a part of our customs, and this part was reduced to writing.

3. Under the reign of S. Lewis, and of the fucceeding princes, fome able practitioners, fuch as Défontaines, Beaumanoir, and others, committed the customs of their bailiwics to writing. Their design was rather to give the course of judicial proceedings, than the usages of their time in respect to the disposal of property. But the whole is there; and though these particular authors have no authority but what they derive from the truth and notoriety of the things they speak of, yet there is no manner of doubt but they contributed greatly to the restoration of our ancient French law. Such was in those days our common law.

We are come now to the grand epocha. Charles VII. and his fuccessors caused the different local customs throughout the kingdom to be reduced to

writing,

q

fic

ke

ng

rt,

nd

all

re

gs

ve

ve

of

id-

ere

ich

nat

ar-

of

uc-

)é-

the

ign

gs,

dif-

and

but

of

ner

fto-

in

nar-

ocal to

ng,

writing, and prescribed set forms to be observed at XXVIII. their digesting. Now as this digesting was made Chap. 45. through all the provinces, and as people came from each lordship to declare in the general assembly of the province the written or unwritten usages of each place, endeavours were used to render the customs more general, as much as possible, without injuring the interests of individuals, which were carefully preserved. Thus our customs assumed three characters; they were committed to writing, they were made more general, and they received the stamp of the royal authority.

Many of these customs having been digested anew, several changes were made, either in suppressing whatever was incompatible with the actual practice of the law, or in adding several things drawn from this practice.

Though the common law is confidered amongst us as in some measure opposite to the Roman, insomuch that these two laws divide the different territories; it is notwithstanding true that several regulations of the Roman law entered into our customs, especially when they made the new digests at a period of time not very distant from ours, when this law was the principal study of all those who were defigned for civil employments; at a time when it was not usual for people to boast of not knowing what it was their duty to know, and of knowing what they ought not to know; at a time when a quickness of understanding was made more subservient towards learning than pretending to a profeffion, and when a continual pursuit of amusements was not even the characteristic of women.

Y 3 What

^{*} This was observed at the digesting of the customs of Berry and of laris. See Lathaumassiere, chap. 3.

THE SPIRIT

Book XXVIII. Chap. 45.

What has been hitherto faid of the formation of our civil laws, feems to lead me naturally to give also the theory of our political laws; but this would be too great a work. I am like that antiquarian who set out from his own country, arrived in Egypt, cast an eye on the pyramids, and returned home.

BOOK

to



BOOK XXIX.

d

K

Of the manner of composing laws.



CHAP. I.

Of the spirit of the legislator.

I Say it, and methinks I have undertaken this Book work with no other view than to prove it: the XXIX. spirit of moderation ought to be that of the legislator; political, like moral evil, lying always between two extremes. Let us produce an example.

The set forms of justice are necessary to liberty; but the number of them might be fo great as to be contrary to the end of the very laws that established them; processes would have no end; property would be uncertain; the goods of one of the parties would be adjudged to the other without examining, or they would both be ruined by examining too much.

The subjects would lose both their liberty and fecurity; the accusers would no longer have any means to convict, nor the accused to justify themselves.

CHAP. II.

The same subject continued.

Book XXIX. Chap. 2. & 3. (a) Book 1.

ECILIUS, in Aulus Gellius (3), speaking of I the law of the twelve tables, which permitted the creditor to cut the infolvent debtor to pieces, justifies it even by its cruelty, which * hindered xx. chap. people from borrowing beyond their abilities. Shall then the cruellest laws be the best? Shall goodness confift in excefs, and all the relations of things be destroyed?

CHAP. III.

That the laws which feem to deviate from the views of the legislator are frequently agreeable to them.

THE law of Solon, which declared those persons infamous who espoused no side in an infurrection, feemed very extraordinary; but we ought to confider the circumstances in which Greece was at that time. It was divided into very small states: and there was reason to apprehend, left in a republic, torn by intestine divisions, the soberest part should keep retired, and things by this means should be carried to extremity.

In the feditions raised in those petty states, the bulk of the citizens either made or engaged in the quarrel.

^{*} Cecilius fays, that he never faw nor read of an instance, in which this punishment had been inflicted; but 'tis likely, that no fuch punishment was ever established; the opinion of some civilians, that the law of the twelve tables meant only the divifion of the money arising from the fale of the debtor, seems very probable.

quarrel. In our large monarchies, parties are formed by a few, and the people chuse to live quiet. Chap. 4.

In the latter case it is natural to call back the seditious & 5.

to the bulk of the citizens, and not these to the seditious: in the other, it is necessary to oblige the small
number of prudent people to enter among the seditious: 'tis thus the fermentation of one liquor may
be stopt by a single drop of another.

CHAP. IV.

d

5,

d ll

ſs e

-

ns

rht

at

s:

c,

be

he

he

el.

ce,

hat

me

vi-

егу

Of the laws that are contrary to the views of the legislator.

THERE are laws fo little understood by the legislator, as to be contrary to the very end he proposed. Those who made this regulation among the French, that when one of the two competitors died, the benefice should devolve to the survivor, had in view without doubt the extinction of quarrels: but the very reverse falls out, we see the clergy at variance every day, and like English mastiffs worrying one another to death.

CHAP. V.

The Same Subject continued.

THE law I am going to speak of, is to be found in this oath preserved by Æschines*: I swear that I will never destroy a town of the Amphyttions, and that I will not divert the course of its running waters; if any nation shall presume to do such a thing, I will declare war against them, and will destroy their towns. The last article of this law, which seems to consirm

[·] De falfa legatione.

Book XXIX. Chap. 6.

confirm the first, is really contrary to it. Amphyction is willing that the Greek towns should never be destroyed, and yet his law paves the way for the destruction of these towns. In order to establish a proper law of nations among the Greeks, they ought to have been accustomed early to think it a barbarous thing to destroy a Greek town; consequently they ought not even to destroy the destroyers. Amphyction's law was just; but it was not prudent; this appears even from the abuse made of it. Did not Philip assume the power of destroying towns, under the pretence of their having infringed the laws of the Greeks? Amphyction might have inflicted other punishments, he might have ordained, for example, that a certain number of the magistrates of the destroying town or of the chiefs of the infringing army should be punished with death; that the destroying nation should cease for a while to enjoy the privileges of the Greeks; that they should pay a fine till the town was rebuilt. The law ought above all things to aim at the reparation of damages.

CHAP. VI.

That laws which appear the same, have not always the same effect.

(2) Dio Iib. 41. ÆSAR made a law to (a) prohibit people from keeping above fixty fefterces in their houses. This law was considered at Rome as extremely proper for reconciling the debtors to their creditors; because by obliging the rich to lend to the poor, they enabled the latter to pay their debts. A law of the same nature made in France, at the time of the system, proved extremely stal; because it was enacted under

XXXX

Chap. 3.

.0 3%

a most frightful circumstance. After depriving people of all posible means of laying out their money, Chap. 7. they stripped them even of the last resource of keeping it at home; which was the fame as taking it from them by open violence. Cæsar's law was designed to make the money circulate; the French minister's defign was to draw all the money into one hand. The former gave either lands or mortgages on private people for the money; the latter proposed in lieu of money nothing but effects which were of no value, and could have none by their very nature, because the law compelled people to accept of them.

ıt

1-

y

1-

d

s,

VS d

or es

he

Dy

ay

ve

11-

m

es. 0-

e-

ey he

m,

ler

2

CHAP. VII.

The same subject continued. Necessity of compofing laws in a proper manner.

THE law of oftracism was established at Athens, at Argos (a), and at Syracuse. At Syracuse it (a) Aristot. was productive of a thousand mischiefs, because it Rep. lib. was imprudently enacted. The principal citizens v. chap. 3. banished one another by holding the leaf of a fig-tree (b) in their hands; fo that those who had any kind (b) Pluof merit withdrew from public affairs. At Athens, tarch, life of Dionywhere the legislator was sensible of the proper extent fius. and limits of his law, oftracism proved an admirable thing: they never condemned more than one person at a time; and fuch a number of fuffrages were requifite for paffing this fentence, that it was extremely difficult for them to banish a person whose absence was not necessary to the state.

The power of banishing was exercised only every fifth year: in fact, as the oftracism was designed against none but great personages who threatened the flate with danger, it ought not to be the transaction of every day.

CHAP. VIII.

That laws which appear the same, were not always made thro' the same motive.

BOOK XXIX. Chap. 8. **&** 9.

IN France they have received most of the Roman laws on intails, but thro' quite a different motive from the Romans. Among the latter the inheritance was accompanied with certain * facrifices, which were to be performed by the inheritor, and were regulated by the pontifical law; hence it was, that they reckoned it a dishonour to die without heirs, that they made flaves their heirs, and that they devised intails. Of this we have a very strong proof in the vulgar intail, which was the first invented, and took place only when the heir appointed did not accept of the inheritance. Its view was not to perpetuate the estate in a family of the same name, but to find some body that would accept of it.

CHAP. IX.

That the Greek and Roman laws punished suicide, but not thro' the same motive.

Man, fays Plato (*), who has killed one nearly 9. of laws. A related to him, that is himself, not by an order of the magistrate, nor to avoid ignominy, but thro' faint-heartedness, shall be punished. The Roman law punished this action when it was not committed thro' faint-heartedness, thro' weariness of life, thro' impatience in pain, but thro' a criminal despair.

^{*} When the inheritance was too much incumbered, they eluded the pontifical law by certain fales, from whence comes the word fine facris hæreditas.

despair. The Roman law acquitted where the Greek Book XXIX. condemned, and condemned where the other ac-Chap. 9. quitted.

Plato's law was formed upon the Lacedemonian inftitutions, where the orders of the magistrate were absolute, where shame was the greatest of miseries, and faint-heartedness the greatest of crimes. The Romans had no longer those fine ideas; theirs was only a fiscal law.

ot

an

0-

e-

S,

nd

IS.

ut

ey.

of

nd

C-

r-

ut

i-

ly

r-

ut

0-

n-

cf

ir.

lu-

he

During the time of the republic, there was no law at Rome against suicides: this action is always considered by their historians in a favourable light, and we never meet with any punishment inflicted upon those who committed it.

Under the first emperors, the great families of Rome were continually destroyed by criminal profecutions. The custom was then introduced of preventing judgment by a voluntary death. In this they found a great advantage: they had † an honourable interment, and their wills were executed; because there was no law against suicides. But when the emperors became as avaricious as cruel, they deprived those who destroyed themselves of the means of preserving their estates, by rendring it criminal for a person to make away with himself thro' a criminal remorse.

What I have been faying of the motive of the emperors, is so true, that they consented * that the estates of suicides should not be confiscated, when the crime for which they killed themselves was not punished with confiscation.

CHAP.

[†] Eorum qui de se statuebant humabantur corpora, manebant testamenta, pretium festinandi. Tacit.

^{*} Rescript of the emperor Pius in the 3d law, § 1, & 2. ff. de bonis eorum qui ante sent. mortem sibi consciverunt.

CHAP. X.

That laws which feem contrary, proceed sometimes from the same spirit.

Book XXIX.

Chap. 10, In our times we give fummons to people in their own houses; but this was not permitted (a) among the Romans.

(*) Leg. A fummons was a (b) violent action, and a kind 18. ff. de of a warrant for feizing the || body; hence it in jus 200. was no more allowed to fummon a perfon in his (b) See the own house, than it is now allowed to arrest a perlaw of the son in his own house for debt.

Both the Roman (°) and our laws admit of this law 18. ff. principle alike, that every man ought to have his de in jus own house for an asylum, where he should suffer no violence.

C H A P. XI.

How we are to judge of the difference of laws.

In France, the punishment against false witnesses is capital; in England it is not. Now, to be able to judge which of these two laws is the best, we must add, that in France the rack is used against criminals, but not in England; that in France the accused is not allowed to produce his witnesses; and that they very seldom admit of what is called justifying sacts; in England they allow of witnesses on both sides. These three French laws form a close and well connected system; and so do the three English laws. The law of England, which does not allow

Rapit in jus, Horace, fatir 9. hence they could not fummon those to whom a particular respect was due.

ome-

their ong

kind it his per-

this his iffer

us.

ffes be eft,

inft the ind

Aion ofe

g-WC of

ion

of the racking of criminals, has but very little hopes Book to draw from the accused a confession of his crime; XXIX. for this reason it invites witnesses from all parts, and does not venture to discourage them by the fear of a capital punishment. The French law which has one resource more, is not afraid of intimidating the witneffes; on the contrary, reason requires they should be intimidated; it listens only to the witnesfes on one fide *, which are those produced by the attorney general, and the fate of the accused depends intirely on their testimony. But in England they admit of witnesses on both sides, and the affair is discussed in some measure between them; confequently false witness is there less dangerous, the accused having a remedy against the false witness, which he has not in France.-Wherefore, to determine which of those laws are most agreeable to reason, we must not consider them singly, but compare the whole together.

CHAP. XIII.

That laws which appear the same, are sometimes really different.

THE Greek and Roman laws inflicted the fame (a) Leg. v. (a) punishment on the receiver as on the thief; ff. de recepthe French law does the same. The former acted tatoribus. rationally, but the latter does not. Among the Greeks and Romans the thief was condemned to a pecuniary punishment, which ought also to be inflicted on the receiver: for every man that contributes

* By the ancient French law, witnesses were heard on both fides; hence we find in the institutions of St. Lewis, book 1. chap. 7. that there was only a pecuniary punishment against false witnesses.

Chap. 12.

Book butes in what shape soever to a damage, is obliged to repair it. But as the punishment of theft is capital with us, the receiver cannot be punished like the thief, without carrying things to excess. A receiver may act innocently on a thousand occasions, the thief is always culpable: one hinders the conviction of a crime, the other commits it: in one the whole is passive, the other is active; the thief must furmount more obstacles, and his foul must be more hardened against the laws.

The civilians have gone further; they look upon the receiver as more odious (a) than the thief; for were it not for the receiver, the theft, fay they, could not be long concealed. But this again might be right when there was only a pecuniary punishment; the affair in question was a damage done, and the receiver was generally better able to repair it: but when the punishment became capital, they ought to have been directed by other principles.

W

L

di fh

W th

an

op

of

H

qu

the

the

CHAP. XIII.

That we must not separate the laws from the end for which they were made: of the Roman laws on theft.

ITTHEN a thief was caught in the fact, this was called by the Romans an open theft; when he was not detected till some time afterwards, it was a private theft.

The law of the twelve tables ordained, that an open thief should be whipt with rods, and condemn'd to flavery, if he had attained the age of puberty, or only

only whipt if he was not of ripe age; but as for Book XXIX. the private thief, he was only condemned to a Chap. 13-recompense of double the value of what he had stolen.

When the Porcian law abolished the custom of whipping the citizens with rods, and of reducing them to slavery, the open thief was condemned to a recompence of four-fold, and they still continued to condemn the private thief to a recompence of double.

It feems very odd, that thefe laws should make fuch a difference in the quality of those two crimes, and in the punishments they inflicted. In fact, whether the thief was caught either before or after he had carried the stolen goods to the place intended, this was a circumstance which did not alter the nature of the crime. I do not at all question but the whole theory of the Roman laws in relation to theft was borrowed from the Lacedemonian institutions. Lycurgus, with a view of rendering the citizens dexterous and cunning, ordained that children should be practifed in thieving, and that those who were caught in the fact should be severely whipt : this occasioned among the Greeks, and afterwards among the Romans, a great difference between an open and a private theft *.

Among the Romans a flave who had been guilty of stealing, was thrown from the Tarpeian rock. Here the Lacedemonian institutions were out of the question; the laws of Lycurgus in relation to thest were not made for slaves; to deviate from them

e

S,

1-

ie

ef

ft

n

or

у,

ht

h-

ie,

air

ey

be

20-

his

ft;

ds,

an

n'd

or,

nly

See what Favorinus fays in Aulus Gellius, book 20. chap. 1.
Compare what Plutarch fays in the life of Lycurgus with the laws of the Digett. title de furtis, and the institutes, book 4. tit. 1. § 1, 2, & 3.

Vol. II.

Book them in this respect was in reality conforming to Chap. 13. them.

At Rome, when a person of unripe age happened to be caught in the fact, the prætor ordered him to be whipt with rods according to his pleafure, as was practifed at Sparta. All this had a remoter origin. The Lacedemonians had derived these usages from the Cretans; and Plato (1), who wants to prove laws, book that the Cretan institutions were designed for war, cites the following, namely, the faculty of bearing

pain in private combats, and in punishments inflict-

ed for open thefts.

As the civil laws depend on the political inflitutions, because they are made for the same society; whenever there is a defign of adopting the civil law of another nation, it would be proper to examine before-hand, whether they have both the same inflitutions, and the fame political law.

Thus when the Cretan laws on theft were adopted by the Lacedemonians, as their constitution and government were adopted at the same time, these laws were equally reasonable in both nations. But when they were carried from Lacedemonia to Rome. as they did not find there the fame constitution, they were always thought strange, and had no manner of connexion with the other civil laws of the Romans.

CHAP.

T

be

ca

na

to

as

tir

ob

bu

tit

be

ca

or

bu

de

la

25

m

ar

an ar

CHAP. XIV.

g to

pened

im to

IS Was rigin.

from prove

war.

earing

nflict-

ftitu-

iety;

1 law

mine

e in-

opted

and

thefe

But

ome,

they

anner

Ro.

That we must not separate the laws from the circumstances in which they were made.

IT was decreed by a law at Athens, that when the Book XXIX. city was belieged, all the useless people should Chap. 14. be put to death *. This was an abominable political law, in consequence of an abominable law of nations. Among the Greeks the inhabitants of a town taken, loft their civil liberty, and were fold as flaves. The taking of a town implied its intire destruction; which is the source not only of those obstinate defences, and of those unnatural actions, but likewise of those shocking laws which they sometimes enacted.

The Roman laws + ordained that physicians should be punished for neglect or unskilfulness. In those cases, if the physician was a person of any fortune or rank, he was only condemned to deportation; but if he was of a low condition, he was put to death. By our laws it is otherwise. The Roman laws were not made under the fame circumstances as ours: at Rome every ignorant pretender intermeddled with physic; but amongst us, physicians are obliged to go thro' a regular course of study, and to take their degrees; for which reason they are supposed to understand their art.

* Inutilis ætas occidatur. Syrian in Hermog.

The Cornelian law de Sicariis, Institut. lib. 4. tit. 3. de lege Aquilia, §. 7.

CHAP. XV.

That sometime it is proper the law should amend itself.

Book XXIX. Chap. 15.

HE law of the twelve tables | allowed people to kill a night-thief as well as a day-thief, if upon being purfued he attempted to make a defence: but it required that the person who killed the thief*, should cry out and call his fellow-citizens; this is what those laws, which permit people to do justice to themselves, ought always to require. 'Tis the cry of innocence, which in the very moment of the action, calls in witneffes, and appeals to judges. The people ought to take cognizance of the action, and at the very instant of its being done; an instant when every thing speaks, the air, the countenance, the paffions, filence; and when every word either condemns or abfolves. A law which may become fo contrary to the fecurity and liberty of the citizens, ought to be executed in their presence.

CHAP. XVI.

Things to be observed in the composing of laws.

THOSE who have a genius sufficient to enable them to give laws to their own, or to another nation, ought to be particularly attentive to the manner of forming them.

The stile ought to be concise. The laws of the twelve tables are a model of conciseness; the very children † used to learn them by heart. Justinian's

Novels

b

ti

0

P

u

to

fil

th

ya

na

on

mo

|| See the 4th law, ff. ad leg. Aquil.

Bavarians, de popularib. legib. art. 4.

† Ut carmen necessarium, Cicero de legib. lib. ii.

Novels were fo very diffused, that they were obliged Book to abridge them *.

Chap. 46.

The style should also be plain and simple; a direct expression being always better understood than an indirect one. There is no majesty at all in the laws of the lower empire; princes are made to speak like rhetoricians. When the style of laws is turnid, they are looked upon only as a work of parade and oftentation.

end

ple

, if

de-

the

ns;

do

Tis

t of

ges.

ion,

tant

nce,

ther

ne fo

ens,

arvs.

nable

ther

man-

the

very

ian's

ovels

of the

'Tis an effential article that the words of the laws should excite in every body the same ideas. Cardinal Richelieu (*) agreed, that a minister might (*) Politibe accused before the king; but he would have the cal testaaccuser punished, if the facts he proved were not matters of moment. This was enough to hinder people from telling any truth whatfoever against the minister; because a matter of moment is intirely relative, and what may be of moment to one, is not fo to another.

The law of Honorius punished with death any person that purchased a freed-man as a slave, or that ! gave him moleftation. He should not have made use of so vague an expression: the molestation given to a man depends intirely on the degree of his fenfibility.

When the law wants to fix a fet rate upon things, it should avoid as much as possible the valuing it in money. The value of money changes from a thousand causes, and the same denomination continues without the fame thing. Every one knows the flory of that impudent (b) fellow (b) Aulus at Rome, who used to give those he met a box Gellius, book 20. Z 2

[&]quot; 'Tis the work of Irnerius.

Aut qualibet manumissione donatum inquietare voluerit. Appendix to the Thedofian code in the first volume of father Sirmond's works, p. 737.

Book on the ear, and afterwards tendered them the XXIX.

Chap. 16. five and twenty pence of the law of the twelve tables.

When a law has once fixed the ideas of things, it should never return to vague expressions. In the criminal ordinance of Lewis XIV. † after an exact enumeration of the causes in which the king is immediately concerned, adds these words, and those which in all times have been subject to the determination of the king's judges, which renders the thing again arbitrary, after it had been fixed.

Charles VII. * fays, he has been informed that the parties appeal three, four, and fix months after judgment, contrary to the custom of the kingdom in the country governed by custom: he therefore ordains that they shall appeal forthwith, unless there happens to be some fraud or deceit in the attorney ||, or unless there be a great or evident cause to sue the appeal. The end of this law destroys the beginning, and it destroys it so effectually, that they used afterwards to appeal during the space of thirty years ††.

in

W

tv

W

of

R

to

m

on

we

fec

of

(*) Book 2. tit. 37.

The law of the Lombards (*) does not allow a woman that has taken a religious habit, tho' she has made no vow, to marry; because, says this law, if a spouse who has been contracted to a woman only by a ring, cannot without guilt be married to another; by a much stronger reason the spouse of God, or of the bles-

† We find in the verbal process of this ordinance the motives that determined him.

* In his ordinance of Montel-les-tours in the year 1453.

|| They might punish the attorney without there being any ne-

ceffity of diffurbing the public order.

++ The ordinance of the year 1667, has made some regulations upon this head.

blessed virgin. . . . Now I say, that in laws the Book arguments should be drawn from one reality to Chap. 16. another, and not from reality to figure, or from figure to reality.

A law enacted by Constantine (*) ordains, that the (*) In fafingle testimony of a bishop should be sufficient without listening to any other witnesses. This prince appendix took a very short method; he judged of affairs by to the persons, and of persons by dignities.

The laws ought not to be subtle; they are design-tom. i. ed for people of common understanding, not as an art of logic, but as the plain reason of a father of a

family.

0

re

ne

ct

1-

se

on

in

he

g-

in

r-

re

1.

ue

he

ey

ty

7 2

nas

fa.

y a

the.

lef-

ives

nc-

ati-

When there is no necessity for exceptions and limitations in a law, it is much better to omit them: details of that kind throw people into new details.

No alteration should be made in a law without sufficient reason. Justinian ordained, that a husband might be repudiated without the wise's losing her portion, if for the space of (b) two years he had been (b) Leg. I. incapable of consummating the marriage. He alter-Cod. de Repudiis. ed his law afterwards, and allowed the (c) poor wretch three years. But in a case of that nature Authentic two years are as good as three, and three are not Sed bodie, in the code de

When a legislator condescends to give the reason Repudiis. of his law, it ought to be worthy of its majesty. A Roman (d) law decrees, that a blind man is incapable (d) Leg. i. to plead, because he cannot see the ornaments of the ff. de magistracy. So bad a reason must have been given portul, on purpose, when such a number of good reasons were at hand.

Paul the civilian (e) fays, that a child grows per- (e) In his fect in the feventh month, and that the proportion book iv. of Pythagoras's numbers feems to prove it, 'Tis tit. 9.

Z 4

very

Book very extraordinary that they should judge of those XXIX.

Chap. 16, things by the proportion of Pythagoras's numbers.

Some French lawyers have afferted, that when the king made an acquisition of a new country, the churches became subject to the Regale, because the king's crown is round. I shall not examine here into the king's rights, or whether in this case the reason of the civil or ecclesiastic law ought to submit to that of the law of politics: I shall only say, that those august rights ought to be defended by grave maxims. Was there ever such a thing known, as the real rights of a dignity sounded on the sigure of that dignity's sign?

(a) Della guerra civile di Francia, pag. 96.

Davila (a) fays, that Charles IX. was declared of age in the parliament of Roan at fourteen years commenced, because the laws require every moment of the time to be reckoned, in cases relating to the restitution and administration of an orphan's estate; whereas it considers the year commenced as a year complete, when the case is concerning the acquisition of honours. I am very far from censuring a regulation which has been hitherto attended with no inconveniency; I shall only take notice that the reason alledged (b) is not the true one; it is false, that the government of a nation is only an honour.

(b) The Chancellor de P Hopital, ibid.

(c) It was made in the month of November, 1702.

In point of presumption, that of the law is far preserable to that of the man. The French law (c) considers every act of a merchant during the ten days preceding his bankruptcy as fraudulent: this is the presumption of the law. The Roman law inflicted punishments on the husband who kept his wife after she had been guilty of adultery, unless he was induced to it through fear of the event of a law-suit, or through contempt of his own shame; this is the presumption of the man. The judge

must

n

must have presumed the motives of the hus- Book XXIX. band's conduct, and must have determined a very Chap. 16. obscure and ambiguous point: when the law pre-

fumes, it gives a fixed rule to the judge.

Plato's law, as I have observed already, required that a punishment should be inslicted on the person that killed himself, not with a design of avoiding shame, but through faint-heartedness. This law was desective in this respect, that in the only case in which it was impossible to draw from the criminal an acknowledgment of the motive upon which he had acted, it required the judge to determine concerning these motives.

As useless laws debilitate such as are necessary, so those that may be easily eluded, weaken the legislation. Every law ought to have its effect, and no one should be ever suffered to deviate from it by a

particular convention.

ofe

the

the

the

ere

the

ub-

by

wn,

ure

of

m-

of

re-

te;

rear

cion

ula-

on-

althe

far

7 (c)

ten

is is

in-

his

s he

ne; dge

nust

of

s.

The Falcidian law ordained among the Romans, that the heir should always have the fourth part of the inheritance: another law * suffered the testator to prohibit the heir from retaining this fourth part. This is making a jest of the laws. The Falcidian law became useless; for if the testator had a mind to savour his heir, the latter had no need of the Falcidian law; and if he did not intend to savour him, he forbad him to make use of the Falcidian law.

Care should be taken that the laws be worded in such a manner, as not to be contrary to the very nature of things. In the proscription of the prince of Orange, Philip II. promises to any man that will kill the prince, to give him or his heirs five and twenty thousand crowns, together with the title of nobility; and this upon the word of a king, and as

Tis the Authentic, fed cum teffator.

THE SPIRIT

XXIX. Chap. 17.

(*)Book xii. tit. 2.

4. 16.

Book a servant of God. To promise nobility for such an action! to ordain fuch an action in the quality of a fervant of God! This is equally subversive of the ideas of honour, morality, and religion.

There very feldom happens to be a necessity of prohibiting a thing which is not bad, under pretence

of some imaginary perfection.

There ought to be a certain simplicity and candor in the laws: made to punish the iniquity of men, they themselves ought to have the most spotless innocence. We find in the law of the (a) Visigoths that ridiculous request, by which the Jews were obliged to eat every thing dreffed with pork, provided they did not eat the pork itself. This was a very great cruelty; they were obliged to submit to a law, contrary to their own; and they were allowed to retain nothing more of their own, than what might serve as a mark to distinguish them.

CHAP. XVII.

A bad method of giving laws.

THE Roman emperors manifested their will like our princes, by decrees and edicts; but they permitted, which our princes do not do, both the judges and private people to interrogate them by letters in their feveral differences; and their anfwers were called rescripts. The decretals of the popes are rescripts, strictly speaking. 'Tis plain, that this is a bad method of legislation. Those who thus apply for laws, are bad guides to the legislator; the facts are always wrong stated. Julius Capitolinus(b) fays, that Trajan often refused to give this kind of rescripts, lest a single decision and frequently a particular favour should be extended to all cases. Macrinus (') had resolved to abolish all those

(b) See Julius Capitolinus in Macrino. (e) Ibid.

referipts;

di

th

m

347

rescripts; he could not bear that the answers of Commodus, Caracalla, and all those other ignorant princes, Chap. 18. should be considered as laws. Justinian thought otherwise, and he filled his compilement with them.

I would advise those who read the Roman laws, to diffinguish carefully between this fort of hypotheses, and the Senatus-consulta, the Plebiscita, the general constitutions of the emperors, and all the laws founded on the nature of things, on the frailty of women, the weakness of minors, and the public utility.

C H A P. XVIII.

Of the ideas of uniformity.

THERE are certain ideas of uniformity, which fometimes strike great geniuses, (for they even affected Charlemain) but infallibly make an impreffion on little fouls. They discover therein a kind of perfection, because it is impossible for them not to discover it; the same weights in the police, the fame measures in commerce, the same laws in the flate, the same religion in all its parts. But is this always right, and without exception? Is the evil of changing always less than that of suffering? And does not a greatness of genius consist rather in distinguishing between those cases in which uniformity is requifite, and those in which there is a necessity for differences? In China the Chinese are governed by the Chinese ceremonial; and the Tartars by theirs: And yet there is no nation in the world that aims fo much at tranquillity. If the people observe the laws, what fignifies it whether these laws are the same?

CHAP.

in ne

ce or n,

of

nhs

re da

nit ere an

rill out oth

em anthe in,

ho na-Ca-

ive reall

ofe Si

CHAP. XIX.

Of legislators.

Book XXIX. Chap. 19.

A RISTOTLE wanted to fatisfy, sometimes his passion for Alexander. Plato was incensed against the tyranny of the people of Athens. Machiavel was full of his idol, the duke of Valentinois. Sir Thomas Moor, who spoke rather of what he had read, than of what he thought, wanted (2) to govern all states with the simplicity of a Greek city. Harrington was full of the idea of his favourite republic of England, whilst a croud of writers saw nothing but consusion where they saw no crown. The laws always meet the passions and prejudices of the legislator; sometimes they pass through, and imbibe only a tincture; sometimes they stop, and are incorporated with them.

Utopia.

BOOK

ir P ar



BOOK XXX.

is It

el

ir

oy.

e-

n.

of m-

are

K

Theory of the feudal laws among the French, in the relation they bear to the establishment of the monarchy.



CHAP. I. Of feudal laws.

T Should think my work imperfect, were I to pass Book I over in filence an event which happened once, XXX. and never perhaps will happen more; were I not to fpeak of those laws which appeared of a sudden all over Europe, without having any connexion with those hitherto known; of those laws which have done infinite good and infinite mischief; which have left rights when the domain has been ceded; which by vefting feveral persons with different kinds of feignory over the fame things or perfons, have diminished the weight of the whole feignory; which have established different limits in empires of too great an extent; which have been productive of rule with an inclination to anarchy. and of anarchy with a tendency to order and harmony.

This would require a particular work to itself; but considering the nature of the present undertaking,

BOOK XXX. Chap. 2.

the reader will here meet rather with a general furvey, than with a complete treatife of those laws.

The feudal laws form a very beautiful prospect. A venerable old * oak raises its losty head to the skies; the eye sees from afar its spreading leaves: upon drawing nearer, it perceives the trunk, but does not discern the root: the ground must be dug up to discover it.

CHAP. II.

Of the fource of feudal laws.

THE conquerors of the Roman empire came from Germany. Though few ancient authors have described their manners, yet we have two of very great weight. Cæsar making war against the Germans, describes the manners (a) of that nation; and upon these he regulated + some of his enterprises. A sew pages of Cæsar upon this subject are equal to whole Volumes.

Tacitus has wrote an intire work on the manners of the Germans. This work is short; but it comes from the pen of Tacitus, who was always concise, because he saw every thing at one glance.

These two authors agree so perfectly with the codes still extant of the laws of the Barbarians, that reading Cæsar and Tacitus we imagine we are reading these codes, and in reading the codes we fancy we are reading Cæsar and Tacitus.

But if in the refearch of the feudal laws, I find myfelf in a dark labyrinth, full of windings and detours, I think I have the clue in my hand, and that I shall be able to find my way through.

CHAP.

(*) Book viii.

CHAP. III.

The origin of vassalage.

ESAR* fays, "That the Germans neglected Book XXX. Chap. 3. " lived upon milk, cheefe, and flesh; that no one " had lands or boundaries of their own; that the " princes and magistrates of each nation allotted " what portion of land they pleased, and where they " pleased, to every individual, and obliged them " the year following to remove elsewhere." Tacitus says (a), "That each prince had a multitude of (a) De mo-" men, who were attached to his fervice, and fol- rib. Ger-" lowed him wherever he went." This author gives them a name in his language relative to their state, which is that of companions (b). They had a strong (b) Comiemulation to diftinguish themselves in the prince's tes. efteem; and the princes had the fame emulation to diflinguish themselves in the bravery and number of their companions. "Their dignity and power," continues Tacitus, " confifts in being constantly fur-" rounded with a multitude of young and chofen " people: this they reckon an ornament in peace, " a defence and support in war. Their name be-" comes famous at home, and among neighbouring " nations, when they excel all others in the number " and courage of their companions: they receive " presents and embassies from all parts. Reputa-" tion frequently decides the fate of war. In battle " it is infamy in the prince to be surpassed in cou-" rage; it is infamy in the companions not to follow " the

ur-

es:

the

p to

ame

o of the

nter-

manout it ways

e.

, that readfancy

find ad ded that

A P.

GIL.

Book 6th of the Gallic wars, Tacitus adds, nulli domus aut ager, aut aliqua cura; prout ad quem venere aliuntur. De Mo-11b. Germ.

THE SPIRIT

Book XXX.

" the brave example of their prince; it is an eter-" nal difgrace to survive him. To defend him is Chap. 4. " their most sacred engagement. If a city is at peace, the princes go to those who are at war; and 'tis by force and war they retain a great number of friends. To these they give the war-horse

and the terrible javelin. Their pay confifts in coarfe but large repafts. The prince supports his

" liberality merely by war and plunder. You might eafier persuade them to challenge the enemy and

to expose themselves to wounds, than to cultivate " the land, and to attend to the cares of husbandry;

" they refuse to acquire by sweat what they can

" purchase with blood."

Thus, among the Germans there were vaffals, but no fiefs; they had no fiefs, because the princes had no lands to give; or rather their fiefs confifted in horses trained for war, in arms, and feasting. There were vassals, because there were trusty men who were bound by their word, who were engaged to follow the prince to battle, and performed very near the same service as was afterwards performed for the fiefs.

CHAP. IV.

The same subject continued.

ÆSAR (a) fays, that "when any of the princes (*) De " declared to the affembly that he intended to Bello Gallico, lib.vi. 66 fet out upon some expedition, and asked them " to follow him; those who approved the leader, " and the enterprize, stood up and offered their " affiftance. Upon which they were commended " by the multitude. But if they did not fulfil their " engage-

fur 25 cou tion

1

n

to

gı

by

lar

m

tha

his

oth they

IT I t fiefs.

becar

* S

daugh that he dught

orfen V r-

is

at

; n-

(e

in

his

ht

nd

ate

у;

can

but had

in

ere

rere

fol-

near

for

nces

d to

hem

ader,

their

nded their

rage-

" engagements, they loft the public efteem, and Book " were looked upon as deferters and traitors."

XXX. Chap. 5.

What Cæfar fays in this place, and what we have extracted in the preceding chapter from Tacitus, is the foundation of the history of the first race.

We must not therefore be surprised, that our kings should have new armies to raise upon every expedition, new troops to perfuade, new people to engage; that to acquire much they were obliged to fpend a great deal; that they should incessantly acquire by the division of lands and spoils, and give these lands and spoils incessantly away; that their domain should continually increase and diminish; that a father upon giving a kingdom (2) to one of (2) See the his children, should always accompany it with a trea- gobert. fure; that the king's treasure should be considered as necessary to the monarchy; and that one * king could not give part of it to strangers, even in portion with his daughter, without the confent of the other kings. The monarchy moved by fprings, which they were constantly obliged to wind up.

CHAP. V. Of the conquest of the Franks.

T is not true, that the Franks upon entering Gaul, took possession of all the country to turn it into fiefs. This has been the opinion of some people, because they saw almost all the country towards the end

VOL. II.

^{*} See Gregory of Tours, book vi. on the marriage of the aughter of Chilperic. Childebert fends ambassadors to tell him, hat he should not give the cities of his father's kingdom to his aughter, nor his treasures, nor his bondmen, nor horses, nor orlemen, nor teams of oxen, &c.

Book XXX. Chap. 6.

end of the second race converted into siefs, rearfiefs, or other dependances; but this was owing to prrticular causes, which we shall explain hereaster.

The consequence which some would infer from thence, that the Barbarians made a general regulation for establishing in all parts a pure villenage, is not less false than the principle. If at a time when the siefs were moveable, all the lands of the kingdom had been siefs or dependances of siefs, and all the men in the kingdom vassals or slaves subordinate to vassals: as the person that has property is always possessed of power, the king who continually disposed of the siefs, that is, of the only property then existing, would have been possessed of as arbitrary a power as the Grand Signor is in Turkey; which is absolutely contradictory to all history.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Goths, Burgundians, and Franks.

GAUL was invaded by German nations. The Visigoths took possession of the province of Narbonne, and of almost all the south; the Burgundians settled in the east; and the Franks subdued

very near all the rest.

No doubt but these Barbarians retained in their respective conquests the manners, inclinations, and usages of their own country; for no nation can change in an instant their manner of thinking and acting. These people in Germany neglected agriculture. It seems by Cæsar and Tacitus, that they applied themselves greatly to a pastoral life: hence the regulations of the codes of barbarian laws are almost all relating to their slocks. Roricon, who wrote a history among the Franks, was a shepherd.

CHAP.

0

u

1

di

fu

tit

CHAP. VII.

Different ways of dividing the lands.

n

ot

le

n

ie

0

15

1-

n

2

15

of

n-

ed

ir

nd

in

nd

ılp-

he

oft

P.

The Franks did not follow the same plan. In the Salic and Ripuarian laws we find not the least vestige of any such division of lands: they had conquered the country, and so took what they pleased, making no regulations but amongst themselves.

Let us therefore distinguish between the conduct of the Burgundians and Visigoths in Gaul, of those same Visigoths in Spain, of the (b) auxiliary troops (b) See under Augustulus and Odoacer in Italy, and that of Procopius awar of the Franks in Gaul, and of the Vandals (c) in Africa. Goths.

The former entered into conventions with the an- (c) See cient inhabitants, and in consequence thereof made a Procopius division of lands between them; the latter did no war of the Vandals. such thing.

Aa 2 CHAP.

* The Romans obliged themselves to this by treaties.

+ Burgundiones partem Galliæ occuparunt, terrasque cum Gallicis senatoribus diviserunt. Marius's Chronicle in the year 456.

Chap. 54. §. 1. & 2. This division was still subsisting in the time of Lewis the Pious, as appears by his capitulary of the year 829. which has been inserted in the law of the Burgundians, tit. 79. §. 1.

CHAP. VIII.

The Same Subject continued.

Book XXX. Chap. 8. WHAT has induced fome people to think that the Roman lands were entirely usurped by the Barbarians, is their finding in the laws of the Visigoths and the Burgundians, that these two nations had two thirds of the lands: but this they took only in certain quarters assigned them.

Gundebald * fays in the law of the Burgundians, that his people at their establishment had two thirds of the lands allowed them; and the second supplement † to this law takes notice, that only a moiety would be allowed to those who should hereaster come to that country. Therefore all the lands had not been divided in the beginning between the Romans and the Burgundians.

In the texts of those two regulations we meet with the same expressions; consequently they explain one another, and as the second cannot be understood to mean an universal division of lands, neither can this signification be given to the first.

The Franks acted with the same moderation as the Burgundians; they did not strip the Romans wherever they extended their conquests. What would they have done with so much land? They took what suited them, and left the rest.

CHAP.

n

al

th

A

m

of

th

ea

diwl

Bu

jui

tia

dic

^{*} Licet eo tempore quo populus noster mancipiorum tertiam & duas terrarum partes accepit, &c. Law of the Burgundians, tit. 54. § 1.

[†] Ut non amplius a Burgundionibus qui infra venerunt requiratur quam ad præsens necessitas fuerit medietas terræ, art. 11.

CHAP. IX.

A just application of the law of the Burgundians and of that of the Visigoths in relation to the division of lands.

at

y

ne

ly

ds

e-

ty

10

ot

ns

th

to

is

as

ns

at

y

P.

a-

IS to be confidered that those divisions of land Book were not made with a tyrannical spirit; but Chap. 9. with a view of relieving the reciprocal wants of two nations that were to inhabit the fame country.

The law of the Burgundians ordains that a Burgundian shall be received in an hospitable manner by a Roman. This is agreeable to the manners of the Germans, who, according to Tacitus (a), were the (a) De momost hospitable people in the world.

By the law of the Burgundians it is ordained, that the Burgundians shall have two thirds of the lands and one third of the flaves. In this it confidered the genius of the two nations, and conformed to the manner in which they procured their fublistence. As the Burgundians dealt chiefly in cattle, they wanted a great deal of land and few flaves; and the Romans from their application to agriculture had need of less land and of a greater number of slaves. The woods were equally divided, because their wants in this respect were the same.

We find in the code (b) of the Burgundians, that (b) And in each Barbarian was placed near to a Roman. The that of the Vifigoths. division therefore was not general; but the Romans who gave the division, were equal in number to the Burgundians who received it. The Roman was injured the least possible: the Burgundians as a martial people, fond of hunting and of a pastoral life, did not refuse to accept of the fallow grounds; Aa 3

Book while the Romans kept fuch lands as were properest XXX.

Chap. 10. for culture; the Burgundian's flock fattened the Roman's field.

CHAP. X. Of fervitudes.

(*)Tit. 54. THE law of the Burgundians (*) takes notice, that when those people settled in Gaul, they were allowed two thirds of the land, and one third of the slaves. Pure villenage was therefore * established in that part of Gaul before it was invaded by the Burgundians.

The law of the Burgundians in points relating to the two nations makes a formal + distinction in both, between the nobles, the free-born, and the slaves. Slavery was not therefore a thing particular to the Romans; nor liberty and nobility particular to the Barbarians.

(b)Tit.57. This very fame law fays (b), that if a Burgundian freedman had not given a particular fum to his mafter, nor received a third share of a Roman, he was always supposed to belong to his master's family. The Roman proprietor was therefore free, since he did not belong to another person's family; he was free, because his third portion was a mark of liberty.

We need only open the Salic and Ripuarian laws, to be fatisfied that the Romans were no more in a state of slavery among the Franks, than among the other conquerors of Gaul.

The

* This is confirmed by the whole title of the code de Agricolis & Cenfitis, & Colonis.

⁺ Si dentem optimati Burgundioni vel Romano nobili excusserit. 26. §. 1. & si mediocribus personis ingenuis tam Burgundionibus quam Romanis. Ibid. §, 2.

)-

e,

rd

a-

y

to

h,

ne

ne

ın

is

n,

's

e,

of

s,

10

ne

lis

t.

1

The count de Boulainvilliers is mistaken in the Book XXX. capital point of his system: he has not proved that Chap. 10. the Franks made a general regulation to reduce the Romans into a state of slavery.

As this author's work is penned without art, and as he speaks with the simplicity, frankness and candor of that ancient nobility from whom he descends, every one is capable of judging of the fine things he says, and of the errors into which he is fallen. Wherefore I shall not undertake to criticise him; I shall only observe, that he had more wit than understanding, more understanding than knowledge; tho' his knowledge was not contemptible, for he was well acquainted with the most valuable part of our history and laws.

The count de Boulainvilliers, and the abbe du Bos, have formed two different fystems, one of which seems to be a conspiracy against the commons, and the other against the nobility. When the sun gave leave to Phaeton to drive his chariot, he said to him, "If you ascend too high, you will burn the heaven-" ly mansions; if you descend too low, you will "reduce the earth to ashes: Do not drive to the "right, you will meet there with the constellation of the serpent; avoid going too much to the left you will there sail in with that of the altar; keep "in the middle "."

Aa4

CHAP.

CHAP. XI.

The Same Subject continued.

Book XXX.

Chap. 11.

What first gave rise to the notion of a general regulation made at the time of the conquest, is our meeting with a prodigious number of servitudes in France towards the beginning of the third race; and as the continual progression of these servitudes was not attended to, people imagined in an age of obscurity a general law which was

never made.

Towards the commencement of the first race, we meet with an infinite number of freemen, both among the Franks and the Romans; but the number of slaves increased to that degree, that at the beginning of the third rac, all the husbandmen and almost all the inhabitants* of towns were become slaves; and whereas at the first period there were bodies of burghers in the cities, in the other we hardly meet with any thing but a lord and his slaves.

When the Franks, Burgundians, and Goths, made their feveral invasions, they took gold, silver, moveables, clothes, men, women, boys, and whatever the army could carry; the whole was brought to one place, and divided amongst the army (2). History shews, that after the first settlement, that is after the first devastations, they entered into an agreement with the inhabitants, and left them all their political and civil rights. This was the law of nations in those days; they plundered every thing in

(a) See Gregory of Tours, book ii, chap. 27, Aimoin, book i. chap. 12.

* While Gaul was under the domination of the Romans, they formed particular bodies; these were generally freedmen, or the descendants of freedmen.

time of war, and granted every thing in time of Book peace. Were it not fo, how should we find both in Chap. 11. the Salic and Burgundian laws fuch a number of regulations absolutely contrary to a general slavery of the people?

But that which was not effected by the conquest, was effected by the same law of nations (*) which sub- (*) See the fifted after the conquest. Opposition, revolts, and the lives of the taking of towns, were followed with the flavery of the the next inhabitants. And, not to mention the wars which the page. different conquering nations made with one another, as there was this particularity among the Franks, that the different divisions of the monarchy gave rife continually to civil wars between brothers or nephews, in which this law of nations was constantly practifed, fervitudes of course became more general in France than in other countries; and this is, I believe, one of the causes of the difference between our French laws and those of Italy and Spain, in respect to the right of lordships.

f

r

e

S

-

r

e

The conquest was soon over; and the law of nations then employed was productive of some servi-The custom of the same law of nations, which obtained for many ages, gave a prodigious extent to those servitudes.

Theodoric (b) imagining that the people of Au- (b) Grevergne were not faithful to him, thus addressed the gory of Tours, Franks of his division: Follow me, and I will carry book iii. you into a country where you will have gold, filver, captives, clothes, and flocks in abundance; and you will remove all the people into your own country.

After the peace (c), which was concluded between (c) Ibid. Gontram and Chilperic, the troops employed in the book vi. fiege of Bourges having had orders to return, they carried fuch a large booty away with them, that

Book they hardly left either men or cattle in the

Chap. 11. country.

I might quote here * authorities without number: and as the bowels of human compassion were moved at those miseries, as several holy prelates beholding the captives bound two and two, employed the treafure belonging to the church, and fold even the facred utenfils, to ranfom as many as they could; and as feveral holy monks exerted themselves on that occasion, it is in the + lives of the saints that we meet with the best eclaircissements on this subject. And, notwithstanding what may be objected to the authors of those lives, namely their having been sometimes a little too credulous in respect to things which God has certainly performed if they were necessary for the execution of his defigns; yet we draw confiderable lights from thence, in respect to the manners and usages of those times.

When we cast an eye upon the monuments of our history and laws, the whole seems to be a vast | boundless ocean: all these frigid, dry, insipid, and crude writings must be devoured in the same manner, as Saturn is sabled to have devoured the stones.

A vast quantity of land which had been in the hands of freemen §, was changed into mortmain, when the country was stripped of its free inhabitants; those who had a great multitude of slaves,

either

* See the chronicle of Fredegarius, in the year 600, and his continuator, in the year 741; the annals of Fuld, in the year 630, and the lives of the faints in the next quotation.

+ See the lives of S. Epiphanius, S. Eptadius, S. Cæsarius, S. Fidolus, S. Porcian, S. Treverius, S. Eusichius, and of S.

Leger, the miracles of S. Julian, &c.

Deerant quoque littora ponto. Ovid. line 1. S Even the husbandmen themselves were not all slaves; see the 18th and 23d law in the code de Agricolis, & Censitis, & Colonis, and the 20th of the same title. either took large territories by force, or had them book yielded by agreement, and built villages, as may be chap. 12-feen in different charters. On the other hand, the freemen, who cultivated the arts, found themselves reduced to exercise those arts in a state of slavery: thus the servitudes restored to the arts and to agriculture whatever they had lost.

It was a customary thing with the proprietors of lands, to give them to the churches, in order to hold them themselves by a quit rent, thinking to partake by their servitude of the sanctity of the churches.

CHAP. XII.

That the lands belonging to the division of the Barbarians paid no taxes.

A People remarkable for their simplicity, and poverty, a free and martial people who lived without any other industry than that of tending their slocks, and who had nothing but rush cottages to attach them to their (a) lands; such a people, I say, (a) See must have followed their chiefs for the sake of booty, Gregory and not to pay or to raise taxes. The art of tax-book, ii. gathering is always invented too late, and when men begin to enjoy the felicity of other arts.

The transient (b) tax of a pitcher of wine for every (b) Ibid, acre, which was one of the exactions of Chilperic book v. and Fredegonda, related only to the Romans. In fact, it was not the Franks that tore the rolls of those taxes, but the clergy who in those days were all Romans. The burthen of this tax lay chiefly on the inhabitants of the towns; now these were almost all inhabited by Romans.

Gregory

^{*} Quæ conditio universis urbibus per Galliam constitutis summopere est adhibita. Life of S. Aridius.

364

BOOK XXX. Chap. 12. (a) Book

Gregory of Tours (1) relates, that a certain judge was obliged after the death of Chilperic to take refuge in a church, for having under the reign of that prince ordered taxes to be levied on feveral Franks. who in the reign of Childebert were ingenui, or freeborn: Multos de Francis qui tempore Childeberti regis ingenui fuerant publico tributo subegit. Therefore the Franks who were not flaves paid no taxes.

(b) Eftablishment of the French tom. iii. chap. 14.

There is not a grammarian, but would be ashamed to fee how the Abbé du Bos (b) has interpreted this passage. He observes, that in those days the freedmen were called also ingenui. Upon this supposition monarchy he renders the Latin word ingenui, by freed from taxes; a phrase, which we indeed may use, as freed pag. 515. from cares, freed from punishments; but in the Latin tongue, such expressions as ingenui a tributis, libertini a tributis, manumissi tributorum, would be quite monstrous.

We find in the law of the Vifigoths *, that when a Barbarian had feized upon the estate of a Roman, the judge obliged him to fell it, to the end that this estate might continue to be tributary; consequently the Barbarians paid no taxes.

(c) Eftablishment of the Franks in Gaul. tom. iii. chap. 14. pag. 510.

The Abbé du Bos (c) who, to support his system, would fain have the Visigoths subject to taxes +, quits the litteral and spiritual sense of the law, and pretends upon no other indeed than an imaginary foundation, that between the establishment of the Goths

* Judices atque præpositi tertias Romanorum ab illis qui occupatas tenent auferant, & Romanis sua exactione fine aliqua dilatione restituant, ut nibil fisco debeat deperire, lib. x. tit. 1. cap.

+ He lays a stress upon another law of the Visigoths, book x. tit. 1. art. 11. which proves nothing at all; it fays only, that he who has received of a lord a piece of land under condition of a rent or fervice, ought to pay it.

and this law there had been an augmentation of taxes Book which related only to the Romans. But none but Chap. 13. father Harduin are allowed to exercise thus an arbitrary power over facts.

The fame author makes a wrong use of the capitularies, as well as of the historians and laws of the harbarous nations. When he wants the Franks to pay taxes, he applies to freemen what can be underflood only of (2) flaves; when he speaks of their (2) Estamilitary service, he applies to (b) slaves what can blishment never relate but to freemen.

CHAP. XIII.

Of taxes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in where he the monarchy of the Franks.

T Might here examine whether after the Gauls and of Piftes. Romans were conquered, they continued to pay See lower the taxes to which they were subject under the em- chap. 17. perors. But, in order to proceed with greater ex- (b) Ibid. pedition. I shall be satisfied with observing that if tom. iii. they paid them in the beginning, they were foon chap. 4. after exempted, and that those taxes were changed into a military service. For I confess I cannot conceive how the Franks should have been at first such great friends, and afterwards fuch fudden and violent enemies, to taxes.

A capitulary * of Lewis the Pious explains extremely well the fituation of the freemen in the monarchy of the Franks. Some troops + of Goths or Iberians.

French monarchy tom. iii. chap. 14. pag. 513. quotes the 28th article of the edict

In the year 815, chap. 1. which is agreeable to the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 844. art. 1. & 2.

[†] Pro Hispanis in partibus Aquitania, Septimania, & Provinciæ consistentibus. Ibid.

XXX. Chap. 13.

Iberians, flying the oppression of the Moors, were received in Lewis's dominions. The agreement made with them was, that like other freemen they should follow their count to the army; that upon a march they should mount guard * and patroll under the command also of their count; and that they should furnish horses and carriages for baggage to the king's † deputies and to the ambassadors in their way to and from court; and that they should not be compelled to pay any farther acknowledgment, but should be treated as the other freemen.

It cannot be said that these were new usages introduced towards the commencement of the second race. This must be referred at least to the middle or to the end of the first. A capitulary of the year || 864, says in express terms, that it was the ancient custom for freemen to perform military service, and to surnish likewise the shorses and carriages abovementioned; duties particular to themselves, and from which those who possessed the siefs were exempt, as we shall prove hereafter.

This is not all; there was a regulation \s which hardly permitted the imposing of taxes on those freemen. He who had four manors ** was always obliged

* Excubias & explorationes quas Wactas dicunt. Ibid.

+ They were not obliged to furnish any to the count. Ibid.

| Ut Pagenses Franci qui caballos habent cum suis comitibus in bostem pergant. The counts are forbid to deprive them of their horses, ut bostem facere & debitos paraveredos secundum antiquam consuetudinem exsolvere possint. Edict of Pistes in Baluzius, p. 186.

§ Capitulary of Charlemain, in the year 812. chap. 1. Edict

of Pistes in the year 864. art. 27.

was a particular portion of land belonging to a farm where there were flaves; witness the capitulary of the year 853, apud Sylvacum, tit. xiv. against those who drove the flaves from their Mansus.

obliged to march against the enemy: he who had Book but three, was joined with a freeman that had only Chap. 13. one; the latter bore the fourth part of the other's charges, and staid at home. In like manner, they joined two freemen who had each two manors; he that went to the army had half his charges bore by him who flaid at home.

Again, we have an infinite number of charters, in which the privileges of fiefs are granted to lands or diffricts possessed by freemen, and of which I shall make further mention hereafter. These lands are exempted from all the duties or fervices, which were required of them by the counts, and by the rest of the king's officers: and as all these services are particularly enumerated, without making any mention of taxes, 'tis manifest that no taxes were imposed upon them.

It was very natural that the Roman art of taxgathering should fall of itself in the monarchy of the Franks: it was a most complicate art, far above the conception, and wide from the plan, of those fimple people. Were the Tartars to over-run Europe, we should find it very difficult to make them comprehend what is meant by one of our financers.

The (a) anonymous author of the life of Lewis the (a) In Pi-Pious, speaking of the counts and other officers of thou, part ii. pag. the nation of the Franks, whom Charlemain efta- 157. blished in Aquitania, says, that he intrusted them with the care of defending the frontiers, as also with the military power and the intendancy of the domains belonging to the crown. This shews the state of the royal revenues under the fecond race. The prince had kept his domains in his own hands, and employed his flaves in improving them. But the indictions, the capitations, and other imposts raised

Book at the time of the emperors on the persons or goods XXX.

Chap, 14. of freemen, had been changed into an obligation of defending the frontiers, and marching against the enemy.

(*) See the The bishops writing (*) to Lewis brother to Charles capitulary the Bald, use these words: "Take care of your lands, of the year 858. "that you may not be obliged to travel continually art. 14. "by the bouses of the clergy, and to tire their slaves with carriages. Manage your affairs, continue "they, in such a manner, that you may have enough to live upon, and to receive embassies." It is evident, that the king's revenues * in those days consisted of their domains.

CHAP. XIV.

Of what they called Cenfus.

A Fter the Barbarians had quitted their country, they were desirous of reducing their usages to writing; but as they found a difficulty in writing German words with Roman letters, they published these laws in Latin.

In the confusion and rapidity of the conquest, most things changed their nature: in order however to express them, they were obliged to make use of such old Latin words, as were most analogous to the new usages. Thus whatever was likely to revive † the idea of the ancient census of the Romans,

they

* They levied also some duties on rivers, where there happened to be a bridge or a passage.

† The census was so generical a word, that they made use of it to express the tolls of rivers, when there was a bridge or serry to pass. See the third capitulary, in the year 803. edition of Baluzius, pag. 395. art. 1. & the 5th in the year 819. pag. 616. They gave likewise this name to the carriages surnished by the freemen to the king, or to his deputies, as appears by the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 865. art. 8.

the word fredum, on which I shall have occasion to

they called by the name of cenfus, tributum; and XXX. when things had no relation at all to the Roman cen-Chap. 14. fus, they expressed, as well as they could, the German words with Roman letters: thus they formed

descant in the following chapters.

The words cenfus and tributum having been thus employed in an arbitrary manner, this has thrown fome obscurity on the fignification in which these words were used under the first and second race. And modern * authors who had adopted particular fystems, having found these words in the writings of those days, they imagined that what was then called census, was exactly the census of the Romans; and from thence they inferred this confequence, that our kings of the two first races, had put themselves in the place of the Roman emperors, and made no change in + their administration. Besides, as particular duties raifed under the fecond race were by chance and by certain | restrictions converted into others, they inferred from thence that these duties were the census of the Romans: and, as fince the modern regulations, they found that the crown domains were absolutely unalienable, they pretended that those duties which represented the Roman census, and did not form a part of the domains, were mere usurpations. I omit the other confequences.

To

^{*} The Abbe du Bos, and his followers.

[†] See the weakness of the arguments produced by the Abbe du Bos, in the establishment of the French monarchy, tom. iii. book 6. chap. 14. especially the inference he draws from a passage of Gregory of Tours, concerning a dispute between his church and king Charibert.

[|] For inftance, by infranchifements, as share years and dealer

THE SPIRIT

Воок

To apply the ideas of the present time to distant Chap. 15. ages, is a most fruitful source of error. people who want to modernize all the ancient ages, I shall say what the Egyptian priests said to Solon, " O Athenians, you are mere children!"

CHAP.

That what they called census was raised only on the flaves, and not on the freemen.

THE king, the clergy, and the lords raifed regular taxes, each on the flaves of their respective domains. I prove it with refpect to the king, by the capitulary de Villis; with regard to the clergy, by the codes of the * laws of the Barbarians; with relation to the lords, by the regulations (a) which 5th of the Charlemain made concerning this subject.

Capitularies, chap. 303.

These taxes were called census; they were œconomical and not fifcal duties, mere private fervices

and not public obligations.

I affirm, that what they called census at that time, was a tax raifed upon the flaves. This I prove by a formulary of Marculfus containing a permission from the king to enter into holy orders, provided the perfon be + free-born, and not enrolled in the register of the census. I prove it also by a commission from Charlemain to a count(b), whom he had fent into year 789: Saxony; which contains the infranchisement of the Saxons for having embraced Christianity, and is pro-

(b) In the edition of the Capitularies by Baluzius, vol. i.

Pag. 250.

* Law of the Alemans, chap. 22. and the law of the Bavarians, tit. i. chap. 14. where the regulations are to be found which the clergy made concerning their order.

+ Si ille de capite suo bene ingenuus sit et in Puletico publico censitus non est. lib. i. formul. 19.

be fav the

pe

th

the

far

Th tre len

cer

free of t of

ed . any not

7

vor

the long dep ple

payi shou the

tage

Ibid. + Ibid.

1 1 tom. nt

le.

S,

n,

on

·e-

C-

g,

y, ith

ch

10-

ces

ne,

ya

om

er-

om

the

ro-

rly

ıva-

und

lice

perly a charter of freedom*. This prince restores Book XXX. them to their former + civil liberty, and exempts Chap. 15. them from paying the census. It was therefore the same thing to be a slave, as to pay the census; to be free as not to pay it.

By a kind of letters patent || of the same prince in favour of the Spaniards, who had been received into the monarchy, the counts are forbid to demand any census of them, or to deprive them of their lands. That strangers upon their coming to France were treated as slaves, is a thing well known; and Charlemain being desirous they should be considered as freemen, since he would have them be proprietors of their lands, he forbad the demanding any census of them.

A capitulary of Charles the Bald (a), given in fa- (a) In the vor of those very Spaniards, orders them to be treat-year 844. edition of ed like the other Franks, and forbids the requiring Baluzius, any census of them: consequently this census was tom ii. art. 1. & 2. not paid by freemen.

The thirtieth article of the edict of Pistes reforms the abuse, by which several of the husbandmen belonging to the king or to the church, sold the lands dependent on their manors to ecclesiastics or to people of their condition, reserving only a small cottage to themselves; by which means they avoided paying the census; and it ordains, that things should be restored to their primitive situation: the census was therefore a tax peculiar to slaves.

B b 2

From

^{*} Et ut ista ingenuitatis pagina sirma stabilisque consistat.

Ibid.

⁺ Pristinaque libertati donatos & omni nobis debito censu solutos.

Ibid.

[|] Praceptum pro Hispanis, in the year 812. edition of Baluzius. tom. i. pag. 500.

Воок XXX. Chap. 15.

11. edition of

tom. i.

tom. i.

From thence also it follows, that there was no general census in the monarchy; and this is clear from a great number of passages. For what could be the meaning of this * capitulary? We ordain that the royal census shall be levied in all places, where formerly it was + lawfully levied. What could be the meaning of that in which (a) Charlemain orders his (2) In the year 812. commissaries in the provinces to make an exact enquiry art. 10. & into all the census's that belonged in former times | to the king's domain? And of that (b) in which he Baluzius, disposes of the census's paid by those of whom they are demanded? What can that other capitupag. 498. lary (c) mean, in which we read, If any person ** has (b) In the acquired a tributary land, on which we were accusyear 813. art. 6. etomed to levy the census? And that other, in fine (d), in dition of which Charles the Bald + makes mention of the Baluzius. cenfual lands, whose cenfus had from time immepag. 508. morial belonged to the king.

(c) Book iv. of the Capitularies, art. 37. and inserted in the Lom-

Observe that there are some passages which feem at first fight to be contrary to what I have said, and yet confirm it. We have already feen that the freemen in the monarchy were obliged only to furthe law of nish particular carriages; the capitulary just now cited gives to this * * * the name of census, and opposes it to the census paid by the slaves.

(d) In the year 805. art. 8.

bards.

Besides,

E

n

is

tl

C

de

WE

an

fus

wh

- * Third capitulary of the year 805. art. 20. & 23. inferted in the collection of Anzegife, book iii. art. 15. This is agreeable to that of Charles the Bald, in the year 854. apud Attiniacum, art. 6.
 - + Undecunque legitime exigebatur. Ibid.
 - Undecunque antiquitus ad partem regis venire solebant.

De illis unde cenfa exigunt.

** Si quis terram tributariam unde census ad partem nostram exire folebat susceperit.

† Unde census ad partem regis exivit antiquitus.

*** Cenfibus vel paraveredis quos Franci homines ad regiam. poteflatem exfolvere debent.

Besides, the edict (*) of Pistes takes notice of those XXX.

Franks who were obliged to pay the royal census Chap. 15.

for their * head and for their cottages, and who had fold themselves during the famine. The king orders year 864. them to be ransomed. This is † because those who art. 34. were manumitted by the king's letters, did not, geodition of Baluzinerally speaking, acquire a full and perfect || liberty, us, p.192. but they paid censum in capite; and these are the people here meant.

We must therefore explode the idea of a general and universal census, derived from the Roman policy, from which the rights of the lords are also supposed to have been derived by usurpations. What was called census in the French monarchy, independently of the abuse made of that word, was a particular tax im-

posed on flaves by their masters.

n

e

-

đ

s,

le

m,

am

am

I beg the reader to excuse the trouble I must give him with such a number of citations. I should be more concise, did I not meet with the Abbe du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, continually in my way. Nothing is a greater obstacle to our progress in knowledge, than a bad performance of a celebrated author; because, before we instruct, we must begin with undeceiving.

* De illis Francis hominibus qui censum regium de suo capite & de suis recellis debent.

† The 28th article of the same edict explains this extremely well; it even makes a distinction between a Roman freedman and a Frank freedman: And we likewise see there that the census was not general; it deserves to be read.

As appears by a capitulary of Charlemain in the year 813.

which we have already quoted.

C HAP. XVI.

Of the feudal lords or vaffals.

Book

KXXX.

Germans, who followed their princes in their fechap. 16.

Weral expeditions. The fame usage continued after the conquest. Tacitus mentions them by the name of companions (*); the Salic law by that of men who have wowed fealty * to the king; the formularies of the Rock is (b) Marculfus by that of the king's antrustions the

(b) Book i. (b) Marculfus by that of the king's antrustions +, formal. 8. the earliest French historians by that of Leudes ||, faithful and loyal; and those of later date by that of vassals § and lords.

In the Salic and Ripuarian laws we meet with an infinite number of regulations in regard to the Franks, and only with a few for the Antrustions. The regulations concerning the Antrustions are different from those which were made for the other Franks; they are full of what relates to the settling of the property of the Franks, but mention not a word concerning that of the Antrustions. This is because the property of the latter was regulated rather by the political than by the civil law, and was the share that fell to an army, and not the patrimony of a family.

The goods referved for the feudal lords were called fiscal ** goods, benefices, honors, and fiefs, by different authors and in different times.

There

fe

^{*} Qui funt in trufte regis, tit. 44. art. 4.

[†] From the word trew, which fignifies faithful, among the Germans.

[|] Leudes, fideles.

[§] Voffalli, feniores.

Fiscalia. See the 24th formulary of Marculfus, book i. It is mentioned in the life of S. Maur, dedit ficum unum: and in

There is no doubt but the fiefs at first were Book at will (a). We find in Gregory of Tours (b) Chap. 16. that Sunegifilus and Gallomanus were deprived of (3) See the all they held of the fife, and no more left than what ift book. was their real property. When Gontram raifed his tit. 1. of nephew Childebert to the throne, he had a private and Cujas conference with him, in which he named * the per- on that fons who ought to be honoured with, and those who book. ought to be deprived of, the fiefs. In a formulary + (b) Book of Marculfus, the king gives in exchange not only the oth chap. benefices held by his fife, but likewife those which had been held by another. The law of the Lombards (4) Liv.iii. opposes (c) the benefices to property. In this our hi- tit. 8. §.3. ftorians, the formularies, the codes of the different barbarous nations, and all the monuments extant of those days, are unanimous. In fine, the writers of the book of fiefs | inform us, that at first the lords could take them back when they pleafed, that afterwards they granted them for the space of a year **, and that at length they gave them for life.

the annals of Metz, in the year 747, dedit illi comitatus & fifcos plurimos. The goods defigned for the support of the royal family were called regalia.

* Quos honoraret muneribus, quos ab honore depelleret. Ibid.

lib. vii.

e

T

le

0

of

at

in

S,

e-

nt

s;

he

rd ife

Dy

re

re by

ere

the

i.

in the + Vel reliquis quibuscumque beneficiis, quodcumque ille vel fiscus

noster in ipsis locis tenuisse noscitur. Lib. i. formul. 30.

Antiquissimo enim tempore sic erat in Dominorum potestate connexum, ut quando vellent possent auferre rem in feudum a se datam : postea verò conventum est ut per annum tantum firmitatem haberent, deinde statutum est ut usque ad vitam fidelis produceretur. Feudorum, lib. i. tit. 1.

** It was a kind of a precarious tenure which the lord confented or refused to renew every year; as Cujas has observed.

the edict of Piftes

26. tom.

CHAP.

Of the military service of freemen.

WO forts of people were bound to military fer-Book XXX. vice, the great and leffer vaffals who were Chap. 17. obliged in consequence of their fief; and the freemen whether Franks, Romans, or Gauls, who ferved under the count, and were commanded by him and his officers.

> The name of freemen was given to those, who on the one hand had no benefices or fiefs, and on the other were not subject to the base services of villenage; the lands they possessed were what they called allodial estates.

The counts (a) affembled the freemen, and led them (1) See the capitulary against the enemy; under them they had officers, of Charle- who were called * vicars; and as all the freemen lemaign in the year were divided into hundreds, which formed what was 812. art. called a borough, the counts had also officers under 3. & 4. edition of them, who were called centenarii, and carried the Baluzius, freemen + of the borough, or their hundreds to the tom. i. p. field.

This division into hundreds is posterior to the establishment of the Franks in Gaul. It was made by in the year 864. art. Clotharius and and Childebert, with a view of obliging each diffrict to answer for the robberies comii. p. 186. mitted in their division; this we find in the decrees of those princes. A regulation of this kind is to this very day observed in England.

As

^{*} Et habeat unusquisque comes Vicarios & Centenarios secum. book ii. of the capitularies, art. 28.

⁺ They were called Compagenses.

Published in the year 595. art. 1. See the capitularies, edition of Baluzius, pag. 20. These regulations were undoubtedly made by agreement.

As the counts carried the freemen against the ene- Book my, the feudal lords carried also their vasfals or rear- Chap. 17. vasfals; and the bishops, abbots, or their * advocates carried likewise theirs (a).

The bishops were greatly embarassed; they were Charlenot (b) quite confistent with themselves; they re- main, in quested of Charlemain not to oblige them any 812. art. longer to a military service; and when he had 1. & 5. egranted their request, they complained that he had dition of Baluzius, deprived them of the public efteem; and this tom. i. prince was obliged to justify his intentions upon pag. 490. this head. Be that as it will, when they were ex- capitulary empted from marching against the enemy, I do of the year not find that their vaffals were led by the counts; 803. pubon the contrary we fee (') that the kings or the bi- Worms. shops chose one of their feudataries to conduct edition of them.

In a capitulary (d) of Lewis the Pious, this prince & 410. distinguishes three forts of vassals, those belonging (5) Capito the king, those to the bishops, and those to the Worms counts. The vaffas + of a feudal lord were not led inthe year against the enemy by the count, except some em- tion of ployment in the king's houshold hindered the lord Baluzius, himself from leading them.

But who is it that led the feudal lords into the council in field? No doubt the king himfelf, who was always the year at the head of his faithful vaffals. Hence we con- der Char-

itantly les the

* Advocati.

n

S

0

.

n

n

S

e

e

-

0

S

1.

i-

tulary of the year 819. art. 27. edition of Baluzius, pag. 618.

(a) Capitu-

lary of

pag. 408.

Bald, in verno palatio, edi-

(d) The 5th Capi-

⁺ De Vassis Dominicis qui adbue intra casam serviunt & tamen tion of beneficia babere nofcuntur, ftatutum eft ut quicumque ex eis cum Baluzius, Domno Imperatore domi remanserunt vassallos suos casatos secum tom. ii. non retineant; sed cum comite cujus pagenses sunt ire permittant. pag. 17. 2d capitulary in the year 812. art. 7. edition of Baluzius, tom. art. 8. i. pag. 494.

378

Book XXX. Chap. 17. stantly find in the capitularies a distinction made* between the king's vassals and those of the bishops. Such brave and magnanimous princes as our kings, did not take the field to put themselves at the head of an ecclesiastic militia; these were not the people they chose to conquer or die with.

But these lords carried their vassals and rearvassals with them; as we can prove by the capitulary †, in which Charlemain ordains, that everyfreeman, who has four manors either in his own property, or as a benefice from somebody else, should march against the enemy or follow his lord. 'Tis evident, that Charlemain means, that the person who had a manor of his own, should march under the count, and he who held a benefice of a lord, should set out along with him.

(a) Tom.
iii. book
6. chap.
4. p. 299.
eftablishment of
the French
monarchy.

And yet the Abbe du Bos (a) pretends that, when mention is made in the capitularies of tenants who depended only on a particular lord, no others are meant than flaves; and he grounds his opinion on the law of the Visigoths, and the practice of that nation. It is much better to rely on the capitularies themselves; that which I have just quoted, says expressly the contrary. The treaty between Charles the Bald and his brothers, takes notice also of freemen, who might chuse to follow either a lord or the king; and this regulation is conformable to a great many others.

We

* 1 Capitul. of the year 812. art. 5. de hominibus nostris & episcoporum & abbatum qui vel beneficia vel talia propria habent, & c. edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 490.

⁺ In the year 812. chap. 1. edition of Baluzius, pag. 490. ut omnis homo liber qui quatuor mansos vestitos de praprio suo sive de alicujus benesicio babet, isse se præparet & isse in hossem pergat, sive cum seniore suo.

We may therefore conclude, that there were three forts of military fervices; that of the king's vaffals, Chap. 18. who had other vaffals under them; that of the bishops or of the other clergy, and their vassals; and, in fine, that of the count who commanded the freemen.

Not but the vaffals might be also subject to the count; as those who have a particular command (a) Capituare subordinate to him, who is invested with a more year 882. general authority.

We even find that the count and the king's apud vercommissaries might oblige them to pay the fine, tium, ediwhen they had not fulfilled the engagements of tion of their fief.

In like manner, if the king's vaffals (a) committed pag. 289. any outrage, they were subject to the correction of (b) Art. 1. the count, unless they chose to submit rather to that 2. and the of the king.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the double service.

TT was a fundamental principle of the monarchy, pag. 17. that whofoever was subject to the military power (c) Capituof another person, was subject also to his civil jurif-laries, Thus the capitulary (b) of Lewis the Pious book 4th. of the colin the year 815, makes the military power of the lection of count, and his civil jurisdiction over the freemen, Anzegikeep always an equal pace. Thus the placita * of fes, art. the count who carried the freemen against the ene- the 5th my, were (c) called the placita of the freemen; capitulary from whence undoubtedly came this maxim, that the the Pious questions in relation to liberty could be decided only in the year 819. art. in the count's placita, and not in those of his offi- 14. edi-

and to solita offered by highes flow at

their placits, and of their militia

Book

lary of the art. 11. num pala-Baluzius, tom. II.

council in verno palatio of the year 845. art. 8. edition of Baluzius, tom.ii.

cers, tion of Baluzius.

pag. 615.

y

d

S

n

L

n

0

re

n

at

1-

d,

en

lo

rd

to

7e

مع

nt,

0.

we

em

Or affizes.

Book XXX. Chap. 18. (a) See the 8th note of the preceding chapter.

cers. Thus the count never led the vassals (*) belonging to the bishops or to the abbots against the enemy, because they were not subject to the civil jurisdiction. Thus he never commanded the rearvassals belonging to the king's vassals. Thus the glossary * of the English laws informs us, that those to whom + the Saxons gave the name of Coples, were by the Normans called counts, or companions, because they shared the judiciary fines with the king. Thus we see, that at all times the duty of a vassal || towards his lord, was to bear arms § and to try his peers in his court.

One of the reasons which produced this connexion between the judiciary right and that of leading the forces against the enemy, was because the person who led them exacted at the same time the payment of the fiscal duties, which consisted in some carriage services due by the freemen, and in general in certain judiciary profits, of which we shall treat hereafter.

The lords had the right of administering justice in their fief, by the same principle as the counts had it in their counties. And indeed, the counties in the several variations that happened at different times, always followed the variations of the fiefs; both were governed on the same plan, and by the same principles. In a word, the counts in their counties were lords, the lords in their seignories were counts.

Those

+ In the word Satrapia.

^{*} It is to be found in the collection of William Lambard, de priscis Anglorum legibus.

This is well explained by the affises of Jerusalem, chap. 221.

[§] The advowees of the church (advocati) were equally at the head of their placita, and of their militia.

Those have been mistaken who considered the Book counts as civil officers, and the dukes as military Chap. 18. commanders. Both were equally civil * and military officers: the whole difference confifted in the duke's having feveral counts under him, though there were counts who had no duke over them, as we learn from Fredegarius (a).

It will be imagined perhaps that the government nicle, chap. 78. of the Franks must have been very severe at that time, in the year fince the same officers were invested with a military 636. and civil power, nay, even with a fiscal power, over the subjects; which in the preceding books I have observed to be diftinguishing marks of despotic au-

thority.

But it is not to be believed that the counts pronounced their judgments alone, and administered justice in the same manner as the bashaws do in Turky; in order to judge affairs, they affembled a kind of affifes, where the principal men appeared.

In order to understand thoroughly what relates to the judicial proceedings, in the formula's, in the laws of the barbarians, and in the capitularies, 'tis proper to observe that the functions of the count, of the Grafio or fiscal judge, and the Centenarius, were the same; that the judges, the Rathimburghers, and the sheriffs, were the same persons under different names. These were the count's affiftants, and were generally feven in number; and as he was obliged to have twelve persons to judge +, he filled up the number with the principal men |.

* See the 8th formulary of Marculfus, book i. which contains the letters given to a duke, patrician, or count; and invests them with the civil jurisdiction, as well as with the fiscal administration.

+ See concerning this subject the capitularies of Lewis the Pious, added to the Salic law, art. ii. and the formula of judgments given by Du Cange in the word boni homines.

Per bones homines, fometimes there were none but principal men. See the appendix to the formularies of Marculfus, chap. 51.

(2) Chro-

Book XXX. Chap. 19. But whoever had the jurisdiction, the king, the count, the Grasio, the Centenarius, the lords, or the clergy, they never judged alone; and this usage which derived its origin from the forests of Germany, was still continued even after the siefs had assumed a new form.

With regard to the fiscal power, its nature was fuch, that the count could hardly abuse it. The rights of the prince in respect to the freemen, were so simple, that they consisted only, as we have already observed, in certain carriages which were * demanded of them on some public occasions. And as for the juridiciary rights, there were laws which prevented (*) See the (*) misdemeanors.

law of the Ripuarians, tit. 89. and

89. and the law of the Lombards, book ii. CHAP. XIX.

Of compositions among the barbarous nations.

SINCE it is impossible to have any tolerable notit. 52. §. Since I is impossible to have any tolerable notit. 52. §. Since I is impossible to have any tolerable notit. 52. §. Since I is impossible to have any tolerable noacquainted with the laws and manners of the German nations, I shall therefore stop here a while, in order to inquire into those manners and laws.

It appears by Tacitus, that the Germans knew only two capital crimes; they hanged traitors, and drowned cowards: these were the only public crimes among those people. When a man † had injured another, the relations of the person injured took share in the quarrel, and the offence was cancelled by a satisfaction. This satisfaction was made

to

n

u

2

be

fe

fpe

fta

ac

fere

ditio

[·] And some tolls on rivers, of which I have spoke already.

⁺ Suscipere tam inimicitias seu patris seu propinqui quam amicitias necesse est: nec implacabiles durant; luitur enim etiam homicidium certo armentorum ac pecorum numero, recipitque satisfactionem universa domus. Tacit. de morib. Germ.

to the person offended when capable of receiving it; Book or to the relations if they had been injured in com- Chap. 19. mon, or if by the decease of the party injured, the fatisfaction had devolved to them.

In the manner mentioned by Tacitus, these fatiffactions were made by the mutual agreement of the parties; hence in the codes of the barbarous nations these satisfactions are called compositions.

The law * of the Frifians is the only one I find that has left the people in this fituation, in which every family at variance was in some measure in the state of nature, and in which being unrestrained either by a political or civil law, they might give loofe to their revenge, till they had obtained fatisfaction. Even this law was moderated; a regulation was made (a), (a) Additio that the person whose life was sought after, should be fapien-tum, tit, i. unmolested in his own house, as also in going and 6. 1. coming from church, and from the court where judgments were given.

The compilers of the Salic laws cite (b) an ancient (b) Salic usage of the Franks, by which a person who had dug law, tit. a corple out of the ground in order to strip it, should tit. xvii. be banished from society, till the relations had con- 5. 3. fented to his being readmitted. And as before that time a prohibition was made to every one, even to his own wife, not to give him a morcel of bread or to receive him under their roof; fuch a man was in respect to others, and others in respect to him, in a state of nature, till an end was put to this state by a composition.

y

in er

W

s,

lic

ad

ed

n-

de

to

mimi-

fac -

This excepted, we find that the fages of the different barbarous nations thought of determining by themselves, what would have been too long and too dangerous

See this law in the 2d title on murders; and Vulemar's addition on robberies.

384

Book XXX. Chap. 19.

dangerous to expect from the mutual agreement of the parties. They took care to fix the value of the composition which the party injured was to receive. All those barbarian laws are in this respect most admirably exact: the feveral cases are minutely * diftinguished, the circumstances are weighed, the law fubstitutes itself in the place of the person injured, and infifts upon the fame fatisfaction as he himfelf would have demanded in cold blood.

By the establishing of those laws, the German nations quitted that state of nature, in which they feem to have lived in Tacitus's time.

(a) Book i. tit. 7. §. 5. 15.

Rotharis declares in the law of (a) the Lombards, that he had increased the compositions anciently accustomed for wounds, to the end that the wounded person being fully satisfied, all enmities should cease. In fact, as the Lombards, from a very poor people, were grown rich by the conquest of Italy, the ancient compositions were become frivolous, and reconcilements were prevented. I do not question but this was the motive which obliged the other chiefs of the conquering nations to make the different codes of laws now extant.

12

tl

W

in

CI

ci

10

lice

tio

ari 11.

&c.

The principal composition was that which the murderer paid to the relations of the deceased. The (b) See the difference of (b) stations produced a difference in the law of the compositions: Thus in the law of the Angli, there was a composition of fix hundred sous for the mur-& 4. ibid. der of an adeling, two hundred for that of a freethe law of man, and thirty for killing a flave. The greatness the Bava- therefore of the composition fixed on the life of a rians, tit.i. chap. 8.& man, was one of his principal prerogatives; for beside

Angli, tit. 1. 5. 1. 2. tit. v. 4.6. 9. and the law of the Frifians. tit. xv.

^{*} The Salic laws are admirable in this respect; see especially the titles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, which related to the stealing of cattle.

the distinction it made of his person, it likewise esta- Book XXX. blished a greater security in his favour among rude Chap. 19. and violent nations.

This we are made sensible of by the law of the (a) Bavarians: it gives the names of the Bavarian sa- (a) Tit. ii. milies who received a double composition, because chap. 200 they were the first * after the Agilossings. The Agilossings were of the ducal race, and it was customary with that nation to chuse a duke out of that samily; these had a quadruple composition. The composition for the duke exceeded by a third, that which had been established for the Agilossings: because be is a duke, says the law, a greater bonour is paid to bim than to bis relations.

All these compositions were valued in money. But as those people, especially when they lived in Germany, had very little specie, they might pay it in cattle, corn, moveables, arms, dogs, hawks †, lands, &c. Very often the law itself || determined the value of those things; which explains how it was possible for them to have such a number of pecuniary punishments with so very little money.

These laws were therefore employed in determining exactly the difference of wrongs, injuries, and crimes; to the end that every one might know precisely how far he had been injured or offended, the

reparation

d

e.

1-

it fs

es

ne

ne

ne re

r-

e-

ſs

le

ne

lly

^{*} Hozidra, Ozza, Sagana, Habilingua, Anniena. Ibid.

[†] Thus the law of Ina valued life by a certain sum of money or by a certain portion of land. Leges Ina regis, titulo de villico regio, de priscis Anglorum legibus. Cambridge 1644.

^{||} See the law of the Saxons, which makes this same regulation for several people, chap. 18. See also the law of the Riputarians, tit. 36. §. 11. the law of the Bavarians, tit. 1. §. 10. & 11. Si aurum non habet, donet aliam pecuniam, mancipia, terram, &c.

Book reparation he was to receive, and especially that he XXX.

Chap. 19. was to receive no more.

In this light it is easy to conceive, that a person who had taken revenge after having received satisfaction, was guilty of a very great crime. This crime contained no less a public, than a private offence: it was a contempt of the law of itself: a crime which the legislators * never sailed to punish.

There was another crime, which above all others was considered as dangerous; when those people lost something of their spirit of independence, and when the kings endeavoured to establish a better civil administration: this was the resusing to give or to receive satisfaction. We find in the different codes of the laws of the Barbarians, that the legislators obliged them to it. In fact, a person who resused to receive satisfaction, wanted to preserve his right of prosecution; he who resused to give it, lest the right of prosecution to the person injured; and this is what the sages had resormed in the institutions of the Germans, whereby people were invited but not compelled to compositions.

* See the law of the Lombards, book i, tit. 25. §. 21. ibid. book i. tit. 9. §. 8. & 34. ibid. §. 38. and the capitulary of Charlemain in the year 802. chap. 32. containing an instruction given to those whom he sent into the provinces.

† See in Gregory of Tours, book vii. chap. 47. the detail of a process wherein a party loses half the composition that had been adjudged to him, for having done justice to himself, instead of receiving satisfaction, whatever injury he might have afterwards received.

|| See the law of the Saxons, chap. 3. & 4. the law of the Lombards, book i. tit. 37. §. 1. & 2. and the law of the Alemans, tit. 45. §. 1. & 2. This last law gave leave to the party injured to right himself upon the spot, and in the first transport of passion. See also the capitularies of Charlemain in the year 779. chap. 22. in the year 802. chap. 32. and also that of the year 805. chap. 5.

def

fofte

he

1

N

ti

fo

th

ra

ca

lon

he

on

if-

his

of-

1.

ers

ple

and

ivil

to

des

tors

ifed

ght

the

this

ons

but

· I

ibid.

y of

Etion

ofa

been

ad of

wards

Lommans,

y in-

ort of

r 779.

year

XXX.

I have just now made mention of a text of the Book Salic law, in which the legislator left the party offend- Chap. 19. ed at liberty to receive or to refuse satisfaction; 'tis the law * by which a person who had stripped a dead body, was expelled from fociety, till the relations upon receiving fatisfaction petitioned for his being readmitted. It was owing to the respect they had for facred things, that the compilers of the Salic laws did not meddle with the ancient ufage.

It would have been absolutely unjust to grant a composition to the relations of a robber who was killed in the fact, or to the relations of a woman who had been repudiatied for the crime of adultery. The law (a) of the Bavarians allowed no composi- (a) See the tion in the like cases, but punished the relations decree of Tassillon, who fought for revenge.

'Tis no rare thing to meet with compositions ribus legifor involuntary actions in the codes of the laws of 4. 10. 16. the Barbarians. The law of the Lombards is gene- 19. the rally very prudent; it (b) ordained, that in those law of the Angli, tit. cases the composition should be according to the 7. §. 4. person's generosity; and that the relations should no (b) Book i. longer be permitted to purfue their revenge.

Clotharius the fecond made a very wife decree: he forbad (c) the person robbed to receive any clan- (c) Pactus destine composition, and without an order from the protenore judge. We shall see presently the motive of this law.

de populatit.ix. §. 4.

pacis inter Childebertum & Clotarium, anno

regis circa

annum

593. & decretio CHAP. Clotarii 2.

Whon it was not determined by the law, * The compilers of the law of the Ripuarians feem to have 595.chap. foftened this. See the 85th title of those laws.

2 100

CHAP. XX.

Of what was afterwards called the jurisdiction of the lords.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 20.

The Efide the composition which they were obliged to pay to the relations for murders, or injuries, they were also under a necessity of paying a certain duty, which the codes of the barbarous laws call * fredum. We have no term in our modern languages to express it; yet I intend to treat of it at large; and in order to give an idea of it, I begin with defining it a recompence for the protection granted against the right of prosecution.

The administration of justice among those rude and unpolished nations, was nothing more than granting to the person who had committed an offence, a protection against the profecution of the party offended, and obliging the latter to accept of the fatisfaction due to him: infomuch that among the Germans, contrary to the practice of all other nations, justice was administered in order to protect the cri-

minal against the party injured.

The codes of the Barbarian laws have given us the cases in which these freda might be demanded. When the relations could not profecute, they allow of no fredum; in fact, when there was no profecution, there could be no composition for a protection (a) Book i. against it. Thus, in the law of the (a) Lombards, if a person happened to kill a freeman by chance,

tit. 9. §. 17. edition of Lindembrock.

* When it was not determined by the law, it was generally the third of what was given for the composition, as appears in the law of the Ripuarians, chap. 89. which is explained by the third capitulary of the year 813. Edition of Baluzius, tom. A Pag. 512.

ion

ed

es,

ain

all

ınit

e-9c

ion

nd

ng

-01

idac-

er-

15,

Ti-

us ed.

WC

u-

on ls,

e,

he

lly

in he

the law of

he paid the value of the man killed without the Book fredum, because as he had killed him involuntarily, Chap. 20. it was not the case in which the relations were allowed the right of profecution. Thus in the law of the (a) Ripuarians, when a man was killed with a piece (a) Tit.70. of wood, or with any instrument made by man; the instrument or the wood were deemed culpable, and the relations feized upon them for their own use, but were not allowed to demand the fredum.

In like manner, when a beaft happened to kill a man, the (b) same law established a composition (b) Tit.46. without the fredum, because the relations of the de- See also ceased were not offended.

the Lom-In fine, it was ordained by the (c) Salic law, that bards, a child who had committed a fault before the age book i. of twelve, should pay the composition without the §. 3. Linfredum: as he was not yet able to bear arms, he denbroc's could not be in the case in which the party in-caballus jured or his relations, had a right to demand fatif- cum pede, faction.

It was the criminal that paid the fredum for the (6) Tit.28. peace and fecurity, of which he had been deprived §. 6. by his crime, and which he might recover by protection. But a child did not lose this security; he was not a man, and confequently could not be expelled from human fociety.

This fredum was a local right in favour of the perfon who was * judge of the district. Yet the law of the Ripuarians (4) forbad him to demand it himself; (4) Tit 80. it ordained, that the party who had gained the cause should receive it and carry it to the fisc, to the end that there might be an eternal peace, fays the law, among the Ripuarians.

Cc 3 The

^{*} As appears by the decree of Clotharius II. in the year 595. fredus tamen judici in cujus pago est reservetur.

Book XXX.

bards

edition. /

The greatness of the fredum was proportioned to Chap. 20. the greatness of the * protection : thus the fredum for the king's protection was greater than what was granted for the protection of the count, or of the other judges, ball I sew men a modw sucrepost

Here I fee the origin of the jurisdiction of the lords. The fiefs comprized very large territories, as appears from a vast number of monuments. I have already proved that the kings raised no taxes on the lands belonging to the division of the Franks; much less could they reserve to themselves any duties on the fiefs. Those who obtained them, had in this respect a full and perfect enjoyment, reaping every fruit and possible emolument from them. And as one of the most considerable + emoluments was the judiciary profits (freda), which were received according to the usage of the Franks, it followed from thence, that the person seized of the fief, was also seized of the jurisdiction, the exercise of which confifted of the compositions made to the relations, and of the profits accruing to the lord; it was nothing more than ordering the payment of the compositions of the law, and demanding the law fines.

We find by the formularies containing a confirmation of the perpetuity of a fief in favour of a feudal (*) See the lord (*), or of the privileges of fiefs in favour of (b) 3d, 8th, churches, that the fiefs were possessed of this right. and 17th This appears also from an infinite number of charformula, book i. of Marcul-

ned, that the party who had reinsdran on fus. * Capitulare incerti anni, chap. 57. in Baluzius, tom. i. pag. (b) See the 515, and 'tis to be observed, that what was called fredum or 2d, 3d, and faida, in the monuments of the first race, is called by the name of bannum in those of the second race, as appears from the ca-4th formula of pitulary de partibus Saxoniæ, in the year 789. Marcul-

+ See the capitulary of Charlemain, de villis, where he ranks fus, book these freda among the number of the great revenues of what was called villa or the king's domains.

0

is

e

Ĉ

I

n

ters (*) containing a prohibition to the king's judges Book XXX. or officers of entering upon the territory in order to Chap. 20. exercise any act of judicature whatsoever, or to de- (*) See the mand any judiciary emolument. When the king's collections judges could no longer demand any thing in a di- of those charters, strict, they never entered it; and those to whom espethis district was lest, exercised the same functions as cially that at the end had been exercised before by the judges.

The king's judges are forbidden also to oblige the volume of parties to give security for their appearing before the historians of them: it belonged therefore to the person who had France, received the territory in sief, to demand this security. published by the BeThey mention also, that the king's commissaries nedictin shall no longer insist upon being accommodated monks. with a lodging; in effect, they no longer exercised any function in those districts.

The administration therefore of justice, both in the old and new fiefs, was a right inherent in the very fief itself, a lucrative right which constituted a part of it. For this reason it has been considered at all times in this light; from whence this maxim arose, that jurisdictions are patrimonial in France.

Some have thought that the jurisdictions derived their origin from the manumissions made by the kings and lords, in favour of their slaves. But the German nations, and those descended from them, are not the only people who manumized their slaves, and yet they are the only people that established patrimonial jurisdictions. Besides, we find by the formularies * of Marculsus that there were freemen dependent on these jurisdictions in the earliest times:

Cc 4

See the 3d, 4th, and 14th of the first book, and the charter of Charlemain, in the year 771. in Martenne, tom. i. Anecdot. collect. 11. præcipientes jubemus ut ullus judex publicus.... homines ipsius ecclesiæ et monasterii ipsius Morbaccossis tam ingenue quam et servos & qui super eoram terras manere, &c.

Book Chap, 20.

Sion

the flaves were therefore subject to the jurisdiction, because they were upon the territory, and they did not give rife to the fiefs for having been comprized in the fief.

Others have taken a fhorter cut: the lords, fay they, and this is all they fay, usurped the jurisdictions. But are the nations descended from Germany the only people in the world that usurped the rights of princes? We are fufficiently informed by history, that feveral other nations have encroached upon their fovereigns; and yet we find no other instance of what we call the jurisdiction of the lords. The origin of it is therefore to be traced in the usages and customs of the Germans.

(a) Treatile of village jurifdictions.

Whoever has the curiofity to look into Loyfeau (a), will be furprifed at the manner in which this author supposes the lords to have proceeded, in order to form and usurp their different jurisdictions. They must have been the most cunning people in the world; they must have robbed and plundered, not after the manner of a military people, but as the judges of a village and the attornies rob the poor people between them. Those brave warriors must be faid to have formed throughout all the particular provinces of the kingdom, and in fo many kingdoms, a general system of politics: Loyseau makes them reason, as he himself reasoned in his closet.

Once more: if the jurisdiction was not a dependance of the fief, how come we every where (b) to (b) See Monf. du find, that the service of the fief was to attend the Cange on king or the lord both in their courts and in the the word army? bominium. nd fan . loed hij ein in dy chon die lie

Chalengton in the year year, in Margant, come it Assender

take of fires it is a larger over the property desired to

CHAP. the state of the second of the

of

pi

m

CHAP. XXI.

Of the territorial jurisdiction of the churches.

THE churches acquired very confiderable revenues. We find that our kings gave them Chap. 21. great fifes, that is, great fiefs; and we find jurifdictions established at the same time in the demains of those churches. From whence could so extrordinary a privilege derive its origin? It must certainly have been in the nature of the thing given; the church land had this privilege, because it had not been taken from it. A fife was given to the church; and it was allowed to enjoy the fame privileges, as if it had been given to a vasfal. It was also subjected to the same service as it would have paid to the state, if it had been granted to a layman, according to what we have already observed.

The churches had therefore the right of demanding the payment of compositions in their territory; and of infifting upon the fredum; and as those rights necessarily implied that of hindering the king's officers from entering upon the territory, to demand these freda and to exercise acts of judicature, the right which the ecclefiaftics had of administering justice in their own territory, was called immunity in the ftyle of the formularies (*) of the charters, and of the ca- (*) See the pitularies.

The law of the Ripuarians * forbids the freed-mulary of men + of the churches, to hold the affembly | for ad- Marculministering fus, book

3d and

d

S

,

ir

of

ic

S

1-

h

n

s.

n

١,

e

.

d

1,

0

e

^{*} Ne alibi nisi ad ecclesiam ubi relaxati sunt, mallum teneant, tit. 58. f. 1. See also f. 19. Lindenbrock's edition.

⁺ Tabulariis. Mallum.

d

a

la B

t

fa

1

th

ea

di

di

ar

no

66

46

16

26

66

66

.

66

the

10

et i

wa

Воок XXX. Chap. 21.

ministering justice in any other place than in the church where they were manumifed. The churches had therefore jurisdictions even over freemen, and held their placita in the earliest times of the monarchy.

(a) Vita S. Germeri Episcopi Tolofani apud Bollandianos 16 Maii.

I find in the lives of the faints, (4) that Clovis gave to a certain holy person a power over a district of six leagues, and exempted it from all manner of jurifdiction. This, I believe, is a falfity, but it is a falfity of a very ancient date; both the truth and the fiction contained in that life are relative to the cuftoms and laws of those times, and 'tis these customs

(b) See also (b) and laws we are investigating.

the life of S. Melanius, and that of S. Deicola.

Clotharius II. orders * the bishops or the nobility who are possessed of estates in distant parts, to chuse upon the very fpot those who are to administer justice, or to receive the judiciary emoluments.

(c) Ibid, art. 5.

The fame prince (e) regulates the judiciary power between the ecclefiaftic courts and his officers. The capitulary of Charlemain in the year 802 prescribes to the bishops and abbots, the qualifications necessary for their officers of justice. Another capitulary (4) of law of the the fame prince inhibits the royal officers, to exercise any jurisdiction over + those who are employed in manuring church-lands, except they entered into that state by fraud, and to exempt themselves from brock's e- contributing to the public charges. Another | or-

(d) In the Lombards book ii. tit. 44. chap. 2. Lindemdition.

> In the council of Paris, in the year 615. Episcopi wel potentes qui in aliis possident regionibus judices wel missos discussores de aliis provinciis non instituunt, nist de loco qui justitiam percipiant & aliis reddant. art. 19. fee also the 12th art.

> + Servi Aldiones, libellarii antiqui vel alii noviter fadi. ibid. A capitulary of the year 806, it is added to the law of the Bavarians, art. 7. See also the 3d art. Lindembrock's edition. pag. 444. Imprimis omnium jubendum est ut habeant ecclesiæ earum justitias, & in vita illorum qui habitant in ipsis ecclesiis & post tam in pecuniis quam et in substantiis earum.

dains that the churches should have both criminal Book and civil jurisdiction, over those who live upon their Chap. 22, lands. In fine, as the capitulary (*) of Charles the Bald distinguishes between the king's jurisdiction, the year that of the lords, and that of the church; I shall 857. in fay nothing further * upon this subject.

Synodo apud Carifiacum art.

and and A P. XXII.

That the jurisdictions were established before the us, pag. end of the second race.

of Baluzi-

4. edition

TT has been pretended that the vaffals usurped the jurisdiction in their fiscs, during the disorders of the second race. Those who chuse rather to form a general proposition than to examine it, found it easier to say that the vassals did not posses, than to discover how they came to possess. But the jurisdictions do not owe their origin to usurpations; they are derived from the primitive establishment, and not from its corruption.

" He who kills a freeman, fays (b) the law of (b) Tit.iii. "the Bavarians, shall pay a composition to his re-chap. 13-" lations, if he has any; if not, he shall pay it to the brock's "duke or to the person under whose protection he edition.

" had put himself in his life-time. 'Tis well known

" what it was to put one's felf under the protection

" of another for a benefice.

"He who has been robbed of his flave, fays the (5) Tit. 85.

" law of the Alemans (c), shall have recourse to the

" prince to whom the robber is subject; to the end

" that he may obtain a composition.

See the letter written by the bishops assembled at Rheims in the year 858. art. 7. in the capitularies, Baluzius's edition, pag. 108. Sicut illæ res & facultates in quibus vivunt clerici, ita et illæ sub consecratione immunitatis sunt de quibus debent militare vasfalli, &c.

ufoms lity

the

hes

eld

ave

fix

rif-

s a

the

ufe fter wer

The bes ary of

cife in nto om

orains oten-

s de iant id.

the ion. rum post

BOOK XXX. Chap. 21.

"If a centenarius, fays * the decree of Childebert. " finds a robber in another hundred than his own, or in the limits of our faithful vaffals, and does not 46 drive him out, he shall represent the robber, and " purge himself by oath." There was therefore a difference between the diffrict of the centenarii and that of the vaffals.

This decree + of Childebert explains the conftitution of Clotharius in the same year, which being given for the same case and fact, differs only in the terms, the constitution calling in truste, what by the decree is called in terminis fidelium nostrorum. Mes-(a) See the fieurs Bignon and Ducange (a) who pretend that in truste fignified another king's domain, are mistaken in their conjecture.

Gloffary on the word truftis.

But, to finish the dispute at once, the second race, was neither in disorder nor in its decline under Charlemain: during his reign there were no ufurpa-If then the patrimonial jurisdictions were established in his time, this convenient system falls of itself to the ground.

Pepin, king of Italy, in a constitution | that had been made as well for the Franks as for the Lombards, after imposing penalties on the counts and

other

In the year 505. art. 11. & 12. edition of the capitularies by Baluzius, pag. 19. Pari conditione convenit ut fi una centena in alia centena vestigium secuta fuerit & invenerit, vel in quibuscunque fidelium noftrorum terminis vestigium miserit & ibsum in aliam centenam minime expellere potuerit, aut convictus reddat latronem, &c.

+ Si vestigius comprobatur latronis, tamen præsentia nibil longe mulctando; aut si persequens latronem suum comprehenderit, integram sibi compositionem accipiat. Quod si in truste invenitur, midietatem compositionis truftis adquirat, & capitale exigat a latrone,

art. 2. & 3.

I Inferted in the law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 52. §. 14. it is the capitulary of the year 793. in Baluzius, pag. 544. art 10.

ert,

or ,

not

and

e a

and

ısti-

ing

the

the

Tef-

17:

ken

ace,

ider

pa-

vere

falls

had

omand

ther

aries

itena

quipfum

ddat

longe

inte-

mlrone,

. 14.

art.

other royal officers for prevarications or delays in the administration of justice, ordains * that if it hap- Chap. 22. pens that a Frank or a Lombard possessed of a fief is unwilling to administer justice; the judge to whose district he belongs, shall suspend the exercise of his fief, and in the mean time, either the judge or his commissary shall administer justice.

It appears by a capitulary (a) of Charlemain, that (a) The the kings did not levy the freda in all places. other (b) capitulary of the same prince repeals several 812. art. articles of the Salic, Burgundian, and Roman law, 10. to the end that his + vaffals may observe an uni- (b) The formity in the administration of justice. By an-fecond of the year other (c) of the same prince we find the feudal laws, 813. Baand feudal court already established. Another of luzius's Lewis the Pious ordains, that when a person posfeffed of a fief, does not administer justice ||, or hin-fecond caders it from being administered, the king's comissa- pitulary ries shall live upon him at discretion, till justice be of the administered. I shall still quote two & capitularies of Charles the Bald, one of the year 861; where we

find

· Et si forsitan Francus aut Longobardus babens beneficium justitiam facere nolucrit, ille judex in cujus ministerio fuerit, contradicat illi beneficium suum, interim dum ipse aut missus ejus justitiam faciat. See also the same law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 52. §. 2. which relates to the capitulary of Charlemain of the year 779. art. 21.

+ Ut unusquisque fidelis justitias ita faceret. Ibid.

Capitulare quintum anni 819. art. 23. Baluzius's edition, pag. 617. Ut ubicunque miffi aut episcopum aut abbatem aut alium quemlibet bonore præditum invenerint qui justitiam facere noluit vel prohibuit, de ipfius rebus vivant quamdiu in eo loco justitias facere debent.

§ Edictum in Carifiaco in Baluzius, tom. ii. pag. 152. unufquisque advocatus pro omnibus de sua advocatione . . . in convenientia ut cum ministerialibus de sua advocatione quos invenerit

contra hunc bannum nostrum fecisse . . . castiget.

Book find the particular jurisdictions established, with XXX. judges and subordinate officers; and the other * of the year 864," where he makes a distinction between his own seignories and those of private people.

We have not the original grants of the fiefs, because they were established by the division which is known to have been made among the conquerors. It cannot therefore be proved by original contracts, that the jurisdictions were at first annexed to the fiefs: but if in the formularies of the confirmations, or of the translations of those fiefs in perpetuity, we find, as already has been observed, that the jurisdiction was there established; this judiciary right must certainly have been inherent in the fief, and one of its

chief prerogatives.

We have a far greater number of monuments that establish the patrimonial jurisdiction of the clergy in their districts, than we have to prove that of the benefices or fiefs of the feudal lords; for which there are two reasons. The first, that most of the monuments now extant, were preserved or collected by the monks, for the use of their mona-The fecond, that the patrimony of the feveral churches having been formed by particular grants, and by a kind of exception to the order established, they were obliged to have charters granted to them; whereas the concessions made to the feudal lords being confequences of the political order, they had no occasion to demand, and much less to preserve a particular charter. Nay, the kings were oftentimes fatisfied with making a fimple delivery with the scepter, as appears by the life of S. Maur.

But

0

h

Pb

1

C

^{*} Edicum Pistense, art. 18. Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 181. Si in siscum nostrum wel in quamcunque immunitatem aut alicujus potentis potestatem wel proprietatem consugerit, &c.

But the third formulary * of Marculfus fufficiently Book proves, that the privilege of immunity and confe- Chap. 23. quently that of jurisdiction, were common to the clergy and the laity, fince it is made for both.

with

of

reen

be-

h is

ors. icts,

the

or or

ind,

tion

cer-

its

ents

the

that

for

nost

or

na-

fe-

ular

der

ted

idal

der,

s to

rere

rery

ır.

But pag.

aut

CHAP. XXIII.

General idea of the Abbe Du Bos's book on the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul.

DEfore I finish this book, 'tis proper I inquire a little into the Abbe du Bos's work, because my notions are perpetually contrary to his; and if he has hit the truth, I must have missed it.

This work has imposed upon a great many people, because it is written with a vast deal of art; because the point in question is constantly supposed; because the more it is deficient in proofs, the more it abounds in probabilities; and, in fine, because an infinite number of conjectures are laid down as principles, and from thence other conjectures are inferred as consequences. The reader forgets he has been doubting, in order to begin to believe. And as a prodigious fund of erudition is interspersed, not in the system, but around it, the mind is taken up with the appendages, and neglects the principal. Besides, such a vast multitude of refearches hardly permit one to imagine that nothing has been found; the length of the way makes us think we are arrived at our journey's end.

But when we examine thoroughly, we find an immenfe coloffus with earthen feet; and 'tis the earthen feet that render the colossus immense. If the Abbe du Bos's system had been well grounded, he would

^{*} Lib. i. Si beneficia opportuna locis ecclesiarum aut cui voluerit

Book XXX. Chap. 24.

not have been obliged to write three huge volumes to prove it; he would have found every thing within his fubject, without wandering on every fide in quest of what was extremely foreign to it. Even reason itself would have undertaken to range this in the same chain with the other truths. Our history and laws would have told him: Do not take so much trouble, we shall be your vouchers.

CHAP. XXIV.

The same subject continued. Restection on the main part of the system.

THE Abbe du Bos endeavours by all means to explode the received opinion that the Franks made the conquest of Gaul. According to his system our kings were invited by the people, and only substituted themselves in the place, and succeeded to the rights, of the Roman emperors.

This pretention cannot be applied to the time when Clovis, upon his entering Gaul, took and plundered the towns; neither is it applicable to the time when he defeated Siagrius the Roman commander, and conquered the country which he held; it can therefore be referred only to the time when Clovis, already mafter of a great part of Gaul by open force, was called by the choice and affection of the people to the fovereignty over the rest of the country. And it is not enough that Clovis was received, he must have been called; the Abbe du Bos must prove that the people chose rather to live under Clovis, than under the domination of the Romans, or under their own laws. Now the Romans belonging to that part of Gaul not yet invaded by the Barbarians,

were,

1

ti

p

n

tr

al

er ft

ri

la

th

ci

pr

en

th

ki

m

co

w

tia

ful

len

du

of

bel

Ibi

is ρÊ

t-.

ne

d

to

S

is

d

C-

ne

nd

ne

n-

an

is,

e, le

y.

he

ve

is,

er

to

IS.

e,

VOL. II.

were, according to this author, of two forts; the Book first were of the Armorican confederacy, who had Chap. 34. driven away the emperor's officers, in order to defend themselves against the Barbarians, and to be governed by their own laws; the second were subject to the Roman officers. Now does this gentleman produce any convincing proof that the Romans who were still subject to the empire, called in Clovis? not one. Does he prove that the republic of the Armoricans invited Clovis, or even concluded any treaty with him? not at all. So far from being able to tell us the fate of this republic, he cannot even fo much as prove its existence; and notwithflanding he pretends to trace it from the time of Honorius to the conquest of Clovis, notwithstanding he relates with a most admirable exactness all the events of those times; still this republic remains invisible in ancient authors. For there is a wide difference between proving by a passage of Zozymus (a), that under the (a) Hift emperor Honorius, the * country of Armorica and lib. vi. the other provinces of Gaul revolted and formed a kind of a republic; and shewing us that notwithstanding the different pacifications of Gaul, the Armoricans always formed a particular republic, which continued till the conquest of Clovis; and yet this is what he should have shewn by strong and substantial proofs, in order to establish his system. For when we behold a conqueror entering a country, and fubduing a great part of it by force and open violence, and foon after we find the whole country fubdued, without any mention in history of the manner of its being effected, we have fufficient reafon to believe that the affair ended as it began. D d b siaw etil When

* Totusque tractatus Armoricus aliæque Galliarum provincia. Ibid.

pag. 270.

THE SPIRIT

Book When we find he has mistaken this point, it is Chap. 24. easy to perceive that his whole system falls to the ground; and as often as he infers a consequence from these principles, that Gaul was not conquered by the Franks, but that the Franks were invited by the Romans, we may fafely deny it.

This author proves his principle, by the Roman dignities with which Clovis was invested: he insifts that Clovis succeeded to Chilperic his father in the office of magister militia. But these two offices are merely of his own creation. S. Remigius's letter to Clovis, on which he grounds his opinion (a), is only ii. book 3. a congratulation upon his accession to the crown. chap. 18. When the intent of a writing is fo well known, why

should we give it another turn?

Clovis, towards the end of his reign, was made conful by the emperor Anastasius: but what right could he receive from an authority that lafted only one year? 'Tis very probable, fays our author, that in the fame diploma the emperor Anastasius made Clovis proconful. And, I fay, 'tis very probable, he did not. With regard to a fact for which there is no foundation, the authority of him who denies, is equal to that of him who affirms. But I have also a reason for denying it. Gregory of Tours, who mentions the confulate, fays never a word concerning the proconfulate. And even this proconfulate could have lasted only about six months. Clovis died a year and a half after he was made conful; and we cannot pretend to make the proconfulate an hereditary office. In fine, when the confulate, and, if you will, the proconfulate were conferred upon him, he was already mafter of the monarchy, and all his rights were established.

o mil emil into Bort

The

is in

vi

co

W

kin

per

of

of

tha

a lo

rig

the

nar

of t

of t

in t

nati

Wh

to a

V

rade

in th

verf

conc

else

him

and :

Is it

Tou

mitte

laid 1

walk

Were

The fecond proof alledged by the Abbe du Bos, is the renunciation made by the emperor Justinian, Chap. 24. in favour of the children and grand-children of Clovis, of all the rights of the empire over Gaul. I could fay a great deal concerning this renunciation. We may judge of the regard shewn to it by the kings of the Franks, from the manner in which they performed the conditions of it. Besides, the kings of the Franks were mafters, and peaceable fovereigns of Gaul; Justinian had not one foot of ground in that country; the western empire had been destroyed a long time before; and the eastern empire had no right to Gaul; but as representing the emperor of the west. These were rights to rights; the monarchy of the Franks was founded; the regulation of their establishment was made, the reciprocal rights of the persons and of the different nations who lived in the monarchy, were agreed on; the laws of each nation were given, and even reduced, in writing. What could therefore that foreign renunciation avail to a government already established?

What can the Abbe mean by making such a parade of the declamations of all those bishops, who in the midst of the disorder, confusion, and total subversion of the state, as well as in the ravages of conquest, endeavour to flatter the conqueror? What else is implied by flattering, but the weakness of him who is obliged to flatter? What does rhetoric and poetry prove, but the use of those very arts? Is it possible to help being surprised at Gregory of Tours, who after mentioning the assassinations committed by Clovis, says, that God notwithstanding laid his enemies every day at his feet, because he walked in his ways? Who doubts but the clergy were glad of Clovis's conversion, and but that they

Dd 2

The

it is

the

ence

ered

l by

man

alifts

the

s are

er to

only

own.

why

made

right

only

that

made

able,

there

nies,

alfo

who

cern-

ulate

lovis

ful;

te an

and,

upon

and

even

404

XXX. Chap. 24.

Book even reaped great advantages from it? But who doubts at the same time, that the people experienced all the miseries of conquest, and that the Roman government submitted to that of the Franks? The Franks were neither willing nor able to make a total change; and few conquerors were ever feized with fo great a degree of madness. But to render all the Abbe du Bos's consequences true, they must not only have made no change amongst the Romans. but they must have even changed themselves.

I could undertake to prove, by following this author's method, that the Greeks never conquered Persia. I would set out with mentioning the treaties which some of their cities concluded with the Perfians: I would mention the Greeks who were in Perfian pay, as the Franks were in the pay of the Romans. And if Alexander entered the Persian territories, befieged, took, and destroyed the city of Tyre, it was only a particular affair like that of Syagrus. But, behold the Jewish pontiff goes out to meet him. Listen to the oracle of Jupiter Hammon. Recollect how he had been predicted at Gordium. See what a number of towns crowd, as it were, to submit to him; and how all the Satraps and grandees come to pay him obeifance. He puts on the Persian dress; this is Clovis's consular robe. Does not Darius offer him one half of his kingdom? Is not Darius affaffinated like a tyrant? Do not the mother and wife of Darius weep at the death of Alexander? Were Quintus Curtius, Arrian, or Plutarch, Alexander's cotemporaries? Has not the invention of * printing afforded us great lights, which those authors wanted? Such is the history of the establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul.

CHAP.

fe

fa

h

01

in

OL

to

m

an

co

lav

the

the

dre

but

gue

thre

who

trib

fort

tion

that

Fran

rians,

^{*} See the preliminary discourse of the Abbe du Bos.

C H A P. XXV. Of the French nobility.

THE Abbe du Bos maintains, that in the commencement of our monarchy there was only one order of citizens among the Franks, This affertion, so injurious to the blood of our principal families, is equally affronting to the three great houses which successively governed this realm. The origin of their grandeur would not therefore be lost in oblivion, night, and time. History would point out the ages when they were common families; and to make Childeric, Pepin, and Hugh Capet gentlemen, we should be obliged to trace their pedigree among the Romans or Saxons, that is, among the conquered nations.

Book XXX. Chap. 25.

This author grounds (a) his opinion on the Salic (a) See the law. By this law, he fays, it plainly appears, that establishment were not two different orders of citizens among the Franks: it allowed a composition * of two hunmonardred sous for the murder of any Frank whatsoever; chy, vol. iii. book but among the Romans it distinguished the king's 6. chap. guest, for whose death it gave a composition of 4- pag. three hundred sous, from the Roman proprietor to whom it granted a hundred, and from the Roman tributary to whom it gave only a composition of sorty-sive. And as the difference of the compositions formed the principal distinction, he concludes that there was but one order of citizens among the Franks, and three among the Romans.

Dd 3

It

this ered aties

who eri-

Roks?

ized

nder

nust

ans,

Per-Per-Roerri-

y of t of out

Goras it traps puts

robe.
om?

h of Plue in-

hich f the

A P.

^{*} He cites the 44th title of this law, and the law of the Repua-

Book XXX. Chap. 25.

It is aftonishing that his very mistake did not set him right. In fact, it would have been vaftly extraordinary that the Roman nobility who lived under the domination of the Franks, should have a larger composition and been persons of much greater importance than the most illustrious among the Franks, and their greatest generals. What probability is there, that the conquering nation should have so little respect for themselves, and so great a regard for the conquered people? Befides, our author quotes the laws of other barbarous nations, which prove that they had different orders of citizens. Now it would be very extraordinary indeed that this general rule should have failed only among the Franks; this ought to have made him conclude either that he did not rightly understand, or that he misapplied the passages of the Salic law, which is actually the case.

Upon opening this law, we find that the compofition for the death of an Antrustio *, that is, of the king's vassal, was six hundred sous; and that for the death of a Roman, who was the (a) king's guest, was only three hundred. We find there that (b) the composition for the death of an ordinary Frank (c) was two hundred sous; and for the death of an ordinary Roman (d), was only one hundred. For the death of a Roman (c) tributary, who was a kind of slave or freedman, they paid a composition of forty-sive sous: but I shall take no notice of this, no more than of the composition

(a) Salic law, tit. 44. §. 6. (b) Ibid. tit.44. §. 4. (c) Tit. 44. §. 1. (d) Tit. 44. §. 15. (e) Salic law, tit. 44. §. 7.

Qui in truste dominica est, tit. 44. §. 4. and this relates to the 13th formulary of Marculfus, de regis Antrustione. See also the title 66. of the Salic law, §. 3. & 4. and the title 74. and the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 11. and the capitulary of Charles the Bald apud Carisiacum, in the year 877. chap. 20.

& 5.

fition for the murder of a Frank slave or of a Book XXX.

Frank freedman, because this third order of persons Chap. 25.
is out of the question.

What does our author do? He is quite filent in respect to the first order of persons among the Franks, that is, the article relating to the Antrustio's; and afterwards upon comparing the ordinary Frank, for whose death they paid a composition of two hundred sous, with those whom he distinguishes under three orders among the Romans, and for whose death they paid different compositions, he finds that there was only one order of citizens among the Franks, and that there were three among the Romans.

As this gentleman is of opinion that there was only one order of citizens among the Franks, it would have been lucky for him that there had been only one order also among the Burgundians, because their kingdom constituted one of the principal parts of our monarchy. But in their codes * we find three forts of compositions, one for the Burgundians or Roman nobility, the other for the Burgundians or Romans of a middling condition, and the third for those of a lower rank in both nations. He has not quoted this law.

t

S

e

ie

ce

0-

on

to

lio

nd

les

'Tis very extraordinary to see in what manner he evades (a) those passages which press him hard on (b) Estaall sides. If you speak to him of the grandees, blishment of the lords, and the nobility: these, he says, are mere French distinctions of respect, and not of order; they are monarchy vol. iii. things of courtesy, and not prerogatives of law; or book 6.

D d 4

* Si quis quolibet casu dentem optimati Burgundioni vel Romano nobili excusserit, solidos viginti quinque cogatur exsolveres, de mediocribus personis ingenuis tam Burgundionibus quam Romanis si dens excussus suerit, decem solidis componatur, de inferioribus personis quinque solidos. art. 1.2 & 3. of tit. 26. of the law of the Burgundians.

XXX.

(a) Efta-

of the

French

vol. iii.

chap. 5.

& 320.

(b) Ibid.

vol. iii.

book 6. chap. 4.

& 308.

Book else, he says, those people belonged to the king's Chap. 25. council; nay, they possibly might be Romans, but still there was only one order of citizens among the Franks. On the other hand, if you speak to him of some Franks of an inferior rank (2), he fays, they blishment are flaves; and thus he interprets the decree of Childebert. But I must stop here a little, to inquire monarchy further into this decree. Our author has rendered it famous by availing himfelf of it in order to prove two things; the one (b) that all the compositions we pag. 319. meet with in the laws of the Barbarians were only civil interests added to corporal punishments, which intirely fubverts all the ancient monuments; the other, that all freemen were judged directly and impag. 307. mediately by the king (°), which is contradicted by an infinite number of paffages and authorities that inform us of the (4) judiciary order of those times.

(c) Ibid. pag. 309. and in the following chapter, pag. 319.

& 320. (d) See the 28thbook of this work, chap. 28. and the chap. 8.

This decree, which was made in an affembly * of the nation, fays, that if the judge finds a notorious robber, he must command him to be tied, in order to be carried before the king, if he is a Frank (Francus); but if he is a weaker person (debilior persona), he shall be hanged upon the spot. According to the Abbe du Bos, Francus is a freeman, debilior persona is a flave. I shall defer entering for a moment into 31st book the fignification of the word Francus, and begin with examining what can be understood by these words, a weaker person. In all languages whatsoever, every comparative necessarily supposeth three terms, the greatest, the lesser, and the smallest. none were here meant but freemen and flaves, they

would

^{*} Itaque Colonia convenit & ita bannivimus ut unusquisque judex criminosum latronem ut audierit, ad casam suam ambulet & ipsum ligare faciat, ita ut fi Francus fuerit, ad nostram præsentiam dirigatur, & si debilior persona fuerit, in loco pendatur Capitulary, of Baluzius's edition, tom. i. pag. 19.

would have said a slave, and not a man of a leffer Book power. Wherefore debilior persona does not fignify Chap. 25. a flave, but a person of a superior condition to a flave. Upon this supposition, Francus cannot mean a freeman but a powerful man; and this word is taken here in that acceptation, because among the Franks there were always men who had a greater power in the state, and it was more difficult for the judge or count to chastise them. This explication agrees very well with a great number of capitularies (a), where (a) See the we find the cases in which the criminals were to be 28th book carried before the king, and those in which it was work, otherwise: chap. 28.

We find in the life of Lewis the Pious (b), written and the 31st book. by Tegan, that the bishops were the principal cause chap, 8. of the humiliation of this emperor, especially those (b) Chap. who had been flaves, and those who were born a- 43. & 44mong the Barbarians. Tegan thus addresses Hebo. whom this prince had drawn from the state of fervitude, and made archbishop of Rheims. " recompence * did the emperor receive from you for " so many benefits? He made you a freeman, but did " not ennoble you, because be could not give you no-" bility after baving given you your liberty."

This discourse which proves so strongly the two orders of citizens, does not at all confound the Abbe du Bos. He answers thus ('); The meaning of this (') Estapassage is not, that Lewis the Pious was incapable of blifment introducing Hebo into the order of the nobility. Hebo, as French archbishop of Rheims, must have been of the first order, monarchy superior to that of the nobility. But I leave the book 6. reader to judge, whether this be not the meaning of chap. 4. that passage; I leave him to judge whether there can pag. 3, 6.

^{*} O qualem remunerationem reddidifti ei! fecit te liberum, non nobilem, quod impossibile eft poft libertatem. Ibid.

XXX. Chap. 25. (2) Ibid.

Book be any question here concerning a precedency of the clergy over the nobility. This passage proves only, continues the fame writer (a), that the free-born subjects were qualified as noblemen; in the common acceptation noblemen and men who are free-born have for this long time signified the same thing. What! because some of our burghers have lately assumed the quality of noblemen, shall a passage of the life of

(b) Ibid.

Lewis the Pious be applied to this fort of people? And perhaps, continues he still (b), Hebo had not been a flave among the Franks, but among the Saxons, or some other German nation, where the people were divided into several orders. Then because of the Abbe du Bos's perhaps there must have been no nobility among the nation of the Franks. But he never applied a perhaps so badly. We have seen that Tegan * diftinguishes the bishops, who had opposed Lewis the Pious, fome of whom had been flaves, and others of a barbarous nation. Hebo belonged to the first, and not to the second. Besides, I do not fee how a flave fuch as Hebo, can be faid to have been a Saxon or German: a flave has no family, and confequently no nation. Lewis the Pious manumifed Hebo; and as flaves after their manumission, embraced the law of their master, Hebo was become a Frank, and not a Saxon or German.

I have been hitherto acting offenfively; 'tis now time to defend myself. It will be objected to me, that indeed the body of the Antrustio's formed a distinct order in the state, from that of the freemen: but as the fiefs were at first precarious, and afterwards for life; this could not form a nobleness of descent, since the prerogatives were not annexed to

Pii, cap. 43. & 44.

^{*} Omnes episcopi molesti fuerunt Ludovico, & maxime ii quoi è servile conditione bonoratos babebat, cum bis qui ex barbaris nationibus ad boc fastigium perducti sunt. De gestis Ludovici

973 30

vol. iii.

chap. c.

cluded.

an hereditary fief. This is the objection which in- Book duced Mr. de Valois to think, that there was only Chap. 25. one order of citizens among the Franks; an opinion which the Abbe du Bos has borrowed of him, and billement which he has abfolutely spoiled with so many bad arguments. Be that as it will, 'tis not the Abbe du relations Bos that could make this objection. For after having given three orders of Roman nobility, and the quality of the king's guest for the first, he could not PRE. 302. pretend to fay, that this title was a greater mark of a noble descent than that of Antrustio. But I must give a direct answer, The Antrustio's or trusty men were not fuch, because they were possessed of a fief, but they had a fief given them because they were Antruftio's or trufty men. The reader may please to recollect what has been said in the first chapters of this book. They had not at that time, as they had afterwards, the same fief: but if they had not that, they had another, because the fiefs were given at their birth, and because they were often given in the affemblies of the nation, and, in fine, because as it was the interest of the nobility to have them, it was likewise the king's interest to give them. These families were distinguished by their dignity of trufty men, and by the prerogative of being qualified to vow fealty for a fief. In the following book (a), I shall shew, that by the (a) Chap. circumstances of time there were freemen, who were 23. permitted to enjoy this great prerogative, and confequently to enter into the order of nobility. It was not fo at the time of Gontram, and his nephew Childebert; but fo it was at the time of Charlemain. But tho' in that prince's reign the freemen were not incapable of poffeffing fiefs, yet it appears by the above-cited paffage of Tegan, that the freedmen were absolutely ex-

IS

0

W

e,

a

n:

r-

of

to

an

72105

aris

vici

XXX. Chap. 25. (a) Eftaof the French monarchy vol. iii. book 6. chap. 4. pag. 302.

Book cluded. Will the Abbe du Bos (1), who carries us to Turky, to give us an idea of the ancient French nobility; will he, I fay, pretend that they ever blifthment complained in Turky of the elevation of people of low birth to the honors and dignities of the state, as they complained under Lewis the Pious and Charles the Bald? There was no complaint of this kind under Charlemain, because this prince always diftinguished the ancient from the new families; which Lewis the Pious and Charles the Bald did not.

> The public should not forget the obligation it has to the Abbe du Bos for several excellent performances. 'Tis by these works, and not by his history of the establishment of the French monarchy we ought to judge of his merit. He fell into very great miftakes, because he had more in view the count of Boulainvilliers's work, than his own fubject.

> From all these criticisms I shall draw only one reflection: if so great a man was mistaken, what ought not I to fear?

> > BOOK

however to imagine that countries has



BOOK XXXI.

Theory of the feudal laws among the Franks in the relation they bear to the revolutions of their monarchy.



CHAP. I.

Changes in the offices and in the fiefs. Of the mayors of the palace.

THE counts at first were sent into their districts Book XXXI. only for a year; but they soon purchased the Chap. 1. continuation of their offices. Of this we have an example in the reign of Clovis's grand-children. A person named Peonius (*) was count in the city of (*) Gre-Auxerre; he sent his son Mammolus with money to gory of Tours, Gontram, to prevail upon him to continue him in book iv. his employment; the son gave the money for him-chap. 42. self, and obtained the sather's place. The kings had already begun to spoil their own favours.

Tho' by the laws of the kingdom the fiefs were precarious, yet they were neither given nor taken away in a capricious and arbitrary manner; nay, they were generally one of the principal subjects debated in the national affemblies. It is natural however

Book XXXI. Chap. 1.

however to imagine that corruption had feized this, as well as the other article; and that the possession of the fiefs, like that of the counties, was continued for money.

(2) Chap.

I shall shew in the course of this book (a), that, independently of the grants which the princes made for a certain time, there were others in perpe-The court wanted to revoke the grants that had been made; this occasioned a general discontent in the nation, and was foon followed with that famous revolution in the French history, whose first epoch was the amazing spectacle of the execution of Brunechild.

It appears at first extraordinary, that this queen, who was daughter, fifter, and mother to fo many kings, a princess to this very day famous for works worthy of an edile or a Roman proconful, born with an admirable genius for affairs, endowed with (b) Frede-qualities fo long respected, should see herself (b) of a fudden exposed to fo tedious, fo shameful and chronicle, chap. 42. cruel a torture, by (c) a king whose authority was but indifferently established in the nation; if she had tharius II. not incurred that nation's displeasure for some particular cause. Clotharius reproached (d) her with Chilperic, and father the murder of ten kings: but two of them he had of Dago- put to death himself; the death of some of the others was owing to chance, or to the villany of another queen; and a nation that had permitted (d) Fredechronicle, Fredegunda (°) to die in her bed, that had even opchap. 42. posed the punishment of her flagitious crimes, ought to have been very indifferent in respect to those of Brunechild.

(e) See Gregory of Tours, book viii. chap. 31.

garius's

(c) Clo-

fan of

bert.

garius's

She was put upon a camel, and led ignominioufly through the army; a certain fign that she had given great offence to that army. Fredegarius

relates

C

C

ir

P

in

bu

Fr

relates that Protarius*, Brunechild's favourite, stripped the lords of their property and filled the fisc Chap. I)
with the plunder; that he humbled the nobility,
and that no person could be sure of the post heheld.
The army conspired against him, and he was stabbed
in his tent; but Brunechild either by revenging †
his death, or by pursuing the same plan, became
every day more odious || to the nation.

Clotharius, ambitious of reigning alone, inflamed moreover with the most furious revenge, and fure of perishing if Brunechild's children got the upper hand, entered into a conspiracy against himself; and whether it was owing to ignorance, or to the necessity of his circumstances, he became Brunechild's accuser, and made a terrible example of that princess!

Warnacharius had been the very foul of the confpiracy formed against Brunechild; being at that
time mayor of Burgundy, he made & Clotharius
consent, that he should not be displaced while he
lived. By this means the mayor could no longer be
in the same case, as the French lords before that
time; and this authority began to render itself independent of the regal dignity.

It was Brunechild's unhappy regency, which had exasperated the nation. As long as the laws subsisted in their full force, no one could complain for having

^{*} Sava illi fuit contra personas iniquitas, sisco nimium tribuens, de rebus personarum ingeniose siscum vellens implere.... ut nullus reperiretur qui gradum quem arripuerat potuisset adsumere. Fredeg. Chron. cap. 27. in the year 605.

⁺ Ibid. cap. 28. in the year 607.

^{||} Ibid. cap. 41. in the year 613. Burgundiæ Farones tam episcopi quam cæteri Leudes, timentes Brunechildem & odium in eam habentes, consilium inientes, &c.

[§] Ibid. cap. 42. in the year 613. Sacramento a Clothario accepto ne unquam vita sua temporibus degradaretur.

Book XXXI. Chap. 1.

been deprived of a fief, fince the law did not bestow it upon him in perpetuity. But when fiefs came to be acquired by avarice, by bad practices and corruption, they complained of being deprived by irregular means, of things that had been irregularly acquired. Perhaps if the public good had been the motive of the revocation of those grants, nothing would have been faid: but they made a fliew of order, without concealing the corruption; the fifcal rights were claimed, in order to lavish the public treasure; and grants were no longer the reward or the encouragement of fervices. Brunechild, through a corrupt spirit, wanted to reform the abuses of the ancient corruption. Her caprices did not proceed from weakness: the vassals and the great officers thought themselves in danger; wherefore they prevented their own, by her ruin.

We are far from having all the records of what was transacted in those days; and the writers of chronicles, who understood very near as much of the history of their time, as our country clowns know of ours, were extremely barren. And yet we have a constitution of Clotharius, given * in the council of Paris for the reformation of † abuses, which shews that this prince put a stop to the complaints that had occasioned the revolution. On the the one hand, he consirms || all the grants that had been made or consirmed by the kings his predecessors; and, on the other, he ordains § that whatever

had

^{*} Some time after Brunechild's execution, in the year 615. See Baluzius's edition of the capitularies, pag. 21.

[†] Quæ contra rationis ordinem acta vel ordinata sunt ne in antea, quod avertat divinitas, contingant, disposuerimus Christo præfule per hujus edicti tenorem generaliter emendare. Ibid. art. 16.

[|] Ibid. art. 16.

[§] Ibid. art. 17.

Chap. 14

to them.

This was not the only concession the king made in this council; he enjoined that whatever had been innovated, in opposition to the privileges of the clergy, should be corrected; and he moderated the influence of the court in the † elections of bishops. He even reformed the fiscal affairs; ordaining that all the new || census's should be abolished, that they should not levy any § toll established since the death of Gontram; Sigebert, and Chilperic; that is, he abolished whatever had been done during the regencies of Fredegunda and Brunechild. He forbad the driving of his cattle to ** graze in private people's grounds; and we shall presently see that the reformation was still more general, and extended even to civil affairs.

Et quod per tempora ex boc prætermissum est vel debinc perpetualiter observetur.

| Ut ubicumque ceusus novus impiè additus est, emendetur.

le anich the more canacrous as it was more

§ 1bid. art. 9.

oreteen ope than the former, and, it bid bed

form medure on

The History of Gregory of Tours thews us, on A. A. H. Jand a ficroe as Aubarous pas. II. LOV of the other, kings of the bad a character. That

princes were bloody, injuft, and cruel, because an description was to the hadhanity farmed formetions to homes them it was only by the terror which the

anchest, and depended more in the

[†] Ita ut episcopo decedente in loco ipsius qui a metropolitans ordinari debet cum principalibus a clero & populo eligatur, & si persona condigna suerit per ordinationem principis ordinetur; vel certe si de palatio eligitur, per meritum persona & doctrina ordinetur. Ibid. art. 1.

CHAP. II.

How the civil government was reformed.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 2. Herto the nation had been feen to give marks of impatience and levity, in respect to the choice or conduct of her masters; she had been feen to regulate their differences, and to oblige them to come to an agreement amongst themselves. But now she did what before was quite unexampled; she cast her eyes on her actual situation, she examined the laws cooly, provided against their insufficiency, put a stop to violence, and moderated the regal power.

The masculine, bold, and insolent regencies of Fredegunda and Brunechild, had less surprised than warned the nation. Fredegunda had defended her villanies by new villanies; she had justified her poisonings and affaffinations by poisonings and affaffinations; and she had behaved in such a manner that her outrages were rather of a private than public nature. Fredegunda did more mischief: Brunechild threatened more. In this crisis, the nation was not fatisfied with fetting the feudal government to rights, she was also determined to secure For the latter was rather her civil government. more corrupt than the former, and this corruption was fo much the more dangerous as it was more ancient, and depended more in some measure on the abuse of manners than on that of laws.

The History of Gregory of Tours shews us, on the one hand a sierce and barbarous nation; and on the other, kings of as bad a character. These princes were bloody, unjust, and cruel, because all the nation was so. If christianity seemed sometimes to soften them, it was only by the terror which this religion

edition,

P

g

fli

all

the

vil

blo

this

gre

tion

the

whe

tion.

mitt

to d

they

gave

them

gave

their

of th

XXXI.

religion imprints in the guilty; the church support- Boos ed herfelf against them by the miracles and prodi- Chap, 2. gies of her faints. The kings were not addicted to facrilege, because they dreaded the punishments inflicted on facrilegious people: but this excepted, they committed either in their passion or in cool blood all manner of crimes and injustice, because in these the revengeful hand of the deity did not appear for visible. The Franks, as I have already observed, bore with bloody kings, because they were fond of blood themselves; they were not affected with the wickedness and extortions of their princes, because this was their own character. There had been a great many laws established, but the king rendered them all useless, by a kind of letters called preceptions *, which subverted those laws: these were in the nature of the rescripts of the Roman emperors, whether it be that our kings borrowed this usage of them, or derived it from their own natural disposition. We see in Gregory of Tours, how they committed murders in cool blood, and put the accused to death, who had not been fo much as heard; they gave preceptions + for illicit marriages; they gave them for transferring fuccessions; they gave them for depriving relations of their right; and they gave them, in fine, to qualify men to marry confecrated virgins. They did not indeed make laws of their own motion, but they fuspended the execution of those that had been already made.

1

0

t

e

2

of

ın

er

er

li-

er

an

f:

12-

m-

are

her

on

ore

on

on on

hefe

all

mes

this

gion

Clotharius's

They were orders which the king fent to the judges to do or to tolerate things contrary to law.

+ See Gregory of Tours, book iv. pag. 227. Both our history and the charters are full of this; and the extent of these abuses appears especially in Clotharius's constitution, inferted in the edition of the capitularies made to reform them. Baluzius's edition, pag. 7.

Clotharius's conftitution redressed all these grie-

Book XXXI. Chap, 2. (a) Art. 22. (b) Ibid. art. 6. (°)

vances; no one (*) could any longer be condemned without being heard; relations (b) were made to fucceed according to the order established by law; all preceptions for marrying religious women were made null (5); and those who had obtained and made use of them, were feverely punished. We might know perhaps more exactly his determinations with regard to these preceptions, if the thirteenth and the two next articles of this decree had not been loft through the injury of time. We have only the first words of this thirteenth article, ordaining that the precepsions shall be observed, which cannot be understood

of those he had just abolished by the same law. We

which is relative to his decree, and corrects in the

fame manner, every article of the abuses of the pre-

(4) In Ba- have another constitution (4) by the fame prince, luzius's edition of the capitularies, tom. i. pag. 7.

ceptions.

True it is that Baluzius finding this constitution without date, and without the name of the place where it was given, attributes it to Clotharius I. But I fay it belongs to Clotharius II. for three reafons; 1. it fays that the king will preferve the immunities * granted to the churches, by his father and grandfather. What immunities could the churches receive from Childeric grandfather of Clotharius I. who was not a christian, and who lived even before the foundation of the monarchy? But if we attribute this decree to Clotharius II. we shall find his grandfather to have been this very Clotha-

^{*} In the preceding book I have made mention of these immunities, which were grants of judicial rights, and contained prohibitions to the regal judges to perform any function in the territory, and were equivalent to the erection or grant of a fief.

Cramne, whom he had ordered to be burnt, together with his wife and children.

rius I. who made immense donations to the church, Book with a view of expiating the murder of his fon Chap. 2.

2. The abuses redressed by this constitution, were still fublishing after the death of Clotharius I. and were even carried to their highest extravagance during the weakness of Gontram's reign, the cruelty of that of Chilperic, and the execrable regencies of Fredegunda and Brunechild. Now is it possible that the nation could have bore with grievances fo folemnly profcribed, without ever complaining of the continual repetition of those grievances? Is it posfible, that she could forbear doing at that time what fhe did afterwards, when Childeric II. (*) renewing (*) He bethe old oppressions, she pressed (b) him to ordain gan to reign to that the law and customs should be complied with wards the as formerly, in judicial proceedings.

In fine, as this constitution was made to redress grievances, it cannot relate to Clotharius I. fince life of S. there were no complaints of this kind in his reign, Leger. and his authority was well established throughout the ods to was kingdom, especially at the time in which they place this constitution; whereas it agrees very well with the events which happened during the reign of Clotharius II. which produced a revolution in the political state of the kingdom. We must clear up hi-

the Properties, through the metho car bet and

A La some Chearins over processing & relieve Bargundles Learn State conjugation, can entire that fall tran at collette gam

flory by the laws, and the laws by history.

3

d

H

1-

n-

he

Standann to M.

the year

Burgun dians in

per far.

and the

-siggut

or ment gived with

.63 .23

En Sec

Laregory

zi zeod

chan a6.

of lower als anonymous continuation has a term in the year but, and The Hart House of Charleman, & of As. Gota regue Frencenan.

rid, call of the year oc

S1812)

white a strict of H A P. III. to white a dried

this L. who made immedle departed to the charch; 299

Authority of the mayors of the palace.

Book XXXI. Chap. 3.

Took notice that Clotharius II. had promifed not 1 to deprive Warnacharius of his mayor's place during life. This revolution had another effect; before this time the mayor was the king's officer, but now he became the officer of the people; he was chosen before by the king, and now by the nation. Before the revolution Protarius had been made mayor by Theodoric, and * Landeric by Fredegunda; (a) Gesta but (a) after that the mayors were chosen by the nation +.

regum Francorum, chap.

We must not therefore confound, as some authors 36. have done, these mayors of the palace with those who were possessed of this dignity before the death of Brunechild; the king's mayors with those of the kingdom. We see by the law of the Burgundians, that among them the office of mayor was not one (b) See the of the (b) first in the state; nor was it one of law of the the most eminent (c) under the first kings of the Franks.

dians in præfat. and the fecond fupplement to this law.

tit. 13.

Clotharius removed the apprehensions of those who were possessed of employments and fiefs; and when after the death of Warnacharius I he asked the

laws, and the laws by hillory. * Instigante Brunechilde, Theodorico jubente, &c. Fredegarius, chap. 27. in the year 605.

(°) See Gregory book ix. chap. 36.

† See Fredegarius, chronicle, chap. 54. in the year 626. and of Tours, his anonymous continuator, chap. 101. in the year 695. and chap, 105. in the year 715. Aimoin, book iv. chap. 15. Eginhard life of Charlemain, chap. 48. Gefta regum Francorum. chap. 45.

> || Eo anno Clotarius cum proceribus & leudibus Burgundiæ Trecassinis conjungitur, cum corum esset sollicitus si wellent jam Warnachario

year beg

bidl (%)

caap. 8c

year bon

ad- of

lords affembled at Troyes, who is it they would put in Book XXXI. his place; they cried out they would chuse no one, Chap. 3. and petitioning for his favour they intrusted themselves entirely into his hands.

Dagobert reunited the whole monarchy in the same manner as his father; the nation had a thorough confidence in him, and appointed no mayor. This prince finding himself at liberty, and elated by his victories, resumed Brunechild's plan. But this succeeded so ill, that the vassals of Austrasia let themselves be beaten by the Sclavonians, and returned home; so that the marches of Austrasia were left in prey to the Barbarians.

He determined then to make an offer to the Auftrasians, of resigning Austrasia to his son Sigebert, together with a treasure, and to put the government of the kingdom and of the palace into the hands of Cuniebert bishop of Cologne, and of the duke Adalgisus. Fredegarius does not enter into the particulars of the conventions then made; but the king confirmed them all by charters, and † Austrasia was immediately secured from danger.

Dagobert finding himself near his last end, re-

Ee4 to

Warnachario discesso alium in ejus bonoris gradum sublimare, sed omnes unanimiter denegantes se nequaquam welle majorem-domus eligere, regis gratiam obnize petentes cum rege transegere. Fredegarius, chronicle, chap. 54. in the year 626.

* Istam victoriam quam Winidi contra Francos meruerunt, non tantum Sclavinorum sortitudo obtinuit quantum dementatio Austrasiorum, dum se cernebant cum Dagoberto odium incurrisse & assidue expoliarentur. Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 68. in the
year 630.

+ Deinceps Austrasis eorum sudio limitem & regnum Francorum contra Winidos utiliter desensasse noscuntur. Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 75. in the year 632. (b) Ibid.

(c) Ibid.

in the

(d) Ibid.

in the

Book to the care of Æga. The vassals of Neustria and XXXI. Burgundy chose (*) chose this young prince for their Chap. 3. king. Æga and Nentechildis had the government (a) Fred-(a) Fred-garius's of (b) the palace; they restored (c) whatever Dago-chronicle, bert had taken; and complaints ceased in Neustria and Burgundy, as they had ceased before in Auchap. 79. in the

strasia. year 638.

After the death of Æga, the queen Nentechildis(4) engaged the lords of Burgundy to chuse Floachatus for their mayor. The latter dispatched letters to the chap. 80. bishops and chief lords of the kingdom of Burgundy, by which he promised to preserve their honours and year 639. dignities * for ever, that is, during life. He confirmed his word by oath. This is the period, to chap. 89. which the author of the treatife of the mayors of year 641. the palace fixes the administration of the kingdom by those officers.

> Fredegarius being a Burgundian, has entered into a more minute detail, as to what concerns the mayors of Burgundy, at the time of the revolution of which we are speaking, than as to what relates to the mayors of Austrasia and Neustria. But the conventions made in Burgundy were, for the very fame

reasons, agreed to in Neustria and Australia.

The nation thought it fafer to lodge the power in the hands of a mayor whom the chose herself, and to whom the might prescribe conditions, than in those of a king whose power was hereditary.

CHAP.

^{*} Ibid, cap. 89. Floachatus cundis ducibus a regno Burgundiæ seu et pontificibus per epistolam etiam et sacramentis sirmavit unicuique gradum bonoris et dignitatem, seu et amicitiam perpetuo confervare.

⁺ Deinceps à temporibus Clodovei qui fuit filius Dagoberti inelyti regis, pater verd Theodorici, regnum Francorum decidens per majores domus capit ordinari. De majoribus domus regia.

primative Frank. VI the AFH De have only to

Of the genius of the nation in regard to the mayors.

A Government, in which a nation that had an hereditary king, chose a person who was to exercise the royal authority, seems very extraordinary: but independently of the circumstances of those times, I find that the notions of the Franks in this respect were derived from a higher source.

They were descended from the Germans, of whom Tacitus fays, that in the choice of their king they were determined by his nobility; and in that of their leader, by his valour. Here is the origin of the kings of the first race, and of the mayors of the palace; the former were hereditary, the latter elective.

No doubt but those princes, who stood up in the assembly of the nation and offered themselves as the conductors of an enterprise to such as were willing to follow them, united generally in their own person both the king's authority and the mayor's power. By their noble blood they had attained the royal dignity; and their valour having procured them several followers who pitched upon them for their leaders, it gave them the power of mayor. By the royal dignity our first kings presided in the tribunals and assemblies, and gave laws with the consent of those assemblies; by the dignity of duke or leader they entered upon expeditions, and commanded the armies.

In

XXXI. Chap, 4.

Alexan-

Reges ex nobilitate, duces ex virtute sumung. De moribus

Book XXXI. Chap. 5. (ª) See Sulpicius Alexander in Gregory of Tours, book ii.

In order to be acquainted with the genius of the primitive Franks in this respect, we have only to cast an eye on the conduct (a) of Argobastes, a Frank by nation, on whom Valentinian had conferred the command of the army. He shut the emperor up in his own palace; where he would not fuffer any perfon whatfoever to fpeak to him concerning either civil or military affairs. Argobastes did at that time what was afterwards practifed by the Pepins,

CHAP. V.

ands in this reliace

In what manner the mayors obtained the command of the armies.

A S long as the kings commanded their armies in person, the nation never thought of chusing

a leader. Clovis and his four fons were at the head of the Franks, and led them on through a long feries of victories. Theobald fon of Theodobert, a young, (4) In the weak, and fickly prince, was the first (b) of our kings that confined himself to his palace. He refused to engage in an expedition in Italy against Narses, and he had * the mortification to fee the Franks chuse themselves two chiefs, who led them against the enemy. Of the four fons of Clotharius I. Gontram + was the least fond of commanding his armies; the other kings followed this example; and, in order to

year 552.

* Leutharis verò et Butilimus, tametfi id regi ipforum minime placebat, belli cum eis sacietatem inierunt. Agathias, book i. Gregory of Tours, book iv. chap. 9.

intrust the command without danger into other

hands.

D

po

+ Gontram did not even march against Gondovald who stiled himself son of Clotarius, and claimed his share of the kingdom.

hands, they conferred it upon feveral chiefs or Book dukes . alusthib stora douth and I aveb slode of Chap. 5.

Innumerable were the inconveniences which thence arose; all discipline was lost, no one would any longer obey. The armies were dreadful only to their own country; they were loaden with spoils, before they reached the enemy. Of these miseries we have a very lively picture in Gregory of Tours (2). (4) Gre-How shall we be able to obtain a victory, said Gon- Tours, tram (b) we who do not so much as keep what our book viii. ancestors acquired? Our nation is no longer the same. and book Strange, that it should be on the decline x. chap. 3. fo early as the reign of Clovis's grandchildren!

Ibid. book

It was therefore natural that they should determine 30. at last upon an only duke, a duke who was to be (b) Ibid. vested with an authority over this prodigious multitude of feudal lords and vaffals, who were now become strangers to their own engagements; a duke who was to establish the military discipline, and to put himself at the head of a nation unhappily practifed in making war against itself. This power was con-

ferred on the mayors of the palace.

The original function of the mayors of the palace, was the management of the king's houshold. They had afterwards, in conjunction (°) with other officers, (°) See the the political government of the fiefs; and at length fupplethey obtained the fole disposal of them. They had ment to also the administration of military affairs and the the Burcommand of the armies; and these two employ- gundians, Authorita being annexed as it were to

ments th. 13.

hose this may orally and family Sometimes to the number of twenty. See Gregory of Tours, Tours, book v. chap. 27. book viii. chap. 18. & 30. book x. chap. 3. book ix. Dagobert, who had no mayor in Burgundy, observed the same chap. 36. policy, and fent against the Gascons ten dukes and several counts who had no dukes over them. Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 78. in the year 636.

Book XXXI. Chap. 6.

Any aldoc

wei. chap.

ments were necessarily connected with the other two. In those days it was much more difficult to raise than to command the armies; and who but the dispenser of favours could have this authority? In this martial and independent nation, it was prudent to invite, rather than to compel; it was prudent to give away or to promife the fiefs that should happen to be vacant by the death of the possessor; it was prudent, in fine, to reward continually, and to cause preferences to be dreaded. It was therefore right, that the person who had the superintendency of the palace, should also be general of the army. to surfy as the man of Cloyis's greadchildren!.

twas there .IV ture IA H Should determine so.

. bid! (d) Second epocha of the humiliation of our kings of the first race.

FTER the execution of Brunechild, the mayors were administrators of the kingdom under the kings; and though they had the management of the war, yet the kings were always at the head of the armies, and the mayor and the nation (*) See the fought under their command. But the victory () annals of of duke Pepin over Theodoric and his mayor, com-Metz, year 687. pleted * the degradation of our kings; and that (b) which Charles Martel obtained over Chilperic and and 688. Austrasia tri-(b) Annals his mayor Rainfroy, confirmed it. of Metz, year 719. umphed twice over Neustria and Burgundy; and the mayoralty of Australia being annexed as it were to the family of the Pepins, this mayoralty and family became greatly fuperior to all the reft. The conquerors were then afraid left some person of credit should

^{*} Illis quidem nomina regum imponens ipse totius regni babens privilegium, &c. Annals of Metz, year 695.

boll [7] by Gre-

to grong

-Sino F

zi dlood?

Cibe off

of Clo-

Inthevest

375 .7 10

098 (4) the agen

of thes

flun out . Nogg

should seize the king's person, in order to excite diffurbances. For this reason they kept * them in Chap. 7. the royal palace as in a kind of prifon, and once a year they shewed them to the people. There they made ordinances, but + they were fuch as were dictated by the mayor; they answered ambassadors, but the mayor made the answers. This is the time mentioned by | historians of the government of the mayors over the kings whom they held in fubjection. made to the validis and c

The extravagant paffion of the nation for Pepin's family went fo far, that they chose one of his grandfons who was yet § an infant for mayor; they put him over one Dagobert, that is one phantom over another.

CHAP. VII.

5

e

n

1-

6)

d

ne

to

ly

n-

it

ld

ens

Of the great offices and fiefs, under the mayors of the palace.

THE mayors of the palace were far from reviving the precariousness of posts and employments; for indeed their grandeur was owing to the protection which in this respect they had granted to

* Sedemque illi regalem sub sua ditione concessit. Ibid. anno 719.

† Ex chronico Centulensi, lib. il. ut responsa quæ erat edoctus vel potius jusus ex sua velut potestate redderet.

Annals of Metz, anno 691. Anno principatus Pippini Super Theodoricum Annals of Fuld, or of Laurishan, Pippinus dux Francorum obtinuit regnum Francorum per annos 27. cum regibus fibi subjectis.

§ Posthæc Theudoaldus filius ejus (Grimoaldi) paravulus in loco ipsius cum prædicto rege Dagoberto major-domus palatii effectus est. The anonymous continuator of Fredegarius in the year 714. Chap. 104.

Book to the nobility. Hence the great offices were con-XXXI. tinued to be given for life, and this usage was every day more firmly established.

> But I have some particular reslections to make here in respect to siefs: and in the first place I do not question but most of them became hereditary from this time.

(*) Cited by Gregory of Tours, book ix. See also the edict of Clotharius II. in the year 615. art. 16.

(b) See .

the 24th

and the

34th of

book.

In the treaty of Andeli (a), Gontram and his nephew Childebert engage to maintain the donations made to the vaffals and churches by the kings his predeceffors; and leave is given to the * wives, daughters, and widows of kings, to dispose by will and in perpetuity of whatever they hold of the fisc.

Marculfus wrote his formularies at the time (b) of the mayors. We find several † in which the kings make donations both to the person and to his heirs: and as the formularies are images of the common actions of life, they prove that part of the siefs were become hereditary towards the end of the first race. They were far from having in those days the idea of an unalienable domain; this is a modern thing, which they knew neither in theory nor practice.

In proof hereof we shall presently produce no less than positive facts; and if I can shew a time in which there were no longer any benefices for the army, nor any funds for its support; we must certainly conclude that the ancient benefices had been

alienated.

alie

tel,

car

tui

the

fell

rev

giv

COL

of

rig

the

In

cu ki

fru

he

th

m

ou

tu

ble

ad

th

by

"Ut si quid de agris siscalibus vel speciebus atque præsidio pro arbitrii sui voluntate sucere aut cuiquam conferre voluerint, sixa stabilitate perpetuo conservetur.

⁺ See the 14th formula of the first book, which is equally applicable to the fiscal estates given directly and in perpetuity, or given at first as a benefice, and afterwards in perpetuity: Sicut ab illo ant a fisco nostro fuit possessa. See also the 17th formula, ibid.

The time I mean is that of Charles Martel, who founded fome new fiefs which we should Chap. 8. carefully diftinguish from those of the earliest date.

11-

ry

ke

do

гу

6-

03 is

S,

11

of 38

:

n

ft

15

ľ

0

e

e

.

n

0

4

When the kings began to make grants in perpetuity, either through the corruption which crept into the government, or by reason of the constitution itfelf, which continually obliged the kings to confer rewards; it was natural that they should begin with giving the perpetuity of the fiefs, rather than of the counties. For to deprive themselves of some acres of land was no great matter; but to renounce the right of disposing of the great offices, was divesting themselves of their very power.

CHAP. VIII.

In what manner the allodial estates were changed into fiefs.

THE manner of changing an allodial eftate into a fief, may be feen in a formulary of Marculfus (*). The owner of the land gave it to the (a) Booki. king, who restored it to the donor by way of usu-formulary fruit, or benefice, and then the latter nominated his 13. heirs to the king.

In order to find out the reasons which induced them thus to change the nature of their allodia, I must trace the source of the ancient prerogatives of our nobility, a nobility who for these eleven centuries have been covered with dust, sweat, and blood.

Those who were seized of fiefs enjoyed very great advantages. The composition for the injuries done them was greater than that of freemen. It appears by the formularies of Marculfus, that it was a pri-

vilege belonging to the king's vaffal, that whoever Book XXXI. killed him should pay a composition of six hundred Chap. 8. This privilege was established by the Salic law (a), and by that of the Ripuarians (b); and at (2) Tit. 44. See also the same time that these two laws ordained a comthe titles polition of fix hundred fous for the murder of the 66. 5. 3. & 4. & tit. king's vasfal, they gave but (°) two hundred for the murder of a person freeborn, if he was a Frank or (b) Tit.11. Barbarian living under the Salic law; and only a

(c) See also hundred for a Roman.

the law of This was not the only privilege belonging to the the Ripuarians, tit. king's vassals. When (d) a man was summoned in 7. and the court, and did not make his appearance, nor obey Salic law, the judges orders, he was appealed before the king; tit. 44. art.1. & 4. and if he perfifted in his contumacy, he was excluded from * the king's protection, and no one (d) Salic law, tit. 59. & 76. was allowed to entertain him, or even to give him a morfel of bread. Now, if he was a person of an ordinary condition, his goods (e) were confifcated; (e) Ibid. tit.59.5.1. but if he was the king's vasfal, they were not (1). (f) Ibid tit. The first by his contumacy was deemed sufficiently 76. 5. 1. convicted of the crime, the fecond was not; the former (8) for the smallest crimes was obliged to un-(8) Ibid. tit. 56. & dergo the trial by boiling water, the latter (h) was condemned to this trial only in the case of murder: 59. (h) Ibid. In fine, the king's vaffal (') could not be compelled tit. 76. §. I . to swear in court against another vassal. These pri-(1) Ibid. vileges augmented daily, and the capitulary of Cartit. 76. (k) Apud that they shall not be obliged to swear in person, lomannus (k) does this honour to the king's vaffals, Palatium, fides, when a person who had these honours did not in the year 883. art. repair to the army, his punishment was to abstain 4. & 11. from flesh-meat and wine, as long as he had been absent

Extra fermonem regis. Salic law, tit. 59. & 76.

absent from the service; but a freeman (a) who neg- Book lected to follow his count, payed a composition of Chap. 8. fixty fous, and was reduced to flavery till he paid

tulary of

It is very natural therefore to think that those Charle-Franks who were not the king's vaffals, and much main, in the year more the Romans, became fond of entering into the 812 art. state of vassalage; and that they might not be de- 1. & 3. prived of their domains, they devised the usage of giving their allodium to the king, of receiving it from him afterwards as a fief, and of nominating to him their heirs. This usage was always continued, and took place especially during the diforders of the fecond race, when every body stood in need of a protector, and wanted to incorporate himfelf with + the other lords, and to enter as it were. into the feudal monarchy, because the political no longer existed.

This continued under the third race, as we find by feveral || charters; whether they gave their allodium, and refumed it by the same act; or whether it was declared an allodium, and afterwards acknowledged as These fiefs were called fiefs of resumption.

This does not imply, that those who were seized of fiefs administered them like prudent fathers of families; for though the freemen grew defirous of being possessed of fiefs, yet they managed this fort of estates as usufruits are managed in our days. This is what induced Charlemain, the most vigilant

Heribanum,

⁺ Non infirmis reliquit hæredibus, says Lambert d'Ardres in Du Cange, on the word alodis.

See those quoted by Du Cange in the word alodis, and those produced by Galland, in his treatife of allodial lands, pag. 14. and the following.

Book and attentive prince we ever had, to make a great XXXI.

many regulations (*), to hinder the fiefs from being Chap. 9. degraded in favour of allodial estates. This proves capitulary only that in his time most benefices were still only for of the year life, and confequently that they took more care of 802, art. the allodia, than of the benefices; but this is no 10.andthe 7th capi- argument that they did not chuse rather to be the tulary of king's vassals than freemen. They might have the year reasons for disposing of a particular portion of a 803. art. 3. the ift fief, but they were not willing to be stripped even capitulary of their dignity.

the 5th capitulary 7. the cathe year 779. art. 29. and the capitulary of

ni, art. 49. I know likewise that Charlemain complains in a certain capitulary (b), that in some places there were of the year people who gave away their fiefs in property, and 806, art. redeemed them afterwards in property. But I do 7. the ca-pitulary of not fay, that they were not fonder of the property than of the usufruit; I mean only, that when they could convert an allodium into a fief, which was to descend to their heirs, and is the case of the formulary above-mentioned, they had very great advan-Lewis the tages in doing it. Pious, in

CHAP. IX.

(b) The 5th of the year 806. art. 8.

the year

829.art.1.

How the church-lands were converted into fiefs.

THE use of the fiscal lands should have been only to ferve as donations by which the kings were to encourage the Franks to undertake new expeditions, and by which on the other hand thefe fiscal lands were increased. This, as I have already observed, was the spirit of the nation; but these donations took another turn. There is still extant (c) a speech of Chilperic, grandson of Clovis, in which he complains that almost all these lands had been already given away to the church. Our fife, fays he, is impoverished, and our riches are trans-

(

(c) In Gregory of Tours, book vi. chap. 46.

ferred to the clergy *; none reign now but bishops, who live in grandeur, while our grandeur is over.

great

eing

oves

y for

re of

s no

the

have

of a

even

in a

were

and

I do

perty

they

as to

rmu-

van-

fiefs.

been

cings

ex-

thefe

albut ftill

lovis,

lands

Our

ranf-

ferred

Chap. g.

This was the reason that the mayors who durft not attack the lords, stripped the churches; and one of the + motives alledged by Pepin for entering Neustria, was his having been invited thither by the clergy, to put a stop to the encroachments of the kings, that is of the mayors, who stripped the church of all her possessions.

The mayors of Australia, that is the family of the Pepins, had behaved towards the clergy with more moderation than those of Neustria and Burgundy. This is evident by our chronicles (a), in which we (a) See the fee the monks eternally admiring the devotion and annals of liberality of the Pepins. They themselves had been possessed of the first places in the church. One crow does not pull out the eyes of another; as (b) Chilperic (b) In Grefaid to the bishops.

Tours.

Pepin fubdued Neustria and Burgundy; but as his pretence for destroying the mayors and kings was the oppression of the clergy, he could not strip them without contradicting his own title, and shewing that he made a jest of the nation. However the conquest of two great kingdoms and the destruction of the opposite party, afforded him sufficient means of fatisfying his generals.

Pepin made himself master of the monarchy. by protecting the clergy; his fon Charles Martel could not maintain his power, but by oppressing

^{*} This is what induced him to annul the testaments made in fayour of the clergy, and even the donations of his father; Gontram re-established them, and made even new donations. Gregory of Tours, book vii. chap. 7.

⁺ See the annals of Metz, year 687. Excitor imprimis querelis facerdotum & fervorum Dei, qui me fæpius adierunt ut pro Jublatis imjuste patrimoniis, &c.

XXXI. Chap. 10.

Book them. This prince finding that part of the regal and fiscal lands had been given either for life, or in perpetuity to the nobility, and that the clergy by receiving both from rich and poor, had acquired a great part even of the allodial estates, he stripped the church; and as the fiefs of the first division were no longer in being, he formed a fecond division *. He took for himself and for his officers the church-lands, and the churches themselves; and put a stop to an evil which differed in this respect from ordinary evils, that by being extreme, it was so much the more easy to cure.

CHAP. X. Riches of the clergy.

O great were the donations made to the clergy, that under the three races of our princes they must have possessed at different times all the lands of the kingdom. But if our kings, nobility, and people, found the way of giving them all their estates, they found also the method of getting them back again. The spirit of religion founded a great number of churches under the first race; but the military spirit was the cause of their being given away afterwards to the foldiery, who divided them amongst their children. What a number of lands must have then been taken from the clergy's mensalia! The kings of the fecond race opened their hands, and made new donations to them; but the Normans, who came afterwards, plundered and ravaged all before them, perfecuting especially the priests and monks,

^{*} Karolus plurima juri ecclefiasiico detrabens pradia fisco sociawit ac deinde militibus dispersivit. Ex Chronico Centulenfi. lib. ii.

If

n y

d

e

le

d

£

as

y,

y

of

d

ir

m

at

he

en

m

ist

2!

br

ho

re

S. bn

ia-

fi.

and continually fearching out for abbeys and other re- Book ligious foundations. In this fituation what a lofs must Chap. 10. the clergy have fustained! There were hardly ecclefiaftics left to demand the estates of which they had been deprived. There remained therefore for the religious piety of the third race, foundations enough to make, and lands to bestow. The opinions which were broached and spread in those days would have deprived the laity of all their estates, if they had been but honest enough. But, if the clergy were full of ambition, the laity were not without theirs; if they gave their estates upon their death-bed to the church, their fuccessors wanted to resume them. We meet with nothing but continual quarrels between the lords and the bishops, the gentlemen and the abbots: and the clergy must have been very hard set, since they were obliged to put themselves under the protection of certain lords, who defended them for a moment, and afterwards oppressed them.

But now a better administration, which had been established under the third race, gave the clergy leave to augment their possessions; when the Calvinifts fallied forth, and coined money of all the gold and filver they found in the churches. How could the clergy be fure of their estates, when they were not even fure of their persons? They were treating of controversial subjects, while their archives were burning. What did it avail them to demand again of a ruined nobility what these were no longer posfeffed of, or what they had mortgaged a thousand ways? The clergy have constantly acquired, constantly refunded, and yet are still acquiring.

Jol. RAT

.001

main, of a parales improvious regions. The proper

2008

XXXX

Chap. 10.

miscarry.

and continually learthing out for abbeys and celepare-

State of Europe at the time of Charles Martel.

BOOK Harles Martel, who undertook to strip the XXXI. I clergy, found himself in a most happy situa-Chap. 11. tion. He was both feared and loved by the foldiery; whose interest he promoted, having the pretence of his wars against the Saracens. He was hated in-(*) See the deed by the clergy, but (*) he had no need of them. annals of The pope, to whom he was necessary, stretched out Metz. his arms to him. Every one knows the famous embaffy * he received from Gregory III. These two powers were greatly united, because they could not do one without the other; the pope stood in need of the Franks to support him against the Lombards and the Greeks; the Franks had occasion for the pope, to serve for a barrier against the Greeks, and to embarrass the Lombards. It was impossible therefore for the enterprise of Charles Martel to

S. Eucherius bishop of Orleans, had a vision which frightened all the princes of that time. I (°) Anno must produce to this purpose the letter (b) written by the bishops assembled at Rheims to Lewis king of Carifiacum; Ba- Germany, who had invaded the territories of Charles luzius's the Bald, because it will shew us the state of things. edition. in those times, and the disposition of people's minds. tom i. They fay (6), "That S. Eucherius having been pag. 101. " fnatched up into heaven, he faw Charles Martel (c) Ibid. art.7. pag. " tormented 109.

tl

^{*} Etistolam quoque decreto Romanorum principum si'i prædictus præsul Gregorius miserat, quod se populus Romanus relicta imperatoris dominatione ad suam desensionem & invictam elementiam convertere voluissit. Annals of Metz, year 741. Eo pacto patrato, ut a partibus imperatoris recederet. Fredegarius.

for Baluzius's

of tormented in the bottom of hell by order of the Book " faints, who are to affift with Jesus Christ at the Chap. 11. " last judgment; that he had been condemned to " this punishment before his time, for having stript " the churches of their possessions, and thereby ren-" dered himself guilty of the fins of all those who " had endowed them; that king Pepin had held a " council upon this occasion; that he had ordered " all the church-lands he could recover to be re-" flored to the church; that as he could get back only a part of them, because of his disputes with " Vaifre duke of Aquitaine, he issued out * preca-" rious letters in favour of the churches for the reremainder, and made a law that the laity should pay a tenth part of the church lands they possessed. "and twelve deniers for each house; that Charle-" main did not give the church-lands away, on the " contrary that he made a capitulary, by which he " engaged both for himself and for his successors " never to give them away; that all they fay is " committed to writing, and that a great many of " them heard the whole related by Lewis the Pious, " the father of those two kings," visi en and daily

King Pepin's regulation, mentioned by the bishops, was made in the council held at Leptines (a). (a) In the
The church found this advantage in it, that such as year 743.
had received those lands, held them no longer but see the
in a precarious manner, and moreover that she re- of the caceived the tythe or tenth part and twelve deniers pitularies,

Ff 4 of Protection to the

Precaria, quod precibus utendum conceditur, says Cujas in his pag. 825.

notes upon the first book of fiefs. I find in a diploma of king

Pepin, dated the 3d year of his reign, that this prince was not the first who established these precarious letters; he cites one made, by the mayor Ebroin, and continued after his time. See the diploma of this king, in the 5th tom. of the historians of France by the Benedictins, art. 6.

d

Book for every house that had belonged to her. But this XXXI. Chap. 11. was only a pallative, which did not remove the diforder.

(4) That of Metz. in the year 756. art. 4.

This even met with opposition, and Pepin was obliged to make another capitulary (2), in which he enjoins those who held any of those benefices to pay this tythe and duty, and even to keep up the houses belonging to the bishopric or monastery, under the penalty of forfeiting those possessions. Charlemain * renewed the regulations of Pepin.

That part of the same letter which says, that Charlemain promifed both for himself and for his fuccessors, never to divide again the church lands among the foldiery, is agreeable to the capitulary of this prince, given at Aix la Chapelle, in the year 803, with a view of removing the apprehensions of the clergy upon this subject. But the donations already made were still + continued. The bishops very justly add, that Lewis the Pious followed the example of Charlemain, and did not give away the churchlands to the foldiery.

And yet the old abuses were carried to such a

the Pious, introduced priests into their churches, or

(b) See the pitch, that the laity under the children (b) of Lewis constitution of drove them away, without the confent of the bi-Lotharius I. in shops. The churches (c) were divided amongst the the law of the Lombards, book iii. law 1. 5.

(e) Ibid.

5. 44.

* See his capitulary in the year 803, given at Worms, Baluzius's edition, pag. 411. where he regulates the precarious contract; and that of Franckfort, in the year 794. pag. 267. art. 24. in relation to the repairing of the houses; and that of the year 800, pag. 330.

† As appears by the preceding note, and by the capitulary of Pepin king of Italy. where it fays, that the king would give the monasteries in fief to those who would vow fealty for fiefs: it is added to the law of the Lombards, book iii. tit. 1. §. 30. and to the Salic laws, collection of Pepin's laws in Echard, pag. 195. tit. 26. art. 4.

next

next heirs, and when they were held in an indecent Book manner, the bishops (a) had no other remedy left Chap 12. than to remove the relics.

19

18

le

y

le !

7,

5.

t'

is

15

F

Ir .

of:

22

y.

e

-22

a S

r 1

e

t

ır

e

By the capitulary (b) of Compiegne, it is enacted, that the king's commissary shall have a right to visit (b) Given every monastery, together with the bishop, by the the 28th confent * and in presence of the person who holds it; the reign and this general rule shews that the abuse was ge- of Charles neral.

(a) Ibid.

Not that there were laws wanting for the resti- 868. Batution of the church lands. The pope having re-dition, proached the bishops for their neglect in regard to pag. 203. the re-establishment of the monasteries, they wrote to Charles the Bald (6) that they were not affected (6) Confilewith this reproach, because they were not culpable; um apud and they reminded him of what had been promised, the 16th resolved and decreed in so many national assemblies, year of In fact, they quoted nine. the Baid,

vear of the Bald. in the year luzius's e-

Still they went on disputing; till the Normans in theyear came and made them all agree,

Bonoilum. Charles 856. Baluzius's edition, pag. 78.

CHAP. XII.

Establishment of the tithes.

THE regulations made under king Pepin had given the church rather hopes of relief, than effectively relieved her; and as Charles Martel found all the landed effates in the hands of the clergy, Charlemain found all the church-lands in the hands of the foldiery. The latter could not be forced to restore what had been given them; and the circumstances of that time rendered the thing still more impracticable than it was of its own na-

[·] Cum confilio & confensu ipsius qui socum retinet.

THESPIRIT

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 12.

ture. On the other hand, christianity ought not to have perished for want of ministers*, churches, and instructions.

(2) Law of the Lombards, book iii. tit. 3. 9. 1. & 2.

-95

This was the reason of Charlemain's establishing (a) the tithes, a new kind of property, which had this advantage in favour of the clergy, that as they were given particularly to the church, it was easier in process of time to know when they were usurped.

Some have attempted to make this establishment of an earlier date, but the authorities they produce feem rather, I think, to prove the contrary. The constitution of Clotharius + fays only that they shall not raise certain | tithes on church-lands: so far then was the church from exacting tithes at that time, that its whole pretention was to be exempted from (b) Canone paying them. The second council (b) of Macon, which 5. ex tomo was held in 585, and ordains the payment of orum anti- tithes, says indeed that they were paid in ancient quorum times; but it fays also, that the custom of paying opera Ja. them was at that time abolished.

cobi Sirmundi.

No

bi

gi

th

ha

b

ki

ch

to

P

th

pi

m

2

ter Wi

Ça th

em

the

rep

in

the

the

ob

rre

expi

23.

In the civil wars which broke out at the time of Charles Martel, the lands belonging to the church of Rheims were given away to laymen; the clergy were left to shift as well as they could, fays the life of S. Remigius, Surius, tom. i. pag. 279.

+ It is that on which I have descanted in the 4th chapter of this book, and is to be found in Baluzius's edition of the

capitularies, tom. i. art. 11. pag. 9.

Agraria & pascuaria vel decimas porcorum ecclesiæ concedimus, ita ut actor aut desimator in rebus ecclesiæ nullus accedat. The capitulary of Charlemain in the year 800. Baluzius's edition, pag. 336. explains extremely well what is meant by that fort of tithe from which the church is exempted by Clotharius; it was the tithe of the hogs which were put into the king's forests to fatten; and Charlemain enjoins his judges to pay it, as well as other people, in order to fet an example: 'tis plain, that this was a right of feignory or acconomy.

ot

15,

ng

is

re

0-

of

m

n-

ot

en

e,

m

h

of

nt

g

To

es

en d,

er

he

15, he

n, of

as to

as

25

No one questions but that the clergy opened the bible before Charlemain's time, and preached the Chap. 12. gifts and offerings of the Leviticus. But I dare fay, that before that prince's reign, tho' the tithes might have been preached up, yet they were never eftablifhed.

I took notice that the regulations made under king Pepin had subjected those who were seized of church-lands in fief, to the payment of tithes, and to the repairing of the churches. It was a great point to oblige by a law, whose justice could not be disputed, the principal men of the nation to set the example.

Charlemain did more; and we find by the capitulary (2) de Villis, that he obliged his own do- (2) Art. 6. mains to the payment of the tithes: this was still Baluzius's a greater example. wells son bloow .not and pag. 332.

But the common people are hardly capable of be- it was ing induced by examples to give up their own in- the year terests. The fynod of (b) Franckfort furnished them 800. with a more cogent motive to pay the tithes. A (6) Held capitulary was made in that fynod, wherein it is faid, under Charlethat in the last * famine the ears of corn were found main, in empty, having been devoured by devils, and that the year the voices of those infernal spirits had been heard, reproaching them with not having paid the tithes; in consequence of which it was ordained that all these who were seized of church lands, should pay the tithes; and the next consequence was, that the obligation was extended to all.

Charlemain's

[·] Experimento enim didicimus in anno quo illa valida fames prepht, ebullire vacuas annonas a demonibus devoratas, & voces oprobrationis auditas, &c. Baluzius's edition, pag. 267. art.

Beok

others,

that of

book iii.

chap. 6.

tit. 3.

Charlemain's project did not succeed at first; Chap. 12. it feemed too heavy a burthen *. The payment of the tithes among the Jews was connected with the plan of the foundation of their republic; but here the payment of tithes was a burthen quite independent of the other charges of the establishment of (a) Among the monarchy. We find by the regulations (a) added to the law of the Lombards the difficulty there Lotharius was in caufing the tithes to be accepted by the civil laws; and how difficult it was to get them admitted by the ecclefiaftic laws, we may eafily judge from

The people confented at length to pay the tithes, upon condition that they might have a power of redeeming them. This the constitution of Lewis (b) In the the Pious (b), and that of the emperor Lotharius (c) his fon, would not allow.

the different canons of the councils.

year 829. art. 7. in Baluzius tom. i. pag 663.

(c) In the law of the Lombards book iii.

tit. 3. 9. 8.

The laws of Charlemain, in regard to the establishment of tithes, were a work of necessity; a work in which religion only, and no superstition, was concerned.

His famous division of the tithes into four parts, for the repairing of the churches, for the poor, for the bishop, and for the clergy, manifestly proves that he wanted to restore the church to that fixt and permanent state which she had lost.

His will + shews that he was defirous of repairing the mischief done by his grandfather Charles Martel.

F

t

li

fi

g

п

a

ri

g

th

Te

f

R

P

V of

in

+ It is a kind of codicil produced by Eginhard, and different from the will itself, which we find in Goldastus and Baluzius.

[.] See among the rest the capitulary of Lewis the Pious, in the year 829. Baluzius's edition, pag. 663. against those who to avoid paying tithes neglected to cultivate the lands, &c. art. 5. Nonis quidem & decimis, unde & genitor nofter et nos frequenter in diversis placitis admonitionem fecimus.

He made three equal shares of his moveable goods; two of these he would have divided each into one- Chap. 13. and-twenty parts, for the one-and-twenty metropolitan churches of his empire; each part was to be fubdivided between the metropolitan, and the fuffragan bishops. The remaining third he divided into four parts, one he gave to his children and grandchildren, another was added to the two thirds already given, and the other two were bequeathed to charitable uses. It seems as if he looked upon the immense donation he was making to the church less as a religious act, than as a political distribution.

BOOK

CHAP. XIII.

Of the elections of bishops and abbots.

A. S the churches were become poor, the kings re-A figned the right of (a) nominating to bishop- (a) See the ricks and other ecclefiaftic benefices. The princes capitulary gave themselves less trouble about the ministers of lemain in the church, and the candidates were less follicitous year 803. in applying to their authority. Thus the church art. 2. Ba-luzius's ereceived a kind of compensation for the possession, fhe had loft.

Hence if Lewis the Pious * left the people of diet of Rome in possession of the right of chusing their Lewis the popes, it was owing to the general spirit that pre-the year vailed in his time: he behaved in respect to the see 834, in of Rome the same as to other bishoprics.

pag. 379-Goldaft. Constit. Imperial.

* This is mentioned in the famous canon, ego Ludovicus, tom. i. which is visibly suppositions; it is in Baluzius's edition, pag. 591. in the year 817.

CHAP.

ere leof id-

nt

th

ere vil red om

ies, of

wis (4)

sta-; a ion,

arts, for that

perring rtel.

in the o to rt. s.

He

Ferent IS. "

uenter

Chap is

He made three equal thures of his movemble pends;

Of the fiefs of Chartel Martel.

Shall not pretend to determine whether Charles XXXI.

Chap. 14. Martel in giving the church-lands in fief, made a grant of them for life, or in perpetuity. All I know is, that under Charlemain *, and Lotharius I +. there were possessions of this kind which descended to the next heirs, and were divided amongst them.

I find moreover that one part of them | was given as allodia, and the other as fiefs.

I took notice that the proprietors of the allodia were subject to the service all the same as the possession of the fiels. This, without doubt, was partly the reason that Charles Martel made grants of allodial lands, as well as of fiels.

* As appears by his capitulary, in the year 801, art. 17. in Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 360.

† See his constitution inserted in the code of the Lombards, book iii. tit. 1. §. 44.

|| See the above constitution, and the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 846. chap 20. in Villa Sparnaco, Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 31. and that of the year 853. chap. 3. & 5. in the synod of Soissons, Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 54. and that of the year 854. apud Attiniacum, chap. 10. Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 70. See also the first capitulary of Charlemain, incerti anni, art. 49. & 56. Baluzius's edition, tom. i. pag. 519.

CHAP.

i

0

C

0

P

C

e

V

tr

n

P

et

th

la

B

rig

Book crown in a particular family, was not yet effect

a gaint an C H A P. XV. mant and to mo

The same subject continued.

TE must observe, that the fiefs having been Book changed into church-lands, and thefe again Chap. 15. into fiefs, they both borrowed fomething of one an- & 16. other's nature. Thus the church-lands had the privileges of fiefs, and these had the privileges of church-lands: Such were the * honorifical rights of the churches, established in those days.

VEST 754.

CHAP. XVI.

Confusion of the royalty and mayoralty. The second race.

THE order of my subject has made me break through the order of time, so as to speak of Charlemain before I had made mention of the famous epocha of the translation of the crown to the Carlovingians under king Pepin: a revolution which, contrary to the nature of common events, is more remarked perhaps in our days than when it happened.

The kings had no authority; they had only an empty name. The title of king was hereditary, and that of mayor elective. Though the mayors in the latter times fet whom they pleased of the Merovingians on the throne, they had not yet taken a king of another race; and the ancient law which fixed the

crown

1:1

iarles

ide a

I IL

hari-

1 de-

ongst

Was

lodia

pof-

partts of

17. in bards,

harles o, Bar 8ç3. , tom. chap.

rft cauzius's

A P.

See the capitularies, book 5. art. 44. and the edict of Piftes in the year 869. art. 8 & 9. where we find the honorifical rights of the lords established, in the same manner as they are at this very day.

XXXI. Chap. 16.

AXXXI.

. . 7 L . CKR.

Book crown in a particular family, was not yet effaced out of the hearts of the Franks. The king's perfon was almost unknown in the monarchy; but the royalty was well known. Pepin, fon of Charles Martel, thought it would be proper to confound those two titles, a confusion which would leave it a moot point, whether the new royalty was hereditary or not; and this was fufficient for him, who to the regal dignity had joined a great power. The mayor's authority was then blended with that of the king. In the mixture of these two authorities a kind of reconciliation was made; the mayor had been elective, and the king hereditary: the crown at the beginning of the fecond race was elective, because the people chose; it was hereditary, because they always chose in the same family *.

Father le Cointe, in opposition to the authority of (a) The a- all ancient records (a), denies + that the pope aunonymous thorifed this great change; and one of his reasons is, that he would have committed an injustice. A 752. and fine thing to fee an historian judge of what men have the Chrodone, by what they ought to have done! at this tul. in the rate we should have no history at all.

C

2

al no

an

an

gen

10.

Be that as it will, 'tis very certain that immediately after duke Pepin's victory, the Merovingians ceased to be the reigning family. When his grandfon Pepin was crowned king, it was only a ceremony the more, and a phantom the lefs; he acquired

· See the will of Charlemain, and the division which Lewis the Pious made to his children in the affembly of the states held at Quierzy, produced by Goldast, quem populus eligere welit, ut patri suo succedat in regni hareditate.

+ Fabella quæ post Pippini mortem excogitata est, æquitati at Sanctitati Zachariæ papæ plurimum adversatur. Eccle-

fiaftic annals of the French, tom ii. pag. 319.

chron. in the year nic. Cen-

year 754.

ed

er-

he

nd

ve

ne-

m,

eat led

wo

the

ry:

was

di-

fa-

of

auons

ave

me-

ians

ere-

ired

hing

held

t, ut

ti at

ccle

hothing thereby but the royal ornaments, there was no change made in the nation.

Book XXXI. Chap: 17.

This I have faid, in order to fix the moment of the revolution, to the end that we may not be miftaken in looking upon that as a revolution which was only a confequence of it:

When Hugh Capet was crowned king at the beginning of the third race, there was a much greater change, because the kingdom passed from a state of narchy to some kind of a government; but when Pepin ascended the throne, there was only a transition from one government to another of the same nature.

When Pepin was crowned king there was only a change of name; but when Hugh Capet was crowned, there was a change in the nature of the thing, because by uniting a great fief to the crown the anarchy ceased.

When Pepin was crowned; the title of king was united to the highest office; when Hugh Capet was crowned, it was united to the greatest fief.

CHAP. XVII.

A particular thing in the election of the kings of the second race.

WE find by the formulary (a) of Pepin's con- (a) Vol. fecration, that Charles and Carloman were 5th of the also anointed and blessed; and that the French of France, nobility bound themselves, on pain of interdiction by the Beand excommunication, never to chuse a prince * of nedictins, pag. 9. another family.

* Ut nunquam de alterius lumbis regem in avo præsumant eligere sed ex ipsorum. Vol. 5th of the historians of France, pag.

Vol. II.

Book It appears by the wills of Charlemain and Lewis Chap. 17. the Pious, that the Franks made a choice among the king's children; which agrees with the abovementioned claufe. And when the empire was transferred from Charlemain's family, the election, which before had been conditional, became simple and abfolute, and the ancient constitution was altered.

Pepin perceiving himself near his end, affembled (a) In the (a) the lords both temporal and spiritual at S. Denis, year 768. and divided his kingdom between his two fons Charles and Carloman. We have not the acts of this affembly; but we find what was there transacted, in the author of the ancient historical collec-(b) Tom. tion, published by Canifius, and in (b) the writer of ii. lectio- the annals of Metz, according to (c) the observation

of Baluzius. Here I meet with two things in fome (5) Edition measure contradictory; that he made this division of the ca- with the consent of the nobility, and afterwards that pitularies, he made it by his paternal authority. This proves tom. i. pag. 188. what I faid, that the people's right in the fecond race was to chuse in the same family; it was, pro-

perly speaking, rather a right of exclusion, than that

-1

1 t

C k

T

hi

cl

an

W

rus

dia

don

fili

of election.

Ift capi-

the year

806. Ba-

dition,

This kind of elective right is confirmed by the monuments of the second race. Such is this capitulary of the division of the empire made by Charle-(4) In the main among his three children, in which after fettling tulary of their division, he says (d) " That if one of the three " brothers happens to have a son, such as the people Iuzius's e- cc shall be willing to chuse as a fit person to succeed to his father's kingdom, his uncles shall consent to pag. 439. " it."

art. 5. (e) In Gol-This fame regulation is to be met with in the didast. Imvision (°), which Lewis the Pious made among his perial. Constitut. three children, Pepin, Lewis, and Charles, in the tom. ii. year pag. 19.

Wis ong ove-

anfhich ab-

bled enis, fons ts of ranf-

llecer of ation fome

rifion that roves econd

reditary.

prothat

y the apituharlettling three

people ucceed ent to

he dig his n the year year 837. at the affembly of Aix la Chapelle; and likewise in another * division, made twenty years be- Chap. 18. fore, by the same emperor, between Lotharius, Pepin, and Lewis. We may likewife fee the oath which Lewis the Stammerer took at Compiegne, at his coronation. I Lewis, by the divine mercy and the people's election (a) appointed king, do promise . . . (a) Capitu-What I say is confirmed by the acts of the council lary of the year 877. of Valence (b) held in the year 890. for the election Baluzius's of Lewis fon of Boson to the kingdom of Arles. edition, pag. 272. Lewis was there elected, and the principal reason (b) In fathey give for chufing him is, that he was of the ther Labimperial family +, that Charles the Fat had confer be's counred upon him the dignity of king, and that the em- ix. col. peror Arnold had invested him by the scepter, and 424 and by the ministry of his ambassadors. The kingdom in Duof Arles, like the other difmembered or dependent Corp. Dikingdoms of Charlemain, was elective and he plomati.

Book

art. 36.

of they car

CHAP. XVIII.

Charlemain.

Harlemain's attention was to restrain the power of the nobility within proper bounds, and to hinder them from oppressing the freemen and the clergy. He balanced the feveral orders of the state. and remained perfect mafter of them all. 'The whole was united by the strength of his genius. He led Gg 2 the

the By the mother's fide.

^{*} Baluzius's edition, pag. 574. art. 14. Si vero aliquis illorum decedens legitimos filios reliquerit, non inter eos potestas ipfa dividatur, sed potius populus pariter conveniens unum ex eis quem dominus voluerit eligat, & hunc senior frater in loco fratris & filii fuscipiat.

XXXI. Chap. 18.

tulary of

8. and the Ift

9. & 11.

others.

Book the nobility continually from one expedition to another; giving them no time to form defigns of their own, but employing them entirely in following his. The empire was supported by the greatness of its chief: the prince was great, but the man was greater. The prince's children were his first fubjects, the instruments of his power, and the models of obedience. He made admirable regulations; and what was still more admirable, he took care to fee them executed. His genius diffused itself thro' every part of the empire. We find in this prince's laws a spirit of forecast and sagacity that comprizes every thing, and a certain force that makes every (a) See his thing give way. All pretexts (a) for evading the per-3d capi- formance of duties are removed, neglects are corrected, abuses reformed or prevented. He knew the year 811. pag. how to punish, but he understood much better how 486. art. to pardon. He was great in his defigns, and simple 5, 6, 7, & in the execution. No prince was ever possessed in a higher degree of the art of performing the greatest capitulary things with ease, and the most difficult with expeof the year dition. He was continually traverfing the feveral 812. pag. parts of his vast empire, and made them feel the 1. and the weight of his hand wherever he fell. New difficapitulary culties sprung up on every side, and on every side 812. pag. he removed them. Never prince had more resolution in facing dangers; never prince knew better 494. art. how to escape them. He mocked all manner of perils, and particularly those to which great conquerors are generally subject, namely conspiracies. This furprifing prince was extremely moderate, of a very mild character, and of a plain fimple behaviour. He loved to converse freely with the lords of his court. He gave way perhaps too much to his passion for the fair-fex; a failing however which

in

in a prince who always governed by himself, and who Book XXXI. spent his life in a continual succession of toils, may Chap. 19. merit some indulgence. He was wonderfully exact in his expences; administring his domains with prudence, attention, and œconomy. A father (a) (a) See the might learn from his laws how to govern his family; de Villis and we find in his capitularies the pure and facred in the year fource from whence he derived his riches. I shall 800, his 2d capituadd only one word more: he gave orders that * the lary of eggs of the bartons of his domains and the fuper- the year 813. art. fluous herbs of his gardens should be fold; a most 6. & 19. wonderful œconomy in a prince, who had diftri- and the buted among his people all the riches of the Lom- of the cabards, and the immense treasures of those Huns who pitularies, art. 303. had plundered the universe.

CHAP. XIX.

The same subject continued.

THIS great prince was afraid left those whom he intrusted in distant parts with the command, should be inclined to revolt; and thought he should find more docility among the clergy. For this reafon he erected a great number of bishoprics in Germany (b), and endowed them with very large fiefs. (b) See a-It appears by some charters that the clauses containing mong others the the prerogatives of those fiefs, were not different foundafrom those which were commonly inserted in those tion of the grants +; tho' at prefent we find the principal ec- shopric of

* Capitul. de Villis, art. 39. See this whole capitulary, which is a master-piece of prudence, good administration, and occo- Baluzius's

+ For instance, the prohibition to the king's judges against en- pag. 245. tering upon the territory to demand the freda, and other duties. I have faid a good deal concerning this in the preceding book.

clesiastics Bremen in thecapitulary of the edition,

454

Book

who birelistics

clefiaftics of Germany invested with a sovereign Chap. 20. power. Be that as it will, these were some of the contrivances he used against the Saxons. That which he could not expect from the indolence and fupineness of a vassal, he thought he might promise himself from the sedulous attention of a bishop. Besides, a vassal of that kind, far from making use of the conquered people against him, would rather stand Jamin's he in need of his affiftance to support himself against his people.

CHAP. XX.

The fuccessors of Charlemain.

WHEN Augustus Cæsar was in Egypt, he ordered Alexander's tomb to be opened; and upon their asking him whether he was willing they should open the tombs of the Ptolemy's, he anfwered that he wanted to fee the king, and not the dead. Thus, in the history of the second race, we are continually looking for Pepin and Charlemain; we want to fee the kings and not the dead.

A prince who was the sport of his passions, and a dupe even to his virtues; a prince who never understood rightly neither his own strength nor weakness; a prince who was incapable of making himfelf either feared or loved; a prince, in fine, who with few vices in his heart, had all manner of defects in his understanding, took the reins of the empire into his hand which had been held by Charlemain.

Lewis the Pious mixing all the indulgence of an old hufband, with all the weakness of an old king, flung his family into a diforder, which was followed with the downfal of the monarchy. He was con-

tinually

n

p

P

to

tl

tinually altering the divisions he had made among his Book children. And yet these divisions had been confirm- Chap. 20. ed each in their turn by his own oath, and by those of his children and the nobility. This was as if he wanted to try the fidelity of his subjects; it was endeavouring by confusion, scruples, and equivocation to puzzle their obedience; it was confounding the different rights of those princes and rendering their titles dubious, especially at a time when there were but few strong holds, and when the principal bulwark of authority was the fealty sworn and accepted.

The emperor's children, in order to preferve their divisions, courted the clergy, and granted them privileges till then unheard. These privileges were fpecious; the clergy were induced to warrant a thing which those princes would have been glad they had Agobard (a) reprefents to Lewis the (a) See his Pious, his having fent Lotharius to Rome, in order letters. to have him declared emperor; and that he had made a division of his dominions among his children, after having confulted heaven by three days fafting and praying. What defence could a fuperstitious prince make against the attack of superstition! 'Tis easy to perceive what a shock the supreme authority must have twice received from the imprisonment of this prince, and from his public penance; they wanted to degrade the king, and they degraded his authority.

CHAP. XXI.

The same subject continued.

BOOK HE strength which the nation had derived from XXXI. Charlemain, lasted well enough under Lewis Chap. 21. the Pious, to enable the state to support its gran-& 22. deur, and to command respect from strangers. The prince's understanding was weak, but the nation was The royal authority declined within, though there feemed to be no diminution of power without.

> Charlemain, his father, and his grandfather, were fuccessive rulers of the monarchy. The first flattered the avarice of the foldiers; the other two that of the clergy; and the children of Lewis the Pious excited the ambition of both.

> In the French constitution, the whole power of the state was lodged in the hands of the king, the nobility, and clergy. Charles Martel, Pepin, and Charlemain, joined fometimes their interest with one of those parties to check the other, and generally with both: but the children of Lewis the Pious disjoined both those bodies from the king, by which means the royal authority was too greatly debilitated.

CHAP. XXII.

The same subject continued.

(*) See what the bishops fay in the the year, 845. lam, art.

fynod of THE clergy had reason to repent the protection they had granted to Lewis the Pious's chil-This prince, as I have already observed, pud Teu- dren. domis vil- had never given (*) any preceptions of the churchlands

lands to the laity; but it was not long before Lo-Book XXXI. tharius in Italy, and Pepin in Aquitaine, quitted Chap. 22. Charlemain's plan, and refumed that of Charles Martel. The clergy had recourse to the emperor against his children, but they themselves had weakened the authority they sued. In Aquitaine some condescension was shewn, but none in Italy.

The civil wars with which the life of Lewis the Pious had been embroiled, were the feed of those which followed his death. The three brothers Lotharius, Lewis, and Charles, endeavoured each to bring over the nobility to their party. To those therefore who were willing to follow them they granted preceptions of the church-lands; so that to gain the nobility, they facrificed the clergy.

We find in the capitularies*, that those princes were obliged to yield to the importunity of so many demands, and that what they would not often have freely granted, was extorted from them: we see that the clergy thought themselves more oppressed by the nobility than by the kings. It appears also, that Charles the Bald † became the greatest enemy of the

* See the fynod in the year 845. apud Teudonis willam, art. 3. & 4. which gives a very exact description of things; as also, that of the same year, held at the palace of Vernes, art. 12. and the synod of Beauvais also in the same year, art. 3, 4, & 6. and the capitulary in Villa Sparnaco, in the year 846. art 20. and the letter which the bishops assembled at Rheims wrote in 858, to Lewis king of Germany 8.

+ See the capitulary in Villa Sparnaco, in the year 846. The nobility had fet the king against the bishops, insomuch that he expelled them from the affembly; some canons of the synods were picked out, and they were told that these were the only ones which should be observed; nothing was granted them but what was impossible to be refused. See art. 20, 21, & 22. See also the letter which the bishops affembled at Rheims wrote in the year 858 to Lewis king of Germany, and the edict of Pistes, in the year 864. art. 5.

Book XXXI. Chap. 22.

patrimony of the clergy, whether he was most incensed against them for having degraded his father on their account, or whether he was the most timorous. Be it as it will, we meet with * continual quarrels in the capitularies between the clergy who demanded their lands, and the nobility who refused, evaded, or deferred to restore them; and the kings between both.

The fituation of things at that time is a spectacle really deserving of pity. While Lewis the Pious made immense donations out of his domains to the church; his children distributed the possessions of the clergy among the laity. The same hand which founded new abbies, often pulled down the old ones. The clergy had no fixt state; one moment they were stripped, another they received satisfaction; but the crown was continually losing.

Towards the close of the reign of Charles the Bald, and from that time forward, there was an end of the disputes of the clergy and laity, concerning the restitution of lands. The bishops indeed breathed out still a few sighs in their remonstrances to Charles the Bald, which we find in the capitulary (a) Art. 8. of the year 856, and in the letter (a) they wrote to Lewis king of Germany, in the year 858: but they proposed things and challenged promises so often eluded.

^{*} See this very capitulary in the year 846, in Villa Sparnaco. See also the capitulary of the assembly held apud Marsnam, in the year 847. art. 4. wherein the clergy reduced themselves to demand only the restitution of what they had been possessed of under Lewis the Pious. See also the capitulary of the year 851. apud Marsnam, art. 6. & 7. which confirms the nobility and clergy in their several possessions, and that apud Bonoilum, in the year 856, which is a remonstrance of the bishops to the king, because the evils, after so many laws, had not been remedied; and, in fine, the letter which the bishops assembled at Rheims wrote in the year 858. to Lewis king of Germany, art. 8.

XXXI.

eluded, that we plainly fee they had no longer any Book

hopes of obtaining their defire.

All that could be expected then, was (a) to repair in general the injuries done both to church and flate. capitulary The kings engaged not to deprive their vassals of oftheyear their freemen, and not to give away the church- 6. & 7. lands any more by preceptions *; fo that the interests of the clergy and nobility seemed then to be united.

The dreadful depredations of the Normans, as I have already observed, contributed greatly to put an

end to those quarrels.

The authority of the king's diminishing every day, both for the reasons already given, and those which I shall give hereafter, they thought they had no better resource left, than to put themselves in the hands of the clergy. But the clergy had weakened the power of the kings, and the kings had weakened the influence of the clergy.

In vain did Charles the Bald and his fucceffors call in the church to support the state, and to prevent its fall; in vain did they avail themselves of the + respect the people had for that body, to maintain that which they should have also for their prince;

* Charles the Bald, in the fynod of Soissons, fays, that he had promised the bishops not to give any more preceptions of churchlands. Capitularies of the year 853. art. 11. Baluzius's edi-

tion, tom. ii. pag. 56.

⁺ See the capitulary of Charles the Bald, apud Saponarias, in the year 859. art. 3. Venilon, whom I made archbishop of Sens, has confecrated me; and I ought not to be expelled the kingdom by any body, Saltem fine audientia & judicio episcoporum quorum ministerio in regem sum consecratus, et qui throni Dei funt dieti in quibus Deus sedet & per quos sua decernit judicia, quorum paternis correctionibus & castigatoriis judiciis me subdere fui paratus & in presenti fum subditus.

BOOK in vain did they endeavour (a) to give an authority Chap. 23. to their laws by that of the canons; in vain did they join the ecclefiaftic (b) with the civil punishments; (a) See the in vain to counterballance the authority of the count capitulary of Charles (°) did they give to each bishop the title of their the Bald, commissary in the several provinces: it was imposde Carifiaco, in the fible for the clergy to repair the mischief they had year 857. done; and a terrible misfortune, of which I shall Baluzius's speak anon, tumbled the crown to the ground. edition,

tom. ii. pag. 88. art. 1, 2, 3, 4, & 7.

fynod of

CHAP. XXIII.

That the freemen were rendered capable of (b) See the bolding fiefs.

Piftes in the year 862. art. 4. and the capitulary of Carloman and of Lewis II. apud Vernis palatium, in the year

883. art. 4. & 5.

(c) Capitulary of the year 876. under Charles the Bald, in Synodo Pontigonensi. Baluzius's e-

I Said that the freemen were led against the enemy by their count, and the vaffals by their lord. This was the reason that the several orders of the state balanced each another; and tho' the king's vaffals had other vaffals under them, yet they might be over-awed by the count, who was at the head of all the freemen of the monarchy.

The freemen (d) were not allowed at first to vow fealty for a fief; but in process of time this was permitted: and I find that this change was made during the time that elapsed from the reign of Gontram to that of Charlemain. I prove by the comparison that may be drawn between the treaty of Andely (°), figned by Gontram, Childebert, and queen Brunechild, and the * division made

See the following chapter where I shall speak more diffusively dition, art. of those divisions, and the notes where they are quoted.

(e) In the year 587. in Gregory of Tours, book ix.

⁽d) See what has been faid already, book xxx. last chapter towards the end.

made by Charlemain amongst his children, as well Book as a like division made by Lewis the Pious. These Chap. 23. three acts contain pretty near the fame regulations, with regard to the vassals; and as they regulate the very fame points, under almost the same circumstances, the spirit as well as the letter of those three treaties are very near the same in this respect.

But as to what concerns the freemen, there is a capital difference. The treaty of Andely does not fay that they might vow fealty for a fief, whereas we find in the divisions of Charlemain and Lewis the Pious express clauses to empower them to This shews that a new usage had vow fealty. been introduced after the treaty of Andely, whereby the feeemen were become capable of this great prerogative.

This must have happened when Charles Martel, after distributing the church-lands to his foldiers. and giving them partly in fief, and partly as allodia, made a kind of revolution in the feudal laws. 'Tis very probable, that the nobility who were feized already of fiefs, found a greater advantage in receiving the new grants as allodia, and that the freemen found themselves happier in accepting them as fiefs.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXIV.

The principal cause of the humiliation of the fecond race. Changes in the allodia.

Book XXXI. Chap. 24.

(2) In the year 806. between Charles, Pepin and is quoted by Goldaft, and by Baluzius, tom. i. p. 439.

(b) Art. 9. pag. 443. which is to the treaty of Gregory of Tours book ix.

Harlemain in the division (*) mentioned in the I preceding chapter, ordained, that after his death the vaffals belonging to each king should be permitted to receive benefices in their own princes dominions, and not in those (b) of another; whereas they * might keep their allodial estates in any of their Lewis; it dominions. But he adds + that every freeman might after the death of his lord vow fealty in any of the three kingdoms to whom he pleafed, as well as he that never had had a lord. We find the fame regulations in the division which Lewis the Pious made among his children in the year 817.

But tho' the freemen had vowed fealty for a fief, yet the count's militia was not thereby weakagreeable ened; the freeman was still obliged to contribute for his allodium, and to get people ready for the Andely in fervice belonging to it, at the proportion of one man to four manors; or elfe to procure a man that fhould ferve the fief in his flead. And when some abuses had been introduced upon this head, they were redressed, as appears by the constitutions | of

Charlemain,

C

li

Si

80

lu

cl

Art. 10. and there is no mention made of this in the treaty of Andely.

† In Baluzius, tom. i. p. 574. Licentiam habeat unusquisque liber homo qui seniorem non babuerit, cuicumque ex bis tribus fratribus voluerit se commendandi, art. 9. See also the division made by the same emperor in the year 837. art. 6. Baluzius's edition, pag. 686.

In the year 811. Baluzius's edition, tom. i. pag 486. art. 7. & 8. and that of the year 812. ibid, pag. 490. art. 1. Ut omnis

liber

Charlemain, and by that (a) of Pepin king of Italy, Book which explain each other.

The remark made by historians, that the battle of (a) In the Fontenay was the ruin of the monarchy, is very true; year 793. but I beg leave to cast an eye on the unhappy con-inserted in fequences of that day.

Some time after that battle the three brothers Lo-bards, tharius, Lewis and Charles made a treaty (b), where-tit. 9. in I find some clauses which must have altered the chap. 9. whole political fystem of the French Government. (b) In the

In the declaration * which Charles made to the year 847. people of that part of the treaty relating to them quoted by he fays, that + every freeman might chuse whom he Lemire, pleased for his lord, whether the king or any of the and Balunobility. Before this treaty the freeman might ii. p. 42. vow fealty for a fief; but his allodinm still con- Conventinued under the immediate power of the king, Marsnam. that is, under the count's jurisdiction; and he depended on the lord to whom he had vowed fealty, only on account of the fief which he had obtained. After that treaty every freeman had a right to subject his allodium to the king, or to any other lord as he thought proper. The question is not concerning those who put themselves under the protection of another for a fief, but about those who changed their allodium into a fief, and withdrew themselves, as it were, from the civil jurisdiction, to enter under

liber homo qui quatuor mansos vestitos de proprio suo seve de alicujus beneficio babet, ipse se præparet & ipse in bostem pergat five cum seniore suo, &c. See also the capitulary of the year 807. Baluzius's edition, tom. i. pag. 458.

S

r

t

e

e

a

e

e

e

it

ie

y

of

1,

ty

ue

a-

on

3'5

7.

nis

er

XXXI. Chap. 24.

the law of the Lom-

^{*} Adnunciatio.

[†] Ut unusquisque liber bomo in nostro regno seniorem quem voluerit in nobis & in nostris fidelibus accipiat, art. 2. of the declaration of Charles.

ונגובה וח

1 100 E

Book under the feudal power of the king, or of the lord

Chap. 24. whom they thought fit to chuse.

Thus it was that those who formerly were only under the king's power, as freemen under the count, became insensibly vassals one of another, since every freeman might chuse whom he pleased for his lord, the king or any of the nobility.

2. If a man changed an cftate, which he possessed in perpetuity, into a sief, this new sief could no longer be only for life. Hence we see, a short time after, a * general law for giving the siefs to the children of the present possesses it was made by Charles the Bald, one of the three contracting princes.

What has been faid concerning the liberty every freeman had in the monarchy, after the treaty of the three brothers, of chusing whom he pleased for his lord, the king or any of the nobility, is confirm-

ed by the acts subsequent to that time.

In the reign + of Charlemain, when a vaffal had received a thing of a lord, were it worth only a fol, he could not afterwards quit him. But, under Charles the Bald, the vaffals | might follow their interests or their

* Capitulary of the year 877. tit. 53. art. 9. & 10. apud Carifiacum, fimiliter & de nostris vassallis faciendum est, &c. This capitulary relates to another of the same year, and of the same place, art. 3.

† Capitulary of Aix la Chapelle, in the year 813. art. 16. squod nullus seniorem suum dimittat posiquam ab eo acceperit vallente solidum unum; and the capitulary of Pepin, in the year

783. art. 5.

| See the capitulary de Carisiaco, in the year 856. art. 10. & 13. Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 83. in which the king, together with the lords spiritual and temporal, agreed to this; Et si aliquis de vobis sit cui suus senioratus non placet & illi simulat ad alium seniorem melius quam ad illum acaptare possis, veniat ad illum, & ipse tranquille & pacisico animo donat illi commeatum... & quod Deus illi cupierit ad alium seniorem acaptare potuerit pacisice kaheat.

w vo

re

th

on ed

w

kir dar

dur wer fcer Th kin

for

their caprice with impunity; and this prince explains Book himself so strongly on this subject, that he seems Chap. 25. rather to encourage them to enjoy this liberty, than to restrain it. In Charlemain's time, benefices were rather personal than real; afterwards they became rather real than personal.

CHAP. XXV. Changes in the fiefs.

THE same changes happened in the fiefs, as in the allodia. We find by the capitulary (a) of (a) In the Compiegne, under king Pepin, that those who had year 757. received a benefice from the king, gave a part of Baluzius's this benefice to different vaffals; but these parts edition, were not distinct from the whole. The king re- Pag. 181, voked them when he revoked the whole; and at the death of the king's vaffal, the rear-vaffal loft also his rear-fief; and a new beneficiary succeeded, who likewise established new rear-vassals. Thus it was the person, and not the rear-fief, that depended on the fief: on the one hand, the rear-vaffal returned to the king, because he was not tied for ever to the vaffal; and the rear-fief returned also to the king, because it was the fief itself, and not a dependance of it.

Such was the rear-vaffalage, while the fiefs were during pleafure; and fuch was it also, while they were for life. This was altered when the fiefs defcended to the next heirs, and the rear-fiefs the fame. That which was held before immediately of the king, was held now mediately; and the regal power was thrown back, as it were, one degree; sometimes two, and oftentimes more.

Vol. II.

i

,

S

r

r

d c.

ie

2ar

&

g,

; ; lli

its

111

em

Hh

We

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 25. (a) Book i.

chap. 1.

We find in the books (*) of the fiefs, that tho the king's vaffals might give away in fief, that is, in rear-fief to the king, yet these rear-vassals or petty vavafors could not give also in fief; fo that whatever they had given, they might always resume. Besides, a grant of that kind did not descend to the children like the fiefs, because it was not supposed to have been made according to the law of the fiefs.

If we compare the fituation in which the rearvaffalage was at the time when the two Milanese fenators wrote that book, to what it was under king Pepin, we shall find that the rear-fiefs preserved * their primitive nature longer than the fiefs.

of fiefs, chap. 1.

But when those fenators wrote, fuch general exceptions had been made to this rule, as had almost (b) Book i, abolished it. For if a person (b) who had received a fief of a rear-vaffal, happened to follow him upon any expedition to Rome, he was intitled to all the privileges of a vaffal. In like manner, if he had given money to the rear-vaffal to obtain the fief, the latter could not take it from him, nor transmit it to his fon, till he returned him his money: in fine, this rule (c) was no longer observed in the fenate of Milan.

(c) Ibid.

* At least in Italy and Germany.

CHAP.

CI

lia

th

W

ki

in

ot

to

ba

no

the

be

jea

Wa

fho

wa

rei

ag

tali der perg

CHAP. XXVI.

Another change which happened in the fiefs.

y t-

e.

ne

d

ne

r-

fe

ıg

X-

oft

ed

on

he

ad

ef,

nit

in

fe-

P.

IN Charlemain's time (a) they were obliged, under Book great penalties, to repair to the general meeting in Chap. 26. case of any war whatsoever; they admitted of no ex- (a) Capicuses, and if the count exempted any one, he was tulary of liable himself to be punished. But the treaty of the the year 802. art. three brothers (b) made a restriction * upon this head, 7. Baluziwhich rescued the nobility, as it were, out of the us's ediking's hands; they were no longer obliged to ferve 365. in time of war, but when the war was defensive. others, they were at liberty to follow their lord, or Marinam; to mind their business.

The death of an hundred thousand French, at the luzius's ebattle of Fontenay, made the few remains of the dition. nobility imagine, that by the private quarrels of Pag. 42. their kings, about their respective shares, they should be utterly exterminated, and that their ambition and jealoufy would cause the effusion of what little blood was left. A law was therefore passed, that the nobility should not be obliged to serve their princes in the wars, unless it was to defend the state against a foreign invasion. This law (c) obtained for several (c) See the ages.

Volumus ut cujuscumque nostrum bomo in cujuscumque regno sit mans acum seniore suo in hostem vel aliis suis utilitatibus pergat, nift mong talis regni invafio quam LAMTUVERI dicunt, quod absit, acciderit, ut omnis populus illius regni ad eam repellendam communiter were adfergat. art. 5. ibid. pag. 44.

in the year 847. Ba-

Guy king of the Ro-

ded to the Salic law. and to that of the C H A P. Lombards tit 6. 4. 2.

in Echard.

Hh 2

CHAP. XXVII.

n

al

V

he

in

ec

fic

th

cu

ch

fec

ha

mı

de

the

bro

tha

Ph

of

lore

fief

wh

out

nera

it w

ther

Changes which happened in the great offices, and in the fiefs.

Book XXXI. Chap. 27. EVery thing seemed to be insected with a particular vice, and to be corrupted at one and the same time. I took notice, that in the beginning several siefs had been alienated in perpetuity; but those were particular cases, and the siefs in general preserved their nature; so that if the crown lost some siefs, she had substituted others in their stead. I likewise took notice, that the crown had never alienated the great offices in perpetuity *.

But Charles the Bald made a general regulation, which equally affected the great offices and the fiefs.

(*) See his He ordained in his capitularies that the (*) counties capitulary of the year should be given to the count's children, and that this 877. tit. regulation should also take place in respect to the

\$3. art. 9. fiefs.

Carifia
We shall see presently that this regulation receiverm; this ed a much greater extent, insomuch that the great is relative offices and siefs went even to more distant relations. to another From thence it followed, that the greatest part of the same year the lords, who held immediately of the crown, held and place, now only mediately. Those counts who formerly art. 3. administered justice in the king's placita; those counts who led the freemen against the enemy, found themselves situated between the king and his free-

Some authors pretend, that the county of Toulouse had been given by Charles Martel, and passed by inheritance down to Raymond the last count; but if this be true, it was owing to some circumstances which might have been an inducement to chuse the counts of Toulouse from among the children of the last possessor.

men; and the king's power was removed further off Book another degree.

XXXI. Chap. 27.

Again, it appears by the capitularies (a), that the (a) The 3d counts had benefices annexed to their counties and capitulary vaffals under them. When the counties became of theyear hereditary, the count's vaffals were no longer the 7. and immediate vaffals of the king, the benefices annex- that of the ed to the counties were no longer the king's bene- art. 6. on fices: the counts grew powerful, because the vasfals the Spanithey had already under them enabled them to pro- ards. The cure others.

In order to be convinced how much the monar-pitularies, chy was thereby weakened towards the end of the art. 228. fecond race, we have only to turn our eyes to what and the happened at the beginning of the third, when the of theyear multiplicity of rear-fiefs flung the great vaffals into 869. art.

despair.

al

ft

d.

er

n,

S.

es

is

he

v-

at

ns.

of

ld

rly

nts

nd

ee-

n;

een

ay-

cir-

the

oof-

It was a custom (b) of the kingdom, that when year 877. the elder brothers had given shares to their younger art. 13. brothers, the latter paid homage to the elder; fo edition, that the reigning lord held them only as a rear-fief. (b) As ap-Philip Augustus, the duke of Burgundy, the counts pears from of Nevers, Boulogne, S. Paul, Dampierre, and other Otho of Frifingen, lords, declared (°) that henceforward, whether the of the acfief was divided by fuccession, or otherwise, the tions of Frederic, whole should be held always of the same lord, with- book ii. out any intermediation. This ordinance was not ge- chap. 29. nerally followed; for as I have elsewhere observed, (c) See the it was impossible to make general ordinances at that ordinance time; but many of our customs were regulated by of Philip Augustus, them.

of the cacapitulary that of the

in the year 1209. in the new

C H A P. XXVIII.

Of the nature of the fiefs after the reign of Charles the Bald.

Book XXXI. Chap, 28.

tit. I'.

TATE have observed, that Charles the Bald ordained, that when the poffesfor of a great office or of a fief left a fon at his death, the office or fief should devolve to him. It would be a difficult matter to trace the progress of the abuses which from thence refulted, and of the extension given to (4) Book i. that law in each country. I find in the books (4) of the fiefs, that towards the beginning of the reign of the emperor Conrad II. the fiefs fituated in his dominions did not descend to the grandchildren: they descended only to one of the last possessor's children *, who had been chosen by the lord: thus

the fiefs were given by a kind of election, which

fi

tl

o

bo

lib

ad

leg

flit

uſu

ext

the lord made among the children.

We have explained in the feventeenth chapter of this book, in what manner the crown was in some respects elective, and in others hereditary, under the fecond race. It was hereditary, because the kings were always taken from that family, and because the children fucceeded; it was elective, by reason the people chose from amongst the children. As things of a fimilar nature move generally close together, and one political law is constantly relative to another, the fame spirit was followed + in the succession of fiefs, as had been followed in the fuccession to the crown. Thus the fiefs were transmitted to the children by the right of fuccession, as

^{*} Sic progressum est ut ad filios deveniret in quem Dominus boc wellet beneficium confirmare. ibid.

⁺ At least in Italy and Germany.

well as of election; and each fief was become both Book elective and hereditary, like the crown.

XXXI. Chap. 29.

This right of election in the person of the lord, was not fublifting * at the time of the authors (a) of (a) Gerarthe books of fiefs, that is, in the reign of the em- and Auperor Frederic I,

bertus de Orto.

CHAP. XXIX.

The same subject continued.

0

of

)-

:

's

18

ch

of

ne

he

gs ıſe he

gs

er,

an-

uc-

uc-

inf-

as

vell

bos

T is mentioned in the books of the fiefs, that when + the emperor Conrad fet out for Rome, the vassals in his service presented a petition to him, that he would please to make a law, that the fiefs which descended to the children, should descend also to the grandchildren; and that he whose brother died without legitimate heirs, might fucceed to the fief which had belonged to their common father: This was granted.

In the same place it is said, (and we are to remember. that those writers | lived at the time of the emperor Frederic I.) that the ancient civilians & had always been of opinion, that the fuccession of fiefs in a collateral

* Quod bodie ita stabilitum eft, ut ad omnes æqualiter veniat. book i. of the fiefs, tit. 1.

+ Cum verò Conradus Romam proficisceretur, petitum est a sidelibus qui in ejus erant servitio, ut lege ab eo promuigata boc etiam ad nepotes ex filio producere dignaretur, & ut frater fratei fine legitimo bærede defuncto in beneficio quod eorum patris fuit succedat. book i. of fiefs, tit. 1.

| Cujas has proved it extremely well.

Sciendum est quod beneficium advenientes ex latere ultra fratres patrueles non progreditur successione antiquis sapientibus confitutum, licet moderno tempore ufque ad feptimum geniculum fit usurpatum, quod in masculis descendentibus novo jure in i finitum extenditur. Ibid.

Book XXXI. Chap. 29.

line did not extend further than to own-brothers; tho' of late it was carried as far as the seventh degree, as by the new code they had extended it in a direct line in infinitum. 'Tis thus that Conrad's law was insensibly extended.

All these things supposed, the bare reading of the history of France is sufficient to shew, that the perpetuity of siefs was established earlier in France, than in Germany. Towards the commencement of the reign of the emperor Conrad II. in 1024, things were upon the same footing still in Germany, as they had been in France under the reign of Charles the Bald, who died in 877. But such were the changes made in France after the reign of Charles the Bald, that Charles the simple found himself incapable of disputing with a foreign house his incontestable rights to the empire; and, in fine, that in Hugh Capet's time, the reigning samily, stripped of all its domains, was no longer able to maintain the crown.

The weak understanding of Charles the Bald produced an equal weakness in the French monarchy. But as Lewis king of Germany his brother, and some of his successors were men of better parts, their government preserved its strength much longer.

But what do I say? perhaps the slegmatic temper, and if I dare use the expression, the immutability of spirit peculiar to the German nation, made a longer stand than that of the French nation, against this disposition of things, which perpetuated the siefs, by a natural tendency, in families.

Besides, the kingdom of Germany was not laid waste, and annihilated, as it were, like that of France, by that particular kind of war with which it had been harrassed by the Normans and Saracens. There were less riches in Germany, sewer cities to plunder,

lefs

pa

an

OC

th

of

the

less coasts to scour, more marshes to get over, more forests to penetrate. The princes who did not fee Chap. 30. every instant their dominions ready to fall to pieces, had less need of their vasfals, and consequently had less dependance on them. And in all probability if the emperors of Germany had not been obliged to be crowned at Rome, and to make continual expeditions into Italy, the fiefs would have preferved their primitive nature much longer in that country.

CHAP. XXX.

S

e

e' e

e

1-

)-

e

)-

г, ty

is

y

id

e, ad

re er,

ets

In what manner the empire was transferred from the family of Charlemain.

THE empire, which in prejudice to the branch of Charles the Bald, had been already given to the * bastard line of Lewis king of Germany, was transferred to a foreign house by the election of Conrad, duke of Franconia, in 912. The reigning branch in France, which was hardly capable of difputing a few villages, was much less in a situation to dispute the empire. We have an agreement which paffed between Charles the Simple and the emperor Henry I. who had fucceeded to Conrad. It is called the compact of Bonn(a). These two princes met in a vessel (a) In the which had been placed in the middle of the Rhine, year 926. and fwore eternal friendship. They used on this quoted by occasion an excellent middle term. Charles took Mire, the title of king of West France. and Henry that Cod. doof king of East France. Charles contracted with nationum the king of Germany, and not with the emperor. chap. 27.

CHAP.

^{*} Arnold, and his son Lewis IV.

CHAP. XXXI.

In what manner the crown of France was transferred to the house of Hugh Capet.

Book XXXI. Chap. 31.

THE inheritance of the fiefs, and the general establishment of rear-fiefs, extinguished the political, and formed a feudal government. Instead of that prodigious multitude of vaffals who were formerly under the king, there were now a few only, on whom the others depended. The kings had scarce any longer a direct authority; a power which was to pass through so many and through such great powers, either stopt or was lost before it reached its Those great vaffals would no longer obey; and they even made use of their rear-vassals to withdraw their obedience. The kings deprived of their domains, and reduced to the cities of Rheims and Laon, were left exposed to their mercy; the tree stretched out its branches too far, and the head was withered. The kingdom found itself without a domain, as the empire is at present. The crown was therefore given to one of the most potent vaffals.

The Normans ravaged the kingdom; they came in a kind of boats or small vessels, entered the mouths of rivers, and laid the country waste on both sides. The cities of Orleans * and Paris put a stop to those plunderers, so that they could not advance further, neither on the Seine, nor on the Loire. Hugh Capet, who was master of those cities, held in his hands the two keys of the unhappy remains of the kingdom;

^{*} See the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 877, apud Carifiacum, on the importance of Paris, S. Denis, and the castles on the Loire, in those days.

kingdom; the crown was conferred upon him as the Book only person able to defend it. 'Tis thus the empire Chap. 32. was afterwards given to a family whose dominions'

form fo ftrong a barrier against the Turks.

The empire went from Charlemain's family, at a time when the inheritance of fiefs was established only as a mere condescendance. It even appears that it obtained much later among the Germans than among the French; which was the reason that the empire, considered as a fief, was elective. On the contrary, when the crown of France went from the family of Charlemain, the fiefs were really hereditary in this kingdom; and the crown as a great fief was also hereditary.

But 'tis very wrong to refer to the very moment of this revolution, all the changes which had already happened, or happened afterwards. The whole was reduced to two events; the reigning family changed, and the crown was united to a great fief.

CHAP. XXXII.

Some consequences of the perpetuity of fiefs.

ROM the perpetuity of the fiefs it followed, that the right of feniority or primogeniture was established among the French. This right was quite unknown under the first race (a); the crown was (a) See the divided among the brothers, the allodia were disalic law, vided in the same manner, and as the fiefs whether law of the precarious or for life were not an object of succession in the fine, neither could they be of division.

Under the fecond race, the title of emperor which allodia. Lewis the Pious enjoyed, and with which he honoured his eldest fon Lotharius, made him think of

giving

Chap. 32.

Book giving this prince a kind of superiority over his younger brothers. The two kings * were obliged to wait upon the emperor every year, to carry him presents and to receive much greater from him; they were to confult with him upon common affairs. This is what inspired Lotharius with those pretences which met with fuch bad fuccess. When Agobard † wrote in favour of this prince, he alledged the emperor's own regulation, who had affociated Lotharius to the empire, after he had consulted the Almighty by a three days fast, and by the celebration of the holy facrifices; after the nation had fworn allegiance to him, which they could not refuse without perjuring themselves, and after he had fent Lotharius to Rome to be confirmed by the pope. He lays a stress upon all this, and upon his right of primogeniture. He fays indeed, that the emperor had defigned a division among the younger brothers, and that he had given the preference to the elder; but faying he had preferred the elder, was faying at the fame time that he might have preferred his younger brothers.

> But as foon as the fiefs were become hereditary, the right of feniority was established in the succession of the fiefs; and for the fame reason in that of the crown, which was the great fief. The ancient law of divisions was no longer subfifting; the fiefs being charged with a fervice, the possessor must have been enabled to discharge it. The right of primogeniture was established, and the reason of the feudal law forced that of the political or civil law.

See the capitulary of the year 817, which contains the first division made by Lewis the Pious among his children.

⁺ See his two letters upon this subject, the title of one of which is de divisione imperii.

As the fiefs descended to the children of the pos- Book fessor, the lords lost the liberty of disposing of them; XXXI. and, in order to indemnify themselves on that account, they established what they called the right of redemption, whereof mention is made in our customs. which at first was paid in a direct line, and by usage came afterwards to be paid only in a collateral line.

The fiefs were foon rendered transferable to strangers, as a patrimonial estate. This gave rife to the right of fines of alienation, which were established almost throughout the whole kingdom. rights were arbitrary in the beginning; but when the practice of granting these permissions was become

general, they were fixed in every diffrict.

The right of redemption was to be paid at each change of heir, and at first was paid even in a direct line (1). The most general custom had fixed it to (1) See the one year's income. This was burthenfome and in ordinance convenient to the vassal, and affected in some mea- of Philip sure the sief itself. It was often agreed * in the act in the year of homage, that the lord should no longer demand 1209. on more than a certain fum of money for the redemption, which by the changes incident to money became afterwards of no manner of importance. Thus the right of redemption is in our days reduced almost to nothing, while that of the fines of alienation is continued in its full extent. As this right concerned neither the valial nor his heirs, but was a fortuitous case which no one was obliged to foresee or expect; these kinds of stipula-

We find several of these conventions in the charters, as in the register-book of Vendome, and that of the abbey of S. Cyprian in Poitou, of which Mr. Galland has given some extracts, pag.

Book XXXI. Chap. 32. tions were not made, and they continued to pay a certain part of the price.

When the fiefs were for life, they could not give a part of a fief to hold in perpetuity as a rear-fief; for it would have been abfurd that a person who had only the usufruit of a thing should dispose of the property of it. But as soon as they became perpetual, this was * permitted with some restrictions made by the customs +, which was what they called dismembering their fief.

The perpetuity of the fiefs having established the right of redemption, the daughters were rendered capable of succeeding to a fief, in default of male issue. For when the lord gave the fief to his daughter, he multiplied the eases of his right of redemption, because the husband was obliged to pay it as well as the wife. This regulation could not take place in regard to the crown, for as it was not held of any one, there could be no right of redemption over it.

fi

to

is

b

fai

w

pre

the

The daughter of William V. count of Toulouse, did not succeed to the county. Afterwards, Eleanora succeeded to Aquitaine, and Mathildis to Normandy; and the right of the succession of semales seemed so well established in those days, that Lewis the Young, after his divorce from Eleanora, made no difficulty in restoring Guyenne to her. But as these two last instances sollowed close to the first, the general law by which the women were called to the succession

^{*} But they could not abridge the fief, that is, abolish a portion of it.

⁺ They fixed the portion which they could dismember.

[|] This was the reason that the lords obliged the widow to marry again.

of fiefs, must have been introduced much later * in- Book to the county of Toulouse, than into the other pro- XXXI, vinces of the kingdom.

The constitution of several kingdoms of Europe has followed the actual fituation, in which the fiefs were when those kingdoms were founded. The women fucceeded neither to the crown of France nor to the empire, because at the establishment of those two monarchies, they were incapable of fucceeding to fiefs. But they fucceeded in kingdoms, whose establishment was posterior to that of the perpetuity of the fiefs, fuch as those founded by the conquests of the Normans, those by the conquests made on the Moors; and others, in fine, which beyond the limits of Germany, and in later times, received in fome measure a second birth by the establishment of Christianity.

e

e

d

e

-

13

e d

n

e,

ra

1; O

y st

W

n

of

on

to

When the fiefs were at will, they were given to fuch people as were capable of doing fervice for them, wherefore they were never bestowed on minors; but + when they became perpetual, the lords took the fief into their own hands, till the pupil came of age, either to increase their own profits, or to bring up the pupil in the military exercise. This is what our customs call the guardianship of a nobleman's children, which is founded on principles

different

Most of the great families had their particular laws of succession. See what M. de la Thaumassiere says, concerning the families of Berry.

⁺ We see in the capitulary of the year 877, apud Carifiacum, art. 3. Baluzius's edition. tom. ii. pag. 269. the moment in which the kings caused the fiefs to be administered in order to preserve them for the minors, an example followed by the lords, and which gave rife to what we have mentioned by the name of the guardianship of a nobleman's children.

(a) Capi-

Charles

the Bald.

860. poft

reditum a

edition,

(b) Ibid.

art. 3.

different from those of tutelage, and is entirely a Book XXXI.

diftinct thing from it. Chap. 32.

When the fiefs were for life, people vowed fealty for a fief, and the real delivery which was made by a fcepter fecured the fief, as it is now fecured by homage. We do not find that the counts, or even the king's commissaries, received the homages in the provinces; nor is this function to be met with in the commissions of those officers, which have been handed down to us in the capitularies. fometimes indeed made all the king's subjects take an oath of allegiance *; but this oath was so far from being an homage of the fame nature as those afterwards established, that in the latter the oath of allegiance was an + action joined to homage, which fometimes followed and fometimes preceded it, which did not take place in all homages, and which was lefs folemn than homage, and was quite a diffinct thing from it.

The counts and the king's commissaries made those vaffals (*) whose fidelity was suspected, give tularies of occasionally a security which was called firmitas; but this fecurity could not be an homage, fince the

in the year kings (b) gave it to one another.

And

to

ti

ir

P

al

no

fe

ki

OV

275

im

tha

pen

confluenti-· We find the formula thereof in the fecond capitulary of the bus, art. 3. Baluzius's year 802. See also that of the year 854. art. 13. and others.

+ M. du Cange in the word hominium, pag. 1163. and in the pag. 145. word fidelitas, pag. 474. cites the charters of the ancient homages where these differences are found, and a great number of authorities which may be feen. In paying homage, the vasfal put his hand into that of his lord, and took his oath; the oath of fealty was made by fwearing on the gospels. The homage was performed kneeling, the oath of fealty standing. None but the lord could receive homage, but his officers might take the oath of fealty. See Littleton, fect. 91. & 92. of homage, that is, fidelity and homage.

And if the abbot Suger (a) makes mention of a Book chair of Dagobert, in which, according to the testi- Chap. 32. mony of antiquity, the kings of France were accustomed to receive the homage of the nobility; 'tis adminiplain that he employs here the notions and language fratione of his own time.

When the fiefs descended to the heirs, the acknowledgment of the vaffal, which at first was only an occasional thing, became a regular action. It was made in a more folemn manner, and was attended with more formalities, because it was to be a monument of the reciprocal duties of the lord and vaffal through all fucceeding ages.

I should be apt to think, that the homages began to be established under king Pepin, which is the time I mentioned that feveral benefices were given in perpetuity; but I should not think thus without precaution, and only upon a supposition that the authors of the ancient annals (b) of the Franks were (b) Anno not ignorant pretenders, who in describing the act of 757. chap. fealty performed by Taffillon duke of Bavaria to king Pepin, spoke * according to the usages of their own time.

Wife the held wave founded necessary, enclosed

S

e

e

e

d

ie

e

)-

of. al

th ge

ut

ne

at

to it will be a second of the second of the

compared the best of a cold that were tertiary to the life land

who rene to the rate to he had being threat, infulted

half aid on bearant or state by a readon to the find

the land on the set of the set of the line of the

^{*} Tassilo venit in vassatico se commendans, per manus sacramenta juravit multa & innumerabilia reliquiis sanctorum manus imponens, & fidelitatem promist regi Pippinio. One would think that there was here an homage and an oath of fealty. See the penultimate note above.

CHAP. XXXIII.

The same subject continued.

XXXI. Chap. 33.

X7HEN the fiefs were either precarious or for life, they feldom had a relation to any other than the political laws; for which reason in the civil laws of those times there is very little mention made of the laws of fiefs. But when they were become hereditary, when there was a power of giving, felling, and bequeathing them, they had a relation then both to the political and the civil laws. The fief confidered as an obligation to the military fervice, depended on the political law; confidered as a kind of commercial property, it depended on the civil law. This gave rife to the civil laws concerning fiefs.

relating to the order of successions must have been relative to the law of the perpetuity of fiefs. Thus this rule of the French law, estates of inheritance (*) Book do not ascend (*), was encaomined in a live de feu-Roman and Salic (b) laws. It was necessary that the fief should be served; but a grandfather or a title of al- great uncle would have been very bad vaffals to give to the lord: wherefore this rule took place at first only in regard to the fiefs, as we learn of Bou-

When the fiefs were become hereditary, the laws

(e) Somme tillier (c).

(b) In the

lodia.

Rurale, When the fiefs were become hereditary, the lords book i. who were to fee that the fief was ferved, infifted tit. 76. Pag. 447 that the * daughters who were to fucceed to the fief,

> * According to an ordinance of S. Lewis, in the year 1246. to fettle the customs of Anjou and Maine; those who shall have the care of the heirefs of a fief, shall give security to the lord that she shall not be married without his consent.

No. 8. &

and I fancy fometimes the males, should not marry Book without their consent; infomuch that the marriage- Chap. 33. contracts became in respect to the lords both a feudal and a civil regulation. In an act of this kind under the lord's inspection, regulations were made for the future succession, with a view that the fief might be ferved by the heirs: hence none but the nobility at first had the liberty of disposing of the future successions by marriage-contract, as (*) Boyer and (b) (4) Deci-Aufrerius have justly observed.

It is needless to mention that the power of redemp- 204. & tion founded on the old right of the relations, a my- No. 38. stery of our ancient French jurisprudence which I (b) In Cahave not now time to develop, could not take place pell. Thol. with regard to the fiefs till they were become he- 453.

reditary.

il

e

e

n

ef

d

il

g

15

n 15 ce

ıe at

0 at 1-

ds d f, nd

6. re ord Italiam, Italiam

I finish my treaty of fiefs at a period, where most authors commence theirs.

FINIS.



OF LAWS. and I dency to contentes the maje, thould not marry Roog will see their restlent a informately that the marriage-Chap. 33. contacts beginned a respective the large both a feudal and a cover to granteen. The sheets of this blad mader the looks into chions regulations were ready for the thouse forcest and, with a view that the first mishing to williden set the error wind : enter of the house had but the Placey of depolace of the luter- fucguines by waxra gre-crest of (a) Boyer, and (b) (c) Deci-.221 non A Visida vella aera unha No. 8. 12 the case we we of calemp- gos. & gardie, old signification relations, a my. No. 38. rease with rudence which I (*) In Caw rime to mark out a will not take place "Lost E. Mart decision e become he- 413. Adi b



INDEX.

The numbers expressed by letters refer to the volume, those by figures to the page.

A.

ABORTION: why the women of America procured
A it, ii. 113
Accusations allowed in old Rome : useful in a republic,
i. 116. The abuse made of them under the emperors, i. 117.
Public, i. 283. Cause of their being put a stop to, i. 151.
Of herefy and magic, the circumspection they require, i. 266.
Abuse that may be made of them, i. 267, & Seq.
Accused; in what manner they escaped condemnation at Rome,
i. 111
Accusers: in what case they were punished at Athens, i. 283.
Guards appointed to watch them, ibid. False: in what man-
ner they were punished, ibid.
Actions of men; how they are judged in a monarchy, i. 43, &
feq. Cause of the great actions of the ancients, i. 48, &
feq. Ex bona fide, by whom devited, Adoption, when useful, i. 64. When not, i. 77
Adulation, when forbid by honor, i. 43.
Adultery (accusation of) public among the Romans, i. 70. In
what manner it was abolished, i. 151. (A woman) accused
by her children, ii. 193
Advocate of the public profecutor, ii. 305. His function, ibid.
Africa: State of the people who inhabit its coasts, ii. 22. Rea-
fon of their being barbarians, ibid. Nature of their com-
merce, ibid. Eastern coast, its limits known by the ancients,
ii. 42. Less known in Ptolemy's time, than in that of So-
lomon, ii. ibid.
Agriculture: in what light it was confidered by the ancient
Greeks, i. 55. By whom exercised among that nation, ibid.
and ii. 120. Encouraged among the Chinese and Persians.
i. 325
Alcibiades, admired by the universe; for what reason, i. 61
Vol. IL Kk Alexander

INDEX.

2 21 22 21
Alexander, reflection on his project, i. 208. What he did to preserve his conquests, i. 205. His conquests in the Indies,
ii. 36. Founds Alexandria, ii. 37. His navigation in the Indies, and the Indian sea, ii. 40, & seq. His empiralivid.
Alienation of the great offices and fiefs, ii. 468 & feq.
Allodia. How changed into fiefs and why, ii. 431, & feq.
Advantage of this change, ii. 434
Ambassadors of princes, to what laws they were subject, ii. 217 Ambition, invades the hearts of some people, when virtue is
banished, i. 32. Pernicious in a republic, i. 36. Whether it be useful in a monarchy, i. ibid.
America, by whom discovered, ii. 60. Consequence of this dis-
covery, with regard to the rest of the world, ii. 62. And
with regard to Spain, ii 63. Nature of its foil, i. 392.
Why there are so many savages in that country, ibid. Amortization, (right of,) ii. 177
Amphicityons, their law contradictory to itself, ii. 330
Annuitants, reasons that induce the state to grant them a fin-
gular protection, ii. 100 Anonymous (letters,) whether any notice ought to be taken of
them,
Antipater, the law which he enacted at Athens, i. 21. Advan- tage of that law, ibid.
Antrustio, what was understood by that word, ii. 406. The composition which was settled for the murder of an Antrustio, ibid. Whether the order of Antrustio's formed a body of
nobility, ii. 410
Appeal, how it was looked upon by the Romans, ii. 282
Appeal of false judgment; what it was formerly, ii. 282. It included felony, ibid. how they proceeded therein, ii. 283, & feq. and 285. Who were forbid to make it, ii. 287. It
was to be made upon the spot, ii. 297. Of default of justice, when it was permitted, ii. 289. In what manner it was intro-
duced, ii. 291. Whether battle was allowed in this case,
ibid. & feq. Followed with an appeal of false judgment, ii. 293. To the king, ii. 290. Whether they could appeal the king's court of false judgment, ii. 288. A law of Charles
VII. concerning appeals, ii. 200. A law of Charles
Appius (the Decemvir) how he eludes his own laws in the affair
of Virginia, i. 116
of Virginia, i. 116 Arabs, their character, ii. 52. & feq. Their ancient and pre-
of Virginia, Arabs, their character, ii. 52. & feq. Their ancient and prefent commerce, ibid. Their liberty, Arcadians, nature of the country they inhabit, i. 53
of Virginia, Arabs, their character, ii. 52. & seq. Their ancient and prefent commerce, ibid. Their liberty, Arcadians, nature of the country they inhabit, Areopagite severely punished for killing a sparrow, i. 101
of Virginia, Arabs, their character, ii. 52. & seq. Their ancient and prefent commerce, ibid. Their liberty, Arcadians, nature of the country they inhabit, Areopagite severely punished for killing a sparrow, Areopagus, a court of judicature at Athens, i. 69. Several judg-
of Virginia, Arabs, their character, ii. 52. & seq. Their ancient and prefent commerce, ibid. Their liberty, Arcadians, nature of the country they inhabit, Areopagite severely punished for killing a sparrow, i. 101

Ar Ar Ar Ar As

Ariana, its fituation, il. 35
Ariflocracy, what it is, i. 12, and 18. When it is happy, i. 18.
Wich is the best, i. 21. and the most imperfect, ibid. Things
pelucious in an aristocracy, i. 73, & feq. and 163, & feq. In
what manner it is corrupted, i. 163
Aristotle, his opinion concerning the virtues of slaves, i. 47. In
regard to natural flaves, i. 343. In regard to music, i. 54,
& feq. In regard to artisans, i. ibid. In regard to the spi-
rit's waxing old, i. 70. In regard to monarchies, i. 233. In
regard to the number of citizens, ii. 121
Arms; effect arising from the change of arms, ii. 272. Fire
arms; the bearing of them how punished at Venice, ii. 220
Arrest or decree given upon an appeal: origin of the formu-
lary employed therein, ii. 300
Artaxerxes: why he put his children to death, i. 89
Artisans, how they came to be made freemen, i. 54
Arts, what Xenophon fays of those who exercise them, i. ibid.
Their use, ii. 118. Their influence on the number of the
inhabitants of a country, ii. ibid.
As Roman, its value, ii. 91, & Seq.
Afia, what kind of trade was carried on formerly in that coun-
try, ii. 25. Revolutions that have happened in Afia, ibid.
Quality and effects of its climate, i. 378, & feq. Its climate
different from that of Europe, i. 380. How often subdued,
1. 381
Assembly of the people: why the number of citizens that com-
pose it ought to be fixed,
Affizes, ii. 289, and 323
Affociations of cities, when necessary, i. 184
Affyrians, conjecture in regard to their communication with dif-
tant countries, ii. 26
Afylums in the temples, ii. 173. Numerous in Greece, ibid. Abuse made of them, ibid. Established by Moses; for whom,
ibid, & feq. Refused to the Saxons, ii. 236. Every man
ought to have his own house for an asylum, ii. 334
Atheift, why he always talks of religion, ii. 169
Athens, (law of) to put strangers to death who concerned them-
felves in the affemblies of the people, i. 12. and the useles
people, when the city was befieged, ii. 339. (People of)
how they chose their magistrates and senators, i. 13, and 16.
Their ability in this respect, i. 13. How they gave their suf-
frages, i. 17. (People of) divided into four classes, i. 15.
Their character, i. 420. Number of her forces in the war
against the Persians, i. 30. Her state under Demetrius Pha-
lereus, and under Demosthenes, ibid. & feq. Overcome at
Cheronea; consequences of that defeat, i. 31. Her mari-
time power, ii. 33. The use she made of it, ibid, & seq.
Cause of her corruption, i. 163
K k 2 Athualpa

B

Ba Ba

Ben Bet Bet Bet Bet Bon Box Bru it it Bur it. Bury cli

Athualpa (the Ynca) in what manner he was treated by the Spaniards, ii, 218
Attica, its foil had an influence on the government, i. 386
Attila, his empire dissolved, i. 177
Attorney (the king's) an office established at Majorca, ii. 306
Avarice possesses people's hearts, when virtue is banished, i. 30.
Whether it can be destroyed by the laws which abolish the
property of land, i. 87. Of princes, ii. 58
Avaricious: why they hoard up gold preferable to any other
metal, ii. 79
Augustus (the emperor) abstains from reforming the manners and huxury of women, i. 142, and 153. His laws against celibacy, ii. 125. His speech to those who wanted him to repeal those laws, ii. ibid He permits the free-born citizens who were not senators to marry freed-women, ii. 130. He durst not take the name of Romulus, i. 417. In what manner he
appeafes the Romans, i. 418
Austria (the house of) its fortune, ii. 60
Authority unlimited, how dangerous in a republic to confer it upon a fingle citizen, i. 19. Case wherein it may be useful, i. ibid. Of magistrates in different despotic governments and monarchies, i. 93. Paternal, useful for the preservation of morals, i. 71. How far it was extended among the Romans and Lacedemonians, ibid. When it ended at Rome, ibid.
A secretary to the secr

B.

BACTRIA (Grecian kings of) their navigation in the Indies, and the discoveries they made, ii. 39
Bailiff's, their functions, before and after the revival of the Roman law, ii. 319, & feq.
Bank of St George; by whom directed, i. 18, & feq.
Bankers, in what their art confifts, ii. 86, & feq. The affift.
ance a flate may derive from them, Banks. To what kind of commerce they are adapted, ii. 9, & feq. Cause of the lowering of gold and filver, ii. 69
Bantam (king of) is the universal heir to all his subjects, i. 87. What follows from thence, ibid. Great number of girls in his dominions, ii. 116
Barbarians different from favages, i. 393. Their behaviour after conquering the Romans, ii. 354, and 360. (Communication with them) prohibited by the Romans, ii. 51. Their laws, ii. 233, & feq. Whether they were confined to a certain district, ii. 237. How they came to be lost, ii. 247,
Barbarism of nations, is the cause of the scarcity of specie, ii. 74. Bashaws: why always exposed to the sury of their master, i. 38. Absolute

he

18

86

06

30.

the 58

her

79

and

ba-

eal

vho urst

he

118

60

it ful,

and

of

ans

bid.

In-

39

the

Seq.

Seg.

Mitt-

97

87.

s in

116 af-

ica-

heir

0 3

2475

Seq.

. 74

. 38.

lute

Absolute in their government, i. 94. How they determine disputes, 1. 107 Bafil (the emperor:) odd judgments passed by this prince, i. 130, & Seq. Bastards. More degraded in republics than in monarchies, ii. 111. Laws of the Romans against them, ibid. Judgment on those laws, ibid. Upon what occasion they were admitted into the number of citizens, ii. 112. In what case they inherited, Baston, or stick, used instead of the sword, ii. 270. with a baston, what composition was allowed for it, ii. 270. Why it was reckoned an affront, ii. 271. A weapon peculiar to knaves and villains, 11. 270 Bayle. His opinion concerning atheism and idolatry, ii. 145 Concerning the christian religion, Bayonne. A generous letter from the governor of this city to. Charles IX. 1. 45, & Jeg. Judgment of his work, ii. 211, and 284. Whence comes Beaumanoir. the difference between his principles and those of Defontaines, Beggars, how they come to have so many children, ii. 115. Beings, all have their laws, i. 1. Particular intelligent beings. Their laws, Believre (President de) his speech to king Lewis XIII. at the trial of the duke de la Valette. Benefice, a term opposite to that of property, in the law of the Lombards, Bengal, (Bay of) how discovered, 11. 38 Betis, a river of Spain, ii. 46 Betrothing: regulation of the Papian law upon this subject, ii. 129 Bishops: their power under the kings of the Visiogoths, ii. 236. Petition to be exempted from ferving in war, ii. 377. Their great riches under the kings of the first race, 11. 434, 435 ii. 343 Blind man; why not allowed to plead at Rome, Bonzes destroyed in China; why, 1, 146 Box on the ear, why reckoned an affront, 11. 271 Brunechild (queen), her great parts, ii. 414. Cause of her mis-fortunes, ii. 414, & seq. Her regency. The revolution which it occasioned, ii. 415, & Jeg. Consequence of this revolution, ibid. Her execution, 11. 414 Whether we know these laws, Brutes have their laws, i. I. (Sensation of) to what it is relative, i. 4. Whether they have positive laws, Burgundians, (laws of the) ii. 234. They received no great changes, and why, ii. 225. See the words Code and Gundebald. Buying, (trade of) 11. 69, & Jeg.

C

CÆSAR: his laws in regard to debtors, ii. 71. And i regard to married people, ii. 12
Calumniators, common under the emperor Arcadius, i. 114. En couraged by Sylla, i. 27
Camoens: a Portuguese poet. Subject of his poem, ii. 5
Canaanites: cause of their destruction, i. 18
Candor, necessary in the making of laws, ii. 34
Cape of Good Hope: why they could not double it, ii. 32. B whom discovered, ii. 5
Capitularies: their origin, ii. 238, and 249. (False one) attributed to Charlemain, ii. 247. Added to the laws of the Lombards, ii. 25
Carthage: Cause of her ruin, i. 31. Policy of the Romans i
respect to this city, ibid. Effect of her despair, ibid. He
maritime power, ii. 43. How the increased her riches, ibia
Her colonies, ibid. Her wars with Marseilles, ii. 48. He
different states, ibid. Her senate, of what kind of persons
was composed, i. 25 Carthaginians: their commerce, ii. 45. Their treaty with the
Romans, ii. 48. Whether they were acquainted with the compass,
Carvilius Ruga: why he repudiated his wife, i. 375, & fee
Whether he is the first that did it, i. 37
Cato the Cenfor: his motive for supporting the Voconian an Oppian laws, ii. 22
Causes reserved to the king by the laws of the Barbarians, ii. 28
Causes criminal, by whom tried among the Romans, i. 24
Celibacy (the spirit of) is introduced into the empire, ii. 132 & feq. and ii. 151. Reflections on celibacy, ii. 17
Censors at Rome, their functions, i. 19, and 70. and ii. 124 Their privileges, i. 7
Censorship: its effects among the Romans; in what manner about lished, i. 174. and ii. 124, & seq. Re-established by Cæsa and Augustus, ii 125. Upon what occasion, ibid
Census: its effect, i. 65. Whether there was a general census in the ancient French monarchy, ii. 373. Whether it was the same thing as the census of the Romans, ii. 368. What is
was, and on whom raised, ii. 370. Whether it was paid b
freemen, Centenarii: their functions, ii. 376. How established, ibia
Their districts, ibia
Cernè: its fituation, ii. 4
Champions employed in judicial combats, ii. 27 Change of religion, ii. 18
Change of religion, ii. 18 Charamain, divides his empire among his children, ii. 450. Th
law

laws he gives to the Saxons, ii. 234. His will, ii. 444. His conduct in the government, ii. 451, & feq. His reasons for establishing a great number of bishoprics in Germany, ii. 453. His elogium, ii. 451, & feq. Badly imitated by his fon, ii. 454. The state of Europe before and since his time, ii. 456. His successors; in what manner they lost the throne, ii. 459, & feq. His family: in what manner they loft the empire, ii. 473, & feq. Charles Martel: state of Europe in his time, ii. 438. He strips the clergy, ii. ibid. Encouraged by the pope, ii. ibid. Gives away the church lands, both as allodia, and as fiefs, ii. 446 Charles V. (emperor) his power, ii. 60 Charles XII. Letter from this prince to the senate of Sweden. 84. Cause of his fall, 207. Compared to Alexander, i. 208 Childeric, why driven from the throne, Children, who is obliged to provide for them, ii. 109. Their condition, when they are the fruit of marriage, ii. 110. and when they are not, ibid. Of different mothers, whether they ought to inherit alike, ii. 111. Custom of Japan upon this head, ibid. In what case it is advantageous to have a great many, ii. 114. Why they are more numerous in fea ports, ii. 116. Honors paid at Rome to those who had most, ii. 127, & feq. Exposing of them, in use in China, why, ii. 119. Laws of the Romans, and the practice of the Germans, in respect to this article, ii. 135, & Jeq. Obliged to maintain their father, ii. 193. Whether it be not contrary to humanity, to punish them for the crime of their father, i. Whether the law of nature ordains that they shall 293. be heirs to their father, ii. 18¢ China; idea of its government, i. 432, & seq. Reflection upon this subject, i. 179. Difference between the character we have of it from the missionaries, and that which is given by mercantile people, ibid. & feq. Fathers are punished here for the faults of their children, i. 134. Luxury is pernicious in this country; why? i. 145, & feq. Cause of its revolutions, i. 146. Its rights, i. 430, and 432. Provinces of China raised from beneath the waters, i. 390. (Legislators of) the principal object they had in view, i. 429. How they obtained it, ibid. & feq. Custom of China in regard to the cultivation of lands, i. 325. Particular quality of its climate, i. 180. (Emperors of) the interest they have in governing well, i. 181. (Commerce of) compared to that of Europe, Chinese, their character, i. 423. whether they can lose their laws, i. 431. They are great cheats, 1. 434. Chivalry (fystem of) how it was formed, 11. 274 Christopher Columbus: his proposal rejected by Francis, i. 66 Reflection upon this subject, II. ibid. Cicero, finds fault with the laws which made the fuffrages fecret, Kk4

9

9

r.

13

e

it

y

ł.

ł.

3

i. 16. A faying of his, concerning commerce, i. 4. and
concerning the agrarian laws,
Ging-Mars: upon what pretence he was brought to trial, i. 271
Citizen Roman, could not be put to death, i. 128. Nor be
tried but by the people of Rome, i. 258
Civil (State) its definition, i. 8. (Law) distinct from the canon
law, ii. 108. and from the law of nations, ii. 216. (Laws)
their influence on marriages, ii. 203. Their principle, ii.
199. Whether the general depositions of the civil law are
applicable every where, ii. 221
Civility of the people of China, i. 429
Claudius (the emperor) appropriated to himself the decision of
all causes; the consequence thereof, i. 114. He derogates
from the Voconian law, ii. 231
Clemency: whose peculiar characteristic it is, i. 134. Its effects, i. 135
Clergy (power of the) when it is dangerous or proper, i. 23.
Serves as a barrier in some countries against the torrent
of arbitary power, ibid. How great it was under the kings
of the first race, i. 415. (Riches of the) sometimes exces-
five, and fometimes reduced to nothing, ii. 436. Support-
ed by duke Pepin, and depressed by his son, and other kings,
ii. 439, & feq. Stript by the nobility, ii. 457. They declare
against judicial combats, ii. 263
Climate: its influence on the constitution of body, and on the
laws, i, 316, & feq. On sobriety, i. 326. On political ser-
vitude, 377. On the diversions of different nations, ii. 165.
On religion, ii. 166. Cold, state of people that live there,
i. 316, & feq. Warm: its effect with regard to the body, i.
ibid. Of England: its effects, i. 331, & feq. Effect of the
climate on the laws of the Germans, i. 333
Clovis: cause of his cruelty against his family, i, 413. Made
conful by the emperor, ii. 402
Coal pits, their use, ii. 117
Code of the Burgundians; a law of theirs upon hospitality,
ii. 3. and upon robbery, ii. 192
Coin base, why established among the Romans, ii. 53
Coiners false, declared guilty of high treason, i. 272
Colchis: its present situation compared to the ancient, ii. 24.
Where there are any traces to be found of its commerce, ii.
ibid.
Colonies, new, different from the ancient, ii. 61. Their trade,
ibid. The defign of it, ibid. Laws of Europe upon this
head, ii. 61. Whether it be a disadvantage to them to be
distant from the mother country, ii. 62. Effect of this di-
flance, ibid.
Comitia by tribes, i. 244
Commerce prohibited among the Venetian nobility, i. 75. Ought
to be fayoured by the laws, i. 79. Whether the great num-
ber

Con Con Con Con

ber of inhabitants is any obstruction to it, i. 139. Its influence upon manners, ii. 1, and 2. Unites nations, but difunites individuals, ii. 2. Effect arifing from a total privation of it, ii. 3. Its relation to the conflitution of the govern-ment, ii. 4. Its enterprizes: whether small republics are capable of great enterprizes, ii. 5. Its freedom: in what it confifts, ii. 11. It requires a multiplication of the civil laws, ii. 14, & feg. Whether it be advantageous that princes should engage in it, ii. 15. Example to this purpose from the Castilians and Portuguese, ii. 15, & seq. Whether it can be carried on by the nobility, ii. 16. Whether it be advantageous to all nations, ii. 18. Cause of the poverty of some nations, ii, 19. Example of Poland, ibid. Cause of its revolutions, ii. 24. Of the ancients; in what it differed from ours, ii. 25, and 41. In what feas it was carried on, ibid. Its state before the time of Alexander, ii. 28. Changes its face under this prince, ii. 34. And after the destruction of the Romans, ii. 54. Under the Saracens, ii. 56. Is revived in Europe, ii. 57, & feq. Is in its own nature uncertain, ii. 74. Whether it be proper for one nation to exclude another from trading with it, ii. 8. & feq. Judgment of the conduct of the Japanese in this respect, ibid. Whether it be proper for a flate to lay itself under an obligation of selling its manufactures only to a fingle nation, ii. 8. Example drawn from the Poles, Commerce low; notion the Greeks had of it, Commissaries, or the king's deputies, their authority, ii. 28g Community of goods between man and wife; its advantage, i. 156 Compass. In what manner navigation was carried on before its invention, ii. 27. Whether it was possible to surround Africa without this affistance, ii. 32. Whether it was known to the ancients, ii. 47. The invention of it; its effect, ii 59 Compositions, in use among the barbarians. What they were, ii. 382, & feq. For murder, ii. 384, & feq. Laws concerning them, ibid. Different according to the difference of perfons, ii. 384. In what case they were refused, Condemned persons: what was done with their goods at Rome, Confication of merchandizes. Charter of the English upon this fubject, ii 12. Law of Spain upon this subject, ibid. The practice of Europe and Afia upon this article, Confiscation of goods. In what state useful, i. 92. In what case permitted among the Romans, i. ibid. Of the effects of Jews who embrace christianity, on what founded, ii. 57. Opinion of Bodin in regard to confications, Conqueror, his rights over conquered people, i. 194, & feg. Judgment of the pretended generofity of some conquerors,

> 1. 211 Conquest

Conquest, its aim, i. 7. Its use in regard to the conquered states i. 198. (Right of) from whence derived, i. 194. Wha power it gives to the conqueror, ibid. How that power
ought to be regulated, ibid. Methods of preserving it, i. 200
Vaitly large; supposeth despotic power, 1. 210. Its effect
in regard to the conquering states, i. 203. Those of the
people of the north, of Asia, and of Europe, have had dif
ferent effects,
Constantine, changes he made in the military government, i. 130
His laws in regard to marriages, ii. 130. Spirit of those
laws, ii. 133. Ordains that people should rest on the sabbath, ii. 16
Consulate Roman, its power, i. 240, & seq. Reduced by the Plebeians,
Confuls Roman, what causes they decided, i. 248
Continency public, necessary in a popular state, i. 147. Atten-
tion of legislators upon this article, i. 148. Its effects with
regard to propagation, ii. 100, & fee
Contumacy; how punished in the first ages of the French mo- narchy, ii. 432
Conversation: why truth is necessary in conversation, i. 43
Copper, different proportions between copper and filver, ii. 75, and 93
Corinth, its advantageous fituation, ii. 34. Corruption of its inhabitants,
Cornelian (laws) change which Cæfar made in them, i. 129
Corpse: the digging it out of the ground in order to strip it, how punished by the Salic law, ii. 383
Corruption: several forts of, i. 123. Of governments, with what
it begins, i. 159. Its bad effects, i. 169
Coffs: in what manner the payment of costs was introduced in-
to law fuits, ii. 303
Cours (Lord of) his faying in regard to the English, i. 191
Council: whether the prince's council in a monarchy have the
confidence of the people, i. 24. A kind of contrast between
the prince's council and his courts of judicature, i. 115
Country, (love of) what it requires, i. 48. To what government it is peculiar, i. 49. Its effects, i. 58, and 59. Prevailed
among the Cretans, i. 170
Counts. Their functions, ii. 376, 379, & feq. What difference
between them and the dukes, ii. 381. In what manner they administered justice, ibid. How long their employments lasted,
ii. 413. Their power increased, ii. 427. Court of monarchs,
description which historians give of it, i. 34. Center of po-
liteness, i. 44. What a court air consists in, ibid.
Creation: supposeth invariable laws, i. 2
Greator: cannot govern the world without rules, ibid.

Gredit of state. How it ought to be maintained by the ministers,
Crimes public of their own nature, i. 33. And yet divided into really public, and private, ibid. Private, why so called, i. 33. Inexpiable, ii. 155. Four forts of, i. 263. Against nature, placed in the same rank with magic and heresy, i. 260.
Cromwell hindered the establishment of a democracy among the English,
Cruelty, why it is more common in despotic governments, i. 118 and 119
Cult or worship (external) its influence on religion, ii. 171, 172. Its magnificence relative to the luxury of the state, ii. 178. Its purity independent of its magnificence, ibid.
Cumæ; Aristodemus enervates on purpose the courage of its inhabitants, i. 204
Cunning; when permitted by honor, i. 43.
Customs ancient, advantage there is in observing them, i. 69. New ones, are generally abuses, ibid. Different: whether a monarch ought to tolerate them, i. 104. Relative to slavery and liberty, i. 439. Local; their origin, ii. 252. Their antiquity, ibid. Ordinances of King Pepin in regard to the local customs, ibid. Whether they were the same thing as the laws of the Barbarians, ii, 252, 253. Their authority at the time of King Pepin, and after him, ii. 253. Of France
different in each lordship, ii. 323. Origin of this difference,
ibid. How they were preserved, ibid. Written, ii. 325
Custom-Houses: in what places established, and with what view, ii. 11. Difficulty attending them: cause of the destruction of commerce, ibid. & seq. Of Cadiz, ii. 68
Cynete (the inhabitants of) what Polybius fays of them, i. 53
Czar (Peter I.) forbids the presenting any petitions to him, i.
290. Changes the customs of the Muscovites, i. 426. At what place he joined the two seas, ii. 27.
D.

Darius, his navigation on the Indus, ii. 36.	
Debtors infolvent, fold at Athens and at Rome, i.	11. 241 284. Severe
laws against them, ibid. Effect of those laws, in their favour.	i. 285. Laws
Debts, whether it be for the advantage of a state	
to itself, ii. 97. Public (payment of them,)	ii. 99.
Decemvirs. Their defign, i. 128. Their power,	i. 243. On
what occasion created,	ibid.
Decimal laws, by whom abrogated,	ii. 134
Decorum : its rules ought not to be neglected,	i. 44
	Decretals :

I

E

ii. 315. Idea of their origin, ii. 346
ii. 315. Idea of their origin, ii. 346
Deity has his laws, i. 1. Whether we ought to avenge the
deity's caufe, i. 264
Delicacy of courtiers, from whence it arises, i. 45
Demetrius Phalereus, numbers the citizens of Athens, i. 30
Democracy. What it is, i. 11. State of the people under this
government, i. 12. Its fundamental laws, ibid. Its princi-
ple, i. 28. In what manner it is corrupted, i. 159, & feq.
Whether it can make conquests, i. 199, & feq.
Denarius Roman. Its value, ii. 91 & feq.
Depopulations, ii. 122. How they may be remedied, ii. 140 Depositary of the laws necessary in a monarchy, i. 24. Of what kind it should be, ibid. Whether the Prince's council
Depositary of the laws necessary in a monarchy, i. 24. Of
what kind it should be, ibid. Whether the Prince's council
are a proper depositary, ibid. Why there is any such thing in a
despotic government, ibid.
Deputies or Commissaries from the King, their authority,
ii. 289, & feq.
Deserters punished with death, whether this be an effectual pu-
nishment, i. 122
Despotic Prince, an enemy to honour, i. 37. Why so, ibid.
The fource of his power, ibid. Extent of his power, i. 39.
Inconveniences arising from his government, ibid. In some
countries he is universal heir to all his subjects, i. 86. Incon-
veniency whence arising, ibid. & feq.
Despotic government. Its nature, and consequences, i. 25. Its
fundamental laws, ibid. Its principle, i. 37, and 83. How
it is corrupted, i. 169. Idea of this government, i. 83.
Why fo extended, 90. An obstacle to commerce, i. 91,
& feq. Its distinctive properties, i. 178. Its end, or view,
i. 215. How it provides for its fecurity, i. 187. How it
may be softened, i. 292, & feq. What kind of tax is proper
for it, i. 307
Dicator Roman, compared to the state inquisitor of Venice,
i. 19. Judgment of those two magistracies, ibid. & feq. His
power. i. 245
power, Dicatorship, why it could be only of a short duration, i. 245
Disputes between the clergy and the nobility, with regard to
property, ii.
Distinctions betwirt the Romans and Franks, established by
the Salic law, ii. 238, & feq.
Distributions made among the people, in what case, i. 74. Dan-
gerous; ibid.
Divorce: in what case it may be agreeable to nature, ii. 193.
Different from repudiation, 371. Definition of both, ibid.
Law of the Maldivians upon this subject, i. 372. Of Mexi-
co, ibid. Law of the Romans upon this subject, i. 373
Doctrines ;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Doffrines: we should avoid drawing dangerous consequences from them, ii. 161. False, sometimes useful, ii. 163 Whether it be Domain or crown lands; its necessity, ii. 212. unalienable or not, ibid. Unalienable was unknown to the commencement of the monarchy, ii. 430. Of what kind it was under the fecond race, Dominion: whether mankind could have an idea of it before the establishment of society,

Domitian (the emperor:) the effect which his cruelties had in regard to the people, i. 38. He orders the vines in Gaul to be pulled up, Dowries of women, different in different governments, i. 156 Duels: (punishment against,) 11. 277 Duties: effect of their weight, 1. 79 See taxes. E. East, (people of the) why their empires are so easy to govern, i. 26. Why they are so attached to their religion and cuf-Edict of Pistes: its epocha, ii. 243. By whom published, ibid. Inferences drawn from this edict, Education (laws of) to what they ought to be relative, i. 42. Different in each government, ibid. Their principle, ibid. In monarchies: when it commences, the virtues it teaches, i. 42, & feq. To what laws it ought to conform, i. 44, & feq. In despotic governments, i. 47. Of what fort it ought to be, ibid. & Jeg. Of the ancients, compared with ours, i. 48. Its difference in the different periods of youth, ibid. In a republic; of what importance it is, i. 49. That which prevailed at Cumz, 1. 204, & Jeg. Egypt: its ancient commerce, ii. 35. Trade established there by the Greeks, ibid. Continues its trade under the Sara-Election to benefices, relinquished by the Kings, ii. 445. popes, left to the people of Rome, ibid. (Right of) to the crown, possessed by the great men of the kingdom under the fecond race, how exercised, 11. 450 England, its direct end or view, i. 215. Principles of its constitution, i. 227, & feq. Effects of this constitution with regard to the character and manners of the English, i. 439. to the end. From whence it took the idea of its government, i. 230. Its spirit with respect to commerce, ii. 7. Its conduct contrary to that of other nations, ibid. In what manner judgment is passed in that country, i. 109. (Laws of) in relation to particular merchandizes, English, what they have done to favour their liberty, i. 23. In what case they are liable to lose it, 1. 230. What sort of

people

people they would be if they were to lose it, i. 23. Why they could not establish a democracy, i. 29. They were obliged to return to a regal government, ibid. The use they have made of religion, commerce, and liberty, is. 7. More easy to overcome at home than abroad, i. 191 Embezzlement of the public money, or peculation; why natural in despotic governments, i. 92. Laws against it, i. 436 Employments: Whether they should be venal, i. 100. Opinion of some authors in regard to the venality of employments, ibid.
Ephori, magistrates of Sparta, their functions, Epidamnians: How they regulated their commerce, Equality, (the love of) what it is, its Effect, i. 59. How it is excited, i. 61. Whether it is to be found in monarchies, ibid. How established in a democracy, i. 62. Among samilies, necessary in an aristocracy, i. 77. Ceases among men as soon as they enter into society, i. 7. and 162, 163. Extreme, as dangerous as the defect of equality, i. 159. Real: whether it be easy to establish, i. 65. When it can be suppressed, i. 66. Dependent on frugality, ibid. Of the people of a republic compared with that of the subjects of a despotic prince, i. 108
Eschetage (right of) its origin, Essents, a particular sect among the Jews, Essents ii. 152 Establishment of the French Monarchy, a book criticised in this work, ii. 364, and 399. Resultation of this author's system, 400, & seq. Eunuchs, are considered as citizens only by a kind of siction, i. 356. Raised to magistracies, i. 357. Married; and why,
Europe, its power, ii. 63. Its state after the destruction of the Romans, ii. 137. How it was re-peopled after Charlemain, ii. 138. Its actual state, ii. 139. Less subject to revolutions than Asia, Exchange: what it is, ii. 76, and 79. Idea of it by the example of Holland, ii. 80, & seq. Different situations of it: whether the state loses or gains in such a situation of the exchange, ii. 83, & seq. It tends to a certain proportion, ii. 86. The various turns in its course, ii. 82. Its utility, ii. 95. Advantage which merchants have in sending money abroad when the exchange is below par, ii. 85. In what case money may be sent abroad, when the exchange is at par, ibid. (Letters of) by whom invented Exclusion from the succession to the throne in what case it may be applied to the next heir, Executive (Power) in what manner it limits the legislative power, i. 224. To whom it ought to be intrusted, i. 222. To whom

Fat I Fat I Feat

Fend fe Fend fe Fend fi the Fend fe Fend fe Fend fi the fi

whom it was intrusted among the Romans, i. 246. How it is employed, i. 215

F.

Faith, Punic, ii. 45
Family particular, how it ought to be governed, i. 42, and 47.
What persons compose it, ii. 110. (Names of) their ad-
vantage over other names, ibid.
Famines frequent in China, i. 180
Fannian (Law) the defign of it, i. 155
Farmers of the revenues; whether they are advantageous to
flate, i. 314. Whether it be proper to render this profef- fion honorable, ibid.
Farming of the customs is destructive to trade because of its exactions,
Farming of the revenues: whether it is preferable to the ad-
Fatality blind, whether it be the cause of the productions of this world,
Fathers, whether their consent is necessary for marriage, ii. 212
In what country they are punished for the crimes of their
children, i. 1342
Fear, how it induces mankind to affociate, i. 6: The princi-
ple of despotic government, i. 37
Fecundity more constant in brutes than in the human species,
ii, 108
Seoffment of trust forbidden by the ancient Roman law, ii. 227
Fertility of a country favourable to a monarchical government, i. 386. Its effects, i. 389
Festivals too common at Athens, ii. 165. Ought to be relative
to commerce. ibid.
Feudal (laws), at what time they appeared, ii. 349. Their ef-
fects, ibid. & feq. Their fource, ii. 350
Feudatary (princes) preserve a despotic state, i. 211
Fiefs: whether they were known to the ancient Germans, ii.
252. Advantage of them, ii. 432. Changes made in them.
352. Advantage of them, ii. 432. Changes made in them, ii. 465. At will, ii. 375. Why they became hereditary, i. 104. Of resumption, ii. 433. Nature of them after
i. 104. Of resumption, ii. 433. Nature of them after
the reign of Charles the Bald, ii. 468. Perpetuity of them
established in France earlier than in Germany, ii. 472. Why
they have changed less in Germany than in France, ii. ibid.
Civil laws upon this subject, ii. 482. Their origin, ibid.
What it is to dismember a fief, ii. 478. (Inheritance of)
its antiquity, ii. 430. Its effects in regard to the regal pow-
er ii 46c And in regard to the laws on fuccessions ii 482
In regard to the laws of the Bavarians, ii. 248, and to mar
riages, ii. & feq.
Fines

Fines, used in judgments, ii. 238, 248, 284, 290, 292. In cof appeal, Fines of alienation, (right of) how established, Fiscal (goods) what is understood by them, Florins, Dutch money, its value, Foe, legislator of the Indians, explication of his doctrine, ii. Fontenay, (battle of) the consequence of it in regard to seudal laws, Force general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Defive of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states, i. 188.	
Fines of alienation, (right of) how established, Fiscal (goods) what is understood by them, Florins, Dutch money, its value, Foe, legislator of the Indians, explication of his doctrine, ii. In Fontenay, (battle of) the consequence of it in regard to feudal laws, Force general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Definive of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states i. 1	
Fiscal (goods) what is understood by them, Florins, Dutch money, its value, Foe, legislator of the Indians, explication of his doctrine, ii. In Fontenay, (battle of) the consequence of it in regard to feudal laws, Force general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Definive of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states i. 1	
Florins, Dutch money, its value, Foe, legislator of the Indians, explication of his doctrine, ii. In Fontenay, (battle of) the consequence of it in regard to feudal laws, Force general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Defe five of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states, i. 188.	
Foe, legislator of the Indians, explication of his doctrine, ii. is Fontenay, (battle of) the consequence of it in regard to feudal laws, ii. 4 Force general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Def five of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states i. 1	
Fonce general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Def five of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states i. 1	
feudal laws, Force general, in whose hands it may be placed, i. 8. Def five of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states i. 1	02
five of states, i. 188. Offensive, i. 193. Relative of states, i. 188.	63
	en-
	es,
Formofa (island) at what age the religion of that country p	92
mits the women to bring ther children into the world, ii. I	19
Fortune, law of honor upon this subject, i.	46
France, number of its inhabitants under Charles IX. ii. 1	38.
Law made in this country to encourage propagation, ii. I	40
How it was governed formerly, ii. 240. (Trade of) wheth it is proper it should be carried on by the nobility, ii.	ier
it is proper it should be carried on by the nobility, ii.	6,
and 17. Judgment of the practice of this kingdom upon t	
THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	id.
Frankness, necessary in morals, i. 43, & J	eq.
Franks, Ripuarian, united with the Salian Franks, ii. 233. Th	
conquests, ii. 234, and 353. How they disposed of the	m,
ii. 355. Whether they were friends of the Romans, ii. 23	9.
Whether there were feveral orders of citizens among the	m,
ii. 405, & see the word Ripuarians.	
Freedom: what it is, ii 388, & feq. In what case it could	
	id.
Freedmen, their duty towards their patrons, i. 355. How th	ey
were treated at Rome, ibid. & feq. More honored for	e-
times than the freemen, i. 356. Of the Volsci, their boldne	15,
i. 354. Employed in the sea service, by the Romans, ii.	19
French, why they never could preserve their conquests in Ital	
i. 20	
Frugality, taken fometimes for avarice, i. 30. (The love of	
its effect, i. 59, & feq. How it may be excited, i. 6	
Ways to maintain it, i. 66, & fa	q.
s thought of the country of the coun	
G.	

Its origin, ii.
n which the
debtors who
i. 18
Their laws in relation

relation to punishments, i. 133, and ii. 72. Kind of slavery admitted amongst them, i. 345. Their marriages, i. 409. How they were declared of age, i. 410, & Jeg. What authority they gave to their princes, i. 414. Reconcilements established amongst them, ii. 160. Their sensibility in regard to the point of honor, ii. 271, & feq. Influence of their manners on their laws, ii. 237, and 259, & feg. Their ancient manners. Germany, (empire of) why elective, ii. 475. Its government compared with that of Holland, &c. i. 185 Girls: why inclined to marry, ii. 114. Whether the number of them exceeds that of boys, ii. 116. Sold in China, ii. 119. Succeed to certain crowns, and not to others, ii. 479. Reafon of this difference, ibid. Since what time they have fucceeded to fiefs in default of male iffue, ii. 478. A law which obliges them to declare their pregnancy to the magistrate. Glory or magnanimity, whether it is to be found in a despotic government, God, author of the laws, by which he has created the world, i. 2 Gold, whether it be real wealth to have a great quantity of it, ii. 64, and 67. Prohibition of using it in superfluities, ii. 67. Cause of the greater or lesser quantity of gold and filver, ii. 74. Coast, whether the Carthaginians failed as far as this coaft, Goods or estate (division of) in what case it may be unequal amongst children, i. 79. Refignation of, or bankruptcy; in what government it may take place, i. 91. Whether it was established at Rome; ibid. Ecclesiastic, or church lands, how they were converted into fiefs, ii. 434. (Division of) Government, the most conformable to nature, i. 8. To a fingle town, i. 176. How many species there are, i. 11. Definition of each, ibid. Its nature, different from its principle, i. 27. It's principle, what it is, i. 27, & Seq. From whence the principle of each government is derived, i. 28. Moderate, how it is supported, i. 38. Whether despotic power is supported in the same manner, ibid. Cause of its corruption, i. 159. Easy to princes who leave all management of affairs to a minister, i. 25. Example of a pope to this purpose, ibid. Domestic, to whom it is intrusted in the East, i. 370. Its influence in the political government, Gown or long robe, (dignity of) what rank it holds in France, 11. 17 Gracebi; they change the constitution of Rome, 1. 253 Grandeur real, i. 268. Relative, ibid. (Idea of) annexed to the military profession, i. 46. Gravina: his definition of the political and civil state,

LI

i. 8 Greeks

5

0

HH

Ia:
Ido
Jec
Jec
Jec
Illiu

Imp Imp Inco Inde iii Indi V

I II D E A.
Greeks, their exercises, i. 55. Effects thereof, i. 56. Their particular institutions, i. 49. Reslection on these institutions, i. 52. In what manner they pronounced judgment, i. 110. Their policy to hinder too great a multiplication of inhabitants, ii. 120, 121. (First) what sort of people they were, ii. 161
Grillon refuses to affaffinate the duke of Guise, i. 45.
Guardians of morals, i. 70. Of the laws, ibid.
Guardianship of a nobleman's children different from tutelage,
ii. 479, 480. In what it confifts, ibid.
Gundebald, his constitution, how received, ii. 281
Gymnastic exercises, the only employment of the Greeks, i. 55. Their effect upon people's minds, ibid. and i. 170. What
opinion the Romans had of them, i. 171
H.
or 12 1 11 A Calla de Condesision i ess Ma
Hannibal; his conquests satal to the Carthaginians, i. 200. Motives of Hanno's conduct in regard to him, ibid. The Carthaginians complain of him to the Romans, i. 31 Hanno: his navigation on the ocean, ii. 43. Whether this re-
lation be worthy of credit, ibid. Answer to the objections
Harmony necessary between the civil laws, &c. and those of religion, ii. 157
Heirs (orders of) instituted by Justinian, ii. 182. Civil laws of the Tartars upon this subject, i. 401
Helotes, their employment among the Lacedæmonians, i. 55
Henry VIII. his laws, i. 273, and ii. 191
Himileo, a Carthaginian chief; he runs his ship a-ground, ii. 47. His navigation and settlements, ibid.
Hobbes: his opinion refuted, i. 6
Holland, regulates the exchange of other nations, ii. 80 Hollanders or Dutch; their fettlements in the East-Indies,
ii. 60, and 66
Homage of vassals, ii. 480. How established, ii. 481
Honest men: whether the lower fort of people can be honest, when
the leading men of the nation are knaves, i. 34. What is ne-
ceffary to make a man honest,
Honorifical (rights) in the churches. Their origin, ii. 447
Honor: principle of monarchical government, i. 35. What it is, ibid. Useful tho' false, i. 36. Whether it takes place in despotic governments, i. 36, 37. Laws of, ibid. and 46.
Its whims, i. 37, and 45. What actions it authorifes or justifies, i. 43. The aim of military people, i. 98. (Point of) its origin, ii. 270
Hospitals, their utility, ii. 142. Destroyed in England by Hen-
ry VIII. ii. 143. At Rome, ibid.
Hospitality less practised in trading countries than among barbarians,

rians, ii. 3. Hospitality of the ancient Germans, ibid. Laws of the Burgundian code upon this subject, ibid. Hugh Capet, how he obtained the crown of France, 11. 474 Human (laws) their nature, ii. 190 Hungary: nobility of this country how serviceable to the house of Austria, i. 168 Hunting: its influence on manners, i. 56 Husbands: advantage they might have at Rome, i. 437, and ii. 128, & seq. And by the laws of the Visigoths, i. 438. Punished among the Romans for the incontinency of their wives, ii. 215. One wife permitted to have many husbands, i. 361. ii. 127, & Seq. Their privileges,

T

Japan: its trade compared to that of Poland, ii. 19, and 20. The impotency of its laws, i. 124. Its rigorous laws, i. 334. How rigidly these laws are observed, i. 124, and ii. 156 Japanese, with what nations they trade, ii. 8. Their education, i. 124. What they think of pecuniary punishments, i. 133. What kind of children have a right to inherit in their country, ii. 111. Cruelty of their emperors, i. 125, & Jeg. They hate christianity, ii. 186. Why, laxartes, change which happened to this river, ii. 26 ii. 36 Ictbyophagi, ii 145 & Seg. Idolatry, Jealoufy (two forts of) definition of each, 1. 370 Jews (ancient) their commerce, and how they lost it, ii. 28. How far their fleets went, ibid. The end of their law, i, 214 Jews (modern) commerce was transferred to their nation, ii. 56. They invent letters of exchange, ii. 58. Exposed to the caprice of other nations, 56, and 57. Ill used in England, ii. 56. Laws of the Vifigoths against them, Illusion or deceit, necessary in laying duties on merchandizes, i. 302, & Seq. Immunities, common both to clergy and laity, ii. 399. churches; what is understood thereby, n. 393 Imposts, See Taxes. Impunity established under some Greek emperors, i. 136 Incontinency contrary to nature, i. 369. Public; the consequence of luxury, Indemnity, in what case granted by the public to private people, Right of indemnity, India, trade which the Romans had with that country, ii. 21. Whether it was advantageous to them, ii. 52. What trade we carry on there, ii. 21. Nature of the trade of that country, ibid. How far the Greeks penetrated into that country, ii. 38. Whether they were the first that traded thither, ii. 35. Ll 2 Anciently

)

575, n. (-)

0

to go there, ii. 30. The ancient passage to that country,
ibid. & feg. At what time of the year they fet out upon an
India voyage, ii. 40. How long they were upon the voyage,
ibid. Construction of the vessels of that country, ii. 30
Indians: their manners, ii. 21. Their character, i. 335. Their
prejudices, ii. 164. Contradiction in their character, i. 321.
Cause of their inaction, i. 323. And of their laziness, ii.
324. Obliged to marry, ii. 113. Why their laws are fo
mild, i. 335
Indus, river, ii. 35 and 36
Industry, means of encouraging it, i. 326. Of mankind, improves the earth, i. 391
Inequality, in a democracy, what may be the motive of establish-
ing it, i. 65. Excessive, the source of disorders in an aris-
Infranchisement of flaves, the great circumspection it requires, i. 354
Inheritances: in what case it may be forbidden to have two, i. 63
Inquisition, (court of) whence it took its laws, ii. 236. Abuse
of this court, ii. 202
Inquisitor of Venice, i. 19. and 127
Inquisitors of Spain, &c. a remonstrance made to them, ii. 183
Institutions of a singular nature, established among the Cretans, i.
49 and 50. In what case they may be of service, i. 52
Institutions of St. Lewis, how long they lasted, i. 307. Re-
flection on these institutions, ibid. and 309. & seq. Whether
the work now extant under this name be that of St. Lewis, ii.
308. Why it is attributed to him, ii. 309. 310. Defect of
this compilement, ii. 312. Its good effects, ii. 313
Infult offered by a prince to his subjects, the bad consequence
that may attend it, i. 291
Insurrection: what it was, and by what people it was practised,
i. 169. & feg.
Intail, or substitution, dangerous in an aristocracy, i. 77. Its
influence on commerce, i. 78. Vulgar, 437. Pupillar, ibid.
In use among the Romans, ii. 332
Interest (lending upon): whether it be bad in itself, ii. 101.
Whether it be the same thing as usury, ibid. Greater by sea
than by land, ii. 102. Reduced after the discovery of
- America, ii. 75
Interpretation of laws: in what cases it does not take place, i. 109
Intriguing, in what case dangerous, i. 17. Forbidden at Rome,
and upon what occasion, i. 127
Islanders. See Islands.
Islands (inhabitants of) their genius, i. 389
Judges: from what order they were chosen at Rome, i. 171. and
253. Change made in this article by the Gracchi, ibid. Of
what condition they ought to be, i. 219. Exception against a
certain

certain number of them, i. 218. At what time they began to judge alone, ii. 320. The king's judges, ii. 391 Judgments given by the prince; the source of abuses, i. 114. They ought to be fixed and regulated according to the letter of the law, i. 218. Extraordinary, i. 248. How they were passed at Rome, i. 109. How in England, ibid. How in France when the custom of judicial combats prevailed, ii. 286. If feq. Manner of passing judgment in a monarchy, i. 110. By commissioners, i. 286. By peers, abolished, ii. 319. If feq. By the cross, at what time they were in use, ii. 267. By whom abolished, ibid.

Judicial power, to whom it ought to be given, i. 218. How it may be softened, ibid. Whether it should be united to the legislative power, i. 225. & seq. To whom committed amongst the Romans, i. 248

Julian laws, against adulterers, i. 153. Against high treason, i. 273. Limitations made to this law, in regard to crimes of high treason, i. 272 and 273

Julian, the emperor, causes a famine at Antioch, ii. 77. His great qualities,

ii. 153

furisdiction of the lords, ii. 380. Of the counts, ii. 381. Extent of the latter, ibid. Territorial: how the clergy obtained it, ii. 393. Extent of the latter, ibid. Patrimonial, 391. Privilege of jurisdictions, its origin, ii. 390. Annexed to the fiefs, ii. 391. Its antiquity, ii. 395. Whether it was usurped by the lords,

ii. 392 and 395

Justice (relations of) antecedent to laws, i. 3. Examples of these relations, ibid. (Set forms of) necessary to liberty, ii. 327. In what case they are contrary to it, ibid. In what sense there are too sew of these forms, i. 107. (Set forms of) at the time of the judicial combats, ii. 275, & seq. and 278,

Justinian, (emperor) puts a stop to the ordinary course of justice, i. 115. Consequences thereof, ibid. He abolishes a law of Constantine, ii. 130. Laws of his enacting, contrary to the Papian law, ii. 134. His laws on divorce, ii. 201. On the succession of women,

K. .

Kings of Rome, their authority, i. 236, & feq. Of the Franks, what they made use of for a diadem, i. 408 and 409. Their marriages, i. 409. When they became of age, i. 410. Why the time of majority changed, i. 412. Formalities observed at their becoming of age, i. 411. At what age they were capable of governing by themselves, ibid.

Knights Roman, obtained the judicial power, i. 293, & feq.

Bad effect of this innovation, ibid. They were made farmers of the public revenues, i. 254

L.

Lacedemonians: laws which Lycurgus gave them, i. 49 and 50. Happy with their laws, i. 175. They submit the last to the Macedonians, i. 50. End or view of their government, i. 214. How many citizens were obliged to be at their affemblies, i. 12. Irregular punishments established at Lacedæmon, i. 119. Character of these people, i. 420

Lands, (division of) why established by ancient legislators, i. 62. In what case it may take place, ibid. and 68. How it may be supported, i. 62 and 64. Whether it was proper to make a new one when the old one was confounded, i. 140. Reestablished by Servius Tullius, ii. 224. Between the Barbarians and the Romans, ii. 357. How it was regulated, ibid. Whether it is sufficient that this division be equal in Democracies, i. 166. (Cultivation of) its advantage, ii. 67. Its relation to liberty, i. 387. State of the people who do not cultivate their lands, i. 393. (Sale of) judgment of the laws by which it is prohibited in certain countries, ii. 96, and 97. Given to the church, ii. 363. Censual, ii. 372. Which are best peopled,

Law, (Mr.) his ignorance both of a republican and a monarchical conflitution, i. 24

Laws: what they are, i. 1. Of all beings, ibid. Possible before there were intelligent beings, i. 2. Primitive of particular intelligent beings, i. 3. Natural, i. 5. Pofitive, i. 7. Political and civil, they ought to be adapted to the people for whom they are made, i. 9, and 435. Civil, more simple in despotic government, than in monarchies, i. 103. Sometimes contrary to the laws of nature, ii. 191. Criminal, less simple in monarchies and in republics, than in despotic governments, i. 107. Penal in point of religion, ii. 182. Their effect, ii. 183. Divine, ii. 190. Sump-Ancient French, i. 120. Personal of the tuary, i. 139. Barbarians, ii. 237. Origin of these laws, ibid. Relative to the established government, i. 9. To the principle of each government, i. 27. To the distempers of the climate, i. 328. To the occupations of mankind, i. 302. To manners and customs, i. 435. Other relations of laws, i. g. Confidered in their relation to commerce, ii. 1. To money, ii. To the number of inhabitants, ii. 108. By which mankind are governed, ii. 189. (Multiplicity of) useless in a despotic government, i. 83, and 104, & seq. Useful in a monarchy, i. 103. They supply the place of virtue in a monarchy, i. 33. (Stile of) what fort it ought to be, ii.

340, & feq. (Composition of) rules to be observed there-
in, ibid. & seq. How they become useless, ii. 345. Whether those which appear the same, have always the same ef-
those which appear the same, have always the same ef-
fects, ii. 330. Whether they have always the same motive,
ii. 232. Good, whether they always produce good effects.
ii. 332. Good, whether they always produce good effects, ii. 416. Whether they ought to correct every thing, i. 420.
Contrary to the views of the legislator, ii. 329. Which de-
prive a fingle person of liberty, to preserve it for the whole
community, i. 282. Prohibiting people from keeping above
a certain fum of money in their houses
a certain sum of money in their houses, ii. 330 Law of Nations: whether all countries have one, i. 8. Why it
is not for Ariolas obformed by defraction primers as by others;
is not so strictly observed by despotic princes, as by others, i.
84. The only one followed by people who do not cultivate
the earth,
Laws of the Lombards, 11. 234. Idea of those laws, 11. 244
Laws of judicial combats, 11. 275, & Jeg.
the earth, Laws of the Lombards, ii. 234. Idea of those laws, Laws of judicial combats, Lazines of people; its cause and effects, i. 421, and 422. Of the soul from whence it rises
the loui, from whence it mes,
Learning, dangerous in a despotic government, i. 47
Legislative body: whether it ought to be always affembled, i.
223. Whether it can assemble of itself, i. 224. Whether it
has a right to flay the executive power, ibid. Whether it
should have a right of judging the executive power, i. 225.
Its authority, with regard to the levying of money, i. 228.
Its authority, with regard to the levying of money, i. 228. With regard to the armies, i. 229. To whom intrusted at
Rome, i. 244
Legislators: how they confine man to his duty, i. 4. With what
fpirit they ought to compose their laws, i. 58, and ii. 327.
What they ought to compose their laws, 1. 30, and 11. 327.
What they ought to do in regard to the vices of the climate,
i. 323. What regard they ought to have for the nature of
things, ii. 345. Their passions influence their laws, ii. 348
Lending at interest, ii. 101. Whether it be lawful, ii. ibid. and
106. By contract, ii, 102. See Interest.
Lenity: the effect of mediocrity: in what governmennt it is to
be found,
be found, Lepers; laws of Rotharis against them, Letros: in what countries it was known, i. 328. Laws of the
and the state of t
Jews upon this subject, from whence borrowed, ibid. By
whom brought into Europe, ibid. & feq.
Lewis (Saint) abolishes judicial combats, ii. 294. Changes the
law proceedings of his time, ibid. & feq. His institutions,
ibid. and 309. See Inflitutions. His regulations with re-
gard to the courts of the barons, ii: 295. How they were re-
ceived, ii. 296. He causes the books of the Roman law to
he translated
be translated, ii. 310, and 313
Liberty: abuse of it, i. 308. Restored to the Romans by Sylla,
i. 29. Natural to the northern nations, ii. 23. Insupporta-
ble to some nations, i. 417. Extreme, dangerous, i. 163.
L14 Of

Of commerce, in what it confifts, ii. 11. How destroyed, ibid. Political; its different fignifications, i. 212. In what it confifts, i. 213, & feq. Its relation to the constitution of the government, i. 212, and 213. Its relation to the subject, i. 260, & feq. Civil, whether it always follows political liberty, ibid. Philosophical, in what it con-Liginian (law): the defign of it, i. 155, and ii. 105 Litigious people, roughly handled in Turkey, and why, i. 107 Lords, obliged to administer justice, ii. 291, and 396, & Seq. How appealed of default of justice, ii. 292. How they pleaded against their vassals, ibid. & feq. Obliged to defend the judgment of their court before the lord paramount, ii. 299. Whether they judg-How they loft their privileges, ii. 321. ed alone, ii. 382. Origin of their jurisdiction, Lot (fuffrage of): defective in itself, i. 15. Amended by great legislators, ibid. Ought not to take place in an aristocracy, Lucca, for how long the magistrates of this republic are chosen, Luxury: support of commerce in a monarchical government, ii. 4. (Source of) ii. 25, and i. 137, & seq. (Effect of) ibid. (Commerce of) anciently established in Asia, ii. 25. (Proportion of) to the riches of the state, i. 157, & seq. To the portion of) to the riches of the state, i. 157, & feq. bigness of towns; i. 138. (Bad effect of) in a democracy, i. 139. Its progress among the Romans, i. 140. Necessary in a monarchy, i. 141, and 142. And in despotic states, i. 143. Necessary in England and France, i. 145. Of religion, ii. 178 Lycians: idea of their republic, i. 186, & feq. Their republic compared to that of Holland, Lycurgus: judgment of his laws, i. 49. What they suppose, i. 53. Their effects, i. 71. Compared to Mr. Pen, Lydians: subdued by Cyrus, how treated by this prince, 1. 204 Lye, punished with death in Japan, i. 124. Why to give the lye, is reckoned an affront, Lysander; how he treats the Athenians whom he had taken prisoners, i. 123. The reason he gives for his conduct, ibid.

M.

Machiavel: to what cause he attributes the loss of the liberty of Florence,
i. 111

Machiavelism: we begin to recover from it every day, ii. 58

Machines, useful for supplying the labour of man, i. 344.
Whether those which render the manufactures more simple, are always useful,
ii. 119

4

1

1

A

1

Magicians odious to society, i. 267. A certain magician punished in confequence of a revelation made to a bishop, ibid.
Magistracy, how its power may be compounded, i. 19. Its duration, how fixed by the legislator, i. 20. Whether a subject should be forced to accept of it, i. 97. Civil, and
military, i. 99
Magistrates subject to the laws in a democracy, i. 28. Of Athens, examined before and after their magistracy, i. 16. In what government a single magistrate may be allowed, i. 116
Mahomet: his law in regard to reconciliations, ii. 160. To the use of wine, i. 327. Whether this law is proper for northern
climates. ibid.
Mahometans: why they pushed on their conquests with so much ease, i. 310. Zealous for their religion, ii. 187. They
pervert the use of slavery, ii. 346. Their contemplative life,
Majority, or becoming of age, begins earlier in warm countries, i. 91
Man, (laws of) how they are invariable, i. 5. His state con-
fidered as that of an intelligent being, ibid. Confidered after
the establishment of society, i. 6. Born to please his fellow
creatures, i. 44. Of honour, what is understood by this
word in a monarchy, i. 45 Manners or customs: what they are, i. 428, and 429. They
are unalterable in China, 1. 225. How they may be chang-
ed, 1. 426
Manours, ii. 366, 367
Manufactures, always useful, ii. 118
Maritime affairs, how confidered by the Romans, ii. 49. And how by us, ibid.
Marriage between the nearest relation and the heires, why or- dained among some nations, i. 63. Where it took place. ibid.
Between brother and fifter ordained at Athens, ibid. And with
what view, ibid. Between patricians and plebeians forbidden
by a law, i. 73. Defect of that law, ibid. Why establish-
ed, 11. 109. Its advantage for propagation, ibid. What in-
duces people to it, ii. 380. How despotic princes abuse it,
i. 89. Subject to the laws of religion and to the civil laws,
ii. 203. Consent of parents necessary for contracting it, ii.
112. Forbidden, ii. 204. Laws of the Romans to en-
courage it, ii. 123, 124. Of favages, and pastoral people,
i. 395. Till what age they were allowed to marry at
Rome, it function ii 6 Character of its inhabitants itil
Marfeilles, its fituation, ii. 6. Character of its inhabitants, ibid.
Rival of Carthage, ii. 48. Why so faithful to the Romans, ibid. Its riches are increased by the ruin of Carthage and
Corinth, ibid. Its Conflitation commended, i. 163 Mayor: (dignity of) rendered for life, ii. 415. Its origin, ii. 425.
How united to the regal dignity, ii. 447, 448. The king's
mayors

mayors distinct from the mayors of the kingdom, ii. 422. Mayors of the palace; their original authority, ii. 427. What

they were afterwards, and at the end of the first race, ii. 422, and 428, & feq. Their behaviour towards the nobility, ii. 429, & Jeg. Medals plated; what they are, Men (number of) relative to the cultivation of lands, i. 393. Free to whom this name was usually given, ii. 376. Their military fituation in the French monarchy, ii. 365. service, ii. 376. Rights of the prince in respect to them, ii. 382. How they were feized of fiefs, 11. 301, and 302 Merchants: in what government they have need of a fafeguard, i. 304. Why they are more enterprizing in republies than in monarchies, ii. 5. (Companies of) in what government they are proper, ii. 9, and 10. Whether they are always advantageous, ibid. Constrained in favour of commerce, ii. 11. Whether it is proper they should be admitted to purchase a title of nobility, 11. 17 Metal, most proper for a common measure, 11. 70 Metempfychofis, ii. 163, and 166 Dictator of Alba; his punishment, Metius Suffetius. 1. 127 Migration of the northern nations, 1. 388 Military employments; whether they ought to be joined with civil employments in the fame person, i. 98. Government established by the emperors, i. 129. (Men) ought to be checked. 1. 98, 99 Militia French, in the earliest times of the monarchy, 11. 378 ii. 110 Mills (water) Mine of precious stones, discovered in China; why shut up, i. 46. Of filver in Spain, ii. 46. In the Pyrenean mountains, ibid. Of Germany and Hungary, i. 344, and ii. 67. Of America. ii. 63, & feq. and 74. How the Indians extract the gold from thence, ii. 64. Calculation of their product, ii. 65. Their use, ibid. & Seg. Ministers abler in monarchies than in despotic governments, i. 40. They ought not to be judges, 1. 115 Minority, long at Rome, i. 71. Why, ibid. Minos: his laws; what they suppose, i. 53. His maritime 11. 33 power, Moderation, what it is, i. 33, and 72. Necessary in an aristocracy, ibid. Of the Romans, in punishments, Modesty violated in the punishment of crimes, among a certain people, and under Tiberius, i. 278. Of slaves, secured by the laws, i. 346, 347. Natural, 1. 369 Monarchs, compared to the sea, i. 23. Happier than despotic princes, i. 82. Whether they ought to fit in judgment themfelves, i. 112, 113, and 235. How they ought to govern, i. 289. Regard which they owe to their fubjects, 1. 291 Monarchy:

Monarchy: its laws relative to the conflitution, i. 21, 22. Nature of its government, ibid. & feq. Its advantage over republics, i. 79. And over a despotic government, i. 80. Its excellency, ibid. Its distinctive properties, i. 176. Its end or view, i. 215. Its felicity, i. 289. Necessity of the intermediate powers &c. in a monarchy, i. 21, and 81. Necessity of a depositary of the laws in a monarchy, i. 24. How it is corrupted, 165. Confequences of this corruption i. 167. How it provides for its fecurity, i. 188. Whether it ought to make conquests, i. 202. Whether the ancients had a right idea of it, ii. 231, and 233. How monarchies were formed, ii. 232. Universal, whether it be advantageous to a prince to aim at it, i. 190. Ancient monarchies of Greece, i. 234. How the three powers were there diffributed, ibid. Monarchy of the kings of Rome, i. 236. How the three powers were there distributed, ibid. French, why ii. 475 hereditary, ii. 177 Monasteries, Money: whether the raising of it ought to be intrusted to the executive power, i. 228. Its necessity, ii. 69, and 70. Its use, ii. 69, & seq. Ancient of the Athenians, ii. 70. And of the Romans, ibid. Ideal and real; definition of each, ii. 73. Ideal; why substituted in the place of real, ibid. Real, in what case preferable to the other, ibid. (Variations of) dangerous, ii. 74, and 89, 90.) (Recoining of) its effects with regard to the exchange, ii, 87. With regard to the flate, ii. 89. With regard to the specie, ibid. Altered under several emperors, ii. 94. Proceedings of the Romans with refpect to money, ii. 91, and 95 Monks: cause of their attachment to their order, i. 59. Of their being inclinable to feverity, i. 119. Destroyed by Henry VIII. ii. 143. In what country their institution began, i. 324 Monfoons, they prevail in the Indian feas, 11. 40 Morality (laws of) recall mankind to themselves, i. 4. Are agreeable to those of religion, ii. 152 Morals, never fo pure in monarchies as in republican governments, i. 43. What crimes are prejudicial to them, i. 263, & seq. How they ought to be punished, ibid. Less strict now than formerly, i. 149, 150. Morals or manners of nations, what they are, i. 428. (Change of) cause of revolutions, 424. Diffinet from laws and customs, i. 428 ii. 362 Mortmain (lands in) their origin, Moses: wisdom of his laws, ii. 173. His laws with regard to i. 353 flaves, Mather Country; confidered in relation to its colony, ii. 61. Its trade with the colony, Moveable effects; in what they confift, ii. 18. To whom they ibid. belong,

Mountains

Mountains of filver, 111, 16
Murder, how punished among the ancient Greeks, ii. 161
Muscowites, why they sell themselves, i. 342
Muscovy: its laws an obstruction to its trade, ii. 96. Its con-
flitution in regard to the succession of the empire, i. 88.
(Emperors of) endeavour to moderate their despotic power, i. 86
Music; its influence on manners, i. 53, & seq. On souls, i.
57. Necessary among the Greeks, i. 56
N.
Nations; how they ought to act towards one another in peace, and war, i. 7, 8
Navigation, of the ancients, how far it proceeded, ii. 41. Its
progress, ibid. & seq. Of Europe, its influence on the number of inhabitants of Europe, ii. 139. On rivers forbidden by an ancient law of the Gebres, ii. 168
Negroes: whether we have a right to enflave them, i. 341. Of the coast of Africa; what fort of money they make use of,
Nero (the emperor) forms a project of abolifbing all imposts
i. 314. Whether he abolished the duty of the five and twentieth part arising from the sale of slaves, Nobility: they are invested with the whole authority in an aristocracy, i. 18. A senate is necessary among them, ibid. Functions of this senate, ibid. How they restrain the people in an aristocratical government, i. 32. How they may restrain themselves, ibid. Whether they ought to affect external distinctions, i. 72. Whether it is proper that they should collect the taxes in an aristocracy, i. 74. Means of making them avoid extreme poverty and exorbitant riches,
i. 76, 77. What share they ought to have in the distribution of the three powers, i. 221, & feq. By whom they ought
to be tried, i. 226. Distinct from the ingenui and the slaves, i. 358. They are essential to a monarchy, i. 22. The re-
lation they fland in to the monarch, ibid. What is most
firongly recommended to them by honor, i. 46. Whether
they ought to be permitted to trade, i. 75. and ii. 16. They
are the child and parent of honor, i. 78. They are the bond
that connects the prince and his people, ibid. They are
the support of the throne, i. 168. French: their fituation,
or / Pril !
4
Nobleness requite in our virtues, 1. 42, 43 Normans: their depredations put an end to the disputes of the
clergy and the nobility, ii. 459
North, (people of the) compared to those of the fouth, i, 316,
& feq. and ii. 22, 23. Their temperament, i. 316, 318.
How they are actuated by love, i. 310. They make an
admirable

I N DI EAX

admirable fta	and again	of the F	tomans	, and at	length	fubvert
that empire,	i. 322.	Why fo	much	inhabited	goddin I	i. 388

0.
Oath, how greatly regarded by the Romans, i. 173. (Trial by) in what case it might be used, i. 436. By what laws it was authorized, ii. 255, & seq. Maintained by the clergy, ii. 263, & seq.
Obedience, different in moderate and despotic governments, i. 39. recommended by honor, in monarchies, i. 45. What limits are prescribed to it by honor, ibid. The most passive, in what government it is requisite, i. 39. What it supposes both in him that commands, and in him that obeys, i. 47
Occonomy, the support of commerce in republics, ii. 4, 5. (Commerce of) in what it consists, ii. 4. Whether it is proper for monarchies, ii. 4, 5. Nations that have carried on this commerce, ii. 6. Their origin, ibid. Whether it is proper to restrain this commerce, ii. 7, 8. Institutions adapted to this commerce, ii. 9
Old men honoured at Sparta, i. 69
Oppian law; the defign of it, i. 155. Ferment in the senate upon the women's infisting on the revocation of this law, ibid. Orders of a despotic prince, can neither be eluded, nor contra-
dicted, i. 39
Oftracism: according to what laws it ought to be considered, ii. 213, 214. and 331. Against whom it was used at Athens, ii. 214. Mischief it did at Syracuse, ii. 331
Oxus (river) its ancient flate, ii. 25, 26. Why it runs no longer
into the Caspian sea, ii. 26

P. P.
Paper; its use in regard to money, ii. 71
Papian (law) enacted under Augustus, ii. 126, sostened by Ti- berius, ii. 131. The design of it, ii. 230
Paradox of the ancients in regard to manners explained, i. 53,
Paraguay, proposed for a model, i. 51
Paramount (lords) how the right of jurisdiction devolved to them, ii. 285. & feq. Appeal to their court, ii 290, & feq.
Pardon of a criminal, must never be mentioned to the king of Persia, i. 39. The power of granting it, to whom it belongs, i. 113. How it is lost, ibid. Its effects, ibid. (Letters of)
Deliano (Barrell) and third of institutions is had former
Parliament (French): what kind of jurisdiction it had former- ly, ii. 314. Made a permanent, or fixed body, ibid. Origin of different parliaments, ibid.
Passions descend from father to son, i. 49
Patricians: their prerogatives under the kings of Rome, i. 238.

P

P

Pl

Poly Poly In B.

Pont Port Port Port

Porti

ne

raife the jealoufy of the Plebeians, ibid. Humbled by Ser-
vius Tullius,
Peace: in what case it would be the first law of nature, i. 5.
Between the Muscovites and the Turks; motive which hur-
ried the Turks to conclude it, i. 85. Between Gelo king of
Syracuse and the Carthaginians, i. 199. The natural effect
The state of the s
Peculation; fee embezzlement.
Peers were made a party in the appeal, ii. 283. Why, ibid. Their fate, if overcome, ii. 284, 285. Appealed of default
of justice, ii. 291, 292
Pegu: principal points of the religion of this country, ii. 152
Pen (Mr,) compared to Lycurgus, i. 51. The principal aim
of his laws, ibid.
Penance; ii. 154
Peneftes, flaves of the Thessalians, i. 55
People, in a democracy invested with the sovereign power, i. 11.
What they ought to do of themselves, i. 12. What by
ministers, ibid. They ought to have the chusing of their mi-
. Milliners, 1014. They ought to have the change of their his
nisters, i. 13. And of the senators, ibid. Their discernment, ibid. and i. 221. Whether they are capable of the
ment, ibid. and 1. 221. Whether they are capable of the
administration themselves, i. 14. (The lower fort of) ought
to be directed by those of a higher rank, i. 17. They act
thro' passion, ibid. How the division of the people into
certain classes influences the government, i. 14. Whether they
have a right to make laws, and on what occasion, i. 17. In
an aristocracy, what share they ought to have in the govern-
ment, i. 18. Of whom they receive the laws, i. 32. They
ought to know what becomes of the public money, i. 74.
Difficult for them to be virtuous in a monarchy, i. 34. Under
a despotic prince they are in a state of slavery, i. 83, & seq.
Cause of their corruption, i. 163. Their safety is the supreme
law, ii. 218
Pepin: (king) under what pretence he makes himself master
of North and Donas and Donas and the bases of makes
of Neustria and Burgundy, ii. 435. He becomes master
of the monarchy, ibid. His laws in favour of the cler-
gy, ii. 439. Divides his kingdom among his children,
ii. 450
lepins (the family of the) shew a regard to the clergy, ii. 435
eriecians, manured the land for the Cretans, i. 55
erfia (kings of) their orders are irrevocable, i. 39. (Custom
of) with regard to going out of the kingdom, i. 293
Grant the autors of their ampire ii as Their Device to
erfians: the extent of their empire, ii. 35. Their power re-
duced by the Greeks, ibid. Their commerce, ii. 35, 36
benicians, their trade; it renders them necessary to all other
nations, ii 28
bilo: explication of a passage of this author, concerning the
marriages of the Athenians and Lacedæmonians, i. 63, 64
Physician s,
1 Dyficians,

er-

39

5.

ur-

of

fect

. 2

rid.

ult

292

152

im

id.

154

55

II.

by

mi-

m-

the

ght

act

nto

hey

In

rn-

hey

74.

der

leg.

me

18

fler

fler

er-

en,

35

55

om

93

re-

36 her

28

he

64

ns,

Physicians, punished at Rome for their negligence, ii. 339 Plague, common in Egypt, i. 330. Laws to prevent its spreading, ibid. Behaviour of the Turks in this respect, Plato: judgment of his laws, i. 50, and 53. His opinion of mufic confirmed by Aristotle, i. 54. Of presents, i. 95. Of the venality of employments, i. 100. His complaints in regard to trade, ii. 2. What he fays of trading towns, ii. 14 Plebeians: they were made capable of being raised to public offices at Rome, i. 14. And yet rarely chosen, ibid. and 172. At what time they obtained the privilege of judging the patricians, i. 242. How they made themselves masters of the fuffrages, 1. 241, 242. Plebiscita; what they were, Plutarch: his opinion in regard to mufic, ii. 54. What he fays of the Thebans, 1. 57 Poets fatyrical, punished with death by the decemvirs, i. 128 Poland: judgment of its government, i. 21. View or end of its laws, i. 215. Its riches and commerce, Police: its regulations distinct from the civil laws, i. 220. The defign of it, Politeness necessary in our behaviour, i. 43. From whence it is derived, i. 44. When it is most generally to be found, i. ibid. It is as pleafing to those by whom, as to those towards whom, it is practifed, Political law; its definition, i. 7. State, what it is, i. 8. Power, what it comprehends, ibid. Body, depositary of the laws in a monarchy, i. 24. Laws, distinct from the civil laws and the laws of nations, 11. 216 Polygamy; its relation to the climate, i. 359. Occasion of luxury, i. 360. Whether it is useful to mankind, i. 362. Bad effect of polygamy, ibid. & seq. When once established in a country, it ought not to be abolished without some precautions, 11. 201 Pontificate, distinct from the empire, 11. 179 Pork forbidden in certain countries, used in others, ii. 167 Port free: useful in an oeconomical commerce, 11. 10 Portions or dowries of women, different in different govern-Portuguese: they discover the most southern point of Africa, ii. 59. Their trade to the East-Indies. ibid. They meet the Spaniards, Poverty of the people, ii. 3, 4. How many forts there are, ibid. Effects of each, ibid. Of the subjects, whether it contributes to render families more numerous, ii. 115. In what it con-Power different in a despotic prince and a monarch, i. 40. Has need of being checked, i. 214. Three forts of power in a state, i. 215. Whether they ought to be united in the same perion

Effect of their disunion, i. 218. Effect of this union, i. 217
intrusted, i. 221, & feq. How distributed in England, i 215, & feq. How in the Roman republic, i. 240, and 253
in the Roman provinces, i. 256, & feq Power of enacting and refusing, what is understood by it, i. 222
In whose hands it ought to be lodged, ibid. & feq. Its use ibid. and 22:
Power of redemption; dangerous in an aristocracy, i. 77. Use ful in monarchies, i. 78. At what time it could take place ii. 48
Prators: they devise new forms of actions, i. 111. They succeed the consuls as judges, i. 248. How they administered justice,
Preceptions: what is understood by them, ii. 419. Their use ibid. By whom abolished, ii. 420. Abuse made of them ii. 456, & seq
Precepts of religion, distinct from counsels, ii. 151
Prerogatives of the nobility, whether it is proper they should be communicated to the common people, i. 78
Presents: used in despotic governments, i. 94, 95. Odious in monarchies and republics, i. 95. Law of the Romans up on this subject,
Presumption of the law, ii. 344. Of the judges, ii. 344, 34
Price of things: how it may be fixed,
Pride, is the cause of our politeness, i. 44. Of courtiers, it cause, and different degrees, i. 44, 45. Dangerous in a go vernment, i. 42
Priests, why instituted, ii. 174, 175. Their functions, ibid. Separate from the rest of mankind, ibid.
Primogeniture, see feniority.
Princes, the fource of all power in a monarchy, i. 22. In wha
their real power confifts, i. 189. Whether it is proper for them to engage in commerce, ii. 15. Their authority in fixing the value of money, ii. 79. Their respective fitua- tion compared to one another.
tion, compared to one another, ii. 210
Principle of government, distinct from its nature, i. 27. O
democracy, i. 28. Of aristocracy, i. 31. Of monarchy
i. 35. Of despotic government, i. 37. Effects resulting from
the goodness and corruption of the principles of government
ii. 69, & seq. How they are corrupted, ii. 59, & seq. Mean
of preserving them, i. 175, and 178, & seq
Prisoner: (a despotic prince) loses all his authority, i. 8.
Privileges, necessary in monarchies, i. 105. Exclusive, are no
always proper in trade, Probity of the Roman people, i. 10, 10
Proceedings at law formerly public, ii. 301. How they became
fecret, ibid. Epocha of this change, ii. 30. Proces

Process between the Portuguese and Spaniards; on what occasion, ii. 60. By whom adjudged, ibid. Professions: each has its particular lot, i. 315. Whether it is proper that every son should continue in the same profession as his father, ii. 17. Whether this will make him succeed better in it, ibid.

Proof negative, in what law admitted, ii. 255, & seq. and 265.

Rejected by the spiritual courts, ii. 266, 267. Is the occasion of the custom of judicial combats, ii. 257, and 267. Insufficiency of this proof,

ii 263, & seq.

Propagation of mankind: how it may be encouraged by legislators, ii. 119. Of religion; obstacles it may meet with, ii. 187 Proscriptions, i. 281

Prosecutor public, unknown to the ancients, ii. 304, & seq. His function, ibid. At what time this office began, ii. 306 Prossitution, contrary to propagation, ii. 109, & seq. Public (good) in what case it ought to take place of that of the individual, ii. 210

Punishments: their difference in regard to the nobleman, and the peasant, i. 120. In what case abolished at Rome, ibid. and 128. Excessive, their imperfection, i. 124. They are connected with the nature of the government, i. 127. Divided Their nature ought to be prointo three classes, i. 129. portioned to that of the crimes, i. 130, & feg. and 262. Pecuniary, i. 133. Corporal, ibid. Against the incontinency of women, i. 153. Of death; why lawful, i. 338. Against celibacy, ii. 128. Against unlawful marriages, ii. 131. Different against false witnesses, ii. 334. Reason of this difference, ibid. & feq. Conduct of legislators in respect to punishments, i. 118. Too frequent are the forerunners of revolutions in China, ibid. In what countries punishments ought to be more or less severe, i. 118, 119. Abuse that may be made of them, i. 122, 123. Of breaking on the wheel, why invented, i. 122. Whether it has the defired effect, ibid. Whether they ought to be the fame for those who only rob, and those who add murder to robbery, i. 131. Their effect, 1, 430, 431

Q.

Questions in regard to the relation of laws to the principal of government, i. 97. Solution of these questions, ibid. & seq. Perpetual, what they were, i. 151, and 252, 253. Effect of their establishment, in regard to the domestic tribunal, i. 151. Of fact, by whom determined at Rome, i. 249. Of right, by whom judged, ibid. Questions, chosen at Rome to administer justice, i. 252. What share the senate had in the nomination of the questors, ibid. Of parricide, i. 252

Mm

R.

Rack or torture of criminals; whether it is absolu	
i. 132. This practice rejected in England, ib	id. and ii. 334
Ragusa: how long the magistrates of this city con	
office,	i. 20, 21
Raillery in the mouth of a monarch is often atter	nded with bad
confequences,	i. 201
Reason, whether there is a primitive one, i. 2.	
what kind it ought to be,	i. 343, & feq.
Recalls to the succession, instituted by the Salic law,	1 402 ET lea
Receivers punished in the same manner as the thi	
feq. Whether this law is just,	ibid.
Reconciliations established by religion,	ii. 160
Redemption (right of) how established,	ii. 477
Regal laws at Rome, whether they were proper for	
i. 127. Why preferred by the decemvirs,	ibid.
Registers public: their original, ii. 322. Called	olim, II. 314
Relations: laws are relations derived from the na	
	his laws to his
wisdom and power, ibid. Of justice, anteced	
by which they are established,	1. 3
Religion (laws of) remind man of his duty to God,	
of) in a despotic government, i. 24, 25, 40,	
of this force, ibid. What crimes attack it di	
Its influence on the propagation of the human fr	becies, il. 134.
And on government in general, ii. 146. F	
which of them may be reckoned the best, il. 14	
what fort of government is most agreeable to it,	
vantage it has over all others, even in regard to	
In particular over the Mahometan religion, ibi	
what government it is most agreeable to, ii. 14	9. Why it is
more spread in the North, ibid. Of Calvin,	ii. 150. Of
Luther, ibid. Of the Tartars of Gengiskan, ii.	157. Of the
isle of Formosa, ibid. Of the Indians, ibid.	Mahometan,
agreeable to despotic government, ii. 147.	falie ones, are
fometimes corrected by the civil laws, ii. 15	8. (Laws of)
how they have the effect of civil laws, ii. 161	. What they
ought to inspire, ii. 164. (Social laws of) ii.	166. (Trani-
planting of a) from one country to another,	
tives of attachment to different religions, ii. 16	9. (Toleration
of) ii. 180. Commanded in certain nations, i	i. 187. (Laws
of) subordinate to the laws of nature, ii. 19	7. Whether
they can regulate the civil law, ii. 199. It	s influence on
marriages, i	i. 203, & feq.
Remonstrances, whether they are permitted in a de	fpotic govern-
ment, i. 39. Their use in monarchies,	i. 80

Remi Repr ib

Reput D co

la Pe co

pr he Sp lo

SI lo A fe th

Repui 37 be pl Fo

Refer the

re-

Retail i. tab

Rever Rever

Revo.

Rewe

Rhode Rice

Riche i.

Renunciation

r

d

1

f

7.

.

l.

0

7

is

e

1.

t,

is

f

e l,

e

.

n

3

ľ

n

.

Renunciation of the succession to the crown, Representatives chosen by the people, i. 220. For what reason, ibid. Advantage accruing from thence, ibid. Whether they ought to be accountable to their constituents, ibid. What they ought to be chosen for, Republic, is divided into aristocracy and democracy, i. 11, 12. Definition of each of these governments, ibid. How it becomes a monarchy, i. 19. If a small one, whether it can often change its magistrates, i. 20, 21. The neglect of its laws is a mark of imminent destruction, i. 29. Whether the People in a republic are always virtuous, i. 41. How it is Means of remedying its corruption, i. properties, i. 175. Whether it be to corrupted, i. 163. Its distinctive properties, i. 175. her advantage to enlarge her territory, ibid. Whether she can preserve her conquests, i. 257. Trading, an excellent law for her, i. 67 Of Greece, how many forts there were, ibid. Spirit of those republics, i. 174. Why they flourished so Spirit of those republics, i. 174. Why they flourished so long, i. 184. Confederate; what is meant by it, i. 183. Advantages arising from it, ibid. Whether one of the confederate states can conclude an alliance without the consent of the others, i. 186. Whether it can make a conquest over another, i. 199. Ancient republics, a great fault they were subject to. 1. 220, 221 Repudiation (right of) granted to men and not to women, i. 371. Injustice of this inequality, ibid. Common at Athens between man and wife, i. 373. In what case it cannot take place, 372. When the Romans made use of it, i. 374. For what cause the emperors permitted women to use this privilege, 1. 438 Rescripts of the Roman emperors, ii. 346. Fault found with them, ibid. Refused by some princes, ibid. Abolished and re-established. ibid. and 347 Retaliation, (punishment of) in what countries it is most used, i. 133. Limitations made therein by the law of the twelve The Revealing of conspiracies, in what case necessary, i. 280. ibid. crime of not revealing punished in Japan, Revenge forbidden after fatisfaction received, ii. 386 Revenues of the state, how they ought to be fixed, Revolution of a state, how it happens, i. 69. Whether it is always attended with war, i. 82. Restore the laws to their due vigour, Rewards excessive, mark of the decline of states, i. 96, 97. Judgment of those which were granted by certain emperors, ibid. Rhodes: end or view of its laws, 11. 117 Rice employs a great number of men, Ricbelieu: (cardinal) his opinion concerning the choice of ministers, 1. 34, 35. Infinuates despotic government, i. 80, and 82 Mm 2 Riches:

INDOEXX.

Riches: whether they are always the cause of the corruption of manners, i. 66, 67. In what case this happens, ibid. Of the Roman people, i. 74. To what use they were applied in the Greek republics, i. 141. Of a state, their effect and cause, i. 296, 297. and ii 25. Of women, the cause of luxury,

Ripuarian Franks: their law different from that of the Salian Franks,

Robbery: how punished at Rome in freemen, ii. 335. And in slaves, ii. 337. Open, punished differently from the private, ii. 336, & seq. Reason and origin of this proceeding, ii.

Robe. See Gown. Romans, how divided by Servius Tullius, i. 14. How they fecure their liberty, i. 240. They lose their liberty under the decemvirs, i. 243. How they recover it, ibid. Particular causes that procured and ascertained their liberty, i. 285, 286. They retire to the Janiculum, and upon what occasion, i. 286. Their laws in regard to divorce, i. 373. Force of an oath among these people, i. 173. Their genius with respect to maritime affairs, ii. 49. With respect to commerce, ibid. Motives of their wars against the Carthaginians, ii. 49, 50. Their political constitution contrary to commerce, ii. 50. Extent of their empire, ii. 51. They avoid trading with Barbarians, ibid. Their internal and external commerce, ii. 52, & feq. Their proceedings with respect to money, ii. 91. In what circumstances they changed the value of their specie, ii. 93. Their laws on usury and lending at interest, ii. 103, & feg. State and number of the feveral nations before they were conquered, ii. 122. Effects of their conquering the universe, ibid. Their laws to promote the propagation of the human species, ii. 123. Their destruction plunges the universe once more into barbarism, ii. Their laws on fuccessions, 222. From whence they are derived, ibid. & feq. They shew themselves wifer than the Greeks in the punishment of tyrants, i. 282. How they put a stop to the devastations of the Barbarians, ii. 355.

8

S

S

Sei

Se

Their fituation under the Franks,

Rome: the number of citizens that composed its meetings, was not fix'd; inconveniency which thence arose, i. 12. Was at first a friend of aristocracy, i. 19. How judgment was pronounced in this city in critainal matters, i. 110. View of its government, i. 214. Its state under the kings, i. 236, & seq. And after their expulsion,

Romulus: his laws in regard to the exposing of children, ii.

Rules by which the world is governed, i. 2. What these are, ibid. General, in regard to commerce, ii. 5

I N D E X.

18. Their authority under the kings, i. 237. And after their expulsion, i. 345. Of Athens, distinct from the Areo-
pagus, i. 60. Of Syracuse, Senators: whether they ought to have a right of replacing the deficient members of the senate, i. 19. Whether they ought to be for life, i. 70
Seniority or primogeniture (right of) ought not to take place in an aristocracy, i. 77. How it was established among the Franks, ii. 475
Service (double) of the vaffals to their counts, ii. 379 Servitude. See Slavery.
Servitudes: they were common in France, ii. 360, & feq.
Servius Tullius: division he made of the Roman people, i. 14.
He alters the constitution of Rome, i. 237
Sexes (the difference of) invites mankind to affociate, i. 6. Their
inequality relative to climates, i. 358
Shame: its effect upon mankind, i. 122, and 134
Shipwreck and escheatage (the right of) how established, ii. 54
Ships. See Vessels. Siam: what notion its inhabitants have of the supreme good,
i. 323
Signor (grand) See Sultan.
Sinking fund, ii. 99
Sixtus Quintus: whether he did right in reviving the public ac- cusation of adultery, i. 152
Slavery: how many forts there are, i. 345. Civil, what it is, i.
336. Under what government it is most tolerable, ibid.
Contrary both to the civil law and that of nature, i. 339.
(Right of) its origin, i. 337, & feq. and 342. Political, its
influence on civil flavery, i. 342. Ufeless amongst us, and
why, i. 343, & feq. (Abuse of) i. 346. Of the Helotes, 345. Why natural to southern nations, i. 377, 378, and ii.
23. Domestic, in what it consists, i. 374, 376, and ii.
connected with despotic government, i. 365. Why, ibid. &
Seq. Of Asia compared with the liberty of Europe, i. 384, &
seq. Cause of both, ibid.
Slaves: whether there are any by nature, i. 343. Whether
there is any one virtue belonging to them, i. 47, and 336.
Great number of them, dangerous, i. 347, and 354. The
arming of flaves in what case dangerous, i. 348. Plato's laws deprive them of the privilege of natural defence, ii. 191.
Punished by the Roman laws for the murder of their master,
tho' they had no concern in it, i. 351. Freed, in order to ac-
cuse their master, i. 278, & seg. They could not be wit-
nesses, ibid. Effects of the lenity of their masters towards
them, i. 350. What was the cause of the war of the slaves,
i. 255, 266
Slowness

Slowness of execution, when useful, Smuggling: what renders it common, i. 302. Punishments against it, why so rigorous, ibid. How and why punished in
Society: how men are inclined to live in fociety, i. 6. Effect of fociety, established amongst men, i. 7. The political law established in every fociety, ibid. Among savages, i. 393.
feq. Among Barbarians, Soil: its influence on laws, i. 386
Soldiers: had the privileges of married men at Rome, ii. 132 Solomon: what fort of people he employed in navigation, ii. 28. How long his fleets were upon their voyage, ii. 29
Solon: division he made of the people of Athens, i. 15. His regulations for the election of the magistrates of Athens, i. 16. His laws on testaments, i. 62. On idleness, i. 68. On those who espoused neither side in public insurrections, ii. 328.
Reflection on the latter, ibid. & feq. His judgment of his own laws, i. 435
Sovereign, in what government he may be a judge, i. 111, & feq. Soul: its immortality, by whom denied and defended, ii. 161.
How far propagated among certain people, ii. 163, 164. Confequence that has been drawn from it, ii. 162.
South (people of the) compared to those of the north, i. 317, & feq. How they are affected by love, i. 319, & feq. Contradictions in their character, i. 321
Spain (old) opinion of the ancients concering its riches, ii. 46. What we are to think thereof, ibid. Its filver mines, the profits arifing from them, ibid. Her conquests in America, ii. 60. What she did to preserve them, i. 178. Whether her conquests have increased her power, ii. 63, & seq. Her trade
to the West-Indies, ii. 68. She attempted to establish arbitrary power in Flanders, but miscarried, i. 178. A law published in Spain in 1740. Judgment of this law, ii. 12
Spaniards: their devastations in America, i. 51. Their character and honesty, i. 423. Their conduct towards the Mexicans and the Indians, i. 198, and ii. 113
Sparta. See Lacedemonians. Spectacles public: the influence they had on the Roman people,
Speeches indifcreet, punished as high treason, i. 275. Behaviour of some emperors upon this subject, i. 276
Spies: whether they are necessary in a monarchy, i. 287 Spirit general of a nation, what it is, i. 116, 117. Flow it ought to be respected, i. 117. Of commerce, its effect upon mankind, i. 2. Of the laws of Japan, i. 125. Of the Ro-
man fenate, 126. Of equality, contrary to the spirit of extreme equality, i. 162. In what they both consist, ibid. M m 4 States,

States, marks of their prosperity, ii. 71. They may alter, an
how many ways, i. 239. They have each a particula
view, 11 /11 /11 /11 /11 /11 /11 /11 /11 /11
Sterility of lands, its effects,
Stick. See Bafton.
Stoicks: praise of this sect, ii. 15
Strabo: what he fays in regard to the marriages between brothe
and fifter at Sparta, i. 6
Subjects, are inclined to love their prince, i. 28
Subordination of young people to old men, useful for the present
vation of morals, i. 70. Of citizens to magistrates, give
force to the laws, ibid. Of children to their parents, i. 17
Subsidies. See Taxes.
Substitution, vulgar, i. 437. Pupillar, ibia
Subtilty: a fault to be avoided in the composing of laws, i
Las reducada mada caldos as cast com en banacio propina 4
Succession to the empire, whether it is fix'd in a despotic govern
ment, i. 88. Judgment of the conduct which a prince wh
fucceeds to the crown in a despotic country, observes toward
his brothers, i. 89. Which is the best order of succession,
88, & seq. Order of succession in monarchies, ii. 212
On what law it is founded, ibid. Whether it may fometime
be changed, ii. 218. To the crown of France, confined t
the male line by the Salic law, i. 407, 408. To fiefs, how
regulated, ii. 468, & seg
Suffrages: two ways of giving them, i. 15. Whether the
ought to be public or fecret, i. 16. How those of the nobilit
and the senators ought to be given, i. 17. Given for money
i. i
Suicide: how punished among the Greeks and Romans, ii. 332
Motive of both, ibid. & feq. Common under the first Roma
emperors, ii. 333. Laws against suicide, i. 330. Frequen
in England, i. 330, & feg
Sultan, or grand fignor, whether he is obliged to keep his word
i. 39. His right of three per cent. on the value of inheritances
i. 87. Reflection on the cruel justice of some Sultans, i. 110
Summons personal of the lord before the sovereign, when it tool
place, ii. 299. When it ceased, ibid
Sumptuary (laws): in democracies put a ftop to luxury, i. 139
In aristocracies, i. 140. In monarchies, i. 141. In wha
case they are useless, i. 142, & seq. Of Arragon, i. 143
Of Sweden, i. 144. Of the Romans, i. 159
Superstition. its power, i. 398
Syllar judgment of his laws, i. 128, & fig
Syracuse: its misfortune, i. 161, & seq. Cause of its cor
ruption, i. 16
Syria (kings of) what trade they chuse, ii. 37. Their con-
duct towards the Jews different from that of Alexander, i. 207
T

oil rapida bobilett

I

587-31-

Tables (laws of the twelve) too cruel, i. 127, & feq. Regulation of these laws with regard to appeals to the people, i. 250. Whether they had regulated the lending of money upon interest, ii. 105. Their regulations with regard to debtors, With regard to robbers, Tacitus: his mistake in regard to the lending of money upon 11. 105 Tarquin the proud, how he raised himself to the throne, i. 238. The conduct he observed, and the effect thereof, Tartars afcend the throne of China, i. 209. Means they use to preserve it, ibid. & seq. Effect of their conquest with regard to themselves, i. 382. And with regard to the conquered country, ibid. & feq. Their law of nations, i. 400. Changes which their devastations have caused in Asia, Taxes: order necessary in raising them, i. 79. How they were raised at Rome, i. 258. Greatness of taxes, whether in itself good, i. 296. On what it depends, i. 303. Taxes in countries where pure villenage is established, i. 297. in countries where pure villenage is not established, i. 299. Their proportion to liberty, i. 305. And to despotic power, ibid. In what government they are capable of increase, i. 307. Excessive, their original, i. 308, & feg. Their effect, ibid. Exempting from taxes, how practifed in Asia and Europe, i. 311, & seq. Whether the Barbarians paid any for their lands, under the kings of the Franks, ii. 363, & feq. What taxes the Barbarians raised upon the conquered people, ii. 365. Personal tax, i. 299. Proportion to be observed therein, ibid. To what government it is most agreeable, i. 307. Taxes on merchandizes, i. 499, & seq. Method of collecting them, i. 300, & seq. Proportion to be observed therein, i. 302. Advantageous to the state, i. 307, & feq. Taxes on liquors, differently raised in different kingdoms, i. 301. Taxes on civil contracts, or stamp duties, reflection on this tax, i. 303. Danger there is sometimes in taxing the value of merchandizes, ii. 77. Faults that ought to be avoided in the raising of taxes in an aristocracy, Temper fociable, its effects, 1. 421 Temples: their origin, ii. 172. Their effect with regard to reii. 172, 173 ligion, Testament or will, forbidden among the primitive Romans, ii. Afterwards permitted, ibid. With what formalities, ibid. Of the Roman foldiers, ii. 225, & seq. To what persons it was forbidden, ii. 226, & seq. Stile used by the Romans in their testaments, ii. 227. In what case it was

valid, ibid. In what not, ibid. When permitted among the
Athenians, 11. 226
Thebans: what they did to foften the manners of their youth, i. 57
Theologians: their opinion concerning usury and commerce, ii. 58
Theophilus (the emperor) orders a ship to be burnt that had been
laden with merchandizes for his wife, ii. 15
Theophrastus: his opinion in regard to music, i. 54
Thoughts: punished as overt-acts, i. 274
Tiberius opposes the reformation of luxury, i. 142. His be-
haviour in regard to some Roman ladies guilty of adultery, i.
154. He revives the domestic tribunal, ibid. He orders
specie to be given out of the treasury to those who wanted it,
upon binding their lands, ii. 71. He adds to the rigour of the Papian law, ii. 128, & fee.
Tithes imposed on those who were seized of church lands, ii. 440,
& seq. Their establishment, ii. 441, & seq. Opposition they
met with, ii. 443. Their antiquity, ibid. Their applica-
an tion, have I was a firm and the second sound in. 444
Trade. See Commerce.
Tranquillity of the subject: how those crimes, which disturb it,
ought to be punished, i. 265
Treajure (the king's)
Trial by combat or legal duel: by what laws admitted, ii. 256.
Its origin, ibid. & seq. and 262. Particular laws upon this
fubject, ii. 258, 263, and 275. How it gained ground, ii.
262. It pleases the taste of the nobility, in. 266. Caule of
the disuse of the Salic and Roman laws, ii. 268. Its influence
on the jurisprudence of those days, ibid. and 275. For-
malities observed therein, ii. 269, & seq. and 300. Bounds
prescribed to this usage, ii. 278. In what case they could
not infift upon it, ibid. At what age they were allowed to
fight, ii. 280. Between the party and one of the witnesses,
ii. 282. In what manner it was abolished, ii. 294
Trial by boiling water, or ordeal, admitted among the Salic
Franks, with restrictions, ii. 258. In what case it was used,
ii. 262. Formalities observed therein, ii. 261. Reflections
on these trials, ii. 262. Changes they received, ii. 267, 268
Trial or proof by witnesses, ii. 322. How it was restrained,
ibid. See Judgments.
Tribunal, or courts of judicature, in what government necessary,
i. 115. Foundation of the contrast that is generally observed
between the prince's council and the ordinary tribunals, ibid.
& seq. Domestic, used by the Romans, i. 149. The design
of them, i. 150. Their forms, ibid. Cause of their sup-
preffion, i. 151
Tribunes of the people, necessary in an aristocracy, i. 75.
Cicero's opinion upon this subject, i. 81. At what time
they were established, i. 242. Their functions, ibid.
" I wi have t

INDEX.
Tribunes (military) at what time established, i. 247, 248 Tribute. See Taxes.
Troops regular, whether it is advantageous to maintain a standing body of them,
Truces established by religion, ii. 159
Truth necessary in conversation, i. 43. Whether for its own sake,
Turkey: whether it be true that justice is administered better there than in other countries, i. 107
Turnaments: their effect with regard to gallantry, ii. 275
Tutelage, or guardianship, in what case it ought to be given to the mother of the pupil, i. 437. In what case to the next heir,
Tyranny: the Romans get rid of their tyrants, without being able to shake off the yoke of tyranny, i. 29
Tyrants, how they raise themselves upon the ruins of a republic, i. 161. Punished by the Greeks, i. 282
Tyre, the nature of its commerce, ii. 4, and 27. Its fettlements on the coasts of the ocean, ibid. Its colonies, ibid. Rival of every trading nation, ii. 35.
the first of the second state of the second
the providing the state of the
Valerian (law) the defign of it, I. 120, 121, and 250. Misunderstood in the affair of Coriolanus, ibid. Effect of it with regard to the government, i. 251
regard to the government, 1. 251
Valette (duke of) judged by Lewis XIII. i. 113 Value reciprocal of money, and of the things it fignifies, ii. 71.
Double of filver, ii. 79. Positive and relative, ii. 80. Manner of fixing the relative value, ibid.
Vanity useful to government, i 421
Vassalage, its origin, ii. 351. Rear vassalage, its nature before the siefs became hereditary, ii. 465, & seq. What it was
afterwards, ibid.
Vassals, their duty to their lords, ii. 286, & seq. What they were among the ancient Germans, ii. 352. Single combat
between a vassal and his lord, ii. 287. How they pleaded against their lords, ii. 292. Penalty against those who appealed
their lord wrongfully, ii. 293. Of bishops, by whom led into the field, ii. 377. Great vassals, ii. 297. Rear vas-

3

di.

· e

litary fervice, Vegetables conform better than brutes to the laws of nature, Venice, republic, stands in need of a permanent magistracy, i. 20, and 76. What kind of magistracy this is, i. 17. Moderation prescribed by the laws to the nobility of this republic,

fals, ibid. At what time they were excused from going to the affembly, ii. 467. The king's vassals who they were, i. 374. The estates they were empowered to possess, ibid. Their mi-

public, i. 141. Distribution of the three powers in this republic, i. 218. Its commerce with the East-Indies, how it dropped, in the or a Veffels or ships, our manner of computing their burthen, different from that of the ancients, ii. 23. Of the Indies and the red fea, different from those of the Greeks or Romans, ii. 29. Effect of this difference, ibid. & feg. Of the Indies, compared to those at present made use of, ii. 30. Their mechanism different according to the different ports, ibid. Calculation of the lading of a vessel by its largeness, Vestal virgins, enjoy the rights of children, 11. 132 Victory; its aim, 1. 7 Villeins; their manner of fighting, i. 271. and 276. Whether they could appeal their lord's court of false judgment, ii. 298. In what case they had this privilege, Villenage pure; at what time it was established, i. 297, and Whether there was any general regulation to establish it, 11. 354 Vines pulled up in Gaul, ii. 51. Replanted, ibid. They employ a great many men, 11. 117 Virtue is the principle of a popular government, i. 28. Want of virtue among the Romans, the cause of their slavery, i. 20. The only support known by the Greek legislators, of a popular government, ibid. Effect of the want of this virtue, i. 30. Necessary in an aristocracy, i. 31, & feq. Whether it is the principle of a monarchical government, i. 33. What virtues we are taught by education in a monarchy, i. 43. Whether flaves can have any share of it, i. 47. What is meant by this word, i. 48, and 58. Whether it is found Its effect with regard to punishwith inequality, i. 72. ments, Visigoths: their laws on commerce, ii. 55. On adultery, ii. 215. Change in their laws, ii. 234, & seq. Judgment of their laws, ii. 236. They were preserved in Spain, ii. 245 Uniformity: in what things it is necessary, 11. 347 Union among the noble families, necessary in an aristocracy, 1. 77 Voconian (law) the purport of it, i. 194. Its epocha, ii. 228. The remains of it, ibid. Supported by Cato the elder, ii. The defign of it, ibid. How observed, 11. 231 Volga: miltake of the ancients with regard to this river, ii. 38 Usury: why natural in despotic governments, i. 91. Confounded with commerce, ii. 56. Is lowered after the discovery of the Indies, ii. 75. What naturalized it at Rome, ii. 103. Arbitrary among the Romans, ibid. & Jeg. Ordinary rate of usury among them, ii. 104, 105. Disturbance it made, ii. 103, 104. Laws upon this subject, ii. 104, 106.

laws which forbid it, are not favourable to those who want 111 . Nortow bolinia to steers mortilities burn themfolies as the death of their humands, it that have by their humans, it that he what a

Wants (the fense of) as natural to man, as that of his weakness, i. 6. Of the poor, how a state may supply them, ii. 142 War betwixt different nations, whence it arises, i. 7. Between the individuals of a fociety, ibid. Cause of the laws established amongst mankind, ibid. Civil, whether it is always attended with a revolution, i. 82. Its object, i. 7. Oftener permitted to small than to large societies, i. 194. (Right of) from whence derived, Weakness, the first sensation of man, considered before the establishment of society, i. 5. Of neighbouring states, not to make use of it in order to precipitate their ruin, i. 192 Will: the conjunction of wills is necessary to form a civil state, i. 8. Of the sovereign is the sovereign himself, i. Of a despotic prince, ought infallibly to produce its effect,

See Testament.

is re-

ow it

ii. 59 , difs and

mans,

ndies,

Their

ibid.

ii. 31

. 132

1. 7

ether

298.

ibid.

and

efta-

354

117

Want

y, i.

of a

rtue, ether

Vhat

43.

at is

ound

nish-

120

, 11.

t of

245

347

acy,

77

28.

ii.

231

38

nd-

of of

03.

ate

de,

he

LW3

Winds (trade) the ancients made use of them, as of a kind of compais,

Wine, forbidden in Arabia, i. 327. And why, ibid. To what country it is agreeable, ibid. (Excess of) differently punished, i. 327, 328. Sending it to Barbarians, forbidden by the Romans, ii. 51. A tax upon wine, raised by Chilperic, 11. 363

Witnesses: in what manner they were rejected at the time of judicial combats, ii. 281. How they avoided fighting, ibid. How many are required in reason to condemn a man, ii. 362. False, how punished, ii. 334,

Women: their condition in a monarchy, i. 148 In despotic governments, ibid. Their conduct, how careful the Greeks were of it, i. 149. To whom the tutelage of women was given among the Romans, i. 152. And among the Germans, ibid. When it expired, ii. 128. Their diffolute conduct a pretence for accusations against the great, i. 155. Their frugality, laws made at Rome to preferve it, ibid. Whether they ought to have the government of families, i. 158. Whether they are fit to govern an empire, ibid. Cuftoms of the Indies upon this subject, ibid. Example of states governed by women, ibid. They pass into the family of the husband, ii. 110. Whether this be an universal custom, ibid. A law which hinders them from inheriting, ii. 194. & feq. From whence derived, ibid. In what case they inherited, ii. 223, & feg. and 229, & feg. Their confinement, necessary in the East, i. 364. Its influence on their

morals, i. 366. Indian women, their wantonness, i. 368. Different orders of married women, ii. 111. Women that burn themselves at the death of their husbands, ii. 164. Lent by their husbands to another man, ii. 215. In what case, when they heard no tidings of their husbands, they were allowed to marry again, ii. 200. They were not allowed to fight, ii. 279. Nor to appeal to a judicial combat without the authority of their husbands, ibid. & seq.

Words. See Speeches.

World: whether its laws are invariable, and why, i. 2. The intelligent world does not follow its laws exactly, i. 3. Physical world, ibid.

Worfbib. See Cult.

Writings fatyrical, punished by the decemvirs, i. 128. And by the emperors, i. 277. In what light they are looked upon in different governments, ibid.

FINIS.



68. that afe, al-l to out feq.

by n in bid.